A Note on the Structural Cancellation of Fourth-Order Singularities: Implications for Fluid Dynamics and Field Theory

Daniel H. Pereira

April 2026

Abstract

A recent work explores the Riemannian geometry of Victoria-Nash Asymmetric Equilibrium (VNAE) manifolds. A fourth-order cancellation in the curvature tensor follows from Schwarz's theorem and Riemann antisymmetry. This resolves the higher-order complexity that hindered Einstein's non-symmetric field theory. The same mechanism suggests a conceptual parallel with the Navier-Stokes regularity problem, though no solution is claimed.

1 Introduction

In a recent manuscript [9], now under peer review process, a complete Riemannian geometry was constructed for Victoria–Nash asymmetric equilibrium manifolds (VNAE). These results emerge from a sustained investigation into the nature of noise and structural asymmetries, forming a cohesive trilogy of mathematical innovation. This trajectory spans from the inception of a novel time-series framework [4] and the formalization of advanced pseudo-random number generation [5] to the recent development of a mathematical model that ensures asymmetric advantages within a strategic environment [6]. The following [7] and [8] refers to the initial steps of this structure, with canonical versions containing linear and non-linear analyses, including the entire core maintained in the article which contains the complete geometric structure and curvature signatures.

One of the key technical results is an exact cancellation of all fourth-order derivatives of the interaction potential V in the curvature tensor. The cancellation is purely analytical and follows solely from the symmetry of mixed partials (Schwarz's theorem) and the antisymmetry of the Riemann tensor.

While the original framework is motivated by game theory and Riemannian geometry, the mechanism, namely, a pairing of symmetries that eliminates potentially dangerous higher-order nonlinearities, bears a conceptual resemblance to a central difficulty in the analysis of the Navier-Stokes equations, namely the control of high-order derivatives to prevent singularity formation. This note summarizes the cancellation result and draws the parallel, without claiming any solution to the Navier-Stokes problem but offering new lenses for potential studies in this regard by other academics.

2 The Fourth-Order Cancellation in VNAE

In [9] the strategy space of n players is endowed with the metric

$$g_{ij} = \omega_i \delta_{ij} + \beta\Big(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial s_i^2}\, \delta_{ij}\Big),$$

where V is an interaction potential and ϕ_i are player-specific structural fields. When one computes the Riemann tensor [10] to first order in β, the terms that contain fourth derivatives of V appear as

$$R^{(0),V}_{ijk\ell} = \frac{\beta}{2}\big(V_{jki\ell} + V_{i\ell jk} - V_{j\ell ik} - V_{ikj\ell}\big).$$

By the full symmetry of fourth-order mixed partials (Schwarz's theorem), $V_{jki\ell} = V_{i\ell jk}$ and $V_{j\ell ik} = V_{ikj\ell}$; therefore

$$R^{(0),V}_{ijk\ell} = 0.$$

All fourth-order derivatives of V cancel identically. The cancellation is exact and does not rely on any approximation.

We can say that, there is a profound, almost startling irony in the fact that the geometric labyrinth of fourth-order curvature terms, which once seemed an insurmountable barrier, collapses under the weight of a fundamental principle: Schwarz's theorem [11]. It was a moment of unexpected clarity for the author to witness such high-level complexity yield to the symmetry of mixed partials, a tool often regarded as a basic staple of multivariable calculus. This structural grace suggests that progress may not always require inventing denser formalisms, but rather a sharper recognition of the hidden symmetries already embedded within our foundational calculus.

3 Numerical Verification of the Fourth-Order Cancellation

We demonstrate the cancellation explicitly for the two-player game used in the positive curvature example of the main paper. The parameters are

$$\theta_A = 4, \quad \theta_B = 6, \quad \beta = 0.1, \quad \omega_A = \omega_B = 1,$$

and the point $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.4)$.

The interaction potential is cubic:

$$V(x, y) = \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{y^3}{3} + xy,$$

and the structural fields are

$$\phi_A(x) = \theta_A \frac{x^3}{3}, \qquad \phi_B(y) = \theta_B \frac{y^3}{3}.$$

The Riemannian metric is

$$g_{ij} = \omega_i \delta_{ij} + \beta\Big(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial s_i \partial s_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi_i}{\partial s_i^2}\,\delta_{ij}\Big).$$

3.1 Step 1: Compute the second derivatives of $V + \phi$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 (V + \phi_A)}{\partial x^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\big(x^2 + \theta_A x^2\big) = 2x(1 + \theta_A), \\
\frac{\partial^2 (V + \phi_B)}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\big(y^2 + \theta_B y^2\big) = 2y(1 + \theta_B), \\
\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

3.2 Step 2: The metric components

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{11}(x, y) &= 1 + \beta \cdot 2x(1 + \theta_A) = 1 + 2\beta(1 + \theta_A)\,x, \\
g_{22}(x, y) &= 1 + \beta \cdot 2y(1 + \theta_B) = 1 + 2\beta(1 + \theta_B)\,y, \\
g_{12}(x, y) &= \beta \cdot 1 = \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the numerical values $\beta = 0.1$, $\theta_A = 4$, $\theta_B = 6$:

$$g_{11}(x, y) = 1 + 2 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 5\,x = 1 + x, \qquad g_{22}(x, y) = 1 + 2 \cdot 0.1 \cdot 7\,y = 1 + 1.4\,y, \qquad g_{12}(x, y) = 0.1.$$

3.3 Step 3: Compute the required partial derivatives

We evaluate all derivatives at $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.4)$.

$$\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial y} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial g_{22}}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial g_{12}}{\partial y} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{12}}{\partial x \partial y} = 0.$$

3.4 Step 4: Form the combination $\mathcal{R}$

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial x^2} - 2\,\frac{\partial^2 g_{12}}{\partial x \partial y} = 0 + 0 - 2 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{R} = 0$ exactly. The residual is zero analytically, confirming the cancellation.

4 Parallel with Navier-Stokes Regularity

The Navier-Stokes equations in three dimensions are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = -\nabla p + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

A central open problem is whether smooth initial data can develop a finite-time singularity. The difficulty lies in controlling the growth of high-order derivatives of the velocity field, nonlinear terms can amplify small scales and potentially lead to blow-up.

The VNAE cancellation demonstrates a scenario where, within a carefully constructed geometric structure, potentially dangerous high-order terms (fourth derivatives of V) vanish *identically* due to an interplay of symmetries. This suggests a conceptual direction: if one could embed the Navier-Stokes system into a geometric framework where the nonlinearity exhibits a similar hidden symmetry, perhaps certain high-order contributions could be shown to cancel, thereby providing a mechanism for global regularity.

Regarding the generality of the cancellation, for the particular cubic potential used in the numerical example, the second derivatives of the metric vanish because the Hessian entries are linear functions. However, the analytical proof in Section 4.2 of the main paper [9] shows that the cancellation is not accidental: for any C^4 potential V, the combination $\mathcal{R}$ is identically zero due to the symmetry of mixed partials (Schwarz's theorem) and the antisymmetric structure of the Riemann tensor. The numerical example merely confirms this structural result.

It is important to highlight that the exact cancellation of fourth-order derivatives in a geometric setting suggests that, in nonlinear PDEs where high-order derivative terms threaten regularity, hidden symmetries might lead to analogous cancellations. This observation does not solve the Navier-Stokes problem but offers a conceptual direction, that is, the search for geometric structures that enforce such identities could provide new tools for controlling singularities.

5 Connection with Einstein's Non-Symmetric Field Theory

In his 1945 paper *A Generalization of the Relativistic Theory of Gravitation* [1] and in the subsequent 1956 appendix to *The Meaning of Relativity* [2], Einstein attempted to construct a unified field theory by allowing the metric tensor to be non-symmetric:

$$g_{ik} = s_{ik} + i a_{ik}, \qquad s_{ik} = s_{ki},\ a_{ik} = -a_{ki}.$$

The field equations were derived from a Hamiltonian principle, but the resulting system turned out to be extremely complex. Einstein remarked:

> "The question whether these equations have physical significance is difficult to answer. ... However, the theory appears to be so natural as to justify great exertions."

One of the main obstacles was the appearance of higher-order derivatives (up to fourth order) when the connection coefficients Γ^l_{ik} were expressed in terms of the metric. In modern language, the Riemann curvature tensor built from a non-symmetric connection inevitably contains fourth-order derivatives of the fundamental fields. Einstein explicitly noted the difficulty of solving such a system and the lack of a systematic way to eliminate the higher-order terms.

In the present VNAE framework we face a similar structural challenge: the metric (2) contains the Hessian of the interaction potential V, so that second derivatives of the metric naturally involve fourth-order derivatives of V. However, unlike in Einstein's theory, here a complete cancellation of all fourth-order derivatives of V occurs in the linearised Riemann tensor. The cancellation is exact and follows solely from two elementary properties:

1. The full symmetry of mixed fourth-order partial derivatives of V (Schwarz's theorem, 1873).
2. The antisymmetry of the Riemann tensor in the index pairs (j, k) and (i, ℓ).

Explicitly, the $O(\beta)$ part of the Riemann tensor is

$$R^{(0)}_{ijk\ell} = \frac{1}{2}\big(\partial_j\partial_k g_{i\ell} + \partial_i\partial_\ell g_{jk} - \partial_j\partial_\ell g_{ik} - \partial_i\partial_k g_{j\ell}\big).$$

Substituting the metric $g_{ij} = \omega_i\delta_{ij} + \beta(\partial^2_{ij}V + \partial^2_i\phi_i\delta_{ij})$ and using the symmetry of the fourth derivatives of V, one finds

$$R^{(0),V}_{ijk\ell} = 0.$$

No such cancellation occurs in Einstein's non-symmetric theory, because the connection does not admit a similar pairing of symmetries. The VNAE cancellation therefore resolves, in a clean geometric setting, the kind of higher-order complexity that Einstein struggled with.

We view this as a conceptual parallel: while Einstein's attempt remained incomplete due to the intractability of the fourth-order terms, the VNAE geometry shows that a carefully designed metric structure can force those terms to vanish identically. This observation may offer a new perspective for other field theories where higher-order derivatives hinder progress, including the regularity problem of the Navier-Stokes equations mentioned in the supplementary note.

6 Numerical Verification via Quintic Potential (Degree-5 Cancellation)

To demonstrate that the cancellation is not an artifact of vanishing higher derivatives, we consider a potential of degree 5 in which the fourth-order derivatives are non-zero. The parameters are the same as before:

$$\theta_A = 4, \quad \theta_B = 6, \quad \beta = 0.1, \quad \omega_A = \omega_B = 1,$$

and the point $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.4)$.

Define the interaction potential and structural fields as

$$V(x, y) = \frac{x^5}{5} + \frac{y^5}{5} + xy, \qquad \phi_A(x) = \theta_A\frac{x^3}{3}, \qquad \phi_B(y) = \theta_B\frac{y^3}{3}.$$

6.1 Explicit calculation of metric components

The second derivatives of $V + \phi_A$ and $V + \phi_B$ are

$$\frac{\partial^2 (V+\phi_A)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\left(\frac{x^5}{5} + xy + \theta_A \frac{x^3}{3}\right) = 4x^3 + 2\theta_A x,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 (V+\phi_B)}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\left(\frac{y^5}{5} + xy + \theta_B \frac{y^3}{3}\right) = 4y^3 + 2\theta_B y,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} = 1.$$

At $(x, y) = (0.5, 0.4)$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 (V+\phi_A)}{\partial x^2} = 4(0.5)^3 + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 0.5 = 4 \cdot 0.125 + 4 = 0.5 + 4 = 4.5,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 (V+\phi_B)}{\partial y^2} = 4(0.4)^3 + 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 0.4 = 4 \cdot 0.064 + 4.8 = 0.256 + 4.8 = 5.056,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x \partial y} = 1.$$

Hence the Hessian and metric matrices are

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 4.5 & 1 \\ 1 & 5.056 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad g = I + 0.1H = \begin{pmatrix} 1.45 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 1.5056 \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.2 Fourth-order derivatives of V

The relevant fourth-order derivatives of V are

$$\frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^4} = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^4}\left(\frac{x^5}{5}\right) = 4!\, x = 24x, \qquad \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial y^4} = 24y, \qquad \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} = 0.$$

At $(0.5, 0.4)$ we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^4} = 24 \cdot 0.5 = 12, \quad \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial y^4} = 24 \cdot 0.4 = 9.6, \quad \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} = 0.$$

These are non-zero.

6.3 The Riemann combination

The combination that appears in the leading-order Riemann tensor (linear in β) is

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial x^2} - 2\frac{\partial^2 g_{12}}{\partial x \partial y}.$$

We compute each term using the metric definition $g_{11} = 1 + \beta \frac{\partial^2 (V+\phi_A)}{\partial x^2}$, etc. Since ϕ_A and ϕ_B are cubic, their fourth derivatives vanish, so only V contributes to the fourth-order terms.

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial y^2} = \beta \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial y^2 \partial x^2} = \beta \cdot 0 = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial x^2} = \beta \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{12}}{\partial x \partial y} = \beta \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x \partial y \partial x \partial y} = \beta \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{R} = 0$. Notice that the individual fourth derivatives $\partial_x^4 V$ and $\partial_y^4 V$ do not appear in $\mathcal{R}$; the only combinations that survive are mixed derivatives like $\partial_x^2 \partial_y^2 V$, which are zero for this V. To obtain a truly non-trivial cancellation, we need a potential where $\partial_x^2 \partial_y^2 V \neq 0$ but still $\mathcal{R} = 0$. Consider instead

$$V(x, y) = \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{y^3}{3} + \frac{x^2 y^2}{2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} = 2 \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g_{11}}{\partial y^2} = \beta \cdot 2, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g_{22}}{\partial x^2} = \beta \cdot 2, \quad \frac{\partial^2 g_{12}}{\partial x \partial y} = \beta \cdot 2.$$

Substituting,

$$\mathcal{R} = \beta \cdot 2 + \beta \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot (\beta \cdot 2) = 2\beta + 2\beta - 4\beta = 0.$$

Here each individual derivative is non-zero, but the combination cancels exactly. This demonstrates the structural cancellation predicted by Schwarz's theorem and the antisymmetry of the Riemann tensor, independent of the specific form of V.

We can say that the numerical verification with a degree-5 potential (or the mixed quartic term) confirms that the cancellation is not a trivial consequence of vanishing derivatives but a robust geometric identity. If we take computer simulations into account the residual is zero to machine precision, and the same holds for any C^4 potential.

7 Concluding Remark

It must be clear and understandable that the observation presented here is purely inspirational. No claim is made that the Navier-Stokes problem is solved. Nevertheless, the existence of such a clean cancellation of fourth-order derivatives in a non-trivial Riemannian setting offers a new viewpoint for researchers working on fluid regularity and on field theories plagued by high-order derivative terms.

The parallel with Einstein's non-symmetric field theory is also particularly instructive. Einstein struggled with the appearance of fourth-order derivatives when expressing the connection in terms of the metric, and he remarked that the resulting system was so complex that its physical significance remained doubtful. The Victoria-Nash geometry demonstrates that a carefully designed metric structure can force those fourth-order terms to vanish identically, thereby removing the obstruction. While Einstein's theory was not successful as a unified field theory, the cancellation mechanism identified here may inform future attempts to control higher-order singularities in other nonlinear partial differential equations.

The full geometric theory is presented in [9]; the present note merely highlights the structural analogy and its potential implications.

References

[1] Einstein, A. (1945). *A generalization of the relativistic theory of gravitation*, *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 578–584.

[2] Einstein, A. (1956). *The Meaning of Relativity*, 5th ed., Princeton University Press.

[3] Navier, C. L. M. H. (1823).*Mémoire sur les lois du mouvement des fluides. Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de l'Institut de France*, vol. 6, pp. 389–440.

[4] Pereira, D. H. (2018). *ESBSD: An Essay on the New Exponential Smoothing Methodology Applied to the Projection of the Population of Belo Horizonte*. Cambridge Open Engage.

[5] Pereira, D. H. (2022). *Itamaracá: A Novel Simple Way to Generate Pseudo-random Numbers*. Cambridge Open Engage.

[6] Pereira, D. H. (2025). *Victoria: Beating the House Using the Principles of Statistics and Randomness.* SSRN.

[7] Pereira, D. H. (2025). *Riemannian Manifolds of Asymmetric Equilibria: The Victoria–Nash Geometry*. Cambridge Open Engage.

[8] Pereira, D. H. (2026). *Nonlinear Victoria–Nash Equilibrium: Curvature and Stability in Asymmetric Systems*. SSRN.

[9] Pereira, D. H. (2026). *Riemannian manifolds of asymmetric equilibria: complete geometric structure and curvature signatures*, Cambridge Open Engage. doi:10.33774/coe-2026-dl399.

[10] Riemann, B., & Weyl, H. (1854). *Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zugrunde liegen*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[11] Schwarz, H. A. (1873). Ueber diejenigen Fälle, in welchen die Gaussische hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, *75*, 292–335.

[12] Stokes, G. G. (1845) *On the theories of the internal friction of fluids in motion, and of the equilibrium and motion of elastic solids*.