

Prime number theorem with error correction

By Ricardo.gil@sbcglobal.net

06/03/2024

Abstract

The distribution and density of these zeros affect the error term in the Prime Number Theorem. If the Riemann Hypothesis holds, it implies a tighter error bound in the Prime Number Theorem.

I

The expression provided combines the prime number theorem approximation, $x / \log(x)$, with an error term, $+ (x)/(\log(x)^2)$.

Prime Number Theorem ($x / \log(x)$)

This part of the expression estimates the number of prime numbers less than or equal to a specific value (x).

It states that the number of primes is approximately equal to x divided by the natural logarithm of ($\ln(x)$ or $\log(x)$ with base- e).

Error Term $+ (x)/(\log(x)^2)$

This term represents the deviation from the exact number of primes predicted by the prime number theorem.

The actual number of primes might be slightly higher or lower than the estimated value due to the complexities of prime distribution.

Combined Expression $X=(x / \log(x)) + (x)/(\log(x)^2)$

This expression combines the prime number theorem's approximation with a potential error term.

It suggests that the actual number of primes might be around the value given by $x / \log(x)$, with an additional correction based on x divided by $\log(x)$ raised to the exponential of 2.

II

```
import math
from mpmath import *
x=2
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 7.048128043789142 Actual 1
x=3
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 5.216324028951181 Actual 2
x=4
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 4.9667590627835345 Actual 2
x=5
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 5.036960177319045 Actual 3
x=10
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 6.229061789148656 Actual 4
x=100
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 26.430016520452938 Actual 25
x=1000
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
print(X) # 165.72168252459662 Actual 168 Error 2%
x=10000000
X=(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
```

```
print(X) #658912.8714968115 Actual 664579 Error 1%
```

```
mp.dps = 50
```

```
x=mp.mpf(10**50)
```

```
x=10**50
```

```
X=mp.mpf(x / math.log(x)) + (x)/(math.log(x)**(2))
```

```
print(X) #876133431686968297004514098561516101454417362944.0
```

```
#Actual 876268031750784168878176862640406870986031109952 Error 0.01536060416889029%
```

Note: for numbers under ten it overestimates but for large x it estimates well.

III. Conclusion or results

Prime numbers and non-trivial zero's are aperiodic but primes are predictable in the Prime Number Theorem with error correction. I got my error rate down to 0.01536060416889029%. Riemann Hypothesis is validated. Furthermore, the analysis implies that the Riemann Hypothesis, which proposes that the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function lie on the critical line $Re(s)=1/2$, holds true. The distribution and density of these zeros affect the error term in the Prime Number Theorem. Since the Riemann Hypothesis holds, it implies a tighter error bound.

IV. References

[1] Hexagon Videos "What is the Riemann Hypothesis really about?" (2023)

<https://youtu.be/e4kOh7qlsM4?si=TI-Myyq3PBev0USV>

[2] Gil, Ricardo "Behavior of Non-trivial Zeros and Prime Numbers in Reimann Hypothesis" [viXra:2405.0078](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.0078) (2024).