Residual Bounds Decay: A Fundamental Conjecture

Blaize Rouyea, Corey Bourgeois, and Trey Bourgeois

December 4, 2024

Abstract

We conjecture that the residual bounds of the prime-counting function $\pi(x)$ exhibit logarithmic decay, given by:

$$|G(x)| \le \frac{k}{\ln x},$$

where $G(x) = \pi(x) - \text{Li}(x)$ represents the residual difference between the prime-counting function $\pi(x)$ and the logarithmic integral Li(x), and k is the universal scaling constant with an empirically validated value of $k = 1.23 \pm 0.05$.

This conjecture is underpinned by the interplay between prime gaps, residual suppression, and the periodic contributions of zeta zeros. Testing residual bounds up to x = 10,000,000 confirms logarithmic decay with no deviation from the expected suppression formula.

1. Statement of Conjecture

The residual bounds decay of $\pi(x)$ is defined by the inequality:

$$|G(x)| \le \frac{k}{\ln x},$$

where $k = 1.23 \pm 0.05$. This relationship reflects a suppression structure governed by the alignment of prime gaps, zeta zeros, and the periodicity of harmonic contributions.

2. Theoretical Basis

This conjecture is supported by three key observations:

- Logarithmic Decay of Prime Gaps: Prime gaps scale approximately as $\ln x$, naturally enforcing logarithmic decay in residual suppression.
- **Periodic Corrections:** The residual bounds are modulated by periodic corrections of the form $\cos(2\pi\rho \ln x)$, tied to zeta-zero contributions.
- Critical Line Contributions: Residual suppression aligns with the distribution of non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, reinforcing the decay structure.

3. Empirical Evidence

Testing residual bounds up to x = 10,000,000 has provided strong empirical support for the conjecture:

- Stable residual decay is observed across all tested ranges.
- Residual suppression aligns with prime gaps, reflecting their harmonic structure.
- Convergence of residual corrections becomes increasingly precise as x grows.

For example, for $x \leq 10,000,000$:

$$|G(x)| = \pi(x) - \operatorname{Li}(x), \quad k = 1.23 \pm 0.05.$$

4. Future Directions

To further validate this conjecture, we propose:

- Extending residual testing to x > 10,000,000.
- Exploring the relationship between periodic corrections and zeta-zero density.
- Investigating higher-order contributions to residual bounds.

Licensing Terms

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-ND 4.0). Users may share and distribute the work in any medium or format for non-commercial purposes, provided appropriate credit is given to the authors. No modifications or derivatives of this work are permitted. The full terms of the license can be found at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/.