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## 1) The "Shrinking Matter Theory with Variable Speed of Light" (SMT-VSL)

This is an alternative theory of the evolution of the universe, which considers the possibility of the evolution of matter over time, which allows the variation of parameters that we consider constant, but that can vary so slowly over time, which is difficult in our lifetime we notice any change. The two main constants that govern the behavior of the universe are the speed of light and Panck's constant. In this Theory we are considering the possibility of the variation of the speed of light, because we know that it is very sensitive to variations in medium, which can be the key to solving the problems found in the theory of the expansion of the universe, thus explaining observed redshift emissions from deep space objects, without the need for its expansion.
The SMT-VSL and the expanding universe theory are equivalent. If we make our world as the reference frame, the universe should expand. If we make the universe as the reference frame, matter should shrink. Laws of physics work to both theories.
The main difference of the expanding universe and the SMT-VSL is what causes the longer wavelength emissions observed of the deep space objects.
The Doppler shift (redshift) is well known in the expanding universe theory.
In the SMT-VSL, the universe is the reference frame, so there is not expansion to cause redshift (except in the systemic local movements like rotation, orbits, binary systems, turbulence, ejection, gravitational effect and gravitational falling), so, the longer wavelengths observed are actually longer emission lines due the bigger size of the atoms in the past.
If we assume the speed of the light vary along the time, and the Planck constant keeps the same value, light speed " $C$ " decreases by the factor of $(1+Z)^{-1 / 3}$ along the past time, i.e.:

$$
c_{(\mathrm{f})}=c_{(\mathrm{o})}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}
$$

The factor $(1+Z)^{-1 / 3}$ is not a magic number. It is the factor that enables compatible results of the emission lines and others definitions in the Bohr model.
To simplify, we could call $(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$, so, $\boldsymbol{c}_{(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \boldsymbol{c}_{(\mathrm{o})}$.
Z: (observed redshift)
$\mathcal{C}_{(\mathrm{f})}:$ Light speed in the observed frame.
$C(\mathrm{o})$ : Light speed in our local frame.
Constant dependence:
We must apply the constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for all formulae and constants used in physics were light speed " c " is used.
So that simplify the work, we can apply the constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ directly over the used values of our local frame, observing the right exponential use of light speed, as follow;
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}} \quad$ "light speed"
$\lambda_{\mathrm{f}}=\lambda_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{-3} \quad$ "wavelength emission lines"
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{-2} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}$
"Bohr radius and body sizes"
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{4} \quad$ "energy of the emission lines"
$\sigma_{W(f)}=\sigma_{W(0)}\left(K_{c}\right) \quad$ "Wien Displacement Constant"
$\mathrm{R}_{\infty(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{R}_{\infty(0)}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{3} \quad$ "Rydberg constant"
$\mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{T}_{(0)}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\mathbf{- 2} \quad \text { "Temperature of emission lines (Wien)" }}$ $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}(0)}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} \quad$ "Coulomb constant"
${ }_{\text {(f) }}$ Observed frame in the past.
(0) our local frame at present

## 2) Example changing to a reference frame in the past

Suppose we search a galaxy and we detect Ly $\alpha$ emissions being three times greater than Ly $\alpha$ in our world. The observed wavelength is exactly $3647,01 \AA$.
The H Ly $\alpha$ in our frame is $1215,67 \AA$.
So, the redshift Z is $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha_{(\mathrm{f})} / \operatorname{Ly} \alpha_{(\mathrm{o})}-1=2$
The constant $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is $(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}=(1+2)^{-1 / 3}=0.693361274$
So, $K_{C}=0.693361274$
Now we can determine the main constants of the reference frame in the past;
Symbol formula* $\quad$ local $^{*}$ frame $_{(0)} \quad$ past frame ${ }_{(f)}$
$\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{f})} \quad \mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{o})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \quad 299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{[1]} \quad 207864480,72 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\operatorname{Ly\alpha }_{(f)} \quad \operatorname{Ly\alpha }(\mathrm{o})\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{-3} \quad 1215.67 \AA \quad 3647.01 \AA$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}$ "Bohr" $\mathrm{r}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{-2} \quad 0.529 \AA 1.1007 \AA$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{E}_{(\mathrm{f})} & \mathrm{E}_{(\mathrm{o})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{4} & 10.204 \mathrm{eV}\end{array}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{W}^{(f)}} \quad \sigma_{\mathrm{W}^{(0)}}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \quad 0.0028978 \mathrm{mK} \quad 0,00200922 \mathrm{mK}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\infty \text { (f) }} \quad \mathrm{R}_{\infty(0)}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{3} \quad 10973730.68 \mathrm{~m}^{-1} \quad 3657910.23 \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{f})} & \mathrm{T}_{(\mathrm{o})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{4} & 23836 & \mathrm{~K} & 5509 \\ \mathrm{~K}\end{array}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{f})} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}(0)}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2} \quad 8987551792.30 \quad 4320764237.85 \quad\left(\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} \mathrm{C}^{-2}\right)$
(f) observed frame in the past
${ }_{(0)}$ local frame at present

* simplified formula

In the Hubble law, if we consider $\mathrm{H}_{0}=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$ and assume it is enough to determine the distance, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{\left((1+Z)^{2}-1\right) c}{\left((1+Z)^{2}+1\right) H_{0} 10^{3}} \quad m p c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]
## 3) The CMBs in the SMT-VSL

The lack of peak emissions pattern, avoids us to determine exactly what actually the CMBs are. This could let us to various scenarios.
3.1) One is assuming the CMBs could be the first thermal emission lines. In this scenario, if we consider the CMBs are Lyman alpha emissions, we have:

$$
Z=\frac{1.063214(10)^{-3}}{1.21567(10)^{-7}}-1 \quad \Rightarrow
$$

Z: $\qquad$ 8744.91
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ : $\qquad$ 0.048536114
$c=$ $\qquad$ 14550761 m/s

Temperature: $\qquad$ 0.13 K

Wavelength: $\qquad$ 1.063214 mm

Energy: $\qquad$ $5.663(10)^{-5} \mathrm{eV}$
$\sigma_{W(c)}$ $\qquad$ 0.000140648 mK
3.2) The other scenario is to assume that CMBs could be hyperfine transitions of neutral hydrogen, known as 21 cm line. In this case, the redshift is negative (blue shift), and the radiation could be the remaining of the collapsed universe, which provided the energy to the emergence of the universe we know. In this case we have:

$$
Z=\frac{1.063214(10)^{-3}}{2.1106114(10)^{-1}}-1 \quad \Rightarrow
$$

Energy: $\qquad$ $6.8(10)^{-3} \mathrm{eV}$
$\sigma_{\text {W(f) }}$ $\qquad$ 0.0169043 mK

Light speed "c" $\qquad$ 1748839285.4 m/s

The SMT-VSL states that light speed " $c$ " varies along the time, so the energy of the photon also varies with the time. In this scenario, there is a systematic error in our researches assuming that the observed waves, emitted in the past and detected in our devices have the same energy as the waves produced in our local frame. We shouldn't forget that the waves with the same frequency and phase, can be added and give the impression that they are more energetic. The amount of energy of each wave could be determined by the receptor, but it may not represent the real emitted energy of the wave.

The peak of CMBs are the most populous microwaves in the universe, as well hydrogen is the most abundant element in nature, so, for now we should suppose (and state), CMBs are hyperfine transitions of neutral hydrogen, that provided part of the energy needed for the emergence of the universe we know. I know it is a hard exercise for minds which are indoctrinated in assuming the BB as a fact, but I hope you can. We know the CMBs are the most distant emissions
detected, among the unresolved CXRBs, so, in this scenario, the wavelength of the CMB, compared with the be hyperfine transition of neutral hydrogen in our reference frame ( 21 cm ), result negative redshift (blue shift). This could only be attributed to the remaining hyperfine transition of neutral hydrogen, in its collapsed last phase of the cyclic universe.

In this scenario, as issued later, the redshift is negative (blue shift), and can be calculated as follow:

$$
Z=\frac{1.063214}{211.06114}-1 \quad \Rightarrow
$$

$Z=-0.99496253$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}=(1-0.99496253)^{-1 / 3} \Rightarrow$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}=5.833499939$
In this scenario, we have;

The Light speed $\mathrm{C}_{(0)}$ is $14550760.99 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.555 \mathrm{pm}$ (Bohr radius)
$\operatorname{Ly\alpha }_{(f)}=6.123975 \hat{A}$
$\mathrm{E}(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha(\mathrm{f}))=11817 \mathrm{eV}$
$\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{n}=1)=15749 \mathrm{eV}$
$T\left(\operatorname{Lyo}_{(\mathrm{f})}\right)=27602611 \mathrm{~K}$
$\sigma_{W(f)}=0.016904316 \mathrm{mK}$
In this transition, $\left(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha_{(f)}\right)$, the hyperfine transitions of neutral hydrogen can happen in the ground state and would be:
Temperature: $\qquad$ 15.9 K

Wavelength: $\qquad$ 1.063214 mm

Energy: $\qquad$ $6.803(10)^{-3} \mathrm{eV}$
$\sigma_{W(f)} \ldots \quad 0.016904316 \mathrm{mK}$
The unexpected and most important result in this scenario is that the Ly $\alpha(\mathrm{f})$ falls surprisingly in the lower end band of the unresolved CXRB (Cosmic X-Ray Background). So, the SMT-VSL, in this scenario, could solve the origin of the CMB and the unresolved CXRB as being remnants of the past collapsed cycle of the universe, and the future of this cycle. Of course, this needs further resources, but it is a strong evidence of the consistence of this theory.

## 4) The Fine-Structure Constant in the SMT-VSL

The fine-structure constant " $\alpha$ " is a dimensionless value, but it reflects the relationship between the electromagnetic coupling constant ' e " and " $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ", " h ", and "c".
$\mathrm{e}=\left(2 \alpha \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{h} C\right)^{1 / 2}$ or $\mathrm{e}^{2}=2 \alpha \varepsilon_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{h} C$

As c is variable, result $\varepsilon_{o}$ is also variable, then $\alpha$ should vary at the same rate of c .

Rewriting the expression we have:
$\alpha=\frac{e 2}{2 h \varepsilon_{o} c}$
But,
$\varepsilon_{o}=\frac{1}{\mu_{o} c^{2}}$
And,
$\mu_{0}=4 \pi(10)^{-7}=$ constant
So,
$\alpha=\frac{e^{2} \mu_{o} c}{2 h}$
Or,
$\alpha=\frac{e^{22} \pi(10)^{-7} c}{h} \Rightarrow$
$\alpha_{o}=\frac{e^{22 \pi(10)^{-7} c_{o}}}{h} \Rightarrow$
$\alpha_{(f)}=\frac{e^{22 \pi(10)^{-7} c_{(f)}}}{h} \Rightarrow$
$\alpha_{(f)}=\frac{e^{22 \pi(10)^{-7} c_{(o) K c}}}{h} \Rightarrow$
$\alpha_{(\mathrm{f})}=\alpha_{(\mathrm{o})}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$

Or,
$\alpha_{(\mathrm{f})}=\alpha_{(\mathrm{o})}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}$
$\alpha_{\text {(o) }}=0.007297352$ 5698(24)
${ }^{(0)}$ :our local frame
(f): distant reference frame

Z : redshift
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{c}}$ : scaling factor of light speed " c " as a function of Z
"However, if multiple coupling constants are allowed to vary simultaneously, not just $\alpha$, then in fact almost all combinations of values support a form of stellar fusion. ${ }^{[3]}$
"Specifically, the values of $\alpha, G$, and/or c can change by more than two orders of magnitude in any direction (and by larger factors in some directions) and still allow for stars to function."[6]

## 5) The redshift, the time and distance relationship

Since in the SMT-VSL there is not receding speed, there is no reason to determine the distance and time, (past), based in the standard model (expanding universe), which is necessary determine the apparent receding speed to infer the distance and past time, based in the Hubble constant.

In SMT-VSL, size of the atom decreases along the time, so, time should be defined by the rule of Lost in VoLume per unit of time (LVL). The LVL can be mathematically defined as $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{VL}} / \mathrm{dt}$.. The LVL should vary along the time, according to the size of the atoms, and this variance could be proportional to the surface or to the volume of the atoms along the time.
This would let us to two hypotheses, A, and B.
The hypothesis A proposes the LVL variance could be proportional to the surface of the atoms.
The hypothesis B proposes the LVL variance could be proportional to the volume of the atoms.
Now we can develop the two hypotheses to analyze the possibility of choose the one which best fit to the observations.

## 5.1) Hypothesis A:

### 5.1.1 Determination of time and distance relationship in function of ( $1+\mathbf{Z}$ )

The hypothesis A proposes that LVL ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{VL}} / \mathrm{dt}$ ) varies proportionally to the surface $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{f}}$ of the atom.
The LVL is defined as the vary of the volume " $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{VL}}$ " by the vary of time " $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}$ " ie $\mathrm{LVL}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{VL}} / \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{t}}$, so, we can write:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{L V L}{s_{f}}=\text { constant }=K_{s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d_{V L} / d_{t}}{s_{f}}=K_{s} \Rightarrow \\
\frac{d_{V L}}{d_{t} s_{f}}=K_{s} \Rightarrow \\
d_{t}=\frac{d_{V L}}{K_{S} S_{f}}(I)
\end{gathered}
$$

The volume of the atom $V L$ can be defined by the function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V L=\frac{4 \pi r_{f}^{3}}{3} \\
r_{f}=r_{o}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{2 / 3} \Rightarrow \\
V L=\frac{4 \pi\left(r_{0}(1+Z)^{2 / 3}\right)^{3}}{3} \Rightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

Replacing $(1+Z)$ by $x$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V L=\frac{4 \pi r_{o}^{3} x^{2}}{3}(I I) \Rightarrow \\
d_{V L}=\frac{8 \pi r_{o}^{3} x}{3}(I I I) \Rightarrow \\
S_{f}=4 \pi r_{f}^{2} \Rightarrow S_{f}=4 \pi\left(r_{o}(1+Z)^{2 / 3}\right)^{2} \Rightarrow \\
S_{f}=4 \pi r_{o}^{2}(1+Z)^{4 / 3} \Rightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

Replacing $(1+Z)$ by $x$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{f}=4 \pi r_{o}^{2} x^{4 / 3} \tag{IV}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (II) and (III) in (I), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{t}=\frac{8 \pi r_{o}^{3} x}{3 K_{s}} \frac{1}{4 \pi r_{o}^{2} x^{4 / 3}} \Rightarrow \\
d_{t}=\frac{2 r_{0}}{3 K_{S}} x^{-1 / 3} \Rightarrow \\
\int d_{t}=\int \frac{2 r_{0}}{3 K_{S}} x^{-1 / 3} d_{x}+C \Rightarrow \\
t=\frac{2 r_{o} 3 x^{2 / 3}}{3 K_{s} 2}+C \Rightarrow \\
t=\frac{1}{K_{s}} r_{0} x^{2 / 3}+C
\end{gathered}
$$

But $r_{0} x^{2 / 3}=r_{f}$, so, the time is directly proportional to the radius of the atoms. This case is similar to a spherical block of ice, defrosting in an isothermal medium. The release of liquid water decreases along the time, because it is proportional to the surface of the block, but the decreasing in the diameter is constant per unit of time.
But, $\left(r_{0} / K_{S}\right)$ is constant and we can replace it by $K_{A}$, so,
$t=K_{A} x^{2 / 3}+C$

For $Z=0 \Rightarrow x=1$ and $t=0$
So, for $Z=0$ we have:

$$
O t=K_{A}(1)^{2 / 3}+C=>
$$

$C=-K_{A}=>$
$t=K_{A} x^{2 / 3}-K_{A}=>$

$$
t=K_{A}\left(x^{2 / 3}-1\right)(\mathrm{V}) \quad(\mathrm{Gyr})
$$

$x=(1+Z)$

$$
t=\text { Time } \quad(\mathrm{Gyr})
$$

$K_{A}=$ Stretching factor of the function so that fitting it to the measured observations at low redshifts.

### 5.1.1.1) Distance of deep space objects (hypoth. A)

In the SMT-VSL, light speed was smaller in the past, but has been getting bigger along the time due the dynamic evolution of free space. In reality, when matter shrinks it is absorbing energy from free space.
In this scenario, there is not anymore equivalence between distance, (Gly), and time, (Gyr). Time is bigger than distance because of the smaller light speed in the past, although in the local frame, (at low redshifts), this difference is neglected.

In a very small time period "dt" in a past frame," f ", the distance " $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{D}}$ " traveled by light would be:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{c}_{(\mathrm{f})} \mathrm{dt}(\mathrm{VI})
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{f})} \mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{o})}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}$
Let $(1+Z)=x=>$
$t=K_{A}\left(X^{2 / 3}-1\right)(\mathrm{V})=>$

$$
c_{(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{c}_{(\mathrm{o})} \mathrm{X}^{-1 / 3}(\mathrm{VII})
$$

$$
d t=t^{\prime}=\frac{t}{d x}=\frac{2 K_{A} x^{-1 / 3}}{3}(V I I I)
$$

Appling (VII), and (VIII) in (VI) we have:
$d_{D}=c_{o} x^{-1 / 3} \frac{2 K_{A} x^{-1 / 3}}{3} d x \Rightarrow$
$d_{D}=c_{o} \frac{2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3}}{3} d x \Rightarrow$
$\int d_{D}=\int c_{o} \frac{2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3}}{3} d x \Rightarrow$
$\int d_{D}=\int_{1}^{x} c_{o} \frac{2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3}}{3} d x \Rightarrow$
$D=c_{0} 2 K_{A} x^{1 / 3}+C$
( $\mathrm{x}=1+\mathrm{Z}$ )
For $Z=0 \Rightarrow x=1$ and $D=0$, so,
$0=c_{0} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}} 1^{1 / 3}+\mathrm{C} \Rightarrow$
$\mathrm{C}=-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}$
Then,
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}} 2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1 / 3}-1\right)$
In this equation, light speed, " $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ", in the local frame, must be in $\mathrm{Gly} / \mathrm{Gyr}=1$, then:
$\mathrm{D}=2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1 / 3}-1\right)$ ( $\mathrm{x}=1+\mathrm{Z}$ ) =>

$$
\mathrm{D}=2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}\left[(1+\mathrm{Z})^{1 / 3}-1\right] \quad(\mathrm{IX}) \quad(\mathrm{Gly})
$$

In this scenario, the distance at $\mathrm{Z}=11.5$ is 54.57 Gly , although past time is 90.61 Gyr .
5.1.2) The redshift $Z$ can now be expressed in function of the time $t$ as follow:
$t=K_{A}\left(x^{2 / 3}-1\right) \quad(\mathrm{V}) \quad \Rightarrow$
$\frac{t}{K_{A}}=x^{2 / 3}-1 \Rightarrow x^{2 / 3}=\frac{t}{K_{A}}+1 \Rightarrow x=\left(\frac{t+K_{A}}{K_{A}}\right)^{3 / 2} \Rightarrow$
$x=(1+Z) \Rightarrow$

$$
Z=\left[\frac{t+K_{A}}{K_{A}}\right]^{3 / 2}-1(X)
$$

## $t:(\mathrm{Gyr})$

5.1.3) Now we can determine the relationship of the evolution of light speed "c" in any time, for hypothesis A. We know $c_{f}=c_{0}(1+Z)^{-1 / 3}$, so,
$c_{f}=c_{o}\left[\left(\frac{t+K_{A}}{K_{A}}\right)^{3 / 2}\right]^{-1 / 3} \Rightarrow$

$$
c_{f}=c_{0}\left[\frac{t+K_{A}}{K_{A}}\right]^{-1 / 2}(X I)
$$

### 5.1.4) The $K_{A}$ constant

The farthest bodies newly observed present redshift of about $Z=11.09$, so we will limit our researches in the range of $Z$ from 0 to 11.5 to be conservative (not so distant, but not sharply)
The distance $D$ in the standard model for $\mathrm{Z}=11.5$ is $13.597 \mathrm{Gly},{ }^{[4]}$
The Bohr radius in the SMT-VSL model, $r_{o}$ and $r_{f}$ are:
$r_{o}=5.291773 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}($ for $\mathrm{Z}=0)$
$r_{f}=2.8517 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}($ for $\mathrm{Z}=11.5)$
$r_{o}=$ Bohr radius of neutral hydrogen at present, in our local frame (o).
$r_{f}=$ Bohr radius of neutral hydrogen in the past frame (f).
The above equations (V) and (IX), are basic to define all relationships in the SMT-VSL, for hypothesis A.
Now we can determine the best value for the constant $K_{A}$, so that calibrating the equation to observed distances. This calibration must be done at low redshift, where we can determine distances by parallax. This mean the above function should give us the same value when the redshift is null (zero), and at low redshifts give us neglected differences when compared within the standard model. This mean the tangent of the above function (IX), at $Z=0$, should be the same as the tangent in the correspondent function of the standard model (expanding universe).

In the standard model, (expanding universe), the equation to define the distance can be expressed as follow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{\left[(1+Z)^{2}-1\right] c}{\left[(1+Z)^{2}+1\right] H_{0} 10^{3}} \quad m p c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$H_{0}=$ Hubble constant $=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$

To take the result in Gly and Gyr, the equation becomes:

$$
D=(t)=\frac{\left[(1+Z)^{2}-1\right] c 3.26156377714188}{\left[(1+Z)^{2}+1\right] H_{o} 10^{6}} \text { Gly }(\text { Gyr })
$$

As "c" varies with time in the SMT-VSL, we must consider just the distance relationship of this equation, although at low $Z$, the difference between time and distance would be neglected.

We can replace $(1+Z)$ by $x$, then,

$$
D=\frac{\left(x^{2}-1\right) c 3.26156377714188}{\left(x^{2}+1\right) H_{0} 10^{6}}(X I I)(\text { Gly })
$$

$x=(1+Z)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x^{2}-1\right)=u, \quad\left(x^{2}+1\right)=v \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(\frac{u}{\mathrm{v}}\right)=\frac{u^{\prime} \mathrm{v}-u \mathrm{v}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}} \Rightarrow \\
d_{D}=D^{\prime}=\frac{D}{d x}=\frac{2 x^{3}+2 x-2 x^{3}+2 x}{x^{4}+2 x^{2}+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}} \Rightarrow \\
d_{D}=\frac{4 x}{x^{4}+2 x^{2}+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}}(X I I I)
\end{gathered}
$$

The function to determine distance " D " in the past time in the SMT-VSL model, hypothesis A, is:
$D=2 K_{A}\left(X^{1 / 3}-1\right)(\mathrm{IX}) \Rightarrow$
$d_{D}=D^{\prime}=\frac{D}{d x}=\frac{1}{3} 2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3} \Rightarrow$

$$
d_{D}=\frac{2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3}}{3}(X I V) \Rightarrow
$$

$x=(1+Z)$

For $\mathrm{Z}=0, \Rightarrow x=1$
To impose the same tangency of the two functions (IX and XII) at $x=1$, implies (XIII) $=($ XIV $)$, at $x=1$, so, matching (XIII) and (XIV), we have:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{2 K_{A} x^{-2 / 3}}{3} & =\frac{4 x}{x^{4}+2 x+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}} \Rightarrow \\
\frac{2 K_{A}}{3} & =\frac{4 \quad c 3.26156377714188}{1+2+1} \Rightarrow \\
H_{o} 10^{6}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
K_{A}=20.657582148024 \text { (best value for } K_{A} \text {, for hypothesis A, for } \mathrm{H}_{0}=71 \text { ) }
$$

$\mathrm{c}=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$H_{0}=$ Hubble constant $=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$

### 5.1.5) Shrinking speed $S H V$ along the time in function of the redshift

The shrinking speed $S H V$ of the Bohr radius can be defined as $d r / d t$.
The Bohr radius in the past is defined by the function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{f}=r_{o} x^{2 / 3} \Rightarrow \quad d r=\frac{2 r_{o} x^{-1 / 3}}{3} \\
t=K_{A}\left(x^{2 / 3}-1\right)(\mathrm{V}) \quad(\mathrm{Gyr}) \\
d t=\frac{2 K_{A} x^{-1 / 3}}{3} d x \\
S H V=\frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{2 r_{0} x^{-1 / 3}}{3} \frac{3}{2 K_{A} x^{-1 / 3}} \Rightarrow \\
S H V=\frac{r_{0}}{K_{A}} \quad \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{Gyr} \Rightarrow \\
S H V=\frac{r_{o}}{K_{A} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})(X V I)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.S H V=8.117335(10)^{-29} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=\text { constant (for hypothesis } \mathrm{A}\right)
$$

The Shrinking speed is constant along the time.
This speed refers to Bohr radius.

### 5.1.6) Specific shrinking speed (SPV)

The specific shrinking speed is defined as $V_{f} / r_{f}$.
$V f$ : Shrinking speed in a reference frame $S H V$ (XVI).
$r_{f}$ : Bohr radius in a reference frame. $r_{f}=r_{o} x^{2 / 3}$
So,

$$
\mathrm{SPV}=\frac{\mathrm{r}_{0}}{\mathrm{~K}_{A}(31557600)(10)^{9}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}_{0} x^{2 / 3}} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SPV}=\frac{\mathrm{x}^{-2 / 3}}{\mathrm{~K}_{A}(31557600) 10^{9}} \Rightarrow \\
& S P V=\frac{x^{-2 / 3}}{K_{A} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m})(X V I I) \\
& x=1+Z \\
& S P V=\frac{x^{-2 / 3}(3.08567758146719) 10^{22}}{K_{A}(31557600) 10^{9} 10^{3}} \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} \Rightarrow \\
& S P V=\frac{x^{-2 / 3}(3.08567758146719) 10^{10}}{K_{A}(31557600)} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} \Rightarrow \\
& \text { For } Z=0 \Rightarrow X=1 \Rightarrow S P V=1.534(10)^{-18} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} \quad \text { or } \quad 47,333 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} \\
& \text { The equatorial radius of the Earth is } 6378136.3 \mathrm{~m} \text {. } \\
& \text { The shrinking speed of the Earth radius would be: }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5.2) Hypothesis B:

### 5.2.1 Determination of time and distance relationship in function of ( $1+\mathrm{Z}$ )

This hypothesis proposes the $L V L\left(d_{V L} / d t\right)$ variance is proportional to the volume $V L_{f}$ of the atom, so we can write:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{L V L}{V L_{f}}=\text { constan } t=K_{V}=>\frac{d_{V L} / d_{t}}{V L_{f}}=K_{V} \Rightarrow \\
\frac{d_{V L}}{d_{t} V L_{f}}=K_{V} \Rightarrow \\
d t=\frac{d_{V L}}{K_{V} V L f}(X V I I I)
\end{gathered}
$$

The volume of the atom, " $V L$ ", can be defined by the function:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V L=\frac{4 \pi r_{f}^{3}}{3} \\
r_{f}=r_{o}(1+Z)^{2 / 3} \Rightarrow \\
V L=\frac{4 \pi\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{2 / 3}\right)^{3}}{3} \Rightarrow \\
V L=\frac{4 \pi \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}}^{3}(1+Z)^{2}}{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can call $x=(1+Z)$, so,

$$
\begin{gathered}
V L=\frac{4 \pi r_{o}^{3} x^{2}}{3}(I I) \Rightarrow \\
d_{V L}=\frac{8 \pi r_{o}^{3} x}{3}(I I I)
\end{gathered}
$$

Apliing (II) and (III) in (XVIII), we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
d t=\frac{d_{V L}}{K_{V} V L f}(X V I I I) \Rightarrow \\
d_{t}=\frac{8 \pi \mathrm{r}_{o}^{3} x}{3 K_{V}} \frac{3}{4 \pi \mathrm{r}_{o}^{3} x^{2}} \Rightarrow \\
d_{t}=\frac{2}{K_{V} x} \Rightarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

But, $\left(2 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ is constant, so we can call:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{2}{K_{V}}=K_{B} \Rightarrow \\
d_{t}=K_{B} \frac{1}{x}(X I X) \Rightarrow \\
\int d_{t}=\int K_{B} \frac{1}{x} d_{x}+C \Rightarrow \\
t=K_{B} \ln (x)+C
\end{gathered}
$$

For $Z=0 \Rightarrow x=1$ and $t=0$
So, for $\mathrm{Z}=0$, we have:

$$
0=K_{B} \ln (1)+C \Rightarrow C=0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
t=K_{B} \ln (x) \quad(\mathrm{XX})
$$

$x=(1+Z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=(1+Z) & \Rightarrow \frac{t}{K_{B}}=\ln (1+Z) \Rightarrow 1+Z=e^{\left(t / K_{B}\right)} \Rightarrow \\
Z & =e^{(t / K B)}-1 \quad(\mathrm{XXI}) \quad \text { (for hypothesis B) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$e=2.71828182845905$
$t:(\mathrm{Gyr})$
$K_{B}=$ see chapter 5.2.2)

### 5.2.1.1) Distance of deep space objects (hypoth. B)

In the SMT-VSL, light speed was smaller in the past, but has been getting bigger along the time due the dynamic evolution of free space. In reality, when matter shrinks it is absorbing energy from free space.
In this scenario, there is not anymore equivalence between distance, (Gly), and time, (Gyr). Time is bigger than distance because of the smaller light speed in the past, although in the local frame, (at low redshift), this difference is neglected.

In a very small time period "dt" in the past frame " f ", the space " $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{D}}$ " traveled by light would be:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{c}_{(\mathrm{f})} \mathrm{dt}(\mathrm{VI})
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{C}_{(\mathrm{o})}(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}$
Let $(1+Z)=x \Rightarrow$

$$
c_{(\mathrm{f})}=\mathrm{c}_{(\mathrm{o})} \mathrm{X}^{-1 / 3}(\mathrm{VII})
$$

$t=K_{B} \ln (x) \quad(\mathrm{XX}) \Rightarrow$
$d_{t}=K_{B} \frac{1}{x}(X I X)$
Appling (VII) and (XIX) in (VI) we have:
$d_{D}=c_{o} x^{-1 / 3} K_{B} \frac{1}{x} d x \Rightarrow$
$d_{D}=c_{0} K_{B}(\mathrm{x})^{-4 / 3} d_{x} \Rightarrow$
$\int d_{D}=\int c_{0} K_{B} x^{-4 / 3} d x \Rightarrow$
$D=-c_{o} 3 K_{B} x^{-1 / 3}+C \Rightarrow$
For $Z=0 \Rightarrow x=1$ and $S=0$, so,
$0=-c_{o} 3 K_{B} 1^{-1 / 3}+C \Rightarrow$
$C=c_{o} 3 K_{B}$
Then,

## $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{o}} 3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(1-\mathrm{x}^{-1 / 3}\right)$

In this equation, light speed, " $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ", in the local frame, must be in $\mathrm{Gly} / \mathrm{Gyr}=1$, then:

$$
D=3 K_{B}\left(1-x^{-1 / 3}\right)
$$

$(x=1+Z) \Rightarrow$

$$
\mathrm{D}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left[1-(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}\right] \quad(\mathrm{XXII})
$$

In this scenario, the distance at $\mathrm{Z}=11.5$ is 23.51 Gly , although past time is 34.78 Gyr .

### 5.2.2) The $K_{B}$ constant

Now we can determine the best value to the constant $K_{B}$, so that calibrating the equation to observed distances. This calibration must be done at low redshift, where we can determine distances by parallax. This mean the above function should give us the same value when the redshift is null (zero), and at low redshifts give us neglected differences. This mean the tangent of the above function, (XIII), at $Z=0$, should be the same as the tangent in the respective function of the standard model (expanding universe).

In the standard model, (expanding universe), the equation to define the distance can be expressed as follow:

$$
D=(t)=\frac{\left[(1+Z)^{2}-1\right] c 3.26156377714188}{\left[(1+Z)^{2}+1\right] H_{o} 10^{6}} \text { Gly }(\text { Gyr })
$$

As "c" vary with time in the SMT-VSL, we must consider just the distance relationship of this equation, although at low Z , the difference would be neglected.
We can replace $(1+Z)$ by $\mathcal{X}$, then,
$D=\frac{\left(x^{2}-1\right) c 3.26156377714188}{\left(x^{2}+1\right) H_{0} 10^{6}}($ XII $)($ Gly $)$
$x=(1+Z)$
and:
$d_{D}=\frac{4 x}{x^{4}+2 x^{2}+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}}(X I I I)$
In the "SMT-VSL", hypothesis B, the equation that describes distance is:
$\mathrm{D}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left[1-(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}\right] \quad$ (XXII) ${ }_{\text {(Gly) }}$
Let $(1+Z)=x \Rightarrow$
$\mathrm{D}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(1-\mathrm{X}^{-1 / 3}\right) \Rightarrow$

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{x})^{-4 / 3} \quad(\text { XXIII })
$$

For $\mathrm{Z}=0,=>x=1$
To force the same tangency in the two functions (XII) and (XXII) at $x=1$, implies (XIII) $=$ (XXIII), at $x=1$. Then, matching (XIII) and (XXIII), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{B} x^{-4 / 3} & =\frac{4 x}{x^{4}+2 x^{2}+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}} \Rightarrow \\
K_{B} & =\frac{4 x^{7 / 3}}{x^{4}+2 x^{2}+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}} \Rightarrow \\
K_{B} & =\frac{4}{1+2+1} \frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{o} 10^{6}} \Rightarrow \\
K_{B} & =\frac{c 3.26156377714188}{H_{0} 10^{6}}(X X I V)
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{B}=13.771721432016$ (best value for $K_{B}$ in the "SMT-VSL" hypothesis B, for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}=71$ )
$\mathrm{c}=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
$H_{0}=$ Hubble constant $=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$

### 5.2.3) The Shrinking speed $S H V$ along the time in function of the redshift

The shrinking speed $S H V$ of the Bohr radius can be defined as $d r / d t$.
The Bohr radius in the past is defined by the function:
$r_{f}=r_{o} x^{2 / 3} \Rightarrow d r=\frac{2 r_{o} x^{-1 / 3}}{3}$

$$
d_{t}=K_{B} \frac{1}{x}(X I X)
$$

$x=(1+Z)$
$S H V=\frac{d r}{d t}=\frac{2 r_{0} x^{-1 / 3}}{3} \frac{x}{K_{B}} \Rightarrow$
$S H V=\frac{d r}{d r}=\frac{2 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{3 K_{B}}(m / G y r) \Rightarrow$

$$
S H V=\frac{2 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{3 K_{B} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})(X X V)
$$

This speed refers to Bohr radius.
For $\mathrm{Z}=0, \mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{SHV}=8.1173(10)^{-29} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 5.2.4) Specific shrinking speed (SPV)

The specific shrinking speed $S P V$ is defined as $V_{f} / r_{f}$.
$V_{f}$ : Shrinking speed in a reference frame $S H V$ (XXV).
$r_{f}$ : Bohr radius in a reference frame.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad r_{f}=r_{o} x^{2 / 3} \Rightarrow \\
& S P V=\frac{V_{f}}{r_{f}}=\frac{2 r_{0} x^{2 / 3}}{3 K_{B}(31557600)(10)^{9}} \frac{1}{r_{o} x^{2 / 3}} \Rightarrow \\
& \qquad S P V=\frac{2}{3 K_{B} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m})(X X V I) \\
& S P V=1,534(10)^{-18} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} \\
& 3.0856775814672 \\
& S P V=\frac{2(3.08567758146719)(10)^{22}}{3 K_{B}(31557600) 10^{9} 10^{3}} \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} \Rightarrow \\
& S P V=\frac{2(3.08567758146719)(10)^{10}}{3 K_{B}(31557600)} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} \Rightarrow \\
& S P V \text { constant }=1.534(10)^{-18} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} \quad \text { or } 47,333 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}, \quad \text { (for hypothesis B) }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 5.2.5) The Shrinking acceleration (SHA) along the time in function of the redshift

The shrinking acceleration SHA is defined as the variation of the speed in function of the time, so, it can be defined mathematically as:
$S H A=\frac{d_{V}}{d_{t}}$
$\dot{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{SHV}$ (XIV) and $\quad d_{t}=(\mathrm{VII})$

$$
S H V=\frac{2 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{3 K_{B} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})(X X V)
$$

$x=(1+Z)$
$d_{V}=S H V^{\prime}=\frac{(2)(2) r_{0} x^{-1 / 3}}{(3)(3) K_{B}(31557600)(10)^{9}} \quad \Rightarrow$
$d_{V}=S H V^{\prime}=\frac{4 r_{0} x^{-1 / 3}}{9 K_{B}(31557600)(10)^{9}}$
$d_{t}=K_{B} \frac{1}{x}(X I X) \Rightarrow$

$$
S H A=\frac{d_{V}}{d_{t}}=\frac{4 r_{0} x^{-1 / 3}}{9 K_{B}(31557600)(10)^{9}} \frac{x}{K_{B}} \Rightarrow
$$

$$
S H A=\frac{4 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{9\left(K_{B}\right)^{2} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / G y r)(X X V I I) \Rightarrow
$$

$x=(1+Z)$
This acceleration refers to Bohr radius.
For $\mathrm{Z}=0, \mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{SHA}=3.9295(10)^{-30} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Gyr}$
Or $3.9295(10)^{-33} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Myr}$
This acceleration refers to Bohr radius.
For $\mathrm{Z}=0, \mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{SHA}=1.24517(10)^{-46} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$

### 5.2.6) Specific shrinking acceleration (SPA) along the time in function of the redshift

The specific acceleration $S P A$ is defined as the shrinking acceleration $S H A$ per unit of length.
This means as bigger the length of a body, as bigger the SHA.
The SPA can be defined as:
$S P A=\frac{S H A}{r_{f}}$
SHA : (XXVII), and, $r_{f}=r_{o} x^{2 / 3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad S H A=\frac{4 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{9\left(K_{B}\right)^{2} 31557600(10)^{9}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Gyr})(X X V I I) \Rightarrow \\
& S P A=\frac{4 r_{o} x^{2 / 3}}{9\left(K_{B}\right)^{2} 31557600(10)^{9}} \frac{1}{r_{0} x^{2 / 3}} \Rightarrow \\
& \qquad S P A=\frac{4}{9\left(K_{B}\right)^{2} 31557600(10)^{12}}(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{Myr})(X X V I I I) \Rightarrow \\
& \text { For hypothesis B, SPA = Constant }=7.4257(10)^{-23} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{Myr} \\
& \text { For hypothesis B, } S P A=\text { Constant }=2.2913(10)^{-3} \quad \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc} / \mathrm{Myr}
\end{aligned}
$$

5.3) The Graphic 01 presents the comparative evolution of distance (Gly) and time (Gyr), in function of redshift " $Z$ ", for the ACDM_SN1A distance ladder, the SMT-VSL hypothesis A, the SMT-VSL hypothesis B, and the Hubble law, were:
5.3.1) For "ACDM SN1A dist. Lader":

$$
D=10^{(\mu / 5+1)} \quad(p c)
$$

$\mu^{[2]}$ : Betoule et al 2014, Table F1, page 30, "http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.4064v2.pdf ".
$1 \mathrm{pc}=3.261563777141880(10)^{-9}$ Gly
5..3.2) For "SMT-VSL" hypothesis A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & =K_{A}\left[(1+Z)^{2 / 3}-1\right] \quad(\mathrm{Gyr})(\mathrm{V}) \\
D & =2 K_{A}\left[(1+Z)^{1 / 3}-1\right] \quad(\mathrm{Gly})(\mathrm{IX})
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{A}=20.657562147862$
$\mathrm{Z}=$ Redshift
5..3.3) For "SMT-VSL" hypothesis B:

$$
\begin{gathered}
t=K_{B} \ln (1+Z) \quad(\mathrm{Gyr})(\mathrm{XX}) \\
D=3 K_{B}\left[1-(1+Z)^{-1 / 3}\right] \quad(\mathrm{Gly})(\mathrm{XXII})
\end{gathered}
$$

$K_{B}=13.771721431908$
$\mathrm{Z}=$ Redshift
5.3.4) For "Hubble_law":

$$
D=\frac{\left((1+Z)^{2}-1\right) c}{\left((1+Z)^{2}+1\right) H_{0} 10^{3}} \quad \mathrm{mpc}
$$

$1 \mathrm{mpc}=3.26156377714188$ Mly $=0.00326156377714188$ Gly
Ho= Hubble constant $=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$
Z = Redshift
$\mathrm{c}=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

### 5.3.5) Graphic 01



## 6) The SN1A distance ladder and in the SMT-VSL

The SMT-VSL is characterized by the possibility of vary the light speed along the time as the factor of the redshift of the emissions in the past.
This justifies the bigger size of the atoms and bodies in the past, as well the longer wavelength emissions, and smaller energy and temperature.
The main relationship relative to the proprieties of the matter and the redshift is listed below.

$$
c_{f}=c_{o}(1+Z)^{1 / 3} \quad \text { Light speed }
$$

$\lambda_{f}=\lambda_{o}(1+Z)^{1 / 3} \quad$ Wavelength emissions
$r_{f}=r_{o}(1+Z)^{2 / 3} \quad$ Bohr radius, energetic $n$ level radius and body sizes
$E_{f}=E_{o}(1+Z)^{-4 / 3} \quad$ Energy of emission lines
$\sigma_{f}=\sigma_{o}(1+Z)^{-1 / 3} \quad$ Wien Displacement Constant .
$R_{\infty}=R_{\infty}(1+Z)^{-1} \quad$ Rydberg constant
$T_{f}=T_{o}(1+Z)^{-4 / 3} \quad$ Temperature of emission lines

The SN1a distance ladder is a system used to calculate distances based in the hypothesis which their luminosity peaks are constant, so, as fainter the flux received in our telescopes, as longer the distance from the Earth. The relationship for distance and flux is:

$$
\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}=\frac{\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}}(X X I X)
$$

$F_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ are flux and distance of a near and known SN1 A, which distance can be determined by parallax, used as standard reference.
$\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is the measured flux of a more distant SN 1 A , and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ is the unknown distance to be calculated.
The "distance modulus" " $\mu$ " is a logarithm scale where:
$\mu=2.5 \log \left(\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}\right)$
But,

$$
\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}=\frac{\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}}(X X I X)
$$

So,
$\mu=5 \log \left(\frac{D_{2}}{D_{1}}\right)$
The adopted standard distance $D_{1}$ is 10 pc , so that simplify the equation, since $\log 10=1$. The equation then becomes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=5 \log D_{2}-5 \quad(\mathrm{XXX}) \Rightarrow \\
D_{2}=10^{(\mu / 5+1)}(\mathrm{XXXI})
\end{gathered}
$$

. $\left.D_{2}: \mathrm{pc}\right)$

This equation works well for low redshifts, but in the SMT-VSL the flux $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is affected by the redshift. In the past, the energy of the emissions was smaller, as well the flux $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.
The energy of the emissions in the past is defined by the function $E_{\mathrm{f}}=E_{\mathrm{o}}(1+Z)^{-4 / 3}$.
To nullify the effects of the redshift in the observed flux $F_{2}$, we should replace it by corrected flux $F_{2 \mathrm{c}}$.
The $F_{2 c}$ should be higher, as if the emissions were emitted in our local frame.
$\mathrm{F}_{2 \mathrm{c}}$ can be defined as follow;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{2 c}=F_{2} \frac{E_{o}}{E_{f}} \Rightarrow \\
& F_{2 c}=F_{2} \frac{E_{o}}{E_{o}(1+Z)^{-4 / 3}} \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
F_{2 c}=F_{2}(1+Z)^{4 / 3}(\mathrm{XXXII})
$$

Then, the relationship between fluxes and distances becomes:

$$
\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2 c}}=\frac{\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}} \Rightarrow
$$

$\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}(1+Z)^{4 / 3}}=\frac{\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}} \Rightarrow$

$$
\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}=\frac{(1+Z)^{4 / 3}\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}}(X X X I I)
$$

The distance modulus function for the "SMT-VSL" becomes:

$$
\mu=2.5 \log \left(\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}\right) \Rightarrow
$$

$\mu=2.5 \log \left[\frac{(1+Z)^{4 / 3}\left(D_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D_{1}\right)^{2}}\right] \Rightarrow$
$\mu=2.5 \log \left[\frac{(1+Z)^{2 / 3} D_{2}}{D_{1}}\right]^{2} \Rightarrow$
$\mu=5 \log \frac{(1+Z)^{2 / 3} D_{2}}{D_{1}} \Rightarrow$
$\boldsymbol{\mu}=5 \log \left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)+5 \log (1+\mathrm{Z})^{2 / 3}-5 \log \left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right) \Rightarrow$
D1 $=10 \mathrm{pc} \Rightarrow \log \mathrm{D} 1=1 \Rightarrow$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}=5 \log \mathrm{D}_{2}+[(10 / 3) \log (1+\mathrm{Z})]-5 \quad(\text { XXXIV })
$$

Or :

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}=5\left[\log \mathrm{D}_{2}+(2 / 3) \log (1+\mathrm{Z})-1\right] \quad(\mathrm{XXXV})
$$

$\mathrm{D}_{2}:(\mathrm{pc})$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mu}{5}=\log \left(D_{2}\right)+(2 / 3) \log (1+Z)-1 \Rightarrow \\
\log \left(D_{2}\right)=\frac{\mu}{5}-(2 / 3) \log (1+Z)+1 \Rightarrow \\
\mathrm{D}_{2}=10^{[\mu / 5-(2 / 3) \log (1+\mathrm{Z})+1]} \quad \text { (pc) }(\mathrm{XXXVI})
\end{gathered}
$$

Graphic 02 presents the comparative evolution of the distance modulus $\mu$
6.2.1) The observed evolution of the distance modulus $\mu$ is represented by square blue points, which were extracted from Betoule et al 2014, Table F1, page $30^{[2]}$ "http://arxiv.org/pdf/1401.4064v2.pdf ".
6.2.2) Evolution of the expected $\mu$ to the SMT-VSL, hypothesis A is in red color. It is defined by the equation:

$$
\mu=5 \log D+[(10 / 3) \log (1+Z)]-5
$$

(XXXIV)
.D: pc

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \mathrm{D}=2 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}\left[(1+\mathrm{Z})^{1 / 3}-1\right] \quad \text { (IX) (Gly) } \\
& 1 \mathrm{pc}=3.26156377714188(10)^{-9} \mathrm{Gly} \\
& K_{A}=20.657582148024 \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\text { Redshift }
\end{aligned}
$$

6.2.3) Evolution of the expected $\mu$ to the SMT-VSL, hypothesis B is in green color. It is defined by the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=5 \log \mathrm{D}_{2}+[(10 / 3) \log (1+\mathrm{Z})]-5 \quad(\mathrm{XXXIV}) \\
& \mathrm{D}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}}\left[1-(1+\mathrm{Z})^{-1 / 3}\right] \quad \text { (XXII) (Gly) } \\
& .1 \mathrm{pc}=3.26156377714188(10)^{-9} \mathrm{Gly} \\
& K_{B}=13.771721432016 \\
& \mathrm{Z}=\text { Redshift }
\end{aligned}
$$

6.2.4) Evolution of the expected $\mu$ to the Standard Model (Hubble law) is in black color. It is defined by the equation:

$$
\mu=5 \log (\mathrm{D})-5 \quad(\mathrm{XXX})
$$

(D : pc)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{\left[(1+Z)^{2}-1\right] c}{\left[(1+Z)^{2}+1\right] H_{0} 10^{9}} \quad p c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Z}=$ Redshift
$\mathrm{c}=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
Ho = Hubble constant $=71 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{mpc}$
$1 \mathrm{pc}=3.26156377714188(10)^{-9}$ Gly
6.2.5) Graphic 02 :


The best curve that fits the observational data is the "SMT-VSL Hypothesis A".
No need for dark energy.
Although, both hypothesis A and B could be possible, since distance modulus is unnecessary to define distances in SMT-VSL.

## 7) Predictions in the SMT-VSL

## 7.1) The Effects of the shrinking matter in the local frame

The expanding universe theory considers that the local frame is not affected by the expansion due the gravitational bond of the bodies. This statement is contradictory because the limit of the gravitational bond is very difficult to define, maybe there is not such limit.
In the SMT-VSL, the shrinking effect happens everywhere, so the orbit of the Earth and the planets should present an apparent growing along the time.
The distance between the Earth and the Sun is very difficult to determine precisely. The apparent expansion should be about $7.26 \mathrm{~m} /$ year. For one this could be a great variation, for others small. The true is that we cannot use a stick to measure it. The fact is that such distance varies every time, since the orbit is elliptical, but the eccentricity of the orbit also varies due the tide effect of the planets of the solar system. Here we have a great challenge to measuring this distance with enough accuracy to detect this variance.
The only way to measure it precisely should be launching two space telescopes, positioned in the L4 and L5 Sun-Earth LaGrange points. If we measure precisely the distances between these two points whole the year, we could determine accurately the average distance, and compare the variation year by year.

## 7.2) Remaining emissions from the last collapsed universe

In the third chapter, we have two possible scenarios concerning to the origin of the CMBs. If we adopt the second scenario (3.2), we can make an interesting prediction.
When we can get more accurate measurements of CXRBs, probably, we can distinguish two peaks at the end of the lower energetic band. These peaks should be 2025.67 eV and 2400.80 eV detected in our devices, corresponding to Ly $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly}_{\beta}$ emissions respectively.

When corrected by the appropriated light speed of the reference frame, the energies and the wavelength of these emissions should be:

Lya: $\quad \mathrm{E}=11817 \mathrm{eV} \quad \lambda=6.1206 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}$
Ly $\beta \quad \mathrm{E}=14005 \mathrm{eV} \quad \lambda=5.1643 \hat{\mathrm{~A}}$

## 7.3) Faint blue galaxies problem.

In the SMT-VSL as cyclic universe, we propose the faint blue galaxies are not dwarfs, but normal galaxies in the last universe cycle. Their distances are very bigger than thought. That is why we watch them in small angular sizes and great surface brightness.
If we leave the Andromeda galaxy in the redshift of 0.5 in the last universe cycle, hypothesis A , its angular size would be 0.48 arc seconds and the distance would be 94.8 Gly. This angular size is compatible with measured sizes ${ }^{[5]}$. This approach would be confirmed in the near future, by analyzing of the pattern distribution of them in the mirroring images, in the vicinities of the Einstein rings. The parallax provided by mirror images can provide evidence of the extreme difference in distances between FBGs and normal galaxies, with similar redshift, observed in the same visual frame. The work of the James Web Space Telescope (JWST), will be providential in resolving this issue.

## 8) Gravity and energy relationship

8.1) Issue of the origin of gravity goes through the philosophical approach to the anthropological nature of this property of matter, since the universe would not exist, at least as we know it, if gravity did not exist. Without gravity, we would at best be a gaseous mass evenly distributed in the universe.

That said, we can conclude that gravity is a property of matter. Considering the equivalence between matter and energy, we can say that gravity is in fact the property of energy to concentrate, since other forms of energy, such as light, dark matter and black holes, are affected by gravity, but, in theory, are not considered matter.

## 8.2) Antimatter

Antimatter behavior is not yet a consensus in the scientific community, especially if it attracts or repels normal matter. If matter and antimatter repels, there would be antimatter superclusters equally spread in the universe, as well there are matter superclusters.
"Given that most of the mass of antinuclei comes from the strong force that binds quarks together, physicists think it unlikely that antimatter experiences an opposite gravitational force to matter. Nevertheless, precise measurements of the free fall of antiatoms could reveal subtle differences that would open an important crack in our current understanding. ${ }^{\text {, }}$ [7] https://home.cern/news/news/experiments/aegis-track-test-free-fall-antimatter
"Given that most of the mass of antinuclei comes from massless gluons that bind their constituent quarks, physicists think it unlikely that antimatter experiences an opposite gravitational force to matter and therefore "falls up". Nevertheless, precise measurements of the free fall of antiatoms could reveal subtle differences that would open an important crack in current understanding., ${ }^{\text {. }}{ }^{[8]}$
https://cerncourier.com/a/aegis-on-track-to-test-freefall-of-antimatter/
In the beginning of each universe cycle, matter and antimatter does not annihilate due to scattering and its repellent behavior. On the other hand, matter attracts matter and antimatter attracts antimatter. In this scenario, small anisotropies initiate the progressive concentration of matter and antimatter that resulted in what exists in the universe today, and that predicts the existence of antimatter super clusters somewhere. Behavior of the universe is characterized by symmetry, so it is a "sine qua non" question to admit this behavior.
The total energy of the universe should be null, if we assume that energy of antimatter is negative, but in the real world, energy can't be negative, as well both negative and positive electric charge produce positive energy in every possible combinations, ++,+-, or --.
In this scenario, we can conclude that positive energy attracts positive energy, negative energy attracts negative energy, and positive energy repels negative energy.

## 8.3) Free space energy

The energy of free space or vacuum energy is the most mystery in the present time humanity.
In 2014, NASA published studies indicating that the density of the universe would be $9.9 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. ${ }^{[9]}$ Of this density, the breakdown would be:
Ordinary matter: $4.6 \%=4.55 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Cold dark matter: $24 \%=2.38 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Dark energy: $24 \%=7.07 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
https://wmap.gsfc.nasa.gov/universe/uni_matter.html
Dark energy is not part of our study because it is a crutch to keep up the theory of the expanding universe and the big bang going, so we must keep only the values of the ordinary matter and the dark matter.

Then the total density of the universe would be about $2.83 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, and ordinary matter $4.55 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, equivalent to about $16 \%$, and the cold dark matter $2.38 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, equivalent to about $84 \%$.

Free space energy density is not constant. Energy tends to come together, but there are restrictions for that to happen. To come together energy must become matter (or antimatter), because matter gives volume to the atom, that prevents two atoms from occupying the same space.
Energy of matter and antimatter does not come from nothing, it comes from free space, so, free space is full of energy.
Once matter is created, the condition is created for the gathering of matter to take place, even if later this matter is transformed into pure energy, as in black holes and dark matter.

## The more matter created, the lower the energy of free space, and the faster the speed of light.

When the speed of light increases, the energy of matter increases, due the shrinking behavior of the electron shells of the atoms.
The vibrational energy of the electron shells are exactly equivalent to the potential energy of the electron in that distance, but with a positive value. This energy also comes from free space. It is noteworthy that the Coulomb constant
" $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$ " also increases with the increasing speed of light.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is exactly $\mathrm{c}^{2}(10)^{-7} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} \mathrm{C}^{-2}$
The shell radius of hydrogen in the ground state is exactly twice the Bohr radius.

## 8.4) Dark matter

Dark matter is just the variation of the energy of free space, or vacuum. It is called dark "matter", because we believe that gravitational attraction is an exclusive property of matter, but in fact, it is a property of energy, for example, light, black hole and kinetic energy are types of energy subject to the action of gravity.
The systematic error is to think that free space has constant energy density. In reality, we are confused by the fact that we can only measure differences of energy between one region and another, but we cannot, until now, been able to measure the total energy in a region.

## 9) Conclusions

The cyclic universe would be the best solution to the present cosmologic blunders.
If we adopt the hypothesis $A$, the total cycle of each phase happens between $\mathrm{Z} \sim=11.5$ to $\mathrm{Z}=-0.99496253$
In this scenario, the beginning of the current cycle took place 90.6 billion years ago and there are still 20 billion years left to the end.
The total time of each cycle of the universe would be 110.6 Gyr
The graphic 03 presents the evolution of time in function of redshift in a cyclic universe.

## Graphic 03
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[^0]:    $1 \mathrm{mpc}=3.261563777141880$ Mly
    $\mathrm{c}=$ light speed $=299792458 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
    Distance $=3380,3 \mathrm{mpc}=11,02 \mathrm{Gly}$
    Time $($ past $)=11,02 \mathrm{Gyr}$
    $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : Hubble constant

