

# Conservation of Energy and Particle Moving Towards a Mass

Karl De Paepe\*

## Abstract

We consider a zero rest mass classical particle moving from infinity towards a point mass along a fixed line containing the mass. We show gravitation with only constants  $c$  and  $G$  with dimension does not satisfy conservation of energy.

## 1 Introduction

We restrict to gravitation that has only constants  $c$  and  $G$  with dimension. Units are chosen so that  $c = G = 1$ . Let  $x, y, z$  be coordinates of space and consider a point mass  $A$  on the  $x$  axis. Let  $\gamma$  be a zero rest mass particle moving along the  $x$  axis from infinity towards  $A$ . Here  $\gamma$  being considered as a classical particle. When  $\gamma$  is at infinity let  $A$  be at rest at the origin and have total energy  $M$ . Let  $E$  be the energy of  $\gamma$  at infinity.

## 2 Energy gain function

As  $\gamma$  moves towards  $A$  it gains energy from  $A$ . Let the function  $W(M, E, h, R)$  be the amount of energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from an  $x$  value of  $R + h$ , with  $R > 0$  and  $h > 0$ , to an  $x$  value of  $R$ . For small  $E/M$  and  $M/R$  the amount of energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from infinity to  $R$  is approximately  $ME/R$ . For small  $E/M$ ,  $M/R$ , and  $h/R$  we have  $W(M, E, h, R)$  is approximately  $MEh/R^2$ .

Since  $c$  and  $G$  are the only constants with dimension there is then a dimensionless function  $F$  of the dimensionless variables  $M/R$ ,  $E/R$ , and  $h/R$  such that we can write

$$W(M, E, h, R) = \frac{MEh}{R^2} F\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{E}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \quad (1)$$

We will assume  $W(M, E, h, R)$  is an increasing function of  $E$ .

## 3 Bound on energy gain

By conservation of energy  $\gamma$  cannot gain more than an amount  $M$  of energy so

$$W(M, E, h, R) \leq M \quad (2)$$

As a consequence of this bound there is then a dimensionless function  $B(M/R, h/R)$  such that

$$\sup_E \left\{ \frac{MEh}{R^2} F\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{E}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \right\} = \frac{Mh}{R} B\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \leq M \quad (3)$$

---

\*k.depaepe@alumni.utoronto.ca

For small  $E/M$ ,  $M/R$ , and  $h/R$  since  $W(M, E, h, R)$  is approximately  $MEh/R^2$  and by the assumption that  $W(M, E, h, R)$  is an increasing function of  $E$  we have  $B(M/R, h/R) > 0$  for small  $M/R$  and  $h/R$ . Consequently we can define

$$b = \inf_{R > R_0} \left\{ B\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \right\} \quad (4)$$

where  $R_0$  is chosen so that  $M/R_0$  and  $h/R_0$  are small. We have  $b \geq 0$ .

## 4 $b = 0$

The amount of energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from  $R + (N + 1)h$  to  $R$  is the amount of energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from  $R + (N + 1)h$  to  $R + Nh$  plus the amount of energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from  $R + Nh$  to  $R + (N - 1)h$  and so on. For a  $\gamma$  having large  $E$  this is approximately

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{Mh}{R + (N - n)h} B\left(\frac{M}{R + (N - n)h}, \frac{h}{R + (N - n)h}\right) \geq \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{Mhb}{R + (N - n)h} \quad (5)$$

where  $R > R_0$ . It follows by section (3) the energy  $\gamma$  gains on moving from  $R + (N + 1)h$  to  $R$  becomes closer and closer to the left hand side of (5) as  $E$  becomes larger and larger. If  $b > 0$  the right hand sum of (5) becomes unbounded as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently for some  $N$  the left hand sum would become larger than  $M$  hence the energy  $\gamma$  gains, for large  $E$ , would be larger than  $M$  violating conservation of energy. We must have  $b = 0$ .

## 5 Contradiction

Since  $B(M/R, h/R) > 0$  for  $R > R_0$  and  $b = 0$  it follows there must be a sequence  $\{R_k\}$  where  $R_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $B(M/R_k, h/R_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Define the function

$$C(M, h, R) = RB\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \quad (6)$$

We have  $C(M_k, h_k, R) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  where  $M_k = MR/R_k$  and  $h_k = hR/R_k$ . By (3) and (6)

$$\frac{MEh}{R^2} F\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{E}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \leq \frac{Mh}{R} B\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) = \frac{Mh}{R^2} C(M, h, R) \quad (7)$$

hence

$$EF\left(\frac{M}{R}, \frac{E}{R}, \frac{h}{R}\right) \leq C(M, h, R) \quad (8)$$

Substitute  $M_k$  for  $M$  and  $h_k$  for  $h$  in this inequality and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  gives since  $M_k, h_k$ , and  $C(M_k, h_k, R)$  go to zero and  $E > 0$  that

$$F\left(0, \frac{E}{R}, 0\right) \leq 0 \quad (9)$$

As stated before for small  $E/M, M/R$ , and  $h/R$  that  $W(M, E, h, R)$  is approximately  $MEh/R^2$ . Comparing this with (1) we have  $F(0, E/R, 0)$  for small  $E/R$  is approximately one contradicting (9).

## 6 Conclusion

Assuming that the energy gain of  $\gamma$  on moving from  $R + h$  to  $R$  increases as the energy  $\gamma$  has at infinity increases it was shown that a gravitation with only constants  $c$  and  $G$  with dimension does not satisfy conservation of energy. Other conservation of energy arguments are presented in [1] and [2].

## References

[1] K. De Paepe, Physics Essays, March 2013

[2] K. De Paepe, Physics Essays, June 2017