Integer Optimization and P vs NP Problem

Yuly Shipilevsky

Abstract

We transform NP-complete Problem to the polynomial-time algorithm which would mean that P = NP.

1 Introduction

Despite in general, Integer Programming is NP-hard or even incomputable (see, e.g., Hemmecke et al. [10]), for some subclasses of target functions and constraints it can be computed in time polynomial.

A fixed-dimensional polynomial minimization in integer variables, where the objective function is a convex polynomial and the convex feasible set is described by arbitrary polynomials can be solved in time polynomial(see, e.g. Khachiyan and Porkolab [11]), see Lenstra [13] as well.

A fixed-dimensional polynomial minimization over the integer variables, where the objective function is a quasiconvex polynomial with integer coefficients and where the constraints are inequalities with quasiconvex polynomials of degree at most ≥ 2 with integer coefficients can be solved in time polynomial in the degrees and the binary encoding of the coefficients(see, e.g., Heinz [8], Hemmecke et al. [10], Lee [12]).

Minimizing a convex function over the integer points of a bounded convex set is polynomial in fixed dimension, according to Oertel et al. [15].

Del Pia and Weismantel [4] showed that Integer Quadratic Programming can be solved in polynomial time in the plane.

It was further generalized for cubic and homogeneous polynomials in Del Pia et al. [5].

We are going to transform well-known NP-complete problem to the polynomial-time integer minimization algorithm. It would mean, that P = NP, since if there is a polynomial-time algorithm for any NP-hard problem, then there are polynomial-time algorithms for all problems in NP (see Garey and Johnson [7], Manders and Adleman [14], Cormen et al. [2]).

Fortnow in [6] stated: "We call the very hardest NP problems (which include Partition Into Triangles, Clique, Hamiltonian Cycle and 3-Coloring) "NP-complete", i.e. given an efficient algorithm for one of them, we can find efficient algorithm for all of them and in fact any problem in NP".

2 Polynomial-time Algorithm. Sliding Tangent

Lemma 1 (De Loera et al. [3], Hemmecke et al. [10], Del Pia et al. [5]). *The problem of minimizing a degree-4 polynomial over the lattice points of a convex polygon is NP-hard.*

Proof. They use the NP-complete problem AN1 on page 249 of Garey and Johnson [7]. This problem states it is NP-complete to decide whether, given three positive integers a, b, c, there exists a positive integer x < c such that x^2 is congruent with a modulo b. This problem is clearly equivalent to asking whether the minimum of the quartic polynomial function $(x^2 - a - by)^2$ over the lattice points of the rectangle:

$$\{ (x,y) \mid 1 \le x \le c - 1, 1 - a \le by \le (c - 1)^2 - a \}$$
 is zero or not. \Box

According to Del Pia and Weismantel [4], minimization problem, given in the above proof of Lemma 1 is equivalent to the following problem:

min {
$$(x^2 - a - by)$$
 s.t.
 $x^2 - a - by \ge 0,$
 $1 \le x \le c - 1, 1 - a \le by \le (c - 1)^2 - a, x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ }. (1)

If
$$L := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 - a - by \ge 0, x \ge 0 \},$$

 $G := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid 1 \le x \le c - 1, 1 - a \le by \le (c - 1)^2 - a \},$

problem (1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mu := \min \{ (x^2 - a - by) \mid (L \cap G) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \}.$$
(2)

Note that parabola: by = bf(x) = $x^2 - a$, $x \ge 0$ is a part of the border of set L and bf(1) = 1 - a, bf(c - 1) = $(c - 1)^2 - a$.

Set L is not convex, as well as the set $L \cap G$ (see Boyd and Vandenberghe [1], Osborne [16]).

The equation of the tangent to the parabola: by = bf(x) = $x^2 - a$, at the point i: $1 \le i \le c - 1$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is given by: by_i(x) = 2i (x - i) + i² - a. The

segment of this tangent (hypotenuse), which is inside G and having one end on the horizontal line by = 1 – a, and another end on the vertical line x = c - 1, together with two other segments: on the horizontal line by = 1 – a and on the vertical line x = c - 1, both segments intersected at the point (x_c, y_c) : $x_c = c - 1$, by_c = 1 – a, cathetuses, form some right triangle $S_i \subset L \cap G$, $S_i := \{(x, y) \in G \mid y \le y_i(x)\}, 1 \le i \le c - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Lemma 2. $(L \cap G) \cap Z^2 = \bigcup (S_i \cap Z^2), \ l \leq i \leq c - l, \ i \in Z.$

Proof. It follows from the above given definitions and properties of sets L, G, S_i , $(1 \le i \le c - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z})$ and due to continuity, differentiability, convexity and monotonicity of function f(x), $(x \ge 0)$.

In particular, it is well-known that a differentiable function of one variable is convex on an interval Ω if and only if its graph lies above all of its tangents: $f(x) \ge f(y) + f'(y) (x - y), x, y \in \Omega$ (see, e.g., Boyd and Vandenberghe [1, section 3.1.3]).

Thus, instead of non-convex set $L \cap G$, we can consider a collection of right triangles: $\{S_i\}$, so that search space of the problem (2): $(L \cap G) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ is identical to the union: $\cup (S_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^2)$, $1 \le i \le c - 1$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us denote:

$$\mu_i := \min \{ (x^2 - a - by) \mid (x, y) \in S_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 \},$$

$$1 \le i \le c - 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(3)

Theorem 1. $\mu = min \{ \mu_i \mid l \le i \le c - l, i \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$

Proof. It follows from the above given definitions of μ , μ_i and Lemma 2.

Each problem (3) is integer quadratic programming problem in the plane. According to Del Pia and Weismantel [4, Theorem 1.1], they can be solved in polynomial time.

Recall that polynomial-time algorithms are closed under union, composition, concatenation, intersection, complementation and some other operations: see, e.g., Hopcroft et al. [9, pp. 425–426].

That is why, due to Theorem 1, our original NP-complete problem (2) can be solved in polynomial time as well.

As a result, since due to the above algorithm, NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, we can conclude that P = NP, since, as we mentioned above, if there is a polynomial-time algorithm for any NP-hard problem then there are polynomial-time algorithms for all problems in NP.

3 Conclusion

We reduced NP-complete problem to the polynomial-time algorithm, Thus, we can conclude that P = NP, since if there is a poly-nomial-time algorithm for any NP-hard problem then there are polynomial-time algorithms for all problems in NP.

Author Information

Author

Yuly Shipilevsky

Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Corresponding author

Correspondence to **Yuly Shipilevsky**.

References

- [1] S. Boyd and L. Vandenberghe, *Convex Optimization*, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [2] T. Cormen, C. Leiserson, R. Rivest and C. Stein, *Introduction To Algor-ithms*, fourth ed., The MIT Press, Cambridge, 2022.
- [3] J. A. De Loera, R. Hemmecke, M. Köppe and R.Weismantel, Integer polynomial optimization in fixed dimension, Mathematics of Operations Research, 31 (2006) 147–153.
- [4] A. Del Pia and R. Weismantel, *Integer quadratic programming in the plane*, SODA (Chandra Chekuri, ed.), SIAM, 2014, pp. 840–846.

- [5] A. Del Pia, R. Hildebrand, R. Weismantel and K. Zemmer, *Minimizing Cubic and Homogeneous Polynomials over Integers in the Plane*, Mathematics of Operations Research, 41(2) (Jan. 2015a) 511–530.
- [6] L. Fortnow, *The Status of the P versus NP Problem*, Northwestern University, 2009.
- [7] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, Computers and intractability, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., A guide to the theory of NP-completeness, A Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences, 1979.
- [8] S. Heinz, Complexity of integer quasiconvex polynomial optimization, J. Complexity, 21(4) (2005) 543–556.
- [9] J. E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani and J. D. Ullman, *Introduction to automata theory, languages and computation*, second ed., Addison-Wesley, Boston, 2001.
- [10] R. Hemmecke, M. Köppe, J. Lee and R. Weismantel, *Nonlinear Integer Programming*, in: M. Jünger, T. Liebling, D. Naddef, W. Pulleyblank, G. Reinelt, G. Rinaldi, L.Wolsey (Eds.), 50 Years of Integer Programming 1958–2008: The Early Years and State-of-the-Art Surveys, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010, pp. 561–618.
- [11] L. G. Khachiyan and L. Porkolab, *Integer optimization on convex semialgebraic sets*, Discrete and Computational Geometry, 23(2) (2000) 207–224.
- [12] J. Lee, On the boundary of tractability for nonlinear discrete optimization, in: Cologne Twente Workshop 2009, 8th Cologne Twente Workshop on Graphs and Combinatorial Optimization, Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, 2009, pp. 374–383.
- [13] H.W. Jr. Lenstra, *Integer programming with a fixed number of variables*, Mathematics of Operations Research, **8**(4):538–548, 1983.
- [14] K. Manders and L. Adleman, NP-complete decision problems for binary quadratics, Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 16:168–184, 1978.
- [15] T. Oertel, C. Wagner and R. Weismantel, *Convex integer minimization in fixed dimension*, arxiv:1203.4175v1(2012).
- [16] M. J. Osborne, *Mathematical Methods for Economic Theory: a tutorial*, University of Toronto, 2007.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*. Primary: 11A05; 90C10; Secondary: 68Q25.

Key words and phrases. Integer Optimization; NP-complete; Polynomial-time.