On a Unified Speculative Framework Connecting Cyclic Conformal Cosmology, de Sitter Holography, and Fuzzball Boundary States

Eugene McDonnell
Institute for Emergent Spacetime Research, Santa Fe, NM, USA
quantumboy2001@proton.me

Received: March 2026 | Preprint — not peer reviewed

Abstract

We present a speculative theoretical framework that attempts to connect three distinct structures in contemporary theoretical physics: Penrose's Conformal Cyclic Cosmology (CCC), the de Sitter/CFT correspondence (DS/CFT), and the fuzzball description of black hole microstates in string theory. The central conjecture is that the asymptotic conformal boundaries of each cosmological aeon — identified in CCC as the interface between successive aeons — may be reinterpreted as fuzzball boundary states within an eleven-dimensional M-theoretic substrate, with the intervening de Sitter geometry playing the role of a transient geometric bridge. The Einstein-Rosen/Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (ER=EPR) conjecture is proposed as the structure invariant under conformal transitions, providing a mechanism for information preservation across aeon boundaries. We further conjecture that the apparent separation between past and future fuzzball states is an artifact of dimensional projection from eleven to four dimensions, and that inflation may be interpretable as the geometric response to the quantum entanglement initialisation complexity of the nascent de Sitter bridge. Each component of this framework is explicitly classified by its epistemic status. No claim of mathematical derivation is made; this work is a speculative research programme identifying open questions and potential falsification directions.

Keywords: Conformal Cyclic Cosmology, DS/CFT correspondence, fuzzball microstates, ER=EPR conjecture, M-theory, inflation, CMB anomalies, quantum information, emergent spacetime

---

Note on epistemic status: Following a practice advocated in recent discussions of speculative theoretical physics, each section carries an explicit classification of the claim being made. The classifications used are: (D) Derivation — follows from existing established results; (CM) Conjecture Motivated — plausible extension of known structures with identifiable technical anchor; (AS) Analogy Suggestive — structural similarity without technical derivation; (SF) Speculative Free — heuristic proposal without current technical support. The reader is strongly encouraged to treat SF sections as programme proposals rather than results.

1. Introduction

Three of the most ambitious programmes in contemporary theoretical physics — Conformal Cyclic Cosmology, holographic duality in de Sitter spacetime, and the fuzzball description of black hole microstates — share a structural feature that has received insufficient systematic attention: each assigns fundamental physical significance to conformal boundaries, and each treats the interior geometry as secondary to, or derived from, boundary data.

In CCC [Penrose 2006, 2010], the remote future of one cosmological aeon is conformally rescaled to become the Big Bang of the next. The conformal boundary carries sufficient information to seed the subsequent geometry. In DS/CFT [Strominger 2001, Witten 2001], the asymptotic future boundary of de Sitter spacetime is conjectured to be the locus of a dual conformal field theory, encoding the bulk physics holographically. In the fuzzball programme [Mathur 2005, Skenderis-Warner 2008], the interior of a black hole is replaced by a horizonless stringy microstate geometry, with information residing on the would-be horizon surface rather than falling into a singularity.

The structural parallel is not accidental. All three programmes are responses to the same deep question in quantum gravity: how is information preserved when classical geometry breaks down? CCC addresses it at cosmological scales, DS/CFT through holographic duality, and the fuzzball programme at the level of individual black hole microstates. That they should converge on boundary data as the answer is, we believe, more than coincidence.

The present paper explores whether these three structures can be placed within a single speculative framework. We emphasise from the outset that this is not a technical unification — we do not derive one programme from another, nor resolve the significant open problems within each individually. Our aim is more modest and more honest: to articulate a set of conjectures whose internal consistency is non-trivial, to classify each conjecture explicitly, and to identify the mathematical anchors that a rigorous treatment would require. In the language of philosophy of science, we are proposing a research programme in Lakatos's sense — a hard core of conjectures surrounded by a protective belt of open problems, with a clearly stated positive heuristic for future investigation.

The organisation is as follows. Section 2 reviews the relevant structures in each programme. Section 3 presents the central conjectures with extended argumentation. Section 4 addresses the dimensional structure of the framework at length. Section 5 discusses inflation as entanglement initialisation with contact to existing literature. Section 6 examines CMB anomalies as potential observational signatures. Section 7 provides a detailed assessment of open problems and falsification directions. Section 8 concludes.

2. Background: Three Boundary-Centric Programmes

2.1 Conformal Cyclic Cosmology

Penrose's CCC posits that the universe undergoes an infinite sequence of aeons, each beginning with a Big Bang and ending in an accelerated de Sitter-like expansion that drives all massive degrees of freedom to zero rest mass. In this conformally invariant limit, the future timelike infinity I^+ of one aeon is identified, via a conformal rescaling, with the spacelike past infinity I^- of the next. The conformal factor diverges at the crossing but the conformal structure — angles, causal relations — is preserved.

The key physical claim is that information is not lost between aeons but encoded in the conformal geometry of the transition surface, seeding the subsequent geometry. Gravitational wave signals from the previous aeon should imprint as concentric rings of anomalous temperature variance in the CMB — a prediction that has generated both claimed detections [Gurzadyan-Penrose 2010] and contested statistical rebuttals. The mathematical machinery of CCC relies on the conformal invariance of massless fields, which become the only carriers of information across the crossing once all massive particles have decayed or been diluted beyond detectability.

A crucial unresolved question in CCC is the physical mechanism by which information is encoded in the conformal structure of the transition surface and subsequently decoded into the initial conditions of the next aeon. Penrose identifies the conformal geometry as the carrier but does not derive the encoding-decoding map from first principles. This gap is directly relevant to the conjectures of Section 3.

2.2 The de Sitter / CFT Correspondence

Motivated by the success of AdS/CFT [Maldacena 1997], Strominger and Witten independently proposed that quantum gravity in a de Sitter spacetime might be dual to a Euclidean CFT living on the future conformal boundary. The intuition is that the asymptotic symmetry group of de Sitter space — the de Sitter group SO(d,1) — acts on the future boundary as the Euclidean conformal group of dimension d-1, suggesting a holographic dual in the spirit of AdS/CFT.

Unlike AdS/CFT, where the boundary is timelike and the duality is relatively well-controlled, DS/CFT faces structural obstacles. The de Sitter cosmological horizon introduces thermodynamic features — a temperature proportional to the Hubble constant, an entropy proportional to the horizon area — that sit uneasily with a global holographic dual. The CFT dual, if it exists, lives on a Euclidean spacelike boundary rather than a Lorentzian timelike one, complicating the interpretation of causality and unitarity.

Recent work on static patch holography [Chandrasekaran et al. 2023] and on the algebra of observables in de Sitter space has renewed interest in the programme. The proposal that the Hilbert space of de Sitter quantum gravity factorises across the horizon in a way analogous to the thermofield double construction in AdS/CFT offers a potential route to a unitary formulation. However, a precise DS/CFT dictionary comparable to the AdS case remains unavailable, and this incompleteness is directly relevant to — and not assumed away by — the conjectures of the present paper.

2.3 Fuzzballs and Horizon Microstates

In the fuzzball programme, individual black hole microstates are described as horizonless, singularity-free geometries in string theory — involving non-trivial flux compactifications, brane distributions, and stringy matter configurations that occupy the volume of the would-be interior. The classical Schwarzschild or Kerr geometry is then a coarse-grained average over an exponentially large ensemble of such microstates, with entropy counting the number of distinct microstate geometries consistent with the macroscopic charges. Information is preserved because it resides in the detailed structure of the fuzzball geometry, not in a Hawking radiation process from a smooth horizon.

The fuzzball programme has achieved its clearest successes for extremal and near-extremal black holes in five and six dimensions with high supersymmetry, where the microstate geometry count can be compared precisely with the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. For non-extremal black holes — and especially for four-dimensional Schwarzschild — the programme faces significant technical obstacles, and a complete fuzzball description is not available.

Crucially for the present paper, fuzzball solutions are constructed in asymptotically flat or AdS backgrounds. No fuzzball solutions in asymptotically de Sitter spacetime are known. The use of fuzzball structures in the cosmological context we propose is therefore explicitly extrapolatory, and we return to this gap in Section 7.

3. The Central Conjectures

We now state the conjectures that form the core of this framework, each with extended argumentation and explicit epistemic classification. The order proceeds from most to least technically defensible.

3.1 ER=EPR as Conformal Invariant [CM]

We begin with the most technically defensible conjecture rather than the most conceptually striking, because it constitutes the load-bearing element of the entire framework. The ER=EPR proposal of Maldacena and Susskind [2013] identifies Einstein-Rosen wormholes — non-traversable geometric connections between spacetime regions — with entangled EPR pairs: geometric connectivity and quantum entanglement are, on this proposal, the same structure described at different scales. A maximally entangled pair of particles is, in the geometric description, connected by a Planck-scale wormhole. A large classical Einstein-Rosen bridge is, in the quantum description, an entangled state of two boundary CFTs (the thermofield double).

[CM] We conjecture that the entanglement structure of the quantum vacuum — and by ER=EPR, the micro-wormhole network it corresponds to — is invariant under the conformal rescaling that CCC applies at aeon transitions. The argument for this conjecture proceeds as follows.

Conformal transformations preserve angles, causal structure, and — crucially — the ultraviolet behaviour of quantum field theories. The entanglement structure of the vacuum is encoded in the two-point function of the quantum fields, which transforms in a well-defined way under conformal rescaling: it acquires a factor determined by the conformal weights of the fields but its qualitative structure — which degrees of freedom are entangled with which — is preserved. For massless conformally coupled fields, the two-point function is exactly conformally invariant.

By ER=EPR, the entanglement structure of the vacuum is dual to a micro-wormhole network. If the entanglement structure is conformally invariant — as it is for massless conformally coupled fields — then the micro-wormhole network is conformally invariant. It survives the CCC transition intact.

This is the mechanism for information transfer across aeon boundaries that CCC requires but does not provide. The conformal geometry of the transition surface carries information not as classical field configurations but as the entanglement structure of the quantum vacuum — encoded in the micro-wormhole network and preserved by conformal invariance.

The precise technical question raised by this conjecture is: is the two-point entanglement structure of the Bunch-Davies vacuum in de Sitter space conformally invariant under the specific conformal rescaling of CCC? This is in principle computable within existing frameworks of quantum field theory in curved spacetime and constitutes the primary technical anchor of the present paper. A negative answer would falsify the conjecture and with it the information-transfer mechanism we propose.

3.2 DS/CFT as the Physical Mechanism of CCC Transitions [AS]

[AS] We conjecture that the conformal transition surface of CCC is not merely a mathematical rescaling but a physical DS/CFT boundary: the CFT that lives on the future conformal boundary of one aeon is the initial state of the subsequent aeon's bulk geometry. The conformal rescaling of CCC becomes, in this reading, a change of holographic description — from bulk geometry to boundary CFT data, and back to bulk geometry in the new aeon.

The structural parallel motivating this conjecture is precise. Both CCC and DS/CFT: (i) assign physical significance to the same geometric object — the future conformal boundary of a de Sitter spacetime; (ii) treat this boundary as carrying sufficient data to reconstruct the subsequent or dual geometry; (iii) rely on conformal invariance as the key symmetry that makes the boundary data meaningful; (iv) face the same open problem — specifying the physical content of the boundary data in terms that connect to the bulk dynamics.

We are not asserting that DS/CFT and CCC are the same theory. We are asserting that the gap in each programme — the unspecified encoding-decoding map in CCC, the unspecified CFT dual in DS/CFT — may be the same gap. Closing it in either context would likely close it in both. This is a non-trivial claim about the structure of two open problems, not a derivation.

The primary obstacle to this identification is that DS/CFT has not been formulated unitarily. If the boundary CFT is not a well-defined unitary theory, it cannot serve as the physical carrier of information from one aeon to the next in the way CCC requires. Conjecture 3.1 — the ER=EPR mechanism — provides a partial answer: the information may be carried not by the CFT degrees of freedom themselves but by the entanglement structure of the vacuum, which survives the conformal crossing independently of the CFT's unitarity.

3.3 Conformal Boundary States as Fuzzball Microstates [SF]

[SF] We conjecture that the DS/CFT boundary state associated with each aeon corresponds, within an M-theoretic eleven-dimensional embedding, to a fuzzball microstate. The CFT data on the conformal boundary is identified with the detailed stringy structure of a fuzzball — information-rich, horizonless, and maximally entropic in the Bekenstein-Hawking sense.

The motivation for this conjecture is structural. A fuzzball is precisely a horizonless geometry that encodes, in its stringy structure, all the information of the corresponding black hole macrostate. A DS/CFT boundary state is, conjecturally, a CFT configuration that encodes all the information of the corresponding de Sitter bulk. Both objects are maximally informative boundary states, both replace a classical singularity (the black hole singularity, the Big Bang singularity) with a smooth extended structure, and both are defined holographically — their physical content is on a surface, not in a bulk.

The conjecture is weakened by two facts we do not minimise. First, fuzzball solutions are not known in de Sitter settings — their construction relies on asymptotic flatness or AdS boundary conditions, and de Sitter asymptotics introduce qualitatively different constraints. Second, the CFT of DS/CFT is Euclidean, while the CFT dual of an AdS black hole (in which fuzzball microstates are best understood) is Lorentzian. Whether a Euclidean fuzzball-like object can be defined consistently remains an open question.

The conjecture is nonetheless worth stating because it identifies a precise research direction: the construction of fuzzball-like microstate geometries in asymptotically de Sitter spacetime. If such geometries exist and their counting reproduces the Gibbons-Hawking entropy of de Sitter space, the conjecture would receive strong support. If they cannot be constructed, the conjecture fails.

3.4 De Sitter Bulk as Transient Geometric Bridge [AS]

[AS] If the two conformal boundaries of a de Sitter spacetime — past and future — correspond to fuzzball states, then the de Sitter bulk geometry between them is a transient structure: the geometric realisation of entanglement between two boundary fuzzball states.

This is the de Sitter analogue of Van Raamsdonk's observation [2010] that spacetime connectivity in AdS/CFT is equivalent to boundary entanglement: removing entanglement between two copies of the boundary CFT causes the corresponding bulk geometry to disconnect. In the de Sitter case, the two boundaries are temporal rather than spatial, and the bulk geometry between them is the cosmological spacetime of an aeon.

In this picture the geometry of our universe is not fundamental but emergent — the bridge that appears when two maximally entangled fuzzball states are connected by ER=EPR correlations and projected onto a four-dimensional effective description. The geometry is real in the same sense that temperature is real: it is a genuine feature of the physical situation, but one that emerges from a more microscopic description in which it does not appear as a primitive concept.

Van Raamsdonk's argument is rigorously established in AdS/CFT. Its extension to de Sitter remains conjectural, and the precise mapping between boundary entanglement and bulk geometry in de Sitter is not known. This conjecture therefore depends on the resolution of open problems both in DS/CFT and in the fuzzball programme, and should be read as a long-range target rather than a near-term claim.

4. Dimensional Structure: Projection versus Compactification

The dimensional structure of the proposed framework raises a question that standard string phenomenology answers one way and our framework answers differently. In standard string compactification, seven of eleven dimensions are rolled up to Planck-scale radii — physically present in the bulk but inaccessible at low energies. The effective four-dimensional physics is determined by the geometry of the compact manifold, typically a Calabi-Yau threefold for N=2 supersymmetry in four dimensions. The landscape of string vacua — estimated at 10^{500} or more — corresponds to the space of distinct compactification geometries, each yielding different effective physics.

We propose that this picture, while correct as an effective description of the bulk geometry of the de Sitter bridge, misidentifies the fundamental structure. The fuzzball boundary states are not four-dimensional objects with seven small extra dimensions. They are eleven-dimensional objects in which all dimensions are on equal footing. The apparent four-dimensionality of the bridge is not a property of the fuzzball states but of the projection.

4.1 The Distinction Between Compactification and Projection

The distinction we are drawing is subtle but physically significant, and it is worth making precise.

In compactification, the extra dimensions exist as geometric directions in the bulk spacetime. An observer with access to sufficiently high energies — above the compactification scale — can in principle excite Kaluza-Klein modes and detect the extra dimensions. They are physically present; they are merely small. The bulk observer lives in a spacetime that genuinely has, say, ten or eleven dimensions, with seven of them compact.

In projection, the extra dimensions are not accessible from within the projected geometry by any means — not because they are small, but because the projected geometry does not include them. The analogy is geometric: a two-dimensional shadow of a three-dimensional object is not a three-dimensional object with one very thin dimension. It is a two-dimensional object, full stop. The third dimension is not present in the shadow; it is present only in the object that casts the shadow.

In the present framework, the four-dimensional de Sitter bridge is the shadow. The eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair is the object. An observer confined to the bridge — any observer, regardless of energy — cannot access the seven dimensions that are not projected. They are not hidden in Kaluza-Klein towers. They do not contribute to the effective Lagrangian at any energy. They are simply absent from the projected description.

[SF] This has a consequence for the landscape. In standard compactification, the 10^{500} vacua of the string landscape correspond to 10^{500} distinct geometries of the compact manifold, each physically realised in a different bubble universe. In the projection framework, the landscape is not a catalogue of distinct physical realities. It is a catalogue of distinct projections of the same eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair onto a four-dimensional bridge. Different projections yield different effective physics — different values of the coupling constants, different particle spectra, different cosmological constants — but they are all shadows of the same underlying object.

This reframing has a significant implication for the measure problem in cosmology. The landscape measure problem asks: given 10^{500} possible vacua, why do we find ourselves in one with the observed values of the physical constants? In the standard picture this requires an anthropic selection argument or a dynamical mechanism for vacuum selection. In the projection picture, the question changes: why does our aeon correspond to this particular projection? This is not obviously easier to answer, but it is a different question —

one that may be more tractable within a single consistent framework than across an ensemble of disconnected universes.

4.2 The Calabi-Yau as Projection Geometry [SF]

[SF] In the standard picture, the Calabi-Yau manifold is the geometry of the compact dimensions — a fixed (or slowly evolving) background structure that determines the effective physics. In the projection framework, the Calabi-Yau is the geometry of the projection itself — the mathematical specification of how the eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair is cut to produce a four-dimensional bridge.

This distinction matters because in the standard picture, the Calabi-Yau is a property of the bulk geometry and in principle detectable (via Kaluza-Klein modes). In the projection picture, the Calabi-Yau is a property of the fuzzball-to-bridge map and not detectable from within the bridge by any experiment. It can only be inferred from the effective physics it produces — and different Calabi-Yau projections producing the same effective physics would be physically indistinguishable.

A further conjecture follows: the Calabi-Yau geometry of the projection is not fixed between aeons. Each CCC transition selects a new projection, corresponding to a new Calabi-Yau. The physical constants of the new aeon are determined by this selection. The CCC transition is therefore not merely a change of conformal scale — it is a change of projection geometry, and with it a potential change of the effective laws of physics.

4.3 The Arrow of Time as Projection Artifact [SF]

[SF] The most radical consequence of the projection picture concerns the arrow of time. In the eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair, there is no preferred temporal direction. The two fuzzball states — identified in Section 3 as the past and future conformal boundaries of the de Sitter bridge — are, in the eleven-dimensional description, not distinguishable as 'earlier' and 'later'. They are two aspects of a single eleven-dimensional object, related by a symmetry of the underlying M-theoretic structure.

The arrow of time — the past-to-future asymmetry that we experience as the direction of increasing entropy — emerges in the four-dimensional projection. It is a property of the projection geometry, not of the fuzzball pair. The projection selects a direction — it cuts the eleven-dimensional object in a way that introduces a preferred orientation in the temporal direction of the bridge — and this selection is the origin of the arrow of time.

This is consistent with a well-known feature of fundamental physics: the CPT theorem guarantees that the fundamental interactions are invariant under the combined operation of charge conjugation, parity, and time reversal. Time reversal alone is not a symmetry — but it is broken at the effective level, not the fundamental level. The projection framework provides a geometric account of this breaking: the fundamental object (the fuzzball pair) is time-symmetric; the projection that produces the bridge is not.

5. Inflation as Entanglement Initialisation

The inflationary epoch is among the best-supported frameworks in observational cosmology, yet the identity of the inflaton field — the scalar field whose potential energy drives the quasi-exponential expansion — remains unknown. No inflaton candidate has been identified within the Standard Model of particle physics, and the theoretical landscape of inflaton models is vast. The present section proposes a reinterpretation of inflation within the framework of Section 3 that, if correct, would explain the inflaton's identity as an emergent description of a more fundamental process.

5.1 The Initialisation Problem

Standard inflationary cosmology solves the horizon problem: the thermal equilibrium of regions of the CMB sky that, in a non-inflationary cosmology, would never have been in causal contact. Inflation achieves this by positing that all currently observable regions were once in causal contact, before being driven exponentially far apart by the inflationary expansion.

Within the present framework, the horizon problem takes on a deeper character. The de Sitter bridge requires not merely thermal equilibrium but globally consistent quantum correlations — entanglement — across all its degrees of freedom at initialisation. This is, in the language of quantum information theory, a state preparation problem: the bridge geometry cannot be coherent unless its constituent degrees of freedom are entangled in a globally consistent pattern from the outset, inherited from the fuzzball boundary state via the ER=EPR mechanism of Section 3.1.

The complexity of this state preparation is not trivial. Establishing globally consistent entanglement across N degrees of freedom requires, at minimum, O(N log N) operations in any model of quantum computation [Lloyd 2002]. For the degrees of freedom of the nascent universe — even restricting to the Planck volume at the Planck time — N is enormous. The preparation complexity is correspondingly large.

5.2 Contact with Existing Literature

The connection between gravity and quantum information processing has been explored in several existing frameworks, to which we relate our conjecture.

Lloyd [2002] estimated the computational capacity of the universe — the maximum number of elementary quantum operations performable in the observable universe since the Big Bang — at approximately 10^{120} operations. This bound arises from the Margolus-Levitin theorem, which limits the speed of quantum computation by the available energy. Lloyd's work establishes that the universe can be viewed as a quantum computer, but does not connect this to the inflationary epoch specifically.

Verlinde [2011] proposed that gravity itself is an entropic force — an emergent phenomenon arising from the statistical tendency of a system with many degrees of freedom to increase its entropy. On this view, the gravitational field is not fundamental but is an effective description of the information content of spacetime. Verlinde's programme, though controversial, establishes a precedent for deriving gravitational dynamics from information-theoretic principles.

Swingle [2012] and subsequent work on tensor network representations of AdS/CFT have established that the geometry of a spatial slice in AdS can be reconstructed from the entanglement structure of the boundary CFT. The MERA (Multiscale Entanglement Renormalisation Ansatz) tensor network reproduces the causal structure of AdS, suggesting a deep connection between renormalisation group flow and spatial geometry. This work provides a concrete model for how geometry emerges from entanglement, though again in the AdS context rather than de Sitter.

More directly relevant is the work of Nomura [2011] and of Harlow-Hayden [2013] on the quantum computational complexity of reconstructing bulk operators from boundary data in AdS/CFT. These results establish that decoding the bulk geometry from boundary entanglement is computationally hard — exponentially hard in the black hole case. This hardness is not incidental but structural: it reflects the fundamental difficulty of inverting the holographic encoding.

5.3 The Inflationary Conjecture [SF]

[SF] Against this background, we conjecture that the inflationary epoch is the geometric manifestation of the entanglement initialisation process — the spacetime response to the computational complexity of establishing globally consistent ER=EPR correlations across the nascent de Sitter bridge.

In this picture, the quasi-exponential expansion of inflation is not driven by the potential energy of an independent scalar field. It is the geometric signature of a process in which the bridge is being 'written' from the fuzzball boundary data: each Planck-time step of the inflationary epoch corresponds to the initialisation of a new set of degrees of freedom, whose entanglement with previously initialised degrees of freedom generates the spatial extent of the bridge at that time.

The inflaton field, in this interpretation, is not a fundamental scalar but an effective description of the entanglement density gradient across the initialising bridge. Its potential is the rate at which new degrees of freedom are being entangled as the bridge expands. Inflation ends — the slow-roll conditions fail — when the initialisation is complete: when all degrees of freedom of the bridge are globally entangled in a consistent pattern, and the bridge can evolve unitarily under its own dynamics without further input from the fuzzball boundary state.

The reheating epoch that follows inflation, in which the energy of the inflaton is transferred to Standard Model particles, would correspond to the thermalisation of the initialised degrees of freedom — the bridge settling into a thermal state consistent with its newly established entanglement structure.

We acknowledge that this conjecture goes significantly beyond anything established in the literature cited above. The connection to Lloyd's computational capacity bound and Verlinde's entropic gravity is motivational rather than derivational. A genuine derivation would require: (a) a model of the fuzzball-to-bridge initialisation process in M-theory; (b) a computation of the computational complexity of that process; (c) a demonstration that this complexity translates, via some version of Verlinde's entropic gravity or its generalisation, into an inflationary expansion with the observed properties. None of these steps has been taken here, and we do not claim otherwise.

6. CMB Anomalies as Potential Observational Signatures [SF]

The CMB temperature anisotropy spectrum is among the most precisely measured quantities in observational cosmology, and the standard Lambda-CDM model supplemented by single-field slow-roll inflation accounts for its features with remarkable accuracy. Several anomalies, however, persist in the data across multiple analyses and data releases of the Planck satellite.

The anomalously low power of the quadrupole ($l=2$) multipole — the large-angle temperature correlations — has been present since the COBE observations and confirmed by WMAP and Planck. The hemispherical power asymmetry — a statistically significant difference in power between the two hemispheres of the CMB sky — has a probability of arising by chance in standard Lambda-CDM of approximately 0.3%. The cold spot in the southern Galactic hemisphere — an anomalously cold region of about 10 degrees angular extent — has been associated with a large supervoid but remains not fully explained.

[SF] Within the present framework, these anomalies suggest a coherent interpretation. If the inflationary epoch encodes the entanglement initialisation of the de Sitter bridge from an eleven-dimensional fuzzball geometry, the initialisation process is not isotropic in the four-dimensional sense: it reflects the geometry of the projection, which maps an eleven-dimensional object onto a four-dimensional bridge. This mapping generically introduces preferred directions — the directions determined by the Calabi-Yau projection geometry.

Preferred directions in the projection geometry would manifest as large-angle anomalies in the CMB: the breaking of statistical isotropy at angular scales corresponding to the size of the horizon at the end of inflation.

The quadrupole suppression, the hemispherical asymmetry, and the cold spot are all large-angle features — precisely the scales at which projection geometry effects would be most visible, since small-angle features are washed out by the subsequent evolution of the universe.

We emphasise that this is a qualitative consistency observation, not a quantitative prediction. We do not derive the expected anomaly spectrum from the fuzzball projection geometry, and the observation that the anomalies are large-angle is necessary but not sufficient to support the conjecture. A genuine prediction would require specifying the Calabi-Yau geometry of the projection, computing the corresponding entanglement initialisation pattern, and deriving from it the expected CMB power spectrum including the anomalies. This programme, if pursued, would constitute a falsifiable test of the framework.

7. Open Problems and Falsification Directions

A speculative framework that cannot be falsified is not physics but metaphysics. We take seriously the obligation to identify the conditions under which the present framework would fail. The problems listed here are not incidental difficulties — they are the core obstacles that any serious engagement with these ideas must confront.

7.1 The DS/CFT Unitarity Problem

The most fundamental obstacle is that DS/CFT does not have a known unitary formulation. In AdS/CFT, the boundary CFT is a well-defined unitary quantum field theory, and unitarity of the bulk is a consequence. In DS/CFT, the situation is reversed: the bulk de Sitter space has a cosmological horizon with associated thermodynamics — a temperature $T = H/2\pi$ and an entropy $S = \pi R^2/G$ — that introduces observer-dependence into the description at a fundamental level. Different static patch observers have access to different degrees of freedom, separated by horizons. A global holographic dual must either be observer-independent (which conflicts with the observer-dependent thermodynamics) or observer-dependent (which makes the global holographic interpretation obscure).

Recent proposals involving the algebra of observables in de Sitter space [Chandrasekaran et al. 2023] suggest that the Hilbert space of the static patch may be well-defined and finite-dimensional, with dimension $\exp(S) = \exp(\pi R^2/G)$. This would provide a concrete Hilbert space for the holographic dual. However, the connection between the static patch Hilbert space and the future boundary CFT of DS/CFT has not been established, and the global structure required by the CCC identification of Section 3.2 goes beyond what the static patch description provides.

Falsification condition: if DS/CFT is shown to be fundamentally incompatible with unitarity — not merely technically incomplete but structurally inconsistent — then Conjecture 3.2 fails, and with it the physical interpretation of the CCC transition surface as a holographic boundary.

7.2 Fuzzball Solutions in de Sitter Settings

Conjecture 3.3 requires that fuzzball-like microstate geometries exist in asymptotically de Sitter spacetime, and that their counting reproduces the Gibbons-Hawking entropy of de Sitter space. This is a concrete technical requirement that is not currently satisfied.

The obstruction is not merely technical. Fuzzball solutions in flat space and AdS are constructed using the attractor mechanism for extremal black holes and the supersymmetric index for counting microstates. De Sitter space breaks supersymmetry — there are no supersymmetric de Sitter vacua in string theory, and the KKLT and related constructions of metastable de Sitter vacua are both technically complex and subject to ongoing debate. Constructing fuzzball geometries in a non-supersymmetric de Sitter background is therefore significantly harder than in the supersymmetric cases where the programme has succeeded.

Falsification condition: if it can be shown on general grounds that horizonless microstate geometries cannot be constructed in asymptotically de Sitter spacetime — for example, because de Sitter space does not admit the topological configurations required for non-trivial flux compactifications — then Conjecture 3.3 fails.

7.3 Conformal Invariance of the ER=EPR Structure

We have argued in Section 3.1 that the entanglement structure of the quantum vacuum is conformally invariant for massless conformally coupled fields, and that by ER=EPR this implies the conformal invariance of the micro-wormhole network. This argument has a gap.

The CCC conformal rescaling is not a standard conformal transformation of a quantum field theory on a fixed background. It is a rescaling of the spacetime metric itself, which changes the background on which the fields propagate. The behaviour of the quantum vacuum under such a rescaling is governed by the conformal anomaly — the failure of the stress-energy tensor to be exactly conformally invariant at the quantum level, proportional to the Weyl tensor squared in four dimensions.

For the ER=EPR structure to survive the CCC transition, the conformal anomaly must not disrupt the entanglement structure of the vacuum. This is a non-trivial condition. In two-dimensional conformal field theory, the conformal anomaly is controlled by the central charge and its effects are well-understood. In four dimensions, the situation is more complex, and the behaviour of the vacuum entanglement under the specific conformal rescaling of CCC has not been computed.

This is the most tractable open problem in the framework, and we regard it as the first technical question any rigorous engagement with these ideas must address. The computation is well-defined within quantum field theory in curved spacetime and does not require new physics beyond what is already available.

Falsification condition: if the conformal anomaly is shown to disrupt the vacuum entanglement structure under the CCC rescaling — specifically, if the two-point entanglement function of the Bunch-Davies vacuum is not conformally invariant under the relevant rescaling — then Conjecture 3.1 fails, the information-transfer mechanism collapses, and the framework loses its most technically defensible component.

7.4 The Projection Mechanism

Section 4 distinguishes projection from compactification and argues that the four-dimensional effective physics of the de Sitter bridge arises from a projection of the eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair rather than from a compactification of seven dimensions. This distinction is conceptually important but, in the present paper, mathematically undefined.

What is a projection of an eleven-dimensional geometry onto a four-dimensional bridge in M-theory? Standard dimensional reduction — Kaluza-Klein, compactification, or consistent truncation — provides well-defined procedures for obtaining a lower-dimensional effective theory from a higher-dimensional one. All of these are forms of compactification: they retain the compact dimensions as physical structures in the bulk, accessible in principle at high energies.

The projection we have in mind is different: the extra dimensions are absent from the four-dimensional description entirely, not merely small. The closest mathematical analogue we can identify is the concept of a conditional expectation in operator algebra — the map from a full algebra of observables to a subalgebra corresponding to a subsystem. In quantum gravity, such maps appear in the context of holographic encoding and in the island formula for black hole entropy. Whether they can be applied to the fuzzball-to-bridge transition in the way the framework requires is an open question.

Falsification condition: if no mathematically consistent definition of the projection map can be given within M-theory or its low-energy supergravity approximation — specifically, if any map from the eleven-dimensional fuzzball geometry to a four-dimensional bridge necessarily retains the extra dimensions as physical structures — then the distinction between projection and compactification collapses, and the dimensional claims of Section 4 reduce to a restatement of standard compactification in different language.

7.5 Quantitative Observational Predictions

The framework makes no quantitative predictions in its current form. This is its most significant weakness as a physical theory. A framework that can accommodate any observation — because it specifies no quantitative relationship between its parameters and observable quantities — is not falsifiable and therefore not scientific in the strict sense.

Three directions toward quantitative predictions can be identified. First, the CMB anomaly prediction of Section 6 could be made quantitative if the Calabi-Yau projection geometry were specified: the geometry determines the preferred directions introduced in the entanglement initialisation, and these determine the expected departure from isotropy in the CMB power spectrum at large angles. Second, the inflationary prediction of Section 5 could be made quantitative if the computational complexity of the fuzzball-to-bridge initialisation were computed: this complexity determines the duration and energy scale of inflation. Third, the CCC transition prediction of Section 3.1 could be made quantitative if the conformal invariance of the vacuum entanglement were computed: the degree to which the ER=EPR structure is preserved determines the information content of the transition surface and hence the amplitude of CCC signatures in the CMB.

All three directions require technical work that is beyond the scope of the present paper. We state them explicitly to make clear that the framework is not permanently unfalsifiable — it is currently underdeveloped. The distinction matters.

8. Conclusion

We have presented a speculative framework connecting Conformal Cyclic Cosmology, the de Sitter/CFT correspondence, and fuzzball microstates within an M-theoretic eleven-dimensional embedding. The central image is of a de Sitter spacetime as a transient geometric bridge between two fuzzball boundary states, with the ER=EPR entanglement structure as the conformally invariant information carrier across aeon transitions, and with the four-dimensional geometry of the bridge arising from a projection of the eleven-dimensional fuzzball pair rather than from compactification.

We have been explicit about what this framework is and is not. It is not a derivation, not a unification, and not a quantitative prediction. It is a map of potential connections between three existing programmes, each with significant internal open problems, and a research programme identifying where technical work is needed. The value of such a map depends entirely on the precision with which it locates the open problems — and we hope that Section 7 provides at least that.

The most technically grounded conjecture — the conformal invariance of the ER=EPR vacuum structure under the CCC rescaling — is the load-bearing element of the framework. Its investigation is the natural first step for anyone inclined to take these ideas seriously. A computation showing that the Bunch-Davies vacuum entanglement is conformally invariant under the relevant rescaling would substantially strengthen the framework. A computation showing the opposite would substantially weaken it.

The remaining conjectures — the identification of fuzzball states with DS/CFT boundary data, the interpretation of inflation as entanglement initialisation, the reinterpretation of the landscape as a space of projections, the arrow of time as a projection artifact — are offered in the spirit that has always characterised theoretical physics at its most productive and its most vulnerable: as images that may guide intuition toward problems whose rigorous formulation does not yet exist.

The images are wrong in their details. They may be right in their structure. The only way to find out is to make them precise enough to fail.

References

[1] Chandrasekaran, V., Penington, G., Witten, E. (2023). Large N algebras and generalized entropy. *JHEP* 2023, 9.

[2] Gibbons, G.W., Hawking, S.W. (1977). Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation. *Physical Review D* 15, 2738.

[3] Gurzadyan, V.G., Penrose, R. (2010). Concentric circles in WMAP data may provide evidence of violent pre-Big-Bang activity. *arXiv:1011.3706*.

[4] Harlow, D., Hayden, P. (2013). Quantum computation vs. firewalls. *JHEP* 2013, 085.

[5] Lloyd, S. (2002). Computational capacity of the universe. *Physical Review Letters* 88, 237901.

[6] Maldacena, J. (1997). The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* 38, 1113.

[7] Maldacena, J., Susskind, L. (2013). Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte der Physik* 61, 781–811.

[8] Mathur, S.D. (2005). The fuzzball proposal for black holes: an elementary review. *Fortschritte der Physik* 53, 793–827.

[9] Nomura, Y. (2011). Physical theories, eternal inflation, and the quantum universe. *JHEP* 2011, 063.

[10] Penrose, R. (2006). Before the Big Bang: an outrageous new perspective and its implications for particle physics. *Proceedings of EPAC 2006*, Edinburgh.

[11] Penrose, R. (2010). *Cycles of Time: An Extraordinary New View of the Universe*. Bodley Head, London.

[12] Skenderis, K., Warner, B.C. (2008). Holographic non-perturbative corrections to gauge/string duality and fuzzball geometries. *JHEP* 2008, 004.

[13] Strominger, A. (2001). The dS/CFT correspondence. *JHEP* 2001, 034.

[14] Swingle, B. (2012). Entanglement renormalization and holography. *Physical Review D* 86, 065007.

[15] Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010). Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 42, 2323–2329.

[16] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. *JHEP* 2011, 029.

[17] Witten, E. (2001). Quantum gravity in de Sitter space. *arXiv:hep-th/0106109*.

The author declares no conflicts of interest. No institutional funding was received for this work. The Institute for Emergent Spacetime Research is an independent non-affiliated research organisation. Correspondence: quantumboy2001@proton.me