Exploration of the Criteria for Graviton Detection from Relic Conditions

Andrew Walcott Beckwith

Physics Department, Chongqing University, College of Physics, Chongqing University Huxi Campus, No. 44 Daxuechen Nanlu, Shapinba District, Chongqing 401331, People's Republic of China

Rwill9955b@gmail.com; abeckwith@uh.edu

Abstract. We will first of all reference a value of momentum, in the early universe. This is for 3+1 dimensions and is important since Wesson has an integration of this momentum with regards to a 5 dimensional parameter included in an integration of momentum over space which equals a ration of L divided by small 1 (length) and all this times a constant. The ratio of L over small 1 is a way of making deterministic inputs from 5 dimensions into the 3+1 dimensional HUP. In doing so, we come up with a very small radial component for reasons which due to an argument from Wesson is a way to deterministically fix one of the variables placed into the 3+1 HUP. This is a deterministic input into a derivation which is then First of all, we restate a proof of a highly localized special case of a metric tensor uncertainty principle first written up by Unruh. Unruh did not use the Roberson-Walker geometry which we do, and it so happens that the dominant metric tensor we will be examining is variation in δg_{tt} . We state that the metric tensor variations given by δg_{rr} , $\delta g_{\theta\theta}$ and $\delta g_{\phi\phi}$ are negligible contributions , as compared to the variation δg_{tt} . From there the expression for the HUP and its applications into certain cases in the early universe are strictly affected after we take into consideration a vanishingly small r spatial value how we define δg_{tt}

Key words, Massive Gravitons, Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle (HUP), Riemannian-Penrose Inequality

I. Introduction, why we analyse our HUP with very small radial r value. Here it comes directly from the 5th dimension

Wesson in [1], page 105 has the following result of how the momentum is affected by a 5 dimensional input (from the fifth dimension). In other words we have the following expression, namely

$$\int p_{\alpha} dx^{\alpha} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \frac{L}{\ell}$$
(1)

We will be defining what the momentum p_{α} is in our treatment of the early universe whereas the first five dimensional input values L here comes from the inverse of the square root of the cosmological constant

$$L \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \tag{2}$$

Whereas the term ℓ is equal to the Compton wavelength of a "particle" m for which

$$\ell = \frac{h}{m \cdot c} \tag{3}$$

So if we use the reasoning done in [2] in the early universe, namely [2] we have that due to that documents page 2, formula (9)

$$\left\langle p\right\rangle = -\frac{\tilde{\beta}}{2m_P} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{\pi G}} \cdot \frac{\ln t}{\varpi c}$$
(4)

And keeping in mind the Wesson 5 dimensional line element [1] given by

$$dS_{5-\dim}^{2} = \frac{L^{2}}{\ell^{2}} \cdot ds_{4-\dim}^{2} - \frac{L^{4}}{\ell^{4}} \cdot d\ell^{2}$$
(5)

We get an infinitesimal r value due to from the 5th dimension fixing the value of to be very small in a deterministic fashion

$$\int p_{\alpha} dx^{\alpha} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \frac{L}{\ell} = \pm \frac{h}{c} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \frac{m_{particle}}{h}$$
$$= \frac{r}{m_{pl}} \cdot \left(1 - \log\left[\frac{r}{\varpi \cdot c}\right]\right)$$
(6)
$$\Leftrightarrow r \approx \varepsilon^{+}$$

This is in tandem with the value of z, as to red shift showing up in [3] and it shows how to obtain a very small radial value in a different manner, namely in a tiny scale factor due to an enormous z red shift as given in [3] Quote

Note this comes from a scale factor, if $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{scale-factor} \sim 10^{-55}$, i.e. 55 orders of magnitude smaller than what would normally consider, but here note that the scale factor is not zero, so we do not have a space – time singularity.

End of quote

However that scale factor being very small, with enormous red shift is in tandem with Eq. (6). So go to the HUP

II. Recalling the argument from [3] as to the form of the early Universe HUP

Note this comes from a scale factor, if $z \sim 10^{55} \Leftrightarrow a_{scale-factor} \sim 10^{-55}$, i.e. 55 orders of magnitude smaller than what would normally consider, [3]

$$\left\langle \left(\delta g_{uv}\right)^{2} \left(\hat{T}_{uv}\right)^{2} \right\rangle \geq \frac{\hbar^{2}}{V_{Volume}^{2}}$$

$$\xrightarrow{uv \to tt} \left\langle \left(\delta g_{tt}\right)^{2} \left(\hat{T}_{tt}\right)^{2} \right\rangle \geq \frac{\hbar^{2}}{V_{Volume}^{2}}$$

$$\left\langle \delta g_{tr} \sim \delta g_{\theta\theta} \sim \delta g_{\phi\phi} \sim 0^{+}$$

$$\delta t \Delta E \geq \frac{\hbar}{\delta g_{tt}} \neq \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

$$Unless \quad \delta g_{tt} \sim O(1)$$

$$\left\langle \delta g_{tt} \sim a^{2}(t) \cdot \phi <<1$$

$$(8)$$

Then, there is no way that Eq. (9) is going to come close to $\delta t \Delta E \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$.

III. How we can justifying writing very small $\delta g_{rr} \sim \delta g_{\theta\theta} \sim \delta g_{\phi\phi} \sim 0^+$ values.

To begin this process, we will break it down into the following coordinates [3]

In the rr, $\theta\theta$ and $\phi\phi$ coordinates, we will use the Fluid approximation, $T_{ii} = diag(\rho, -p, -p, -p)$ [3] with

$$\delta g_{rr} T_{rr} \ge -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2 \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t} \cdot r^2}{V^{(4)}} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{a \to 0} 0$$

$$\delta g_{\theta\theta} T_{\theta\theta} \ge -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2 \mathbf{t}}{V^{(4)} \left(1 - k \cdot r^2\right)} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{a \to 0} 0$$

$$\delta g_{\phi\phi} T_{\phi\phi} \ge -\left| \frac{\hbar \cdot a^2 \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t} \cdot \sin^2 \theta \cdot d\phi^2}{V^{(4)}} \right| \xrightarrow[a \to 0]{a \to 0} 0$$
(10)

IV. After doing this, how can we obtain values of δg_{tt}

We win put in different values of the scalar potential and make comments as to what this pertains to in terms of early universe physics

The first one will be using a scalar field from inflaton physics, as presented by Padmanabhan, [4]. For the record, Dr. Tony Scott has communicated his disapproval of involving the Padmanabhan potential to the author in communications, but this will be presented as one of the possible choices

First we have from [2]

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda\phi}{m_P}\right) \leftrightarrow V_0 \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{16\pi G}{v}} \cdot \phi\right) \quad (11)$$

And also [2] [5]

$$a(t) = a_{initial} t^{\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi = \ln \left(\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G V_0}{\nu \cdot (3\nu - 1)}} \cdot t \right)^{\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{16\pi G}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\phi} = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{4\pi G}} \cdot t^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{H^2}{\dot{\phi}} \approx \sqrt{\frac{4\pi G}{\nu}} \cdot t \cdot T^4 \cdot \frac{(1.66)^2 \cdot g_*}{m_p^2} \approx 10^{-5}$$
(12)

Where we can put in the values of Eq. (12) into Eq. (9). We can write an expression for V_0 from [10], page 153 taking the form of if the denominator is the e fold value of inflation,

$$V_0^{1/4} = \frac{.022m_P}{\sqrt{qN_{e-folds}}}$$
(13)

And using the Starobinsky model, plus [2] [6] [7] to get a value of L

And so we obtain if we have a scale factor behaving as in (12)

$$\Lambda \approx \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma - 1} + 2N + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{I}}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3 x} + \left(\overline{U} \cdot \left(\underbrace{\ddot{I}}_{a} \cdot \underbrace{a} \right) \right)_{t=\tilde{t}} \\ \approx \frac{-\left[\frac{V_0}{3\gamma - 1} + 2V_0 \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left[-q \cdot \phi/m_p\right]\right)^2 + \frac{\gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{8\pi G \cdot \tilde{I}}\right]}{\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} \cdot d^3 x}$$

$$+ 6 \cdot \frac{-t \cdot \gamma \cdot (3\gamma - 1)}{m_p G} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{8\pi}}$$

$$+ \frac{48\pi G}{3} \cdot \left[V_0 \cdot \left(1 - \exp\left[-q \cdot \phi/m_p\right]\right)^2 \right]$$

$$(14)$$

This can be put into the value of Eq. (9), If we presume Planck time, then if the value of Eq. (9) is very small which is frequently a result, we will have a very large value for change in Energy, which would in its own way confirm the enormous value of M initially confirmed as forming which is in [7] via the relationship of change in energy E will be proportional to the very large value of M so initially formed

V. Conclusion: Comparing with the other assumed early uncertainty principles

What is in Eq. (9) plus the inputs into Eq. (14) put into Eq. (9) which influences Eq. (8) should be compared with

Following Uncertainty principle [8] [9] [10] [11]

$$\Delta t \geq \frac{\hbar}{\Delta E} + \mu t_P^{a} \frac{\Delta E}{\hbar} \Rightarrow \Delta E = \frac{\hbar \Delta t}{\gamma t_P^{2}} \Delta E = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta E = \frac{\hbar \Delta}{2\gamma t_P^{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\gamma t_P^{2}} \cdot \left($$

A point by point comparison of these values should be the next objective of a research project. Furthermore the items brought up in references [12] [13] [14] [15] [16] will be able to be vetted provided that we make the comparison between Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) with Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) in a rigorous manner.

In particular, I would look forward to eventual experimental verification, if the early universe HUP were really understood of investigating the great ideas brought up by Corda in [12]

Determination of that would be exciting experimental gravitational physics

V. Determining detection and LIGO , and other possible detectors playing a role in data analysis , as a conclusion to this inquiry

Using that rule, we could assume 10¹22 gravitons, as actually being generated from primordial conditions with say of this number, say at most about 10²1 Planck sized black holes being formed due to the uncertainty principles just elucidated, initially. This by Eq. (17) and Eq. (18) would in the following upper bound of about 1 Hertz for primordial black holes

Then we can use inputs from [17] [18] [19] where we are assuming the energy is an input into Delta E (change in energy) as to our above uncertainty principle relations. So then we use for energy,

$$E_{BEC-Graviton} \approx \frac{k_B T_{BH}}{2} \approx \frac{k_B \times 10^{-3} \times T_P}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{BEC-Graviton} \propto 10^{-5} \times 10^{43} Hz \approx 10^{38} Hz$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_{BEC-Graviton-to-CMBR} \approx 10^{38} \times 10^{-3} Hz$$
(17)

Whereas we would have simple primordial black hole – primordial black hole given by a redshift, of z \sim 10^25, that this would mean a present value of frequency as having a maximum value of about 1 Hz. This comes from [17][18] and [19]

The non-zero entropy would come from a massive graviton as seen by using the relationship [20]

$$m \approx \frac{M_{P}}{\sqrt{N_{gravitons}}}$$

$$M_{BH} \approx \sqrt{N_{gravitons}} \cdot M_{P}$$

$$R_{BH} \approx \sqrt{N_{gravitons}} \cdot l_{P}$$

$$S_{BH} \approx k_{B} \cdot N_{gravitons}$$

$$T_{BH} \approx \frac{T_{P}}{\sqrt{N_{gravitons}}}$$
(18)

Here we have that the mass of a graviton is related to small m in Eq. (18). Also the Energy of a graviton would be linked to creation of the mass of a black hole, which according to [17] [18[[19] and a massive redshift down from primordial conditions i.e. the initial high frequency GW and gravitons would be detectable about for a massive graviton , where we consider a massive graviton as given by Novello [21] as given by

$$m_g = \frac{\hbar \cdot \sqrt{\Lambda}}{c} \tag{19}$$

Also the Hawking argument as to the probability of finding a universe with Λ being a given value[22][23]

$$P(\Lambda) \sim \exp(-2S_E(\Lambda)) \approx \exp\left(\frac{3\pi M_P^2}{\Lambda}\right)$$
 (20)

If Eq. (20) holds with almost infinite probability, and if we can confirm that, as well as the reality of Eq. (18) holding and Eq (19) being the same as m in Eq. (18) we have a working schematic as far as graviton generation in relic conditions which is linkable to LIGO and other detectors.

The presence of the graviton's mass should indeed generate a longitudinal component in the LIGO response function which could be, in principle, detected if LIGO and the other interferometers will improve their sensitivity in the next few years. This is stressed, for example, [24] [in Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. D 18, 2275 (2009)

This matter of a longitudinal component in the LIGO response function, combined with the presence of non-zero entropy at the start of the universe, may be confirmable in the next several years.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported in part by National Nature Science Foundation of China grant No. 11375279

References

[1] Wesson, Paul, "Five dimensional physics, Classical and Quantum Consequences of Kaluza – Klein Cosmology", Hackensack, New Jersey, USA, 2006

[2] Beckwith, Andrew, "Examination of a Simpler Version of a Fifth Force Using Variant of Dilaton Model and Padmanabhan Inflaton Scalar Field in Early Universe Conditions", <u>https://vixra.org/abs/2209.0155</u>

[3] Beckwith, Andrew, "Refining the Unruh Metric Tensor Uncertainty Principle for a lower bound to Graviton mass as a Compliment to the NLED modification of GR giving an upper bound to a graviton mass", https://vixra.org/pdf/1509.0243v1.pdf [4] Sarkar, Uppal, "Particle and Astroparticle Physics", Taylor and Francis, 2008, New York City, New York, USA

[5] Padmanabhan, Thanu, "An Invitation to Astrophysics", World Press Scientific, World Scientific Series in Astronomy and Astrophysics: Volume 8, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, 2006

[6] Dimopoulos, Konstrantinous, "Introduction to Cosmic inflation and Dark Energy", CRC press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 2021

[7] Beckwith, Andrew, "How Initial Degrees of Freedom May Contribute to Initial Effective Mass", https://vixra.org/abs/2209.0144

[8] [13] Haranas, I. and Gkigkitzis, I. (2014) The Mass of Graviton and Its Relation to the Number of Information According to the Holographic Principle. International Scholarly Research Notices, 2014, Article ID: 718251. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/718251 http://www.hindawi.com/journals/isrn/2014/718251/

[9] Shalyt-Margolin, A. E., (2006) Deformed Density Matrix and 21 Quantum Entropy of the Black Hole, Entropy 8, no 1, 31 – 43

[10] Claus Kieffer,"quantum cosmology", pp 158-187, of "Toward quantum cosmology", Jerzy Kowalski-Glikman,editor,Springer verlag, lecture notes in physics, new york city, ny, usa, 2000

[11] Shalyt-Margolin, A.E., (2005, 1) The Density Matrix Deformation in Physics of the Early Universe and Some of its Implications, "Quantum Cosmology Research Trends .Chapter2." Horizons in World Physics, Vol. 246,49—91, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY

[12] C. Corda, "**Primordial gravity's breath**", EJTP 9, 26 , 1-10 (2012) ; <u>http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1772</u>

[13]_S. Gilen and D. Oriti, "Discrete and Continuum Third Quantization of Gravity", pp. 41-64, in *Quantum Field Theory and Gravity, Conceptual and Mathematical Advances in the Search for a Unified Framework* edited by F. Finster, O. Muller, M. Nardmann, J. Tolksdorf, E. Zeidler, by Birkhauser, Springer- Verlag, London, UK, 2010

[14] N. D. Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical physics, Cambridge University press, London, UK, 1982

[15] Y. Jack Ng, Y. Jack, "Holographic foam, dark energy and infinite statistics," Phys. Lett. B, 657, (2007), pp. 10-14

[16] Y. Jack Ng,"Article: Space-time Foam: From Entropy and Holography to Infinite Statistics and Nonlocality" Entropy 2008, 10(4), 441-461; DOI: 10.3390/e10040441

[17] Calmet, Xavier, Car, Bernard, Winstanley, Elizabeth, "Quantum Black holes", Springer Briefs in Physics, Springer New York City, New York, USA 2014

[18] Beckwith, A.W. (2021) Penrose Suggestion as to Pre Planck Era-Black Holes Showing Up in Present Universe Data Sets Discussed, with a Possible Candidate as to GW Radiation Which May Provide Initial CMBR Data. Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology, 7, 1264-1277

[19] <u>Alexander D. Dolgov</u>, <u>Damian Ejlli</u>, "**Relic gravitational waves from light primordial** black holes", <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2303</u>, Phys.Rev.D84:024028,2011

[20] P.H. Chavanis, "Self Gravitating Bose-Einstein Condensates", pp 151-194, of Quantum Aspects of Black Holes, with Xavier Calmet, Editor, of Fundamental Theories of Physics, 178, Springer Nature, Cham, Switzerland, 2012

[21] Novello, M. ; "The mass of the graviton and the cosmological constant puzzle", https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0504505

[22] Linde, A.D., " Inflation and Quantum Cosmology", Academic Press, San Diego, California, 1990, USA

[23] Hawking, S. W. Phys. Lett B 134, 403 (1984)
[24] Corda, Christian, "Interferometric detection of gravitational waves: the definitive test for General Relativity", Int. J. Mod. Phys. D18:2275-2282,2009, <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.2502</u>