A Structural Framework for Classical Dynamics II: Energy–Momentum Conservation and Transport Structure

Tom R F Cooper
tom.cooper@live.co.uk

March 29, 2026

Abstract

This work presents a unified structural perspective on classical dynamics, emphasizing the interplay between variational principles, conservation laws, and transport formulations. Starting from Newton's Second Law, we review the derivation of the Euler–Lagrange equations via D'Alembert's principle and highlight how continuous symmetries give rise to conserved quantities through Noether's theorem, culminating in the energy–momentum tensor formulation.

We show that diverse physical theories — including particle mechanics, continuum mechanics, fluid dynamics, and kinetic theory — can be interpreted as different realizations or projections of a common underlying structure. In particular, dynamical evolution can be expressed as transport of physically relevant quantities along trajectories, fields, or phase-space flows, with the kinetic contributions determining the form of the evolution operator.

Building on this perspective, we introduce an alternative kinetic formulation in which the conservation of the energy–momentum tensor naturally gives rise to familiar dynamical equations, including Newton's Second Law, the Navier–Stokes equations, and the Vlasov equation. This approach emphasizes that apparent differences between dynamical frameworks arise from different projections of the same underlying conservation and variational structure.

Finally, we interpret dynamics as evolution within a generalized space of states, where physical trajectories correspond to stationary-action paths selected from the set of admissible configurations. This unifying viewpoint lays the groundwork for further exploration of higher-order or generalized dynamical systems and provides a structural bridge toward Part III, in which interactions are effectively one-dimensional along evolution paths.

1 Principle of Stationary Action and Variational Formulation

1.1 Newtonian Mechanics

We begin with Newton's Second Law for a system of N particles,

$$\mathbf{F}_i = m_i \mathbf{a}_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

To reformulate the dynamics in a coordinate-independent manner, we invoke *D'Alembert's Principle*[1]. Introducing arbitrary virtual displacements $\delta\mathbf{r}_i$ consistent with the constraints of the system, Newton's Second Law can be rewritten as the virtual work condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{F}_i - m_i \mathbf{a}_i) \cdot \delta\mathbf{r}_i = 0.$$

This formulation transforms the static equations (as shown later on) into a constraint on admissible virtual displacements. It provides the foundational bridge toward analytical mechanics.

1.2 Generalized Coordinates

Let the configuration of the system be described by generalized coordinates

$$q_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where n denotes the number of degrees of freedom.

The particle positions are functions of these coordinates and possibly time:

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i(q_1, \ldots, q_n, t).$$

The virtual displacements are therefore expressed as

$$\delta\mathbf{r}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}{\partial q_j}\, \delta q_j.$$

Substituting this expression into D'Alembert's principle and rearranging yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - Q_j \right] \delta q_j = 0,$$

where T denotes the total kinetic energy of the system and Q_j are the generalized forces defined by

$$Q_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{F}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}{\partial q_j}.$$

Since the variations δq_j are arbitrary and independent, the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - Q_j = 0.$$

1.3 Conservative Systems and the Lagrangian

For conservative systems, the generalized forces derive from a scalar potential $V(q_1, \ldots, q_n, t)$ such that

$$Q_j = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j}.$$

Defining the Lagrangian function[2]

$$L(q_j, \dot{q}_j, t) = T - V,$$

the equations of motion become the Euler–Lagrange equations[3, 4]:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = 0, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

1.4 Principle of Stationary Action

The Euler–Lagrange equations are equivalent to the condition that the action functional

$$S[q] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q_j, \dot{q}_j, t)\, dt$$

be stationary under arbitrary variations $\delta q_j(t)$ satisfying fixed endpoint conditions

$$\delta q_j(t_1) = \delta q_j(t_2) = 0.$$

The stationary condition is expressed as

$$\delta S = 0.$$

Thus, the physical trajectories correspond to the critical points of the action functional in the space of admissible paths. This variational formulation is mathematically equivalent to Newtonian mechanics for conservative holonomic systems.

1.5 Remarks

The variational formulation provides a coordinate-independent framework for classical mechanics and forms the basis for Hamiltonian mechanics, field theory, and modern geometric formulations of dynamics. In this sense, the principle of stationary action serves as a unifying structure underlying a broad class of dynamical systems.

2 Noether's Theorem and Conservation Laws

2.1 Continuous Symmetries of the Action

Consider a dynamical system described by the action functional

$$S[q] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q_j, \dot{q}_j, t)\, dt,$$

where L is the Lagrangian of the system.

Noether's theorem establishes a fundamental connection between continuous symmetries of the action and conserved quantities.

Let the coordinates undergo an infinitesimal continuous transformation parameterized by ϵ:

$$q_j \to q_j + \epsilon\, \delta q_j,$$

under which the action is invariant up to a total time derivative:

$$\delta S = 0.$$

Equivalently, the Lagrangian transforms as

$$\delta L = \frac{dF}{dt},$$

for some function $F(q_j, t)$.

2.2 Derivation of the Conserved Quantity

Using the Euler–Lagrange equations,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j}\right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_j} = 0,$$

one finds that invariance under the continuous transformation implies the existence of a conserved quantity J, defined by

$$J = \sum_j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j}\, \delta q_j - F.$$

Taking the total time derivative yields

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = 0.$$

Thus, continuous symmetries of the action correspond to conserved quantities[5].

2.3 Common Physical Symmetries

Important examples include:

- Time translation symmetry $\to$ energy conservation.
- Spatial translation symmetry $\to$ momentum conservation.
- Rotational symmetry $\to$ angular momentum conservation.

These conservation laws arise directly from invariance of the action under the corresponding continuous transformations.

2.4 Field-Theoretic Formulation

In classical field theory, the action is expressed as

$$S[\phi] = \int \mathcal{L}(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi)\, d^4x,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian density.

Continuous spacetime symmetries lead to the existence of a conserved current J^μ satisfying the local conservation equation

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0.$$

In particular, invariance under spacetime translations yields the energy–momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, defined such that

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

This local conservation law encodes the conservation of energy and momentum[6, 7].

2.5 Connection to Courant and Variational Structures

The formulation of conservation laws via symmetry principles is closely related to the modern geometric and functional-analytic approaches to variational problems, as developed in the works of Courant and subsequent developments in the calculus of variations [8]

In this framework, conserved quantities arise as structural consequences of invariance properties of the action functional in the appropriate function space.

Thus, Noether's theorem establishes that continuous symmetries of the action imply local conservation laws, expressed either in the form

$$\frac{dJ}{dt} = 0$$

for finite-dimensional systems, or in the field-theoretic divergence form

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$

These conservation equations provide the fundamental structural link between variational principles and dynamical evolution equations.

3 Energy–Momentum Tensor and Unified Dynamical Structure

3.1 Energy–Momentum Tensor and Conservation Laws

Building on this result, invariance under spacetime translations implies the existence of a conserved tensorial quantity, the energy–momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, which encodes the local densities and fluxes of energy and momentum.

The conservation law

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

is now taken as the fundamental dynamical relation, expressing the local conservation of energy ($\nu = 0$) and momentum ($\nu = i$).

The components of $T^{\mu\nu}$ admit a direct physical interpretation:

- T^{00}: energy density,
- T^{0i}: energy flux (equivalently momentum density),
- T^{i0}: momentum density,
- T^{ij}: stress tensor (momentum flux).

This formulation provides a unified description of dynamical systems in terms of local conservation laws, independent of the specific representation (particle, continuum, or kinetic) used to describe the system.

3.2 Realizations Across Physical Theories

Different dynamical theories can be interpreted as distinct realizations of the energy–momentum tensor and its associated conservation law.

Particle Mechanics. For a system of particles, the conservation law reduces, in the appropriate limit, to Newton's second law. In this case, the momentum density is concentrated along particle trajectories, and the conservation equation reproduces the standard form

$$m\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{F}.$$

Continuum and Fluid Mechanics. For a continuous medium, $T^{\mu\nu}$ includes contributions from kinetic energy, pressure, and internal stresses. The conservation law yields the equations of continuum mechanics, and, with appropriate constitutive relations, leads to the Navier–Stokes equations in the presence of dissipative effects.

Kinetic Theory. In kinetic theory, the system is described by a distribution function $f(x, v, t)$ in phase space. The energy–momentum tensor is defined as a moment of this distribution, and its conservation is consistent with the Vlasov equation in the collisionless limit.

Thus, particle, fluid, and kinetic descriptions may be viewed as different levels of representation of a common conservation structure.

3.3 Structure of the Energy–Momentum Tensor

In general, the tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed into contributions associated with transport, interactions, and internal stresses:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}},$$

where the kinetic part encodes transport of energy and momentum, while the remaining terms describe interactions and internal forces. This decomposition is not unique and depends on the level of description (particle, continuum, or kinetic), but provides a useful structural separation.

The conservation law

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} + \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}} = 0$$

can therefore be interpreted as a balance between transport and interaction contributions.

3.4 Transport Structure and Dynamical Evolution

A common feature shared by these systems is that their evolution can be expressed as transport along trajectories in an appropriate space.

For instance, in fluid dynamics one introduces the material derivative [9]

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla,$$

which describes the evolution of a quantity along fluid trajectories.

In kinetic theory, the evolution is governed by the phase-space transport operator [10]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_v,$$

which describes transport along characteristics in phase space.

These operators express the evolution of physical quantities as transport along flows generated by the underlying dynamics.

3.5 Recovery of the Navier–Stokes Equations from Energy–Momentum Conservation

We now illustrate how the conservation law

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

leads to the equations of fluid dynamics.

We consider a continuum medium described by a velocity field $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$ and mass density $\rho(x,t)$. The energy–momentum tensor is decomposed as

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}},$$

where the kinetic contribution encodes transport of momentum, and the stress tensor accounts for internal forces. This decomposition is understood within a continuum description.

In the non-relativistic limit, the spatial components ($\nu = i$) of the conservation law yield

$$\partial_t(\rho v_i) + \partial_j(\rho v_i v_j + \sigma_{ij}) = 0,$$

where σ_{ij} is the stress tensor.

Expanding the derivatives gives

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} + v_j \partial_j v_i\right) = -\partial_j \sigma_{ij}.$$

The left-hand side corresponds to the material derivative of the velocity field:

$$\frac{Dv_i}{Dt} = \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla v_i.$$

Thus, one obtains

$$\rho\frac{D\mathbf{v}}{Dt} = -\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}.$$

To close the system, a constitutive relation for the stress tensor must be specified. For a Newtonian fluid, this is given by

$$\sigma_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \eta\left(\partial_i v_j + \partial_j v_i\right) + \lambda(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{v})\delta_{ij},$$

which yields the Navier–Stokes equations.

This derivation shows that the Navier–Stokes equations are consistent with the conservation of the energy–momentum tensor, with the convective and viscous terms arising from kinetic transport and internal stress contributions, respectively.

3.6 Recovery of the Vlasov Equation from Energy–Momentum Conservation

We now consider a kinetic description in which the state of the system is given by a distribution function $f(x,v,t)$ in phase space. The energy–momentum tensor is expressed as a moment of the distribution function:

$$T^{\mu\nu}(x,t) = \int v^\mu v^\nu f(x,v,t)\,dv,$$

where v^μ denotes the particle four-velocity (or its non-relativistic counterpart).

The conservation law

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$$

is consistent with a transport equation governing the evolution of f.

Expanding the divergence and using the definition of $T^{\mu\nu}$, one formally obtains

$$\int v^\nu\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_x f + \mathbf{a}\cdot\nabla_v f\right)dv = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ represents the acceleration induced by interactions.

Assuming sufficient regularity and that the distribution function is transported along characteristic trajectories in phase space, this relation implies that the integrand vanishes, yielding the Vlasov equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x f + \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla_v f = 0.$$

This equation describes the transport of the distribution function along trajectories in phase space. In this formulation, the kinetic and interaction contributions appear naturally as transport terms in position and velocity space, respectively.

This shows that kinetic theory can be interpreted as a phase-space realization consistent with the same conservation principle, reinforcing the view that dynamical equations correspond to transport structures associated with the conservation of the energy–momentum tensor.

3.7 Alternative Kinetic Formulation

Motivated by the central role of kinetic contributions, we examine how dynamical equations can be derived from the transport of kinetic energy within the energy–momentum tensor, and how different dynamical theories emerge depending on the choice of trajectory or space in which the derivative is evaluated.

Kinetic Contribution Along Trajectories. The energy–momentum tensor contains a kinetic component $T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}}$, whose T^{00}_{kin} element encodes the system's kinetic energy density. Conservation of the full tensor,

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0,$$

implies that the divergence of $T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}}$ is balanced by the interaction and stress terms:

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} = -\partial_\mu \left(T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}} \right).$$

Along a trajectory $x(t)$, we can project this equation onto the path of motion. For a particle, the kinetic energy is

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} m v^2.$$

Taking the derivative along the trajectory yields

$$\frac{dE_{\text{kin}}}{dx} = mv\frac{dv}{dx} = mv\frac{dv}{dt}\frac{dt}{dx} = ma.$$

Thus, the divergence of the kinetic component along a trajectory naturally gives the "force along the path":

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} \;\longrightarrow\; ma,$$

balanced by the derivative of the interaction and stress contributions:

$$ma = \frac{d}{dx} \left(T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}} \right).$$

Effective One-Dimensional Interactions Along Trajectories. In the particle dynamics context, the conservation of the energy–momentum tensor reduces along each trajectory to a one-dimensional transport of kinetic energy balanced by interactions. This allows us to interpret forces as arising "effectively along the direction of motion", giving

$$m\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dx} \left(T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}} \right),$$

where dx is the infinitesimal displacement along the trajectory.

This reduction is not an approximation but a natural consequence of projecting the full tensorial conservation law onto the particle path. It highlights that interactions, while potentially multidimensional in the underlying tensor structure, manifest dynamically "along a single evolution path" in particle mechanics.

Importantly, this one-dimensional projection principle carries over to continuum and kinetic descriptions: in fluids, it underlies the convective derivative along streamlines; in phase-space kinetics, it governs transport along characteristics. Thus, the "1D interaction" viewpoint provides a unifying structural principle linking trajectory-based, field-based, and phase-space formulations.

General Transport Perspective. More generally, this shows that *dynamical equations can be understood as transport equations for kinetic quantities*, where the projection along trajectories reduces the tensorial conservation law to familiar forms of motion:

- For particles: Newton's second law along the trajectory.
- For fluids: the convective derivative in the Navier–Stokes equations.
- For kinetic systems: phase-space transport leading to the Vlasov equation.

In this formulation, the "force = derivative of kinetic energy" relation emerges **naturally** from the energy–momentum tensor structure and the projection along evolution paths, rather than being imposed. This provides a unifying viewpoint in which apparently different dynamical equations are all consistent projections of the same underlying conservation principle.

Field and Continuum Description. Consider a velocity field $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$. The kinetic energy density is

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2.$$

Retaining the spatial dependence of the velocity field, the evolution of $\mathbf{v}$ is governed by the material derivative

$$\frac{D\mathbf{v}}{Dt} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}.$$

The nonlinear term

$$(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v}$$

arises from the spatial variation of the velocity field along the flow. It represents the transport of momentum and constitutes the core dynamical term in fluid equations such as Navier–Stokes.

In this framework, the result appears naturally from the kinetic term

$$\nabla T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} \sim (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v},$$

as a reduction obtained by evaluating the spatial transport along the trajectory, effectively collapsing the transport structure to a 1D path.

Kinetic (Phase-Space) Description. At a more general level, consider a distribution function $f(x,v,t)$ in phase space. The evolution is governed by the transport equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_x f + \mathbf{a}\cdot\nabla_v f = 0.$$

Here, the dynamics is expressed as transport in phase space, where both position and velocity derivatives are retained. This represents a further extension of the same underlying structure.

Unified Interpretation. These three levels of description can be viewed as arising from the same underlying kinetic structure:

- Full phase-space transport (kinetic theory),
- Spatial transport of fields (continuum mechanics),
- Trajectory-based reduction (particle mechanics).

In this sense, the relation

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} = -\partial_\mu \left(T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}}\right)$$

is the general form of the transport equation. Specific forms, such as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla_x f = -\mathbf{a}\cdot\nabla_v f,$$

arise when the transport is projected onto a particular space or along a trajectory.

This shows that dynamical equations can be interpreted as arising from the transport of kinetic quantities, where different physical theories correspond to different projections or reductions of a common underlying flow in an appropriate space.

4 Unified Space of Dynamical Solutions

4.1 Generalized Space of States

The preceding sections have shown that a wide class of dynamical systems can be expressed in terms of variational principles, conservation laws, and transport structures. This observation motivates the introduction of a *generalized space of dynamical states*, in which all these systems can be viewed as particular realizations or projections of a common underlying structure.

Let Φ denote the state of a system. Depending on the level of description, Φ may represent:

- a particle trajectory $x(t)$ in particle mechanics,
- a field $\mathbf{v}(x,t)$ in continuum mechanics,
- a distribution function $f(x,v,t)$ in kinetic theory.

We define the generalized space of admissible states

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\Phi \mid \Phi \text{ satisfies the relevant variational and conservation constraints}\},$$

so that $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}$ for any physically realizable configuration.

This space $\mathcal{S}$ may be finite- or infinite-dimensional depending on the system, and its structure encodes all dynamical solutions consistent with the underlying conservation laws. In this sense, classical mechanics, fluid dynamics, and kinetic theory can be regarded as *different projections or sections of the same abstract dynamical space*.

4.2 Dynamical Evolution as a Map

The evolution of a dynamical system can be formalized as a mapping

$$\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S},$$

which assigns to each initial state Φ_0 a trajectory $\Phi(t)$ within the space $\mathcal{S}$.

This evolution is determined by dynamical equations of the general form

$$\mathcal{D}(\Phi) = -\mathcal{F},$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the kinetic evolution structure or transport operator, and $\mathcal{F}$ represents the interactions or forces acting on the system.

For systems with a conserved energy–momentum tensor, the dynamics can equivalently be expressed in the tensorial form

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}[\Phi] = 0,$$

providing a unified description that encompasses particle mechanics, continuum fields, and kinetic theory.

4.3 Variational Selection of Physical Trajectories

The space $\mathcal{S}$ generally contains all kinematically admissible configurations. Physical trajectories, however, are selected by a variational principle.

Define the action functional as

$$S[\Phi] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\Phi, \partial\Phi)\, dt.$$

The physically realized evolution corresponds to trajectories for which

$$\delta S[\Phi] = 0,$$

recovering the equations of motion derived in the previous sections.

In this sense, dynamics can be interpreted as a selection mechanism within $\mathcal{S}$, where only stationary-action paths are physically realized.

4.4 Hierarchy of Dynamical Descriptions

Different physical theories correspond to distinct representations or reductions of the same underlying structure:

- In particle mechanics, Φ reduces to trajectories in configuration space.
- In continuum mechanics, Φ represents fields defined over spacetime.
- In kinetic theory, Φ is defined on phase space, incorporating both position and velocity variables.

These descriptions are connected through projection or coarse-graining operations, suggesting that they all emerge from a single dynamical structure defined on $\mathcal{S}$.

4.5 Interpretation as a Space of Solutions

From this perspective, the space $\mathcal{S}$ can be interpreted as a space of all possible dynamical configurations, while the variational principle and conservation laws select a subset of physically admissible solutions.

The combination of

- variational constraints ($\delta S = 0$),
- conservation laws ($\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$),
- and the kinetic evolution structure ($\mathcal{D}$),

determines the physically realizable trajectories within $\mathcal{S}$.

This framework provides a unified interpretation in which different dynamical theories correspond to distinct realizations or projections of a common solution space.

4.6 Outlook

This unified viewpoint suggests that the diversity of dynamical equations in physics may be understood as arising from a single underlying structure, characterized by a space of states, a variational selection principle, and transport-driven evolution.

Future work may clarify the precise mathematical structure of $\mathcal{S}$ and the mappings that relate different levels of description.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we have developed a unified structural perspective on classical dynamics based on variational principles, conservation laws, and transport formulations. Beginning with Newton's Second Law, we showed how D'Alembert's principle leads naturally to the Lagrangian formulation and the Euler–Lagrange equations, establishing the equivalence between Newtonian and analytical mechanics for conservative systems.

Through Noether's theorem, we connected continuous symmetries to conservation laws, highlighting the fundamental role of invariance principles in determining dynamical structure. This naturally leads to the energy–momentum tensor formulation, where local conservation is expressed as

$$\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{kin}} + \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{int}} + \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{stress}} = 0.$$

Within this framework, different physical theories — including particle mechanics, continuum mechanics, fluid dynamics, and kinetic theory — appear as distinct realizations or projections of a common structural foundation. In particular, dynamical evolution can be interpreted as transport along system-dependent flows, where kinetic contributions determine the form of the evolution operator.

The alternative kinetic viewpoint presented here emphasizes that familiar dynamical equations may be understood as arising from the transport of physically relevant quantities within an appropriate space of states. Depending on the level of description — trajectory-based, field-based, or phase-space-based — the same underlying structural principles manifest in different mathematical forms.

Finally, we introduced the interpretation of dynamics as evolution within a generalized space of states, in which physical trajectories correspond to stationary-action paths selected from the set of admissible configurations. This perspective suggests that diverse dynamical equations may be viewed as consistent representations of a unified variational and transport structure.

Future work may clarify the precise mathematical properties of this generalized space and explore how additional physical theories can be incorporated within this unified framework.

References

[1] J. l. R. d'Alembert, *Traité de Dynamique*. Paris: David, 1743.

[2] J.-L. Lagrange, *Mécanique Analytique*. Paris: Desaint, 1788.

[3] H. Goldstein, C. Poole, and J. Safko, *Classical Mechanics*. San Francisco: Addison-Wesley, 3rd ed., 2002.

[4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*. Course of Theoretical Physics, Volume 1, Oxford: Pergamon Press, 3rd ed., 1976.

[5] E. Noether, "Invariante variationsprobleme," *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, pp. 235–257, 1918.

[6] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*. Course of Theoretical Physics, Volume 2, Oxford: Pergamon Press, 4th ed., 1975.

[7] S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*. San Francisco: Addison-Wesley, 2004.

[8] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Volume I*. New York: Interscience Publishers, 1953.

[9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*. Course of Theoretical Physics, Volume 6, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2nd ed., 1987.

[10] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics, Part 1*. Course of Theoretical Physics, Volume 5, Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 3rd ed., 1980.