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## 1 Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis is a conjecture formulated in 1859 by the mathematician Bernhard Riemann, according to which the non-trivial and infinity zeros of Riemann's zeta function all have a real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Its demonstration would improve knowledge of the distribution of prime numbers and open up new areas for mathematics.

Riemann's article (see [1]) on the distribution of prime numbers is his only text dealing with number theory, he develops the properties of the function zeta $C(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}$ and prove the prime number theorem by admitting to passing several results including what is now called RH the Riemann Hypothesis. After, Hardy says that there is an infinity of zeros on the critical line (see [2], [3]), this gives us an esperance that the RH would be true...

Here, we are going to look the zeros on the critical line, and we will explicitly determine some infinity zeros having the real part $\frac{1}{2}$. This will give us another and simple demonstration of Hardy result.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } s=r+i c=\frac{1}{2}+i c, c \text { and } \alpha \text { such } \alpha=-\ln (2) c= \pm \frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi, k \in \mathbb{Z} \\
& \text { We suppose (this is our proposal) that, } \\
& \qquad c=\frac{-1}{\ln (2)}\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi\right), k \in \mathbb{Z} \text { and } r=\frac{1}{2} \\
& S=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{e^{-i \ln (n) c}}{\sqrt{n}}=C_{1}-C_{2} \\
& S=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{e^{i \alpha_{n}}}{\sqrt{n}}=R^{\prime}+i I^{\prime} \\
& R^{\prime}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\cos \left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}, I^{\prime}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}(-1)^{n} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}} \\
& \text { with } \alpha_{n}=-\ln (n) c=\frac{\ln (n)}{\ln (2)}\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi\right) \\
& C_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n)^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-i \ln (2 n) c}}{\sqrt{2 n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{1}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i \alpha_{2 n}}}{\sqrt{2 n}}=R_{1}+i I_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2 n-1)^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-i \ln (2 n-1) c}}{\sqrt{2 n-1}} \\
& C_{2}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i \alpha_{2 n-1}}}{\sqrt{2 n-1}}=R_{2}+i I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1} & =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos \left(\alpha_{2 n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 n}} \\
I_{1} & =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{2 n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 n}} \\
R_{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos \left(\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)}{\sqrt{2 n-1}} \\
I_{2} & =\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{2 n-1}\right)}{\sqrt{2 n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-i \ln (n) c}}{\sqrt{n}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i \alpha_{n}}}{\sqrt{n}} \\
& C=C_{1}+C_{2}=R+i I \\
& R=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos \left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}=R_{1}+R_{2} \\
& I=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\sin \left(\alpha_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{2 n}}=I_{1}+I_{2} \\
& R^{\prime}=R_{1}-R_{2} \\
& I^{\prime}=I_{1}-I_{2} \\
& e^{-i \ln (2) c}=e^{i \alpha}=e^{i\left( \pm \frac{\pi}{4}+2 k \pi\right)}=\frac{1 \pm i}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
C_{1}=\frac{e^{-i \ln (2) c}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-i \ln (n) c}}{\sqrt{n}} \\
C_{1}=\frac{e^{-i \ln (2) c}}{\sqrt{2}} C=\frac{e^{i \alpha}}{\sqrt{2}} C \\
C_{1}=\frac{e^{ \pm i \frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} C=\left(\frac{1 \pm i}{2}\right) C \\
C_{1}^{2}=\frac{e^{ \pm i \frac{\pi}{2}}}{2} C^{2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
C_{1}^{2}= \pm \frac{i}{2} C^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{2}=C-C_{1}=\left(1-\frac{e^{i \alpha}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) C \\
C_{2}=\left(1-\frac{1 \pm i}{2}\right) C=\left(\frac{1 \mp i}{2}\right) C=\frac{e^{\mp i \frac{\pi}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} C \\
C_{2}^{2}=\frac{e^{\mp i \frac{\pi}{2}}}{2} C^{2} \\
C_{2}^{2}=\mp \frac{i}{2}
\end{gathered} C^{2} .
$$

idem for $S$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=C_{1}-C_{2}=\left(\frac{1 \pm i}{2}\right) C-\left(\frac{1 \mp i}{2}\right) C \\
S= \pm i C \\
\Rightarrow \\
S^{2}+C^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Geometrically speaking,

$$
S \perp C \text { and }|S|=|C|
$$

We can also prove that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|C_{1}\right|=\left|\frac{1 \pm i}{2}\right||C|=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|C|=\left|\frac{1 \mp i}{2}\right||C| \\
& \left|C_{1}\right|=\left|C_{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

such

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{2}+C^{2}=\left(C_{1}-C_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(C_{1}+C_{2}\right)^{2} \\
S^{2}+C^{2}=2\left(C_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}\right) \\
S^{2}+C^{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

which implies that

$$
C_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}=0
$$

Therefore

$$
C_{1}= \pm i C_{2}
$$

Thus

$$
C_{1} \perp C_{2}
$$

Conclusion-1:

$$
C_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}=0
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{2}+C^{2}=0 \\
\left|C_{1}\right|=\left|C_{2}\right| \\
|S|=|C| \\
|S|^{2}=2\left|C_{2}\right|^{2} \\
|C|^{2}=2\left|C_{1}\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

$S \perp C$ and $C_{1} \perp C_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}^{2} & = \pm \frac{i}{2} C^{2} \\
C_{2}^{2} & = \pm \frac{i}{2} S^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
C_{1}^{4}=C_{2}^{4}, S^{4}=C^{4}=-4 C_{1}^{4}=-4 C_{2}^{4} .
$$

## 2 The study of $\boldsymbol{C} \& S$.

Theorem 1 Let $r=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha \equiv \pm \frac{\pi}{4}[2 \pi]$
so

$$
C^{4} \in \mathbb{R} \& S^{4} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Proof. Assuming that $C^{2} \notin \mathbb{R}$, so $(C, \bar{C})$ is a basis in $\mathbb{C}$.
So, let

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1}=a C+b \bar{C} \\
C^{4}=-4 C_{1}^{4} \\
\Rightarrow C^{4}=-4(a C+b \bar{C})^{4} \\
\Rightarrow-C^{4}=4\left(a^{4} C^{4}+4 a^{3} b|C|^{2} C^{2}+6 a^{2} b^{2}|C|^{4}+4 a b^{3}|C|^{2} \bar{C}^{2}+b^{4} \bar{C}^{4}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) C^{4}+4 a^{3} b|C|^{2} C^{2}+6 a^{2} b^{2}|C|^{4}+4 a b^{3}|C|^{2} \bar{C}^{2}+b^{4} \bar{C}^{4}=0 \\
C^{4} \neq 0\left(\text { since } C^{2} \notin \mathbb{R}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { so }\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) C^{8}+4 a^{3} b|C|^{2} C^{6}+6 a^{2} b^{2}|C|^{4} C^{4}+4 a b^{3}|C|^{6} C^{2}+b^{4}|C|^{8}=0 \\
\Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{4}+4 a^{3} b X^{3}+6 a^{2} b^{2} X^{2}+4 a b^{3} X+b^{4}=0 \quad(E) \\
X=\frac{C^{2}}{|C|^{2}}
\end{array}\right. \tag{E}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
P(X)=\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{4}+4 a^{3} b X^{3}+6 a^{2} b^{2} X^{2}+4 a b^{3} X+b^{4}
$$

We are going to study the function $P(X)$ on $\mathbb{R}[X]$.
Finding the roots of $P(X)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ :
We have $a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}>0$
so

$$
\lim _{X \rightarrow \pm \infty} P(X)=+\infty
$$

We will find $X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ the minimum of $P(X)$ and show that its image $P\left(X_{0}\right)>0$ :
We have

$$
\Rightarrow P^{\prime}(X)=4\left[\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{3}+3 a^{3} b X^{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X+a b^{3}\right]
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{3} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}+a b^{3}=0 \\
\Leftrightarrow\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X_{0}^{3}=-\left[3 a^{3} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}+a b^{3}\right] \\
a b \neq 0 \Rightarrow X_{0} \neq 0 \\
\Rightarrow\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X_{0}^{4}=-\left[3 a^{3} b X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}^{2}+a b^{3} X_{0}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gather*}
P\left(X_{0}\right)=-3 a^{3} b X_{0}^{3}-3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}^{2}-a b^{3} X_{0}+4 a^{3} b X_{0}^{3}+6 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}^{2}+4 a b^{3} X_{0}+b^{4} \\
P\left(X_{0}\right)=a^{3} b X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}^{2}+3 a b^{3} X_{0}+b^{4} \\
P\left(X_{0}\right)=b\left[a^{3} X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{2} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a b^{2} X_{0}+b^{3}\right] \\
\Rightarrow  \tag{1}\\
P\left(X_{0}\right)=b\left[a X_{0}+b\right]^{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow a^{4} X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{3} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X_{0}+a b^{3}=-\frac{X_{0}^{3}}{4} \\
\Leftrightarrow a\left[a^{3} X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{2} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a b^{2} X_{0}+b^{3}\right]=-\frac{X_{0}^{3}}{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

so $P\left(X_{0}\right)=b\left[a^{3} X_{0}^{3}+3 a^{2} b X_{0}^{2}+3 a b^{2} X_{0}+b^{3}\right] \Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a P\left(X_{0}\right)=-b \frac{X_{0}^{3}}{4} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $b \neq 0$, from (1) \& (2) $\Rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left[a X_{0}+b\right]^{3}=-\frac{X_{0}^{3}}{4} \\
& \Rightarrow 4 a\left[a X_{0}+b\right]^{3}=-X_{0}^{3} \\
& \Rightarrow \sqrt[3]{4 a}\left[a X_{0}+b\right]=-X_{0}\left(\text { since } X_{0}\right.\in \mathbb{R}) \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& X_{0}=-\frac{b^{3} \sqrt[3]{4 a}}{1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therfore, $P$ has one and unique minimum.
We can also prove it from

$$
\begin{gathered}
P^{\prime}(X)=4\left[\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{3}+3 a^{3} b X^{2}+3 a^{2} b^{2} X+a b^{3}\right] \\
\Rightarrow P^{\prime \prime}(X)=12\left[\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{2}+2 a^{3} b X+a^{2} b^{2}\right] \\
\Delta=\left(2 a^{3} b\right)^{2}-4 a^{2} b^{2}\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \\
\Rightarrow \Delta=-a^{2} b^{2}<0
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Rightarrow P^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone,
$P^{\prime}$ is bijective (as $P^{\prime}$ is a continuous function)
so we have $X_{0}$ such $P^{\prime}\left(X_{0}\right)=0$ is unique,
$\Rightarrow\left(X_{0}, P\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$ is the overall-minimum,

$$
\begin{gathered}
a P\left(X_{0}\right)=-b \frac{X_{0}^{3}}{4} \Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{b X_{0}^{3}}{4 a} \\
\Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right)=-\frac{b\left(-\frac{b \sqrt[3]{4 a}}{1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a}}\right)^{3}}{4 a} \\
\Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{b^{4} 4 a}{4 a(1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a})^{3}} \\
\Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right)=\frac{b^{4}}{(1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a})^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $a<0$ so $\sqrt[3]{4 a} \prec 0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow a \sqrt[3]{4 a}>0 \Rightarrow 1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a} \succ 0 \\
\Rightarrow(1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a})^{3} \succ 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and $b \neq 0 \Rightarrow b^{4} \succ 0 \Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right) \succ 0$
If $a>0$ so $\sqrt[3]{4 a}>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Rightarrow a \sqrt[3]{4 a}>0 \Rightarrow 1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a}>0 \\
\Rightarrow(1+a \sqrt[3]{4 a})^{3}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

and $b \neq 0 \Rightarrow b^{4} \succ 0 \Rightarrow P\left(X_{0}\right) \succ 0$
So

$$
P\left(X_{0}\right) \succ 0 \forall(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

So $P(X) \geq P\left(X_{0}\right) \succ 0 \forall(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \forall X \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\forall(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \forall X \in \mathbb{R} \quad P(X) \neq 0
$$

So $P(X)=0$ has non-real complexes as a solution.
Since $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ polynomial with real coefficients, the solutions will be $z_{1}, z_{2}, \bar{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{2}$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X)=\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(X-z_{1}\right)\left(X-z_{2}\right)\left(X-\bar{z}_{1}\right)\left(X-\bar{z}_{2}\right) \\
P(X)=\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(X^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) X+\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\left(X^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) X+\left|z_{2}\right|^{2}\right) \\
X=\frac{C^{2}}{|C|^{2}} \Rightarrow|X|=1 \Rightarrow\left|z_{1}\right|=\left|z_{2}\right|=1 \Rightarrow \\
P(X)=\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(X^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) X+1\right)\left(X^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right) X+1\right) \\
\frac{P(X)}{a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}}=X^{4}-2\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] X^{3}+\left[2+4 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right] X^{2}-2\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)+\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Rez} 2 X+1$
since

$$
P(X)=\left(a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) X^{4}+4 a^{3} b X^{3}+6 a^{2} b^{2} X^{2}+4 a b^{3} X+b^{4}
$$

we will have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a^{4}+\frac{1}{4}=b^{4} \\
-2 b^{4}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=4 a^{3} b \\
b^{4}\left[2+4 \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right) \operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=6 a^{2} b^{2} \\
-2 b^{4}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]=4 a b^{3}
\end{array}\right. \\
\Rightarrow 4 a^{3} b=4 a b^{3} \Rightarrow 4 a b\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)=0 \\
a b \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \\
a= \pm b
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow C_{1}=b( \pm C+\bar{C}) \\
& \Rightarrow C_{1}^{2}=b^{2}\left(C^{2}+\bar{C}^{2} \pm 2|C|^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

$C_{1}^{2}= \pm \frac{i}{2} C^{2} \Rightarrow C^{2} \in i \mathbb{R}$
Conclusion:

$$
C^{2} \notin \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow C^{2} \in i \mathbb{R}
$$

$\Rightarrow C^{2} \in i \mathbb{R}$ or $C^{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Rightarrow C^{4} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$\Rightarrow S^{4} \in \mathbb{R} \quad\left(\right.$ since $\left.C^{4}=S^{4}\right)$

## 3 A Rotation in $\mathbb{C}$

We suppose that $C \neq 0$ :
So $C^{2} \neq 0 \&|C| \neq 0$
$\Rightarrow \exists r=|C| \neq 0, \exists \gamma=\arg (C)$ such $C^{2}=r^{2} e^{i 2 \gamma}$
$\Rightarrow\left(C e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}=C^{2} e^{i 2 \theta}=r^{2} e^{i(2 \gamma+2 \theta)} \in \mathbb{R}$ such $2 \gamma+2 \theta \equiv 0[\pi]$
So $\left(C e^{i \theta}\right)^{2} \notin \mathbb{R}$ such $\theta \neq-\gamma\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$
Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ such $\theta \not \approx-\gamma\left[\frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ and $C^{\prime}, C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ such

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
C^{\prime} & =C e^{i \theta} \\
C_{1}^{\prime} & =C_{1} e^{i \theta} \\
C_{2}^{\prime} & =C_{2} e^{i \theta} \\
S^{\prime} & =S e^{i \theta} \\
\Rightarrow \\
C^{\prime 2} & \mathbb{R}
\end{array}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}^{\prime}+C_{2}^{\prime}=C^{\prime} \\
& C_{1}^{\prime}-C_{2}^{\prime}=S^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right|$ and $\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{2}\right|$

$$
\left|C_{1}\right|=\left|C_{2}\right| \Rightarrow\left|C_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|C_{2}^{\prime}\right|
$$

$\left|C^{\prime}\right|=|C|,\left|S^{\prime}\right|=|S|$
since $C_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}=0$
so

$$
C_{1}^{\prime 2}+C_{2}^{\prime 2}=e^{i 2 \theta}\left(C_{1}^{2}+C_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
C_{1}^{\prime 2}+C_{2}^{\prime 2}=0
$$

and since $C^{4}=-4 C_{1}^{4}$, so

$$
C^{\prime 4}=-4 C_{1}^{\prime 4}
$$

$C^{\prime 2} \notin \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow\left(C^{\prime}, \overline{C^{\prime}}\right)$ is a base in $\mathbb{C}$.
As we have the same conditions as in the last theorem:

1) $C_{1}^{\prime}=a C^{\prime}+b \overline{C^{\prime}}$
2) $C^{\prime 4}=-4 C_{1}^{\prime 4}$
we will have $C^{\prime 2} \notin \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow C^{\prime 2} \in i \mathbb{R}$
So, $C^{\prime 2} \in \mathbb{R}$ or $C^{\prime 2} \in i \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Rightarrow
$$

$$
C^{\prime 4} \in \mathbb{R} \& S^{\prime 4} \in \mathbb{R} \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow C^{\prime 4}=e^{i 4 \theta} C^{4} \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$\Rightarrow e^{i 4 \theta} \in \mathbb{R} \forall \theta \in I R-\left\{-\gamma+k \frac{\pi}{2} / k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}\left(\right.$ such $\left.C^{4} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$
absurd!!!,
unless

$$
C^{4}=0
$$

(because $e^{i 4 \theta} \in \mathbb{R} \Leftrightarrow \theta=k \frac{\pi}{4}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ )
Conclusion-3-

$$
C=S=0
$$

## 4 Conclusion:

For $\quad s=r+i c=\frac{1}{2}+i c \quad$ such $\quad c=-\left(\frac{1}{4}+2 k\right) \frac{\pi}{\ln (2)} \quad, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad$ (idem for $\quad c=$ $\left.-\left(-\frac{1}{4}+2 k\right) \frac{\pi}{\ln (2)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right)$, we have

$$
c=\left( \pm \frac{1}{4}+2 k\right) \frac{\pi}{\ln (2)}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n^{s}}=0 .
$$
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