# Electron star ingredients

Matthew Stephenson Stanford University\*

### I. SOLUTIONS OF THE SHEAR SECTOR MODES IN THE IR LIFSHITZ LIMIT

### A. IR geometry and k = 0 solutions

The geometry in the IR  $(r \to \infty)$  approaches that of a pure Lifshitz geometry. In this limit we have

$$f(r) \to 1/r^{2z}$$

$$g(r) \to g_{\infty}/r^{2}$$

$$h(r) \to h_{\infty}/r^{z}.$$
(1)

The two differential equation in the shear sector are

$$0 = Z_1'' + 2kr^2h'Z_2' + \left(\frac{rg\sigma\mu}{2} + \frac{\omega^2 f' + 2k^2rf^2}{f(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f)}\right)Z_1' + \frac{g}{f}\left(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f\right)Z_1 + 2kr^2\sqrt{f}\mu\left(\frac{2\omega^2h'^2}{f(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f)} + \frac{g\sigma}{\mu}\right)Z_2,$$
(2)  
$$0 = Z_2'' + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f'}{f} - \frac{g'}{g}\right)Z_2' - \frac{kh'}{\omega^2 - k^2r^2f}Z_1' + \frac{g}{f}\left(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f\right)Z_2$$

$$-\left(\frac{2\omega^2 h'^2}{f\left(\omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f\right)} + \frac{g\sigma}{\mu}\right) Z_2 \tag{3}$$

In the limit of  $k \to 0$ , the two equations decouple

$$0 = Z_1'' + \left(\frac{rg\sigma\mu}{2} + \frac{f'}{f}\right)Z_1' + \frac{\omega^2 g}{f}Z_1,$$
(4)

$$0 = Z_2'' + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{g'}{g} \right) Z_2' + \left( \frac{\omega^2 g}{f} - \frac{2{h'}^2}{f} - \frac{g\sigma}{\mu} \right) Z_2.$$
(5)

<sup>\*</sup> matthewjstephenson@icloud.com

and we can find the asymptotic IR Lifshitz behaviour of  $\mathbb{Z}_1$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  to be

$$Z_1 = \left(1 + \frac{i(z+1)}{2z\sqrt{g_{\infty}}} \frac{1}{\omega r^z}\right) r e^{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^z/z}$$
(6)

$$Z_2 = \left(1 + \frac{iz}{\sqrt{g_\infty}} \frac{1}{\omega r^z}\right) e^{i\sqrt{g_\infty}\omega r^z/z},\tag{7}$$

which are series expansions of the full (irrelevant) solutions

$$Z_1 = r^{1+z/2} H_{\frac{z+2}{2z}}^{(1)} \left( g_{\infty}^{1/2} \frac{\omega r^z}{z} \right)$$
(8)

$$Z_2 = r^{z/2} H_{3/2}^{(1)} \left( g_{\infty}^{1/2} \frac{\omega r^z}{z} \right).$$
(9)

We would now like to find the k-dependent corrections to the above solutions to analytically extract the hydrodynamical quasi-normal mode (QNM).

### B. Cases with integer values of the exponent z

## 1. Cases with exponents $z \ge 3$

We would like to find analytic k-dependent corrections to  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  in the Lifshitz IR region. The corrections should be such that the limit of  $k \to 0$  smoothly reproduces the above k = 0results. On the other hand, the limit of  $\omega \to 0$  is not analytic and our solutions will represent an asymptotic series in  $\omega$  controlled by powers of r. Away from the in-falling boundary conditions at the horizon  $(r \to \infty)$ , however, we expect that the limit of  $\omega \to 0$  is defined as well. We anticipate the form

$$Z_1 = e^{i\sqrt{g_\infty}\omega r^z/z} r P_1(r,\omega,k) \tag{10}$$

$$Z_2 = e^{i\sqrt{g_\infty}\omega r^z/z} P_2(r,\omega,k), \tag{11}$$

where  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are polynomials in ascending powers of 1/r.

We can first expand equations (2) and (3) in  $k^2r^2f \ll \omega^2$ . Since we only work with z > 1, the expansion parameter tends to  $k^2r^2f = k^2r^{2(1-z)} \to 0$  in the IR. The expansion therefore makes sense for all non-vanishing values of  $\omega$  and finite values of k. The limits of our approximation are

$$k^2 \ll \omega^2 r^{2(z-1)}$$
 and  $r \to \infty$ . (12)

On top of that, we are interested in the hydrodynamical QNMs, hence we may think of both  $\omega$ and k as small. We find, up to  $\mathcal{O}(k^4)$ ,

$$0 = Z_1'' - \left(\frac{z+1}{r} + \frac{2(z-1)k^2}{\omega^2 r^{2z-1}} + \frac{2(z-1)k^4}{\omega^4 r^{4z-3}}\right) Z_1' + g_\infty \left(\omega^2 r^{2(z-1)} - k^2\right) Z_1 - \frac{2\sqrt{z(z-1)k}}{r^{z-1}} Z_2' + 4\sqrt{z(z-1)} \left(\frac{zk}{r^z} + \frac{(z-1)k^3}{\omega^2 r^{3z-2}}\right) Z_2$$
(13)

and

$$0 = Z_2'' - \frac{z - 1}{r} Z_2' + \left[ g_\infty \left( \omega^2 r^{2(z-1)} - k^2 \right) - \frac{2z^2}{r^2} - \frac{2z(z-1)k^2 \left( \omega^2 r^{2(z-1)} + k^2 \right)}{\omega^4 r^{4z-2}} \right] Z_2 + \frac{\sqrt{z(z-1)k} \left( \omega^2 r^{2(z-1)} + k^2 \right)}{\omega^4 r^{3z-1}} Z_1'$$
(14)

Using a power series expansion in 1/r for  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  shows that we can recursively solve differential equations (13) and (14), order-by-order in r, with two series of form

$$P_1 = 1 + \sum_{i=z-2}^{\infty} \frac{a_i(\omega, k)}{r^i}$$

$$P_2 = 1 + \sum_{i=z-2}^{\infty} \frac{b_i(\omega, k)}{r^i}$$
(15)

The non-zero terms in both series begin at order  $1/r^{z-2}$ . In the limit of  $k \to 0$  we find that  $a_{z-2} = a_{z-1} = b_{z-2} = b_{z-1} = 0$ ,  $a_z = \frac{i(z+1)}{2z\sqrt{g_{\infty}\omega}}$  and  $b_z = \frac{iz}{\sqrt{g_{\infty}\omega}}$ , as required.

If we only seek the leading  $\omega$  and k behaviour it suffices to consider the series with three terms between i = z - 2 and i = z. In that case the equation (13) will be solved up to order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$ , leaving terms of order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$  and higher unsolved. Equation (14) will be solved up to order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$ , leaving terms of order  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^3}\right)$  and higher unsolved. Further extending polynomials  $P_{1,2}$  by n terms is then able to solve the two differential equations by further n orders.

#### 2. Special case with z = 2

A special case, which cannot be solved by the above ansatz is the case when z - 2 = 0, i.e. z = 2. To solve the system we can use the following modified ansatz:

$$Z_1 = e^{\frac{i\sqrt{g_\infty}\omega r^z}{z} + f(r)} r P_1(r,\omega,k)$$
(16)

$$Z_2 = e^{\frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}\omega r^2}}{z} + f(r)} P_2(r,\omega,k).$$
 (17)

It is clear that since equations (13) and (14) have no constant terms, the functions in the exponents must equal, so it is sufficient to find a single f(r) for both  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . To only find f(r), it is sufficient to simply set  $Z_1 = 0$  and use equation (14) to leading order in k. We are left with

$$0 = Z_2'' - \frac{1}{r}Z_2' + \left[g_{\infty}r^2\left(\omega^2 - \frac{k^2}{r^2}\right) - \frac{8}{r^2}\right]Z_2$$
(18)

to which the full solution is [completely irrelevant, but it's fun to play with special functions :-) ]

$$Z_2 = r^4 e^{\frac{1}{2}i\omega\sqrt{g_{\infty}}r^2} \left[ C_1 U \left( 2 + \frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^2}{4\omega}, 4, -i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^2 \right) + C_2 L^3_{-2 - \frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^2}{4\omega}} \left( -i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^2 \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

where U is the confluent hypergeometric function and  $L_n^{\lambda}(z)$  the Laguerre polynomial.

Analysing its asymptotics near  $r \to \infty$ , we find that  $C_2 = 0$  in order to only keep  $e^{+\frac{1}{2}i\omega\sqrt{g_{\infty}r^2}}$  terms (the in-falling b.c.). To match this solution onto the k = 0 solution we must set  $C_1 = -g_{\infty}\omega^2$ . There is of course still the freedom of multiplying the entire solution by a constant. Expanding in 1/r we find

$$Z_{2} = -g_{\infty}\omega^{2}r^{4}e^{\frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2}}U\left[2 + \frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^{2}}{4\omega}, 4, -i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2}\right]$$
$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2}}\left(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2}\right)^{-\frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^{2}}{4\omega}}\left[1 + \ldots\right]$$
$$= \exp\left\{\frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega}{2}\left(r^{2} - \frac{k^{2}}{2\omega^{2}}\log\left(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2}\right)\right)\right\}\left[1 + \ldots\right].$$
(20)

Therefore

$$e^{f(r)} = \left(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^2\right)^{-\frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^2}{4\omega}} = e^{-\frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^2}{4\omega}\log\left(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^2\right)}.$$
(21)

Note that this structure is similar to the more usual AdS cases at finite temperature... We can now use, as before, polynomials  $P_{1,2}$  to find

$$Z_{1} = e^{\frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2} - \frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^{2}}{4\omega}\log(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2})}r\left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}k}{r} + \frac{12i\omega^{2} - 12\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega k^{2} + ig_{\infty}k^{4}}{16\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega^{3}r^{2}} - \frac{32i\omega^{2}k - 4\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega k^{3} + ig_{\infty}k^{5}}{8\sqrt{2g_{\infty}}\omega^{3}r^{3}} + \ldots\right)$$

$$Z_{2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2} - \frac{i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}k^{2}}{4\omega}\log(-i\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega r^{2})}\left(1 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}\omega^{2}r} + \frac{32i\omega^{2} - 4\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega k^{2} + ig_{\infty}k^{4}}{16\sqrt{g_{\infty}}\omega^{3}r^{2}} + \ldots\right)$$
(22)

so that both (13) and (14) are satisfied to  $\mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$ .

## II. QUASI-NORMAL MODES

We would like to find the hydrodynamical QNM in the shear sector of the electron star background at T = 0.

### A. Flux with real $\omega^2$

To find the conserved flux in this system, consider the off-shell Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{off-shell} = \frac{L^2}{\kappa^2} \left( Z_i^{\prime*} A_{ij} Z_j^{\prime} + Z_i^* B_{ij} Z_j^{\prime} + \text{non-derivative terms} \right)$$
(23)

where

$$A_{11} = \frac{\sqrt{f}}{4r^2\sqrt{g}\left(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f\right)}, \qquad A_{22} = -\frac{\sqrt{f}}{2\sqrt{g}}, \qquad A_{12} = A_{21} = 0, \qquad (24)$$

$$B_{11} = \frac{(rf' - 2f)}{2\omega^2 r^3 \sqrt{fg}}, \qquad \qquad B_{21} = -\frac{k(rf' + 2f)}{2r\mu\sqrt{g}(\omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f)}, \qquad B_{12} = B_{22} = 0.$$
(25)

(26)

This Lagrangian is invariant under simultaneous global U(1) transformations of both  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ . The reason for this is the cross-term  $Z_2^*B_{21}Z_1'$ . Assuming that  $(r, \omega^2, k) \in \mathbb{R}$ , the flux can then be found to be

$$\mathcal{F} = 2i \left[ -Z_1^* A_{11} Z_1' + Z_1 A_{11} Z_1'^* + Z_2^* A_{22} Z_2' - Z_2 A_{22} Z_2'^* + \frac{1}{2} B_{21} \left( Z_1^* Z_2 - Z_2^* Z_1 \right) \right].$$
(27)

 $\mathcal{F}$  is conserved along the radial direction, i.e.  $\partial_r \mathcal{F} = 0$ .

Now, in the UV part of the geometry the fields can be expanded as

$$Z_1 = Z_1^{(0)} + r^2 Z_1^{(2)} + r^3 Z_1^{(3)} + \dots$$
  

$$Z_2 = Z_2^{(0)} + r Z_2^{(1)} + \dots,$$
(28)

where  $Z_2^{(1)}$  is related to the vev of the QFT current  $J_{\mu}$ , while  $Z_1^{(2)}$  is completely determined by the sources of the  $T_{\mu\nu}$  components of  $Z_1^{(0)}$ . The vev of  $T_{\mu\nu}$  comes in at the order of  $r^3$ . The value of the flux at the AdS boundary is

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \mathcal{F}(r) = 2i \lim_{r \to 0} \left( Z_1 A_{11} Z_1'^* - Z_1^* A_{11} Z_1' \right) + 2i A_{22}(0) \left( Z_2^{(0)*} Z_2^{(1)} - Z_2^{(0)} Z_2^{(1)*} \right) + i B_{21}(0) \left( Z_1^{(0)*} Z_2^{(0)} - Z_1^{(0)} Z_2^{(0)*} \right)$$
(29)

which along with the limiting values

$$\lim_{r \to 0} A_{11} = -\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{f}}{4r^2 \sqrt{g} (\omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f)} = \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{c}{4 (\omega^2 - c^2 k^2) r^2}$$
$$\lim_{r \to 0} A_{22} = -\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{f}}{2\sqrt{g}} = -\frac{c}{2}$$
$$\lim_{r \to 0} B_{21} = -\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{k (rf' + 2f)}{2r\mu \sqrt{g} (\omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f)} = \frac{3c\hat{M}}{2\hat{\mu}} \frac{k}{\omega^2 - c^2 k^2}$$
(30)

gives the conserved flux

$$\mathcal{F} = ic \left[ \frac{1}{\omega^2 - c^2 k^2} \left( \lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{r} \left( Z_1^{(0)} Z_1^{(2)*} - Z_1^{(2)} Z_1^{(0)*} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left( Z_1^{(0)} Z_1^{(3)*} - Z_1^{(3)} Z_1^{(0)*} \right) \right) + Z_2^{(0)} Z_2^{(1)*} - Z_2^{(0)*} Z_2^{(1)} + \frac{3Mk}{2\hat{\mu} \left( \omega^2 - c^2 k^2 \right)} \left( Z_1^{(0)*} Z_2^{(0)} - Z_1^{(0)} Z_2^{(0)*} \right) \right].$$
(31)

To impose the Dirichlet boundary conditions at the boundary we need to fix  $Z_1^{(0)}$  and  $Z_2^{(0)}$ to some constants. However, to find only the QNMs, without the full Green's functions, it is particularly useful to set  $Z_1^{(0)} = Z_2^{(0)} = 0$ . Generally, the values of  $Z_1^{(0)}$  and  $Z_2^{(0)}$  can be thought of as functions of  $\omega$  and k at some fixed physical parameters  $\hat{M}$ ,  $\hat{Q}$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$ , etc. describing the star geometry. Given some propagating modes that satisfy  $Z_1^{(0)} = Z_2^{(0)} = 0$ , we can see that the flux vanishes away from the light-cone ( $\omega^2 = c^2 k^2$ ) for such  $\omega(k)$ . Therefore

For a quasinormal mode 
$$\tilde{\omega}(k) \implies \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\omega}(k)) = 0$$
 (32)

It is interesting to note that the flux actually diverges unless we set  $Z_1^{(0)} = 0$  or alternatively if  $Z_1^{(0)}Z_1^{(2)*} - Z_1^{(2)}Z_1^{(0)*}$  vanishes.

We would like to use this fact to find QNMs from the IR part of the geometry. The question we need to answer is therefore in what other cases can  $\mathcal{F} = 0$ ? We can always set  $Z_1^{(0)}$  and  $Z_2^{(0)}$  to be real. Then the flux vanishes if  $Z_1^{(2)}$ ,  $Z_1^{(3)}$  and  $Z_2^{(1)}$  are real as well. This is something we would, however, not generically expect to be true.

#### B. Flux with complex frequency

We should look for the flux of  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$  fluctuations to find the value of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the QNMs. The off-shell action is

$$S^{(2)} = \frac{L^2}{\kappa^2} \int d^4k dr \left\{ Z'_i(-k)A_{ij}(k)Z'_j(k) + Z_i(-k)B_{ij}(k)Z'_j(k) + \cdots \right\}$$
(33)

Because only  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{22}$ ,  $B_{11}$  and  $B_{21}$  are non-zero the symmetry of this action is

$$Z_i(k) \to e^{i\alpha} Z_i(k)$$
  

$$Z_i(-k) \to e^{-i\alpha} Z_i(-k)$$
(34)

We are using -k for  $(-\omega, -k)$ . The Nöther current (flux) is then

$$\mathcal{F} = i \left\{ \left[ Z_1'(-k)Z_1(k) - Z_1(-k)Z_1'(k) \right] \left[ A_{11}(k) + A_{11}(-k) \right] + \left[ Z_2'(-k)Z_2(k) - Z_2(-k)Z_2'(k) \right] \left[ A_{22}(k) + A_{22}(-k) \right] + Z_1(-k)Z_1(k) \left[ B_{11}(k) - B_{11}(-k) \right] + Z_1(k)Z_2(-k)B_{21}(k) - Z_1(-k)Z_2(k)B_{21}(-k) \right\}$$
(35)

Now  $A_{11}$ ,  $A_{22}$  and  $B_{11}$  are invariant under  $k \to -k$ , whereas  $B_{21}(-k) = -B_{21}(k)$ .

$$\mathcal{F} = i \left\{ 2A_{11}(k) \left[ Z_1'(-k)Z_1(k) - Z_1(-k)Z_1'(k) \right] + 2A_{22}(k) \left[ Z_2'(-k)Z_2(k) - Z_2(-k)Z_2'(k) \right] + B_{21}(k) \left[ Z_1(-k)Z_2(k) + Z_1(k)Z_2(-k) \right] \right\}$$
(36)

Imagine that  $\mathcal{F}(\omega, k)$  is a polynomial defined over the complex plane of which zeroes we denote by  $\tilde{\omega}_i(k)$ . From our construction above I claim that these are the QNMs of the electron star system. Hence

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega,k) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\omega - \tilde{\omega}_i(k)\right) \tag{37}$$

### III. EXTERIOR OF THE STAR

Outside the star the geometry is that of the Reissner-Nordström-AdS. We have  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\rho} = \hat{p} = 0$ and

$$f = \frac{c^2}{r^2} - \hat{M}r + \frac{r^2\hat{Q}^2}{2}, \qquad \qquad g = \frac{c^2}{r^4f}, \qquad \qquad h = \hat{\mu} - r\hat{Q}. \tag{38}$$

Also, as everywhere along the geometry,

$$\mu(r) = \frac{h(r)}{\sqrt{f(r)}}.$$
(39)

Equations (2) and (3) become

$$0 = Z_1'' + 2kr^2h'Z_2' + \frac{\omega^2 f' + 2k^2rf^2}{f(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f)}Z_1' + \frac{g}{f}(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f)Z_1 + 2kr^2\sqrt{f}\mu\left(\frac{2\omega^2h'^2}{f(\omega^2 - k^2r^2f)}\right)Z_2,$$
(40)

$$0 = Z_2'' + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{g'}{g} \right) Z_2' - \frac{kh'}{\omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f} Z_1' + \frac{g}{f} \left( \omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f \right) Z_2 - \frac{2\omega^2 h'^2}{f \left( \omega^2 - k^2 r^2 f \right)} Z_2$$
(41)

#### IV. SMALL STAR LIMIT

The easiest case to tract analytically is the limit when the star becomes small. Fermionic excitations in this scenario were analysed in [1].

The profile of the star is characterised by three functions  $\hat{\sigma}$ ,  $\hat{\rho}$  and  $\hat{p}$ . They all reach their maximum value in the IR at  $r \to \infty$  limit, where the geometry is pure Lifshitz. They monotonically decrease with decreasing r and reach  $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\rho} = \hat{p} = 0$  at the boundary of the star  $(r = r_s)$ . The small star limit is characterised by

$$\lambda^2 \equiv h_\infty^2 - \hat{m}^2 \ll 1 \tag{42}$$

where  $\lambda^2 = \frac{6^{4/3} \hat{m}^{2/3} (1-\hat{m}^2)^{2/3}}{(2\hat{m}^4-7\hat{m}^2+6)^{2/3}} \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^{2/3}}$ . Therefore at an arbitrary  $\hat{m}$ , the small star limit is achieved by taking large  $\hat{\beta}$ . The exponent z becomes

$$z = \frac{1}{1 - \hat{m}^2} + \frac{\lambda^2}{\left(1 - \hat{m}^2\right)^2} + \dots$$
(43)

The correction to the Lifshitz geometry inside the star is

$$f = \frac{1}{r^{2z}} \left( 1 + f_1 \frac{1}{r^{|\alpha|}} + \dots \right)$$
  

$$g = \frac{g_{\infty}}{r^2} \left( 1 + g_1 \frac{1}{r^{|\alpha|}} + \dots \right)$$
  

$$h = \frac{h_{\infty}}{r^z} \left( 1 + h_1 \frac{1}{r^{|\alpha|}} + \dots \right)$$
(44)

where

$$\alpha| = \frac{\hat{m}\sqrt{3(2-\hat{m}^2)}}{\sqrt{1-\hat{m}^2}}\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1 - \frac{1}{2(1-\hat{m}^2)} + \dots$$
(45)

and

$$g_{\infty} = \frac{6 - 7\hat{m}^2 + 2\hat{m}^4}{6\left(1 - \hat{m}^2\right)^2} + \frac{\left(6 - 7\hat{m}^2 + 2\hat{m}^4\right)\left(1 + 4\hat{m}^2\right)}{12\hat{m}^2\left(1 - \hat{m}^2\right)^3}\lambda^2 + \dots$$
(46)

Corrections to the pure Lifshitz geometry inside the star therefore become exponentially suppressed for r > 1 when  $\lambda \ll 1$ . It is shown in [1] that  $f_1$ ,  $g_1$  and  $h_1$  can be normalised in such a way that to leading order in  $\lambda$  the boundary of the star is at  $r_s = 1$ , while the correction to the pure Lifshitz geometry remains exponentially suppressed.

[1] S. A. Hartnoll, D. M. Hofman and D. Vegh, JHEP 1108 (2011) 096 [arXiv:1105.3197 [hep-th]].