

SYMMETRY IN FORMAL CALCULATION

PENG JI¹

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	2
2	Properties of $H(g)$	3
3	Symmetric expressions in $H(g)$	4
4	Properties of Extended Numbers	5
5	The formulas for symmetric functions	6
6	$PT=PS$ and its promotion	8
7	Transformation of $SUM(N)$	9

ABSTRACT

Formal Calculation uses an auxiliary form to calculate various nested sums and provides results in three forms. It is also a powerful tool for analysis. This article studies the symmetry of the coefficients in Formal Calculation. Three types of extended numbers were introduced, and many formulas for symmetric functions were obtained.

¹ Department of Electronic Information, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China. mcfroo@sina.com

1 INTRODUCTION

The notion of formal computation was introduced in [1].

Definition 1. The definition of ∇^p is recursive. $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\nabla^0 f(n) = f(n), \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \nabla^1 f(n+1) = f(N), \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(n+1) = \nabla^{-1} f(N), \nabla^1 = \nabla.$$

Definition 2. The definition of $SUM(N) = SUM(N, PS, PT)$ is recursive. $K_i, D_i \in \mathbb{C}; T_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$SUM(N, [K_1 : D_1], [T_1 = 1]) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (K_1 + nD_1).$$

$$SUM(N, [K_1 : D_1, K_2 : D_2], [T_1, T_2 = T_1 + 2 - p]) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (K_2 + nD_2) \nabla^p SUM(n+1, [K_1 : D_1], [T_1]).$$

$$[K_1 : D, K_2 : D \dots K_M : D] = [K_1, K_2 \dots K_M] : D, [K_1, K_2 \dots K_M] : 1 = [K_1, K_2 \dots K_M]$$

Use \mathbb{K} to represent the set $\{K_1 \dots K_M\}$, \mathbb{T} to represent the set $\{T_1 \dots T_M\}$.

Use the auxiliary form: $(K_1 + T_1)(K_2 + T_2) \dots (K_M + T_M) = \sum \prod_{i=1}^M X_i, X_i = T_i \text{ or } K_i$.

Definition 3. $X(T) = \text{Number of } \{X_1, X_2 \dots X_M\} \in \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 4. $X_{T-1} = \text{Number of } \{X_1, X_2 \dots X_{i-1}\} \in \mathbb{T}, X_{K-1} = \text{Number of } \{X_1, X_2 \dots X_{i-1}\} \in \mathbb{K}$.

Obviously: $X_{T-1} + X_{K-1} = i - 1$.

Use the auxiliary form and each X_i cannot be exchanged, [1] draws conclusions:

$SUM(N, PS, PT) =$

$$\text{Form}_1 \rightarrow \sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) \binom{N+T_M-M}{N-1-g} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) \binom{N+T_M-M}{T_M-M+1+g}, B_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T_i - X_{K-1})D_i, X_i = T_i \\ K_i + X_{T-1}D_i, X_i = K_i \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\text{Form}_2 \rightarrow \sum_{g=0}^M H_2(g) \binom{N+T_M-M+g}{N-1} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_2(g) \binom{N+T_M-M+g}{T_M-M+1+g}, B_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T_i - X_{K-1})D_i, X_i = T_i \\ K_i + (X_{K-1} - T_i)D_i, X_i = K_i \end{array} \right\},$$

$$\text{Form}_3 \rightarrow \sum_{g=0}^M H_3(g) \binom{N+T_M-g}{N-1-g} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_3(g) \binom{N+T_M-g}{T_M+1}, B_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -K_i + (T_i - X_{T-1})D_i, X_i = T_i \\ K_i + X_{T-1}D_i, X_i = K_i \end{array} \right\}.$$

$$H_i(g) = H_i(g, PS, PT) = H_i(g, M), \text{ is defined as } \sum_{X(T)=g} \prod_{i=1}^M B_i.$$

For example:

$$SUM(N, PS, [1, 2, 3 \dots M]) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \prod_{i=1}^M (K_i + nD_i).$$

$$SUM(N, PS, [1, 3 \dots 2M-1]) = \sum_{n_M=0}^{N-1} (K_M + n_M D_M) \dots \sum_{n_2=0}^{n_3} (K_2 + n_2 D_2) \sum_{n_1=0}^{n_2} (K_1 + n_1 D_1).$$

$$SUM(N, PS, [1, 2, 4]) = \sum_{n_3=0}^{N-1} (K_3 + n_3 D_3) \sum_{n=0}^{n_3} (K_1 + nD_1)(K_2 + nD_2).$$

$$SUM(N, PS, [1, 3, 4]) = \sum_{n_3=0}^{N-1} (K_3 + n_3 D_3)(K_2 + n_3 D_2) \sum_{n=0}^{n_3} (K_1 + nD_1).$$

$PS = [K_1 : D_1, K_2 : D_2 \dots K_M : D_M], PT = [T_1, T_2 \dots T_M]$. There are recursive relationships:

- $H_1(g, M) = (A_M + gD_M)H_1(g, M-1) + (B_M + (g-1)D_M)H_1(g-1, M-1)$,
 $A_M = K_M, B_M = D_M(T_M - ((i-1) - (g-1))) - (g-1)D_M = T_M D_M - (i-1)D_M.$
- $H_2(g, M) = (A_M - gD_M)H_2(g, M-1) + (B_M + (g-1)D_M)H_2(g-1, M-1)$,
 $A_M = K_M + (i-1-g-T_M)D_M + gD_M = K_M + (i-1-T_M)D_M, B_M = T_M D_M - (i-1)D_M.$
- $H_3(g, M) = (A_M + gD_M)H_3(g, M-1) + (B_M - (g-1)D_M)H_3(g-1, M-1)$,
 $A_M = K_M, B_M = -K_M + (T_M - (g-1))D_M + (g-1)D_M = -K_M + T_M D_M.$

Consider the general two-dimensional second-order linear recursive equations:

$$R(M, g) = (A_M + gD_M)R(M-1, g) + (B_M + (g-1)E_M)R(M-1, g-1).$$

It can also be calculated in a similar way to $H(g)$, which is easier to understand.

$H(g)$ itself requires $|D_i| = |E_i|$ and can't change the sign, so that three forms exist.

Many conclusions have been drawn [1]:

1. $H_1(g) = \sum_{k=g}^M H_2(k) \binom{k}{g} = \sum_{k=0}^g H_3(k) \binom{M-k}{M-g}.$
2. $H_2(g) = \sum_{k=g}^M (-1)^{k+g} H_1(k) \binom{k}{g}. H_3(g) = \sum_{k=0}^g (-1)^{k+g} H_1(k) \binom{M-k}{M-g}.$
3. $\sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) q^g (1-q)^{M-g} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_2(g) (1-q)^{M-g} = \sum_{k=0}^M H_3(g) q^g$

4. $\sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) = \sum_{g=0}^M H_2(g)2^g = \sum_{g=0}^M H_3(g)2^{M-g}$
5. $\sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) \binom{X}{Y-g} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_2(g) \binom{X+g}{Y} = \sum_{g=0}^M H_3(g) \binom{X+M-g}{Y-g}, Y \in \mathbb{N}, X \in \mathbb{C}$.
6. $SUM(N, [L_1, L_2 \dots L_q, PS], [L_1, L_2 \dots L_q, PT]) = \prod_{i=1}^q L_i \times SUM(N, PS, PT)$.

Recurrence relations $\rightarrow 1)$, inversion $\rightarrow 2)$. $1) \rightarrow 3)4)5)$. 5) is the basis of $SUM(N)$.

6) show that T_1 can be great than 1. Regardless of the practical implications, we can make the definition domain of PT extend to \mathbb{C} .

When $D_i \neq 0, K_i + D_i n = D_i (\frac{K_i}{D_i} + 1)$, so only the case $D_i = 1$ needs to be dealt with.

In this paper, if not specified, the default is $D_i = 1$. $PS = [K_1, K_2 \dots K_M], PT = [T_1, T_2 \dots T_M]$.

Definition 5. $F_g^K = \sum_{1 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_g \leq M} K_{\lambda_1} K_{\lambda_2} \dots K_{\lambda_g}, F_0^K = 1, F_g^N = F_g^{\{1, 2, \dots, N\}}$.

Definition 6. $E_g^K = \sum_{1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_g \leq M} K_{\lambda_1} K_{\lambda_2} \dots K_{\lambda_g}, E_0^K = 1, E_g^N = E_g^{\{1, 2, \dots, N\}}$.

$F_M^{N+M-1} = S_1(N+M, N)$, S_1 is unsigned stirling number of the first kind.

$E_M^N = S_2(N+M, N)$, S_2 is stirling number of the second kind.

2 PROPERTIES OF $H(G)$

In the calculation of $H(g)$, $\prod X_i = (\prod X_i, X_i \in \mathbb{T})(\prod X_i, X_i \in \mathbb{K})$.

Definition 7. $H(g, T) = H(g, T, PS, PT) = \prod_{X_i \in \mathbb{T}} B_i, H(g, \sum T) = \sum \prod_{X_i \in \mathbb{T}} B_i$.
Also define $H(g, K), H(g, \sum K)$.

Theorem 2.1.

1. $H_1(g, \sum K) = H_3(g, \sum K) = F_{M-g}^K E_0^g + F_{M-g-1}^K E_1^g + \dots + F_0^K E_{M-g}^g$.
2. $H_1(g, \sum T) = H_2(g, \sum T) = F_g^T E_0^{M-g} - F_{g-1}^T E_1^{M-g} + \dots + (-1)^g F_0^T E_g^{M-g}$.
3. $H_2(g, \sum K) = (-1)^{M-g} (F_{M-g}^S E_0^g + \dots + F_0^S E_{M-g}^g), S = \{T_i - K_i - i + 1\}$.
4. $H_3(g, \sum T) = (-1)^g F_g^S E_0^{M-g} + (-1)^{g-1} F_{g-1}^S E_1^{M-g} \dots + F_0^S E_g^{M-g}, S = \{-(T_i - K_i - i + 1)\}$.
5. $H_1(g, \sum K) = \sum \prod_{i=1}^{M-g} (K_{i+\lambda_1} + \lambda_i), 0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{M-g} \leq g$.
6. $H_1(g, \sum T) = \sum \prod_{i=1}^g (T_{i+\lambda_1} - \lambda_i), 0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_g \leq M-g$.

Proof.

$PS_1 = [PS, K_{M+1}], PT_1 = [PT, T_{M+1}]$. Using induction to prove 2).

$H_1(g, \sum T, PS_1, PT_1) = H_1(g, \sum T) + H_1(g-1, \sum T)(T_{M+1} - (M-g+1))$.

$F_{g-x}^{\{PT_1\}}$ in $H_1(g, \sum T, PS_1, PT_1)$ has three sources.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (-1)^x F_{g-x}^T E_x^{M-g} + (-1)^x F_{(g-1)-x}^T E_x^{M-(g-1)} T_{M+1} \\
 &+ (-1)^{x-1} F_{(g-1)-(x-1)}^T E_{x-1}^{M-(g-1)} (- (M-g+1)) \\
 &= (-1)^x F_{g-x}^T (E_x^{M-g} + E_{x-1}^{M+1-g} (M+1-g)) + (-1)^x F_{g-x-1}^T E_x^{M+1-g} T_{M+1} (*) \\
 &= (-1)^x F_{g-x}^T E_x^{M+1-g} + (-1)^x F_{g-x-1}^T E_x^{M+1-g} T_{M+1} = (-1)^x E_x^{M+1-g} F_{g-x}^{\{PT_1\}} \\
 &E_x^{M-g} + E_{x-1}^{M+1-g} (M+1-g) \\
 &= S_2(M-g+x, M-g) + (M+1-g) S_2(M-g+x, M+1-g) \\
 &= S_2(M-g+x+1, M+1-g) = E_x^{M+1-g} \rightarrow (*).
 \end{aligned}$$

5) and 6) are definitions. □

Theorem 2.2. $PS = [K_i : D_i], D_i \neq 0$,

$H_1(g) = (-1)^{M-g} H_2(g, [-K_i + T_i - (i-1) : D_i], PT) = (-1)^g H_3(g, PS, [\frac{K_i}{D_i} - T_i + i - 1])$.

$H_2(g) = (-1)^{M-g} H_1(g, [-K_i + T_i - (i-1) : D_i], PT), H_3(g) = (-1)^g H_1(g, PS, [\frac{K_i}{D_i} - T_i + i - 1])$.

$H_2(g) = (-1)^M H_3(g, [-T_i : D_i], [\frac{K_i}{D_i} - T_i + i - 1])$.

$H_3(g) = (-1)^M H_2(g, [-K_i + T_i - (i-1) : D_i], [-\frac{K_i}{D_i}])$.

3 SYMMETRIC EXPRESSIONS IN $H(G)$

$PT = [T, T + 1 \dots T + M - 1]$. It can be inferred from the definition of $SUM(N)$ that K_i can exchange orders. $H_1(g) = [T + g - 1]_g H_1(g, \sum K)$. It is clearly a symmetric function of \mathbb{K} , we also reached the same conclusion. $H_2(g), H_3(g)$ are also symmetric functions, and there's no K_i^2 factors.

Definition 8. $F_T(N + M, N) = F_M^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+N+M-1\}}$, $E_T(N + M, N) = E_M^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+N-1\}}$.

Obviously:

- $F_T(o, o) = E_T(o, o) = 1, F_T(1, o) = E_T(1, o) = o, F_T(1, 1) = E_T(1, 1) = 1.$
- $F_o(N + M, N) = S_1(N + M, N), E_1(N + M, N) = S_2(N + M, N).$
- $F_T(M + 1, g + 1) = F_{M-g}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+M\}} = (T + M)F_T(M, g + 1) + F_T(M, g).$
- $E_T(M + 1, g + 1) = E_{M-g}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+g\}} = (T + g)E_T(M, g + 1) + E_T(M, g).$
- $F_T(N + M, N) = SUM(N, [T, T + 1 \dots T + M - 1], [1, 3 \dots 2M - 1]).$
- $E_T(N + M, N) = SUM(N, [T, T \dots T], [1, 3 \dots 2M - 1]).$

Theorem 3.1. $PT = [T \dots T + M - 1]$, $H_2(g, \sum K) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-1)^{M-g-j} F_j^K E_{M-g-j}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+g\}}.$

Proof.

$H_2(o, 1) = K_1 - T, H_2(1, 1) = T.$ It's holds when $M = 1.$

$H_2(g, M) = (K_M - T - g)H_2(g, M - 1) + (T + g - 1)H_2(g - 1, M - 1).$

$H_2(g)$ is a symmetric function $= \sum_{j=0}^M A_M^g(j) F_j^K$

$A_1^o(o) = -T, A_1^1(o) = T.$

$A_M^g(o) = -(T + g)A_{M-1}^g(o) + (T + g - 1)A_{M-1}^{g-1}(o) \rightarrow$

$A_M^g(o) = (-1)^{M-g} [T + g - 1]_g E_T(M + 1, g + 1) = (-1)^{M-g} [T + g - 1]_g E_{M-g}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+g\}}.$

The rest only need to consider terms that multiply with $K_M.$

$A_M^g(j) = A_{M-1}^g(j - 1) = A_{M-1}^g(o) = (-1)^{M-g-j} [T + g - 1]_g E_T(M + 1 - j, g + 1).$

$H_2(g) = [T + g - 1]_g \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-1)^{M-g-j} F_j^K E_{M-g-j}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+g\}}.$

$H_2(g, T) = [T + g - 1]_g \rightarrow$ the conclusion. □

Definition 9. $\langle j \rangle_T = (T - 1 + n - j) \langle j-1 \rangle_T + (j + 1) \langle j \rangle_T, \langle 0 \rangle_T = T, \langle 1 \rangle_T = o, \langle j > n, j < o \rangle_T = o.$

Obviously: $\langle 0 \rangle_1 = T, \langle n \rangle_T = o. n > o, \langle j \rangle_1 = \langle j \rangle$ is Eulerian number.

Definition 10. $\langle M \rangle_T^j = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^i \langle M-j-i \rangle_T \binom{j}{i}, 0 \leq j < g, 0 < g < M.$

Theorem 3.2. $PT = [T, T + 1 \dots T + M - 1]$, $H_3(o) = \prod_{i=1}^M K_i, H_3(M) = \prod_{i=1}^M (T - K_i),$

$H_3(o < g < M) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \langle M \rangle_T^j F_j^K + (-1)^g \binom{M}{g} F_M^K.$

Proof.

The coefficient before F_M^K is obvious, so $H_3(g)$ can be written in that form.

$H_3(o < g < M) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} A_M^g(j) F_j^K + (-1)^g \binom{M}{g} F_M^K.$

$H_3(o, 1) = K_1, H_3(1, 1) = T - K_1 \rightarrow A_1^o(o) = o, A_1^1(o) = T.$

$H_3(g, M) = (K_M + g)H_3(g, M - 1) + (T + M - g - K_M)H_3(g - 1, M - 1) \rightarrow$

$A_M^g(o) = gA_{M-1}^g(o) + (T + M - g)A_{M-1}^{g-1}(o) \rightarrow A_M^g(o) = \langle M \rangle_T^g.$

$A_M^g(j) = A_{M-1}^g(j - 1) - A_{M-1}^{g-1}(j - 1) \rightarrow A_M^g(j) = \langle M \rangle_T^j.$ □

Similarly, $PS = [K, K - 1 \dots K - M + 1]$, then $H(g)$ are symmetric functions of $\mathbb{T}.$

Theorem 3.3. $PS = [K \dots K - M + 1]$, $H_3(g, \sum T) = \sum_{j=0}^g (-1)^{g-j} F_j^T E_{g-j}^{\{K, K-1 \dots K-M+g\}}.$

Theorem 3.4. $PS = [K, K-1 \dots K-M+1]$, $H_2(M) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i$, $H_2(o) = \prod_{i=1}^M (K-T_i)$,
 $H_2(o < g < M) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} -\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}^j F_j^T + (-1)^{M-g} \binom{M}{g} F_M^T$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(o < g < M) &= \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} A_M^g(j) F_j^T + (-1)^{M-g} \binom{M}{g} F_M^T. \\ H_2(o, 1) &= K - T_1, H_2(1, 1) = T_1 \rightarrow A_1^o(o) = K, A_1^1(o) = o. \\ H_2(g, M) &= (K-g-T_M)H_2(g, M-1) + (T_M-M+g)H_2(g-1, M-1) \rightarrow \\ A_M^g(o) &= (K-g)A_{M-1}^g(o) - (M-g)A_{M-1}^{g-1}(o) \rightarrow A_M^g(o) = -\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g-1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}. \\ A_M^g(j) &= -A_{M-1}^g(j-1) + A_{M-1}^{g-1}(j-1) \rightarrow A_M^g(j) = -\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}^j. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 PROPERTIES OF EXTENDED NUMBERS

Theorem 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} (x+T)(x+T+1)\dots(x+T+M-1) &= \sum_{k=0}^M F_T(M, k)x^k. \\ (x+T)^M &= \sum_{k=0}^M E_T(M+1, k+1)[x]_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla SUM(N, [T, T\dots T], [1, 2\dots M]) &= (T+n)^M = \sum_{g=0}^M H_1(g) \binom{n}{g} \\ &= \sum_{g=0}^M g! E_{M-g}^{\{T, T+1, \dots, T+g\}} \binom{n}{g} = \sum_{g=0}^M g! E_T(M+1, g+1) \binom{n}{g} \rightarrow 2). \end{aligned}$$

□

$$x^M = \sum_{k=0}^M S_2(M, k)x^k \text{ because } E_o(M+1, k+1) = S_2(M, k).$$

Theorem 4.2. $E_T(M+1, g+1)$

$$= \frac{1}{g!} \sum_{k=0}^g (-1)^{g+k} \binom{g}{k} (T+k)^M = \sum_{k=0}^{M-g} T^k \binom{M}{k} E_{M-k}^g.$$

Proof.

$$H_1(g, [T, T\dots T], [1, 2\dots M]) = g! E_T(M+1, g+1).$$

Based on Cramer's law, $H_1(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} (-1)^{g+1+k} \binom{T-M+g}{T-M+k-1} \nabla SUM(k)$. [1]

$$E_T(M+1, g+1) = \frac{1}{g!} \sum_{k=1}^{g+1} (-1)^{g+1+k} \binom{g}{k-1} (T+k-1)^M \rightarrow 1), 2.1 \rightarrow 2).$$

□

Theorem 4.3. $\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-1} = (-1)^{j-1} \binom{n-1}{j}$. $\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-2} = (-2)^{j-1} \left(\binom{n-2}{j} + 2^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{j} \right)$.

Proof.

$$1) \text{ is to prove: } \binom{n-1}{j} = -(n-j-2) \binom{n-2}{j-1} + (j+1) \binom{n-2}{j}.$$

$$\text{Right} = -(n-1) \binom{n-2}{j-1} + (j+1) \binom{n-1}{j} = -j \binom{n-1}{j} + (j+1) \binom{n-1}{j} = \text{Left}.$$

Prove 2) in a similar way.

□

By recurrence relation:

Theorem 4.4. $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T = H_3(g, [T, 1\dots 1], [T\dots T+M-1]) = T \times H_3(g, [1\dots 1], [T+1\dots T+M-1])$.

Theorem 4.5. $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T = \frac{1}{(T-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^g (-1)^{g+k} \binom{T+M}{g-k} [T+k]_T (k+1)^{M-1}$
 $= T \times \left(\sum_{k=0}^{M-2} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M-1 \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{T+1}^k \binom{M-1}{k} + (-1)^g \binom{M-1}{g} \right)$, $0 < g < M-1$.

Proof.

Based on Cramer's law, $H_3(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{g+1} (-1)^{g+n+1} \binom{T+M+1}{g+1-n} \nabla SUM(n)$. [1]

$$PS = [1\dots 1], PT = [T+1\dots T+M-1], PS1 = [1, 2\dots T, 1\dots 1], PT1 = [1, 2\dots T, T+1\dots T+M-1].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T &= T \times H_3(g) = \frac{1}{(T-1)!} H_3(g, PS1, PT1). \\ &= \frac{1}{(T-1)!} \sum_{n=1}^{g+1} (-1)^{g+n+1} \binom{T+M}{g+i-n} [T+n-1]_T \times n^{M-1} \rightarrow 1, 3.2 \rightarrow 2). \end{aligned}$$

□

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T = T(T+1)2^{M-1} - T(T+M).$$

Theorem 4.6. $\sum_{g=0}^M (-1)^g g! E_T(M+1, g+1) = (T-1)^M. \sum_{g=0}^M \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T = [T]^M.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(o) &= (T-1)^M = \sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k H_1(k) = \sum_{k=0}^M (-1)^k k! E_{M-k}^{\{T \dots T+k\}} \rightarrow 1). \\ PS &= [1 \dots 1], PT = [T+1 \dots T+M-1], T \times H_1(M) = T \times \sum_{k=0}^M H_3(k) \rightarrow 2). \end{aligned}$$

□

K_1 is a constant, $K_1 K_2 \dots K_M, K_{i+1} - K_i = 1$ or 2 , there are $M-1$ intervals between factors. $K_{i+1} - K_i = 1$ is defined as continuity, $K_{i+1} - K_i = 2$ is defined as discontinuity.

Definition 11. $MIN_g^K(M) = K \sum K_2 \dots K_M, K = K_1, MIN_g^1(M) = MIN_g(M)$, count of discontinuities= g .

Obviously, $0 \leq g \leq M-1$, $MIN_g^K(M)$ have $\binom{M-1}{g}$ items.

$$\begin{aligned} PS &= [T+1, T+2 \dots T+M-1], PT = [T+2, T+4 \dots T+2M-2], T \times H_1(g) = MIN_g^T(M) \\ PS &= [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], PT = [T, T+2 \dots T+2M-2], H_1(g) = MIN_g^T(M) + MIN_{g-1}^T(M) \end{aligned}$$

By definition:

Theorem 4.7. $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{g+1} = M-g, \lambda_i \geq 0,$
 $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T = T \sum 1^{\lambda_1} 2^{\lambda_2} \dots (g+1)^{\lambda_{g+1}} (T+\lambda_1)(T+\lambda_1+\lambda_2) \dots (T+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_g).$
 $PS = [T, T \dots T], PT = [1, 3 \dots 2M-1].$
 $H_1(g) = \sum T^{\lambda_1} (T+1)^{\lambda_2} \dots (T+g)^{\lambda_{g+1}} (1+\lambda_1)(3+\lambda_1+\lambda_2) \dots (2g-1+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_g).$
 $H_2(g) = \text{Changed } MIN_{g-1}^T(M) + MIN_g^T(M). \text{ Select } M-g \text{ from } M \text{ factors, change } i \text{ to } (T-i).$
 $PS = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], PT = [1, 3 \dots 2M-1].$
 $H_1(g) = \text{Changed } MIN_{g-1}^T(M) + MIN_g^T(M). \text{ Select } M-g \text{ from } M \text{ factors, change } i \text{ to } (T+i-1).$
 $H_2(g) = \sum (T-1)^{\lambda_1} (T-2)^{\lambda_2} \dots (T-g-1)^{\lambda_{g+1}} (1+\lambda_1)(3+\lambda_1+\lambda_2) \dots (2g-1+\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_g).$

For example, express the product in terms of ():

$$\begin{aligned} MIN_o(3) + MIN_1(3) &= (123) + (124) + (134), \\ H_2(1) &= (T-1, T-2, 3) + (T-1, 2, T-4) + (1, T-3, T-4). \\ MIN_1(3) + MIN_2(3) &= (124) + (134) + (135), \\ H_1(2) &= (T, 2, 4) + (1, T+2, 3) + (1, 3, T+4). \end{aligned}$$

5 THE FORMULAS FOR SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

If $1 + K_i = K_{i+1}$ and $1 + T_i = T_{i+1}$, $H_1(g) = \binom{M}{g} \prod_{i=1}^g T_i \prod_{i=g+1}^M K_i. 2.1 \rightarrow [1]:$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{\{K, K+1 \dots K+M-1\}} E_{M-g-j}^g &= \prod_{i=g+1}^M (K+i-1) \binom{M}{g}. \\ \sum_{j=0}^g (-1)^j F_{g-j}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+M-1\}} E_j^{M-g} &= \prod_{i=1}^g (T+i-1) \binom{M}{g}. \end{aligned}$$

$$2.1 \rightarrow H_2(g, \sum K) = (-1)^{M-g} \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{T-K_i} E_{M-g-j}^g \rightarrow 3.1 \rightarrow$$

Theorem 5.1. $\sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{T-K_i} E_{M-g-j}^g = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-1)^j F_j^K E_{M-g-j}^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+g\}}.$

$$K_i = T \rightarrow S_2(M, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-T)^j \binom{M}{j} E_T(M+1-j, g+1).$$

$$K_i = T+1 \rightarrow S_2(M, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-1)^j \binom{M}{j} S_2(M+1-j, g+1).$$

$$K_i = -q \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (T+q)^j \binom{M}{j} S_2(M-j, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} q^j \binom{M}{j} E_T(M+1-j, g+1).$$

$$K_i = q, T = 1 \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (1-q)^j \binom{M}{j} S_2(M-j, g) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-q)^j \binom{M}{j} S_2(M+1-j, g+1).$$

$$2.1 \rightarrow PS = [K \dots K - M + 1], H_3(g, \sum T) = \sum_{j=0}^g F_j^{(T_i-K)} E_{g-j}^{M-g}, 3.3 \rightarrow$$

$$\textbf{Theorem 5.2.} \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{(K_i-T)} E_{M-g-j}^g = (-1)^{M-g} \sum_{j=0}^{M-g} (-1)^j F_j^K E_{M-g-j}^{\{T, T-1, \dots, T-g\}}.$$

Compared to 5.1, this is a little different.

$$\textbf{Theorem 5.3.} PS_1 = [0, -1 \dots - (p-1), K_{p+1} \dots K_M], PT_1 = [L_1, L_2 \dots L_p, T_{p+1} \dots T_M],$$

$$SUM(N, PS_1, PT_1) = \prod L_i \times SUM(N-p, [K_{p+1} + p \dots K_M + p], [T_{p+1} \dots T_M]).$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{\{0, -1, \dots, -(p-1), K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}} E_{M-g-j}^g = 0, g < p.$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-g-p} F_j^{\{0, \dots, -(p-1), K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}} E_{M-g-p-j}^{g+p} = \sum_{j=0}^{M-p-g} F_j^{\{K_{p+1} + p \dots K_M + p\}} E_{M-p-g-j}^g, 0 \leq g \leq M-p.$$

Proof.

By the definition of $H_1(g)$, there clearly is:

$$H_1(g+p, PS_1, PT_1) = \prod L_i \times H_1(g, [K_{p+1} + p \dots K_M + p], [T_{p+1} \dots T_M]) \rightarrow SUM(N).$$

Let $PT = [1, 2 \dots M]$, 2.1 \rightarrow the rest. □

Special:

$$H_1(0, \sum K, [K_i + p], PT) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^M (p + K_i) = \sum_{j=0}^M F_j^{\{0, -1, \dots, -(p-1), K_1 \dots K_M\}} E_{M-j}^p.$$

$$p^M = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} (-1)^j F_j^{p-1} E_{M-j}^p, p > 1.$$

From $\prod_{i=1}^M (x + K_i) = \sum_{j=0}^M F_j^K x^{M-j}$, it's easy to get:

$$\sum_{j=0}^M k^{M-j} F_j^M = \prod_{i=1}^M (k + i). \sum_{j=0}^M (-1)^j k^{M-j} F_j^M = 0, M \geq k \geq 1.$$

The extension can be obtained with $H_3(g)$.

$$PS = [0, -1 \dots - (M-1)], PT = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], H_3(g < M) = 0,$$

$$PS_1 = PT_1 = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], H_3(g > 0) = 0 \rightarrow$$

Theorem 5.4.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} (-1)^j \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j F_j^{M-1} = 0, 0 < g < M.$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j F_j^K + (-1)^g \binom{M}{g} F_M^K = 0, 0 < g < M, \mathbb{K} = \{T, T+1 \dots T+M-1\}.$$

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j = \frac{1}{(T-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^g (-1)^{g+k} \binom{T+M}{g-k} [T+k]_T (k+1)^{M-1-j}, T \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof.

Proof of the third equation. From $\sum_{j=0}^M (-1)^j k^{M-j} F_j^M = 0$ and the first equation,

it can be seen that $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j$ and $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^0 = \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g-1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T$ have the same thing:

$$4.5 \rightarrow \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^0 = a_1 1^x + a_2 2^y + \dots \rightarrow \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j = a_1 1^{x-j} + a_2 2^{y-j} + \dots \rightarrow \text{the expression.}$$

The same conclusion can be obtained by combining definition of $\left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j$ and 4.5. □

$$PS = [T, T+1 \dots T+p-1, K_{p+1} \dots K_M], PT = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1],$$

$$H_3(g) = \prod_{i=1}^p (T+i-1) H_3(g, [K_{p+1} \dots K_M], [T+p \dots T+M-1]) \rightarrow$$

Theorem 5.5. $\mathbb{K} = \{T, T+1 \dots T+p-1, K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}, \mathbb{K}_1 = \{K_{p+1} \dots K_M\},$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j F_j^K + (-1)^g \binom{M}{g} F_M^K = 0, M > g > M-p.$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_T^j F_j^K + (-1)^g \binom{M}{g} F_M^K =$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^p (T+i-1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M-p-1} \left\langle \begin{matrix} M-p \\ g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{T+p}^j F_j^{\mathbb{K}_1} + (-1)^g \binom{M-p}{g} F_{M-p}^{\mathbb{K}_1} \right), 0 < g < M-p.$$

$$PS = [K, K-1 \dots K-M+1], PT = [0, 1 \dots M-1], H_2(g > 0) = 0, \\ PS_1 = PT_1 = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], H_2(g < M) = 0 \rightarrow$$

Theorem 5.6.

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} - \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}^j F_j^{M-1} = 0, 0 < g < M. \\ \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} - \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{1-M-T}^j F_j^K + (-1)^{M-g} \binom{M}{g} F_M^K = 0, 0 < g < M, \mathbb{K} = \{T, T+1 \dots T+M-1\}.$$

$$PS = [K, K-1 \dots K-M+1], PT = [K, K-1 \dots K-p+1, T_{p+1} \dots T_M], \\ H_2(g+p) = \prod_{i=1}^p (K-i+1) H_2(g, [K-p \dots K-M+1], [T_{p+1} \dots T_M]) \rightarrow$$

Theorem 5.7. $\mathbb{T} = \{K, K-1 \dots K-p+1, T_{p+1} \dots T_M\}, \mathbb{T}_1 = \{T_{p+1} \dots T_M\},$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} - \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}^j F_j^{\mathbb{T}} + (-1)^{M-g} \binom{M}{g} F_M^{\mathbb{T}} = 0, 0 < g < p. \\ \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} - \left\langle \begin{matrix} M \\ M-p-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{-K}^j F_j^{\mathbb{T}} + (-1)^{M-p-g} \binom{M}{g+p} F_M^{\mathbb{T}} = \\ \prod_{i=1}^p (K-i+1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{M-p-1} - \left\langle \begin{matrix} M-p \\ M-p-g \end{matrix} \right\rangle_{p-K}^j F_j^{\mathbb{T}_1} + (-1)^g \binom{M-p}{g} F_{M-p}^{\mathbb{T}_1} \right), 0 < g < M-p.$$

Theorem 5.8. $T \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq g \leq M, p \leq M,$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{M-g} F_j^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+p-1, K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}} E_{M-g-j}^g = \\ \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} (T+p+g-i-1)_{p-i} \sum_{j=0}^{M-p-g+i} F_j^{\{K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}} E_{M-p-g+i-j}^{g-i}$$

Proof.

$$PS_1 = [T, T+1 \dots T+(p-1), K_{p+1} \dots K_M], PT_1 = [T, T+1 \dots T+M-1], \\ PS_2 = [K_{p+1} \dots K_M], PT_2 = [T+p-1 \dots T+M-1]. \\ H_1(g, [T, PS], [T, PT]) = T \times H_1(g) + T \times H_1(g-1) \rightarrow \\ H_1(g, PS_1, PT_1) = [T+g-1]_g H_1(g, \sum K, PS_1, PT_1) \\ = [T+p-1]_p \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} H_1(g-i, PS_2, PT_2). \\ = [T+p-1]_p \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} [T+p-1+g-i]_{g-i} H_1(g-i, \sum K, PS_2, PT_2). \quad \square$$

$$K_i = 0, g = M-p \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^p F_j^{\{T \dots T+p-1\}} E_{p-j}^{M-p} = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} [T-1+M-i]_{p-i} E_i^{M-p-i}. \\ g = 1 \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} F_j^{\{T \dots T+p-1, K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}} = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} [T-1+p-i]_{p-i} \sum_{j=0}^{M-p-1+i} F_j^{\{K_{p+1} \dots K_M\}}. \\ p = M-1 \rightarrow \sum_{j=0}^p F_j^{\{T, T+1 \dots T+p-1\}} = [T+p]_p.$$

6 PT=PS AND ITS PROMOTION

$$H_1(g, PT, PT) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{M}{g}, \text{ promoting it:}$$

Theorem 6.1. $PS = [T_1, T_2 \dots T_{M-p}, 0, -1 \dots - (p-1)],$ then

$$H_1(g) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{M-p}{g-p}, H_2(g) = (-1)^{M-g} \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{p}{M-g}, \\ H_3(p) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i, H_3(g \neq p) = 0, SUM(N) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{N+T_{M-p}}{T_{M+1}}.$$

Proof.

$$H_1(g, M) = (K_M + g) H_1(g, M-1) + (T_M - M + g) H_1(g-1, M-1) \\ \text{Using induction and the recurrence relationship one can obtain } H_1(g).$$

$$PT_1 = [T_{M-p+1} \dots T_M], 2.2 \rightarrow$$

$$H_2(g, [0 \dots - (p-1)], PT_1) = (-1)^{M-g} H_1(g, PT_1, PT_1) = (-1)^{M-g} \prod_{i=M-p+1}^M T_i \binom{p}{g}.$$

$$H_2(g) = \prod_{j=1}^{M-p} T_j \times H_2(g - (M-p), [0 \dots - (p-1)], PT_1) \rightarrow H_2(g).$$

$$H_3(g) \text{ can be obtained from the definition. } H_3(g) \rightarrow SUM(N). \quad \square$$

Theorem 6.2. $PS = [0, -1 \dots - (M - p - 1), T_{M-p+1} - (M - p) \dots T_M - (M - p)]$, then
 $H_2(g) = (-1)^{M-g} \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{M-p}{g-p}$, $H_1(g) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{p}{M-g}$,
 $H_3(M-p) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i$, $H_3(g \neq M-p) = 0$, $SUM(N) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{N+T_M-M+p}{T_M+1}$.

Proof.

2.2 & 6.1 $\rightarrow H_2(g)$.

$p = 0$, $H_1(M) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i$. Recurrence relation & induction on $p \rightarrow H_1(g)$.

$H_3(g)$ can be obtained from the definition. $H_3(g) \rightarrow SUM(N)$. □

Using similar methods:

Theorem 6.3. $PS = [T_1, T_2 \dots T_{M-p}, 0, -1 \dots - (p - 1)]$,
 $PT = [0, 1 \dots (M - p - 1), (M - p) - T_{M-p+1} \dots (M - p) - T_M]$, then
 $H_3(g) = (-1)^g \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{M-p}{g-p}$, $H_1(p) = (-1)^p \prod_{i=1}^M T_i$, $H_1(g \neq p) = 0$,
 $H_2(g) = (-1)^g \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{M-p}{g}$,
 $SUM(N) = (-1)^p \prod_{i=1}^M T_i \binom{N-T_M-p}{1-T_M}$, $p > 0$; $SUM(N) = \prod_{i=1}^M T_i$, $p = 0$.

7 TRANSFORMATION OF SUM(N)

$\prod_{i=1}^M (x + K_i) = \sum_{g=0}^M a_g x^{M-g} = \sum_{g=0}^M F_g^K x^{M-g} = \nabla SUM(x + 1, PS, [1, 2 \dots M])$.
 No need to know the value of K_i . Any polynomial can be converted to $\nabla SUM(N, PS, [1, 2 \dots])$.

By choosing c and $K'_1, K'_2 \dots$ appropriately, $SUM(N)$ can be converted to $c \times \nabla^q SUM(N, [K'_1, K'_2 \dots], PT_1)$.
 However, it is generally necessary to solve higher-order equations to solve for K' .
 But specifies that every nested sum can be flattened, converting to $c \times \nabla^q SUM(N, PS, [1, 2 \dots])$.

(1) $= \sum_{g=0}^M b_g \binom{N+Y}{g+X+1}$, (2) $= \sum_{g=0}^M c_g \binom{N+Y+g}{g+X+1}$, (3) $= \sum_{g=0}^M d_g \binom{N+Y+M+X-g}{M+1+X}$, can
 be converted to $c \times \nabla^{-X} SUM(N + Y - X, PS, [1, 2 \dots M])$. $c = \frac{b_M}{M!} = \frac{c_M}{M!} = \frac{d_M}{\prod (1-K_i)}$. It
 is only necessary to solve the system of linear equations to find F_j^K . so them can
 also be converted to $\sum_{g=0}^M a_g x^{M-g}$.

Special:

$$b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_q = 0 \rightarrow K_1 = 0, K_2 = -1 \dots K_q = -(q - 1).$$

$$c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_q = 0 \rightarrow K_1 = 1, K_2 = 2 \dots K_q = q.$$

$$d_0 = d_1 = \dots = d_q = 0 \rightarrow K_1 = 0, K_2 = -1 \dots K_q = -(q - 1).$$

(1), (2), (3) can also be converted to $c \times \nabla SUM(N + Y - X, PS, [X + 1, X + 2 \dots X + M])$.

$$c = \frac{b_M}{(X+1) \dots (X+M)} = \frac{c_M}{(X+1) \dots (X+M)} = \frac{d_M}{\prod (X+1-K_i)}.$$

Special: $c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_q = 0 \rightarrow K_1 = X + 1, K_2 = X + 2 \dots K_q = X + q$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Peng Ji. Formal calculation. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202305.0311/v3>,
 DOI: 10.20944/preprints202305.0311.v3, 2023.