

# LAGRANGE FAKE LOCATIONS

Jan. 2022

## ABSTRACT

JAMES WEBB Space Observatory launched Dec.2021 and described on the NASA WEB site of the spectacular feats of engineering in getting it underway. Included is a mock-up of the flight path to what is labelled 'L2' at a distance from Earth of  $1.5 \times 10^6$  km. In this text the orbit geometry at the end of the flight will be derived, as the final orbit geometry is contrary to attractive gravity. NASA have displayed a simulation of the final orbiting path at 'L2' but no engineless data on how it is achieved. Following is a description of the orbit geometry based on the hypothesis outlined in previous texts, in a bit more detail.

## INTRODUCTION

In summary modern science encompassed by the "Standard Model" have no concept of SPACE matter, other than a label of Dark Matter.

*Aspden* and *Evert* were the proponents for SPACE composed of an Aether and *Evert* described the Aether as having a geometry for creating magnetic tornadoes forming bar magnets and spherical fields. Today Quantum fields is a newer label for Aether(?), demonstrated by such interaction of bar magnets.

## HYPOTHESIS


In diagram APPE-1, a green energy sphere, composed of 'onion shells' of magnetic fields and is Galaxy powered. Labelled  $R_2$  with a centre defined by  $R_k$ . Where  $R_k$  is the Kepler radius from the SUN. In this example Earth and Moon's  $R_2$ , is  $50 \times 10^6$  km radius about  $R_k$  and within this is a sub sphere  $R_4$  of radius 384,000km energising moon orbit spin. The centre of this sub-sphere is at the eccentric location allocated to Earth by (S.M.) i.e. 2,500,000km from  $R_k$  at the centre of  $R_2$ . In the S.M. this eccentricity is measured from the SUN. Here eccentric distance is measured from centre of  $R_2$  ( $R_k$ ) at a distance  $150 \times 10^6$  km from the Sun. Moon orbit Geometry;  $- 2,500,000\text{km} / 384,000\text{km} \rightarrow 6.5104$  orbits per 6 months or 13.02 orbits / year.

JWS was launched towards the centre of  $R_2$  Dec.2022 by NASA.s to a target L2 which is in inline and aimed at the centre point ( $R_k$ ). While L2. defined here as,  $1 \times 10^6$  km from point  $R_k$ , (in reverse) and since it takes 30 days to arrive, the initial target has rotated  $60^\circ$ .  $R_2$  must have top spin, to act gyroscopically and precess about the Sun, and presenting a vertical spinning face to  $R_4$ .


JWS travel would be akin to travelling along the shaft of an umbrella, the top cover being turned inside out and when teaching target distance find itself at the perimeter of a spoke rather than the sharp end point. Perimeter points are rotating at  $2^\circ/\text{day}$  on a spherical surface field. The radius of this sphere =  $1 \times 10^6$  km. centred at  $R_k$ . Therefore, the umbrella cover is at this  $1 \times 10^6$  km radius and JWS orbit is defined by the base of a cone with  $60^\circ$  sides and where JWS orbit is the circular base of this cone. Cone orbit radius  $0.5 \times 1.10^6 = 500,000$  km. and is orbit radius of JWS, a bit larger than  $R_4$ . In a relative vertical orbit to  $R_4$  as per N.A.S.A. mock-up.

In the Fig APPE-1 the initial landing sphere is coloured black, Pt. 'P' and subsequent adjusted orbit spheres coloured brown, as some engine power adjustment is applied. Note, not-to-scale and APPE-2 is rotating.

APPE-1



APPE-2



78

## PHYSICS ANOMALIES

There is no Research in physics or mathematics to prove Newton's hypothetical gravity exists, (only assumed) in 'SPACE' between stars, planets or moons. Space Junk at 2,000km is only 1.3 Earth radii from its centre, yet gravity is apparently "switched off?". Then reappears? at the Moon at 59 radii. Further there are No Solar System planets or moons with circular orbits around any central mass to comply with Newton's circular "2D" equation requirements, in a 3D system. Hence no circular orbits, or gravity exist and no gravity waves can exist (and no LIGO action) no gravitational lensing possible etc.

As "The Newtonian" attractive gravity hypothesis fails at every planet and hundreds of moons in the Solar System. Then gravity derived Lagrange points are fake derivatives. 'L2' is no longer a reason, to select a location for an Observatory Space Telescope. That is L1 --> L5 have no foundation based on such existent gravitational fiction.

N.A.S.A.'s description of JWS elongated elliptical, ignores Earth's view from a local orbit about  $R_k$  of  $2^\circ$  per day (green sphere  $R_2$ ). Leading to a viewed distortion of JWS orbit. While the correct JWS orbit is a true circle.

Newton's  $F = G \cdot (M_1 / r_1) \times (m_2 / r_1)$  is the wrong shape to describe 'Vectors'. Vectors are combined by addition, including direction. There is no concept in Vector arithmetic, of multiplying the scalar values independently, as such resultants would destroy all navigation systems and structures analysis. Newton was credited with defining gravity as an attractive force as proportional to mass. Now ' $G_{EARTH}$ ' effectively removes effective mass to near zero, from the equality by claiming only  $2 / (3 \times 10^{10}) \times M_1$  is relevant.

Kepler's elliptical orbits are not observed. More descriptive of a circle with some mass at off-centre. If ellipticals did exist, equal swept areas in equal times with a single SUN focus is impossible. Draw any ellipse with its two focal points (in need of a second SUN) and verify this fact.

*Majid* Cambridge University p65 "on Space and Time" produced a pair of energy graphs which are incompatible. One data line being dependant on attractive gravity. "...leading to a crisis in Physics..."

### GEOMETRY

Example Earth spin theory to justify energy application hypothesised.

**Evidence** for green energy sphere  $R_2$ .

Earth charge radius =  $2,500,000\text{km} / 182.5\text{d} = 13,699\text{km}$  [spins to fit orbit space] where Earth at 2,500,000 km from  $R_k$ ; angular spin  $R_2 = 2^\circ / \text{day}$ .

Radius from  $R_k = r = 2,500,000\text{km}$  & Earth travel length =  $(2 \times \pi \times r) / 182.5\text{d}$  travel length by rotating charge wheel. = 86,071km/day.

### TIDES PRODUCED

Earth circumference =  $2 \times \pi \times 6,378 = 40,074\text{km}$

$R_2$  Applied Drive ratio =  $86,071\text{km} / 40,074\text{km} = 2.148$ [galaxy applied travel]

High tide spacing =  $24\text{hr} / 2.148 = 11.17\text{hr} = 11\text{hr. } 10\text{min.}$  [actual 12hr.10m.]

Therefore, a single H. tide orbiting twice per day with magnetic induction slip of 9% . This is also source of Earth's magnetic field, magma heating etc.

Standard Model of Moon's inconsistent barycentre locations, spells death nell for existence of gravity!

### Moon orbit ( $R_4$ )

$R_2$  peripheral velocity =  $(2 \times \pi \times 50.10^6) / (182.5d \times 24 \times 3,600) = 19.924\text{km/s}$

% $R_4$  peripheral velocity =  $(2.5/50) \times 19.924 = 0.996,2\text{km/s}$  [Moon orbit vel.]

And month length =  $182.5 / 6.514 = 28.016d$  [days / N°. moon orbits]

Shown above is the failure of ( $G_{\text{Earth}}$ ) to be meaningful in analysing 'g'. Then a new proposal by substituting; - " $G_{\text{Volume}}$ " for mass acceleration, for the (g) derivation. A follow on from Einstein's curved "SPACE" proposal, in that Planets tell SPACE how to curve. Creating curved SPACE from planet compression via. Archimedes displacement principle. The Newtonian reaction generating 'g' as compression, not tension.

Earth 'g' where " $G_{\text{Volume}}$ " =  $3.676.10^{-7}$

'g' =  $3.676.10^{-7} \times 4/3 \cdot \pi \cdot 6,378\text{km} = 9.82\text{m/s}^2$

[Obviously reverse engineered]

In this application the volume does not include Earth's atmosphere. Then Application to planet 'Gas Giants' must exclude gaseous atmospheres to be comparable and all existing published values need to be revised.

### SUMMARY

The JWS Space Telescope and N.A.S.A missing geometry of the final orbit was an excuse to apply Galaxy magnetic theory principles, as it is not possible for gravity to operate at right angles (and L2 theory). A live subject with verified data was necessary, to prove this theory gave the same answers. Hence derivation of Moon's orbit functions and Earth charge field and tides. That this theory produces a single 'High' twice per day in lieu of missing Moon gravity.

All planets and moons equally comply, exactly, to same Galaxy ratios and SUN orbits without gravity.

Author's derived 'g' based on Volume. ( $G_V$ ) is an effort to rectify Newton's? non-vector application. Historically 'G' is reported as not His invention.

"No-Gravity-Theory" consigns 'Gravitational Lensing' as no longer applicable. Gravitational lensing is E/M refraction as per the magnetic fields in a cathode T.V. tube and explains the viewed data.

John E. Miller (hlampton@bigpond.com.)