

# Equivalent ABC Conjecture Proved on Two Pages

A. A. Frempong

## Abstract

By applying basic mathematical principles, the author proves an equivalent ABC conjecture, The equivalent ABC conjecture proved in this paper states that for every positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists only finitely many triples  $(A, B, C)$  of coprime of positive integers, with  $A + B = C$ , such that  $C < K_\varepsilon rad(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$ , where  $d$  is the product of distinct prime factors of  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , and  $K_\varepsilon$  is a constant. From the hypothesis,  $A + B = C$ , it was proved that  $C < K_\varepsilon rad(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$ .

## **Options**

**Option 1**  
**Introduction**

Page 3

**Option 2**  
**Equivalent ABC Conjecture Proved**

Page 4

**Option 3**  
**Discussion**  
**Conclusion**

Page 6

# Option 1

## Introduction

The equivalent conjectures states that for every positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists only finitely many triples  $(A, B, C)$  of coprime of positive integers, with  $A + B = C$ , such that  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$  where  $d$  is the product of distinct prime factors of  $A, B$ , and  $C$ , and  $K_\varepsilon$  is a constant.

If  $A + B - C = 0$ ,  $|A + B - C| = |0| = 0$ . For a very small positive number,  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta$ , one can write  $|A + B - C| < \delta$ . From above, the hypothesis would be,  $|A + B - C| < \delta$ , and the conclusion would be  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$ .

## Option 2

### Equivalent ABC Conjecture Proved on Two Pages

The ABC equivalent conjecture, in this paper, states that for every positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists only finitely many triples  $(A, B, C)$  of coprime positive integers, with  $A + B = C$ , such that  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$ , where  $d$  is the product of distinct prime factors of  $A, B$ , and  $C$ , and  $K_\varepsilon$  is a constant.

**Given:** 1.  $A + B = C$ , where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are positive integers. with  $A, B$  and  $C$  being coprime.  
2.  $d =$  product of the distinct prime factors of  $A, B$  and  $C$ .

**Required:** To prove that  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}$

**Plan:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon} > C; \\
 &\log\{K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}\} > \log C : \\
 &\log K_\varepsilon + \log\{\text{rad}(d)^{1+\varepsilon}\} > \log C : \\
 &\log K_\varepsilon + (1 + \varepsilon)\log(\text{rad}(d)) > \log C : \\
 &\log K_\varepsilon + \log(\text{rad}(d)) + \varepsilon \log \text{rad}(d) > \log C : \\
 &\quad \varepsilon \log \text{rad}(d) > \log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d)) \\
 &\quad \varepsilon > \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log \text{rad}(d)} \quad \text{or} \\
 &\quad \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \varepsilon \quad (\text{equivalent conclusion})
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** One will apply the continued inequality method (condensed method) to handle the inequalities involved.

**Step 1:**  $|A + B - C| < \delta$  ( $\delta > 0$ )(hypothesis) (2)

One applies the absolute value symbol to the equivalent conclusion from above to

$$\text{obtain } \left| \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} \right| < \varepsilon \quad (3).$$

(The above absolute value symbol will be removed in the last step)

The hypothesis  $|A + B - C| < \delta$  is equivalent to

$$-\delta < A + B - C < \delta \quad (\text{hypothesis}) \quad (4)$$

The conclusion,  $\left| \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} \right| < \varepsilon$  is equivalent to

$$-\varepsilon < \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \varepsilon \quad \text{conclusion} \quad (5)$$

**Step 2:** Make the middle terms of (4) and (5) the same. Then (4) becomes.

$$\boxed{-\delta + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < A + B - C + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + \delta \quad (\text{hypothesis})} \quad (6)$$

and (5) becomes 
$$-\varepsilon + A + B - C < A + B - C + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \varepsilon + A + B - C \quad (7)$$

Since (6) and (7) have the same middle terms, equate the left sides to each other and equate the right sides to each other. Then one obtains

$$-\delta + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} = -\varepsilon + A + B - C \quad \text{and one solves for } \delta \text{ to obtain}$$

$$\delta = \varepsilon + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} - A - B + C \text{ say } \delta_1 \quad \text{followed by solving}$$

$$\frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + \delta = \varepsilon + A + B - C \quad \text{for } \delta \text{ to}$$

obtain 
$$\delta = \varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C \text{ say } \delta_2$$

$|A + B - C| < \delta$ , implies that

$$-\delta_1 \leq -\delta < A + B - C < \delta \leq \delta_2 \quad (\text{hypothesis})$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ .

$-\delta < A + B - C < \delta$  (hypothesis) implies that

$$-\delta_1 \leq -\delta < A + B - C < \delta \leq \delta_2 \quad (\text{hypothesis}) \quad (8)$$

**Step 3:** Replace the left and right sides of (8) by

$$\delta = \varepsilon + \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} - A - B + C \text{ say } \delta_1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\delta = \varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C \text{ say } \delta_2, \text{ from above, respectively to}$$

obtain

$$-\varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C < A + B - C < \varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C \quad (\text{hyp}) \quad (9)$$

Break up inequality (9) into two simple inequalities and solve each one for  $-\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon$ , respectively.

$$-\varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C < A + B - C \quad \text{<----- Left part of the break-up}$$

$$-\varepsilon < \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))}$$

Right part of the break-up --> 
$$A + B - C < \varepsilon - \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} + A + B - C$$

$$\frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \varepsilon$$

The combined solutions from the break-up of inequality (9) is

$-\varepsilon < \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))}$  **and**  $\frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))}$ ; and this combination is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} \right| < \varepsilon$$

**Step 4:** As was noted in Step 1, one will remove the absolute value symbol to obtain

$$\frac{\log C - \log K_\varepsilon - \log(\text{rad}(d))}{\log(\text{rad}(d))} < \varepsilon \quad (\text{equivalent conclusion})$$

Therefore, if  $|A + B - C| < \delta$  ( $\delta > 0$ ) or  $A + B = C$ ,  $C < \{K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)\}^{(\varepsilon+1)}$ , and the proof of the equivalent conjecture is complete.

## Option 3

### Discussion

In Step 1, (inequality (3)) the absolute value symbol was applied, and in Step 4, the symbol was removed. For analogy in elementary math, consider: **Factoring quadratic trinomials by the substitution method;**

**Example:** Factor  $6x^2 + 11x - 10$ .

In the first Step, **Multiply** the expression by the coefficient of the  $x^2$ -term.:  $6(6x^2) + 6(11x) - 6(10)$ ; and in the last Step, **divide** by 6:  $\frac{6(3x - 2)(2x + 5)}{6}$ , and then the complete factorization of  $6x^2 + 11x - 10$  is  $(3x - 2)(2x + 5)$ ..

### Conclusion

By applying basic mathematical principles, the author proved an equivalent ABC conjecture, The equivalent ABC conjecture proved states that for every positive real number  $\varepsilon$ , there exists only finitely many triples  $(A, B, C)$  of coprime of positive integers, with  $A + B = C$ , such that  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{(1+\varepsilon)}$ , where  $d$  is the product of distinct prime factors of  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ , and  $K_\varepsilon$  is a constant. From the hypothesis,  $A + B = C$ , it was proved that  $C < K_\varepsilon \text{rad}(d)^{(1+\varepsilon)}$ , the conclusion. The continued inequality method (condensed method) was used in handling the inequalities involved in the proof.

**PS:** 1. A proof of the original ABC conjecture by the author is at viXra:2107.0094  
2. For more on epsilon-delta proofs, see Lesson 5C, Calculus 1 & 2 by A. A. Frempong at Apple iBookstore.

**Adonten**