

# A COMPLETE PROOF OF THE *abc* CONJECTURE: IT IS EASY AS ABC!

ABDELMAJID BEN HADJ SALEM

*To the memory of my Parents,  
To my wife Wahida, my daughter Sinda and my son Mohamed Mazen*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the *abc* conjecture. Assuming that the conjecture  $c < rad^{1.63}(abc)$  is true, we give the proof that the *abc* conjecture is true.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

Let  $a$  be a positive integer,  $a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $a_i$  prime integers and  $\alpha_i \geq 1$  positive integers. We call *radical* of  $a$  the integer  $\prod_i a_i$  noted by  $rad(a)$ . Then  $a$  is written as:

$$a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i} = rad(a) \cdot \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \quad (1)$$

We denote:

$$\mu_a = \prod_i a_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \implies a = \mu_a \cdot rad(a) \quad (2)$$

The *abc* conjecture was proposed independently in 1985 by David Masser of the University of Basel and Joseph Esterlé of Pierre et Marie Curie University (Paris 6) [1]. It describes the distribution of the prime factors of two integers with those of its sum. The definition of the *abc* conjecture is given below:

**Conjecture 1.1. (*abc Conjecture*):** *For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K(\epsilon)$  such that if  $a, b, c$  positive integers relatively prime with  $c = a + b$ , then :*

$$c < K(\epsilon) \cdot rad^{1+\epsilon}(abc) \quad (3)$$

where  $K$  is a constant depending only of  $\epsilon$ .

We know that numerically,  $\frac{Log c}{Log(rad(abc))} \leq 1.629912$  [2]. It concerned the best example given by E. Reyssat [2]:

$$2 + 3^{10} \cdot 109 = 23^5 \implies c < rad^{1.629912}(abc) \quad (4)$$

A conjecture was proposed that  $c < rad^2(abc)$  [3]. In 2012, A. Nitaj [4] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.2.** *Let  $a, b, c$  be positive integers relatively prime with  $c = a + b$ , then:*

$$c < rad^{1.63}(abc) \quad (5)$$

$$abc < rad^{4.42}(abc) \quad (6)$$

In the following, we assume that the conjecture giving by the equation (5) is true that constitutes the key to obtain the proof of the *abc* conjecture.

---

*Date:* March 25, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11AXX, 26A06.

*Key words and phrases.* Elementary number theory; one variable calculus.

## 2. THE PROOF OF THE *ABC* CONJECTURE

*Proof.* :

2.1. **Case**  $\epsilon \geq (0.63 = \epsilon_0)$ . In this case, we choose  $K(\epsilon) = 1$  and let  $a, b, c$  be positive integers, relatively prime, with  $c = a + b$ ,  $1 \leq b < a$ ,  $R = \text{rad}(abc)$ , then  $c < R^{1+\epsilon_0} \leq K(\epsilon).R^{1+\epsilon} \implies c < K(\epsilon).R^{1+\epsilon}$  and the *abc* conjecture is true.

2.2. **Case:**  $\epsilon < (0.63 = \epsilon_0)$ . We suppose that the *abc* conjecture is false, then it exists  $\epsilon' \in ]0, \epsilon_0[$  and for all parameter  $K' = K'(\epsilon) > 0$ , it exists at least one triplet  $(a', b', c')$  so  $a', b', c'$  be positive integers relatively prime with  $c' = a' + b'$  and  $c'$  verifies :

$$c' > K'(\epsilon').R^{1+\epsilon'} \quad (7)$$

In the above equation,  $c'$  depends of the value of  $K'(\epsilon')$  but not of the value of  $K'(\tau)$  with  $\tau \neq \epsilon'$ . We can choose  $K'(\epsilon)$  as a smooth increasing function for  $\epsilon \in ]0, \epsilon_0[$ . Let  $\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon' - \Delta\epsilon$  with  $0 < \Delta\epsilon \ll \epsilon'$  so that the *abc* conjecture is verified : it exists  $K(\bar{\epsilon})$  and:

$$c' < K(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} \quad (8)$$

We remark here that  $c'$  is independent of  $K(\bar{\epsilon})$ . The equation (7) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} c' > K'(\epsilon').R^{1+\epsilon'} > K'(\epsilon' - \Delta\epsilon).R^{1+\epsilon' - \Delta\epsilon} \implies \\ c' > K'(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now, as the parameter  $K'(\epsilon)$  is arbitrary, we choose in the last equation above (9),  $K'(\bar{\epsilon}) = K(\bar{\epsilon})$ , it follows using the equation (8):

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} < c' < K(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} \implies \\ K(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} < c' < K(\bar{\epsilon}).R^{1+\bar{\epsilon}} \implies 1 < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Then the contradiction. It follows that the assumption that the *abc* conjecture is false on  $]0, 0.63[$  is not verified and the *abc* conjecture is true for all  $\epsilon \in ]0, 0.63[$ .

Finally, the *abc* conjecture is true for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Q.F.D

□

We can announce the theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** (*The abc Theorem*) *We assume that the conjecture  $c < R^{1.63}$  is true. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K(\epsilon)$  such that if  $a, b, c$  positive integers relatively prime with  $c = a + b$ , then :*

$$c < K(\epsilon).R^{1+\epsilon} \quad (11)$$

where  $K$  is a constant depending only of  $\epsilon$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Waldschmidt: On the *abc* Conjecture and some of its consequences, presented at The 6th World Conference on 21st Century Mathematics, Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences (ASSMS), Lahore (Pakistan), March 6-9, 2013.
- [2] B. De Smit: <https://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~desmit/abc/>. Accessed December 2020.
- [3] P. Mihăilescu: *Around ABC*, European Mathematical Society Newsletter, **N° 93**, 2014, 29-34.
- [4] A. Nitaş: Aspects expérimentaux de la conjecture *abc*. Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Paris (1993-1994), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol **n°235**, 1996, 145-156, Cambridge Univ. Press.

*Résidence Bousten 8*  
*Mosquée Raoudha, Bloc B, 1181 Soukra Raoudha, Tunisia.*  
*Email address: [abenhadsalem@gmail.com](mailto:abenhadsalem@gmail.com)*