A BOUND FOR THE ISOTROPIC CONSTANT

JOHAN ASPEGREN

ABSTRACT. We obtain a dimension-independent bound for the isotropic constant for convex bodies. A key idea is trying to find the right scale for the problem when considering John's position.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Previously known results	2
3.	The proof	2
References		4

1. INTRODUCTION

The isotropic conjecture or the Bourgain's slicing problem asks the existence of a following universal constant c.

Theorem 1.1. There exists an affine hyperplane H and an universal constant c such that

$$m_{n-1}(H \cap K) > c,$$

for convex bodies K of unit volume.

A classic reference for these kind of questions is [9]. More recently the claim is already proved up to a polylog with very modern methods [6]. Those methods very introduced in the groundbreaking work by Chen [5]. The entries of the covariance matrix of a convex body K are defined as

$$(a_{ij}) = \frac{\int_K x_i x_j}{|K|} - \frac{\int_K x_i}{|K|} \frac{\int_K x_j}{|K|}$$

We define the isotropic constant of any convex body K in scaling invariant way using

$$L_K^{2n} := \frac{\operatorname{Det}(CovK)}{|K|^2}.$$

The isotropic position is a position, when the covariance matrix is diagonal and all the diagonal entries are the same. Moreover, it is assumed that the volume is unit. This kind of position exists [9]. An another position that always exists is the John's position. It is the position of a convex body, where the minimal circumscribed ellipsoid is the unit ball. We prove the Bourgain's slicing conjecture by proving an universal upper bound for the isotropic constant.

Date: December, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A23.

JOHAN ASPEGREN

2. Previously known results

For any measurable set A we let |A| be the *n*-dimensional Lebesque measure. The inner volume ratio for a convex body K is defined as

$$ivr(K) := \min_{m} (|K|/|T(B_n)|)^{1/n},$$

where T is an affine map, B_n the standard unit ball and $T(B_n) \subset K$. The outer volume ratio for a convex body K is defined as

(2.1)
$$ovr(K) := \min_{m} (|T(B_n)|/|K|)^{1/n},$$

where T is an affine map, B_n the standard unit ball and $K \subset T(B_n)$. Ball [2] and Barthe [4] proved using the Braschamb-lieb [8] and reversed Braschamb-Lieb [4] inequalities, respectively, that in the non-symmetric case ivr(K) and ovr(K) are maximized when the convex body K is the standard simplex S_n . Moreover, in the symmetric case ivr(K) is maximized when K is the cube C_n and ovr(K) is maximized when K is the crosspolytope CP_n . The extended Khinchine inequality says that for any convex bodies

(2.2)
$$(\frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} |x_i|^2 dx)^{1/2} \le C \frac{1}{|K|} \int_{K} |x_i| dx.$$

A proof can be found in [7].

3. The proof

First we show a key fact.

Theorem 3.1. Let K be a convex body in a John's position. Then

$$|K|^{1/n} \ge c'(n!)^{-1/n} > cn^{-1}$$

Proof. For K in John's position we have that $K \subset B(0,1)$.

Remark 3.2. for the diameter d we have that

$$1 \le d \le 2.$$

Via (2.1) we have that

$$\frac{|B(0,1)|}{|S_n|} \ge \frac{|B(0,1)|}{|K|}.$$

 So

$$\frac{1}{|S_n|} \ge \frac{1}{|K|}$$

Thus,

$$|S_n| \le |K|.$$

Now, we just need to calculate the volume of the standard simplex S_n in John's position. We have that

$$(3.1) |S|^{1/n} > Cn^{-1},$$

where C is an universal constant. So (3.1) gives us the claim.

We will also need the lemma:

Lemma 3.3. Let K be a convex body. If $||x||_2 \leq a$ then

$$\int_{K} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| dx}{n|K|} \le \frac{(n+1)}{n} \int_{B(0,a)} \frac{|x_i| dx}{|B(0,a)|}.$$

Proof. We have from Cauchy-Schwarz and isotropy that

$$\int_{K} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| dx}{n|K|} \le \int_{K} \frac{||x||_2 dx}{\sqrt{n}|K|} \le \frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\int_{B(0,a)} \frac{|x_i|^2 dx}{|B(0,a)|} = \int_{B(0,a)} \frac{||x||_2 dx}{n|B(0,a)|} = \frac{a\sqrt{n}}{(n+1)}.$$

The following theorem is the key theorem.

Theorem 3.4. It holds in a scaled John's position that

(3.2)
$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{K} \frac{|x_i|^2}{|K|^{1+2/n}} dx \right)^{1/2} \le C.$$

Proof. It's clear that the inequality (3.2) is scaling invariant. Thus, w.l.o.g let K be a convex body in a scaled John's position such that

(3.3)
$$|K|^{1/n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

We have from theorem 3.1 via scaling, and from above (3.3) that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = |K|^{1/n} \ge ac' n^{-1},$$

where a is the smallest possible. Thus,

$$(3.4) a \le c\sqrt{n}.$$

Then, we have from the equality (3.3), inequality (3.4), and from the lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{K} \frac{||x||_{1}dx}{n|K|^{1+1/n}} \leq \int_{K} \frac{\sqrt{n}|x_{i}|dx}{n|K|} \leq \int_{K} \frac{|x_{i}|dx}{\sqrt{n}|K|} \leq \frac{(n+1)}{n} \int_{B(0,c\sqrt{n})} \frac{|x_{i}|dx}{|B(0,c\sqrt{n})|} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)}{n^{3/2}} \int_{B(0,c\sqrt{n})} \frac{||x||_{2}dx}{|B(0,c\sqrt{n})|} \leq \frac{c\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} = c. \end{split}$$

Thus,

(3.5)
$$\int_{K} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| dx}{n |K|^{1+1/n}} \le c.$$

We have then using the extended Khinchine, and from inequality 3.5 that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\int_{K}\frac{|x_{i}|^{2}dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2} \leq (\int_{K}1_{|x|\leq 1}\frac{|x_{i}|^{2}dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2} + \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}C\int_{K}1_{|x|>1}\frac{|x_{i}|dx}{|K|^{1+1/n}} \\ &\leq (\int_{K}1_{|x|\leq 1}\frac{|x_{i}|^{2}dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2} + C\int_{K}\frac{||x||_{1}dx}{n|K|^{1+1/n}} \\ &\leq (\int_{K}1_{|x|\leq 1}\frac{|x_{i}|^{2}dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2} + C \\ &\leq C\sqrt{n}(\int_{K}\frac{||x||_{2}^{2}1_{|x|\leq 1}dx}{n|K|})^{1/2} + C \leq C + C \leq C'. \end{split}$$

We can assume that the covariance matrix is diagonal, because it is real and symmetric. So it can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix. Because K is centralized, we have

$$(a_{ij}) = \frac{\int_K x_i x_j}{|K|}.$$

Moreover, we assume K is in a John's position. We have

$$L_K^n = (\prod_{i=1}^n \int_K \frac{x_i x_i dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2}.$$

Now, after taking the nth root we have

$$L_K = (\prod_{i=1}^n \int_K \frac{|x_i| dx}{|K|^{1+1/n}})^{1/(2n)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\int_K \frac{|x_i|^2 dx}{|K|^{1+2/n}})^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C,$$

where we used the GM-AM inequality, and the theorem 3.4. This ends the proof of the theorem 1.1.

References

- Ball, K. An Elementary Introduction to Modern Convex Geometry In: Flavors of Geometry, Math. Sci.Res. Inst. Publ., 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1997).
- K.M. Ball, Volume ratios and a reverse isoperimetric inequality, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 44 (1991), 351-359
- F. Barthe, On a reverse form of the Brascamp-Lieb inequality, Invent. Math. 134 (1998), 335-361.
- F. Barthe, An extremal property of the mean width of the simplex, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), 685-693.
- 5. Y. Chen, An Almost Constant Lower Bound of the Isoperimetric Coefficient in the KLS Conjecture Geom. Funct. Anal. (GAFA), Vol. 31, (2021), 34?-61
- B.Klartag Logarithmic bounds for isoperimetry and slices of convex sets Ars Inveniendi Analytica (2023), Paper No. 4, 17 pp.

- M. Gromov and V.D. Milman, Brunn theorem and a concentration of volume of convex bodies, GAFA Seminar Notes, Tel Aviv University, Israel 1983-1984, Exp.V., 12pp.
- 8. H.J. Brascamp and E.H. Lieb, Best constants in Young?s inequality, its converse and its generalization to more than three functions, Adv. in Math. 20 (1976), 151-173
- 9. D. Milman and A. Pajor, *Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoids and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n-dimensional space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1376, Springer, Berlin (1989), 64-104.

Email address: jaspegren@outlook.com