Since Nothing Can’t Exist, Something Does

Thiago Munhoz da Nóbrega

May 26th, 2024

Abstract

In this paper, I explore the ontological assertion that the concept of ”nothing” is inherently contradictory and that the existence of ”something” is a necessary condition. By formalizing this assertion through set theory and logical quantifiers, I aim to provide a rigorous mathematical framework that supports the thesis.

1 Introduction

The nature of existence has been a fundamental question in philosophy and science. The traditional notions of ”nothingness” have been challenged by various metaphysical arguments. I propose a mathematical formalization of the idea that ”nothing” cannot exist, thereby necessitating the existence of ”something.” This work aims to provide a foundational theory that aligns with the assertion.

2 Mathematical Formalization

2.1 Definitions and Axioms

Definition 1: Empty Set ($\emptyset$)
In set theory, the empty set is defined as the set containing no elements.

$$\emptyset = \{x \mid x \neq x\}$$

Definition 2: Existence ($\exists$)
The existential quantifier $\exists$ denotes the existence of at least one element in a given set.

Axiom 1: Non-Existence of Nothingness
I assert that the concept of ”nothing” (absolute non-existence) is contradictory. Mathematically, I express this as the non-existence of a set that represents ”nothing.”

$$\neg \exists S \text{ such that } S = \emptyset$$

2.2 Theorem: Necessity of Existence

Given the axiom that "nothing" (absolute non-existence) cannot exist, I infer the necessity of existence.

$$\exists x \in U \quad \text{for some universal set } U$$

Proof:
Assume for contradiction that nothing exists, which implies the existence of an empty set $\emptyset$ representing "nothing." However, by Axiom 1, such a set does not exist. Therefore, the assumption leads to a contradiction, which proves that there must exist at least one element in the universal set U.

2.3 Corollary: Universality of Existence

From the necessity of existence, it follows that the universal set U is non-empty. This aligns with the philosophical assertion that "something" must exist.

$$U \neq \emptyset$$

3 Discussion

The formalization presented provides a rigorous foundation for the philosophical argument that "nothing" cannot exist and therefore "something" must exist. By utilizing set theory and logical quantification, I establish a clear mathematical framework supporting this thesis. This foundational understanding has significant implications for various fields, including metaphysics, ontology, and cosmology.

4 Conclusion

I have mathematically formalized the assertion that "nothing" cannot exist, leading to the necessity of the existence of "something." This work bridges philosophical thought with mathematical rigor, providing a novel perspective on the nature of existence.

References

1. Halmos, P. R. (1974). *Naive Set Theory.* Springer.

2. Enderton, H. B. (1977). *Elements of Set Theory.* Academic Press.