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Abstract

Vertex cover problem is a famous combinatorial problem, which its complexity has been heavily studied over the years and it is known that there is not any mathematical programming formulation that approximates it better than $2 - o(1)$, while a 2-approximation for it can be trivially obtained. In this paper, by a combination of a well-known semidefinite programming formulation and a randomized procedure, along with satisfying new properties, we introduce an approximation algorithm for the vertex cover problem with a performance ratio of 1.999999 on arbitrary graphs, en route to answering an open question about the unique games conjecture.
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1. Introduction

In complexity theory, the abbreviation $NP$ refers to "nondeterministic polynomial", where a problem is in $NP$ if we can quickly (in polynomial time) test whether a solution is correct. $P$ and $NP$-complete problems are subsets of $NP$ Problems. We can solve $P$ problems in polynomial time while determining whether or not it is possible to solve $NP$-complete problems quickly (called the $P$ vs $NP$ problem) is one of the principal unsolved problems in Mathematics and Computer science.

Here, we consider the vertex cover problem (VCP) which is a famous $NP$-complete problem. It cannot be approximated within a factor of 1.36 [1], unless $P = NP$, while a 2-approximation factor for it can be trivially obtained by taking all the vertices of a maximal matching in the graph. However, improving this simple 2-approximation algorithm has been a quite hard task [2,3].

In this paper, we show that there is a $(2 - \varepsilon)$-approximation ratio for the vertex cover problem, based on any VCP feasible solution, where the value of $\varepsilon$ is not constant and depends on the produced feasible solution. Then, we fix the $\varepsilon$ value equal to $\varepsilon = 0.000001$ and we show that on arbitrary graphs a 1.999999-approximation ratio can be obtained by a combination of a well-known semidefinite programming (SDP) formulation and a randomized procedure.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is about the vertex cover problem and introduces new properties about it. In section 3, we propose a randomized procedure along with using the satisfying properties to propose an algorithm with a performance ratio of 1.999999 on arbitrary graphs. Finally, Section 4 concludes the paper.

2. Performance ratio based on a VCP feasible solution

In the mathematical discipline of graph theory, a vertex cover of a graph is a set of vertices such that each edge of the graph is incident to at least one vertex of the set. The problem of finding a minimum vertex cover is a typical example of an $NP$-complete optimization problem. In this section, we calculate the performance ratios of VCP feasible solutions which lead to an approximation ratio of $2 - \varepsilon$, where the value of $\varepsilon$ is not constant and depends on the produced feasible solution. Then, in the next section, we fix the value of $\varepsilon$ equal to $\varepsilon = 0.000001$ to produce a 1.999999-approximation ratio for the vertex cover problem on arbitrary graphs.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph on vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$, where $|V| = n$. Throughout this paper, suppose that the vertex cover problem on $G$ is hard and we have produced an arbitrary feasible solution for the problem, with vertex partitioning $V = V_{16} \cup V_{-16}$ and objective value $|V_{16}|$. and for solving the problem, we use the relaxation of the well-known semidefinite programming (SDP) formulation as follows:
(1) \[
\min_{\text{s.t.}} \quad z = \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \frac{1 + v_i v_j}{2} \\
+ v_i v_j + v_i v_k + v_j v_k \geq -1 \quad i, j, k \in V \cup \{o\} \\
+ v_i v_j - v_i v_k - v_j v_k \geq -1 \quad i, j, k \in V \cup \{o\} \\
- v_i v_j + v_i v_k - v_j v_k \geq -1 \quad i, j, k \in V \cup \{o\} \\
- v_i v_j - v_i v_k + v_j v_k \geq -1 \quad i, j, k \in V \cup \{o\} \\
v_i v_k = 1, \quad v_i v_j \in \{-1, +1\} \quad i, j \in V \cup \{o\}
\]

Note that, we know for sure that just by solving this SDP relaxation or the other SDP formulations with additional constraints, we cannot approximate the vertex cover problem with a performance ratio better than \(2 - o(1)\). In other words, in section 3, we are going to propose a randomized algorithm to classify the solution vectors of the SDP (1) relaxation to produce a suitable solution for the vertex cover problem with a performance ratio of 1.999999.

**Theorem 1.** Although it is hard to exactly solve the SDP formulation (1), let’s assume that we know \(z^* \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{k} = \frac{(k+2)n}{2k}\). Then, for all vertex cover feasible partitioning \(V = V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}\), we have the approximation ratio \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{Z^*} \leq \frac{2k}{k+2} < 2\).

**Proof.** If \(z^* \geq \frac{(k+2)n}{2k}\) then \(\frac{n}{z^*} \leq \frac{2k}{k+2}\). Hence, \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{Z^*} \leq \frac{n}{z^*} \leq \frac{2k}{k+2} < 2\) \(\blacksquare\)

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the vertex cover problem on \(G\) is hard \((z^* \geq \frac{n}{2})\) and we have produced a VCP feasible solution \(V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}\), where \(|V_{1G}| \leq \frac{km}{k+1}\) and \(|V_{-1G}| \geq \frac{n}{k+1}\) (or \(|V_{1G}| \leq k|V_{-1G}|\)). Then, we have an approximation ratio \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{Z^*} \leq \frac{2k}{k+1} < 2\).

**Proof.** If \(|V_{1G}| \leq \frac{km}{k+1}\) then \(n \geq \frac{k+1}{k}|V_{1G}|\). Hence, \(z^* \geq \frac{n}{2} \geq \frac{k+1}{2k}|V_{1G}|\) which concludes that \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{Z^*} \leq \frac{2k}{k+1} < 2\) \(\blacksquare\)

### 3. A (1.999999)-approximation algorithm for the vertex cover problem

In section 2 and based on a produced feasible solution, we could introduce a \((2 - \varepsilon)\)-approximation ratio where \(\varepsilon\) value was not a constant value. In this section, we fix the value of \(\varepsilon\) equal to \(\varepsilon = 0.000001\) to produce a 1.999999-approximation ratio for the vertex cover problem on arbitrary graphs. To do this, we assume the following assumption about the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation.
Assumption 1. By solving the SDP (1) relaxation,

a) For less than \(\frac{1}{1000000}\) of vertices \(j \in V\) and corresponding vectors we have \(v^*_iv^*_j < 0\).

Otherwise, we can produce \(V_{-1G} = \{j \in V | v^*_iv^*_j < 0\}\) and \(V_{1G} = V - V_{-1G}\), to have a feasible solution with \(|V_{-1G}| \geq \frac{1}{1000000}n\) and \(|V_{1G}| \leq \frac{9999999}{1000000}n \leq 999999|V_{-1G}|\). Then, based on Theorem (2) we have an approximation ratio \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} < \frac{2(999999)}{999999+1} = 1.999998 < 2\).

b) For less than \(\frac{1}{100}\) of vertices \(j \in V\) and corresponding vectors we have \(v^*_iv^*_j > 0.0004\).

Otherwise, \(z^* \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{9899999}{1000000}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{9899999}{1000000}\right) = \frac{n}{2} + 0.0000015n\).

Note that, the third summation is the minimum value on the vertices \(j \in V\) with \(v^*_iv^*_j > 0.0004\), where against Assumption (1.b) we have more than \(\frac{1}{100}\) of vertices \(j \in V\) with \(v^*_iv^*_j > 0.0004\).

Moreover, due to Assumption (1.a) the first summation is the minimum value on the vertices \(j \in V\) with \(v^*_iv^*_j < 0\). Therefore, based on Theorem (1) and for all VCP feasible solutions \(V = V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}\), we have the approximation ratio \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq \frac{2(0.0000015)}{0.0000015} = 1.999994 < 2\).

Definition 1. Let \(\varepsilon = 0.0004\) and \(G_\varepsilon = \{j \in V | 0 \leq v^*_iv^*_j \leq +\varepsilon\}\).

Based on Assumption (1), after solving the SDP (1) relaxation,

\(\triangleright\) If the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation does not meet the Assumption (1) then we have a performance ratio of \(\max\{1.999994, 1.999998\} = 1.999998 < 1.999999\),

\(\triangleright\) Otherwise (if the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation meets the Assumption (1)), for more than \(\frac{989999}{1000000}\) of vertices \(j \in V\), we have \(0 \leq v^*_iv^*_j \leq +\varepsilon\); i.e. \(|G_\varepsilon| \geq 0.989999n\).

Note that, the induced subgraph on \(G_\varepsilon\) is a triangle-free graph and we know that almost all triangle-free graphs are bipartite. However, to produce a performance ratio of 1.999999, it is necessary to introduce a suitable feasible solution based on \(G_\varepsilon\).

Theorem 3. By solving the SDP (1) relaxation and for any vector \(v^*_k\), the induced subgraph on \(F_k = \{j \in G_\varepsilon : |v^*_kv^*_j| > \varepsilon = 0.0004\}\) is a bipartite graph.

Proof. Let us divide the vertex set \(F_k\) as follows:

\(S = \{j \in F_k : v^*_kv^*_j < -\varepsilon\}\) and \(T = \{j \in F_k : v^*_kv^*_j > +\varepsilon\}\)
Then, it is sufficient to show that the sets $S$ and $T$ are null subgraphs. For each edge $ij \in E(G)$ and based on the first constraint of the SDP model (1), if $i, j \in F_k \subseteq G_e$ then we have $v_i^* v_j^* \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon$.

$$\sum_{0 \leq v_i^* v_j^* \leq +\varepsilon} v_i v_j + \sum_{0 \leq v_i^* v_j^* \leq +\varepsilon} v_i v_j = 1 \quad ij \in E, \quad i, j \in F_k \subseteq G_e$$

Now, if $ij \in E(S)$ then the second constraint of the SDP model (1) is violated; i.e. We have:

$$\frac{+v_i v_j}{v_i^* v_j^* \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon} + \frac{+v_i v_k}{v_i^* v_k^* \leq -\varepsilon} + \frac{+v_j v_k}{v_j^* v_k^* \leq -\varepsilon} \geq -1$$

Likewise, if $ij \in E(T)$ then the third constraint of the SDP model (1) is violated; i.e. We have:

$$\frac{+v_i v_j}{v_i^* v_j^* \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon} - \frac{v_i v_k}{v_i^* v_k^* \leq -\varepsilon} - \frac{v_j v_k}{v_j^* v_k^* \leq -\varepsilon} \geq -1$$

\[ \blacksquare \]

**Corollary 1.** By solving the SDP (1) relaxation and for any vector $v_k^*$, if $|F_k| \geq \frac{n}{1000000}$, we can produce a feasible solution $V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}$, correspondingly, where $|V_{1G}| = \max\{|S|, |T|\} \geq \frac{n}{2000000}$. Hence, based on Theorem (2), we have $|V_{1G}| \leq \frac{1999999n}{2000000} \leq 1999999 |V_{-1G}|$ and $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{|v^*|} \leq \frac{2 \times 1999999}{1999999 + 1} = 1.999999 < 2$; i.e. We can produce a performance ratio of 1.999999

**Assumption 2.** By solving the SDP (1) relaxation, for all vector $v_k^*$, we have $|F_k| < \frac{n}{1000000}$; i.e. For each vector $v_k^*$, it is almost orthogonal to most (almost all) of the vectors in $G_e$.

**Theorem 4.** For any normalized vector $w$, the induced subgraph on $H_w = \{j \in G_e; \ |w v_j^*| > 0.5003\}$ is a bipartite graph.

**Proof.** Let us divide the vertex set $H_w$ as follows:

$$S = \{j \in H_w; \ w v_j^* < -0.5003\} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \{j \in H_w; \ w v_j^* > +0.5003\}$$

Then, it is sufficient to show that the sets $S$ and $T$ are null subgraphs. For each edge $ij \in E(G)$ and based on the first constraint of the SDP model (1), if $i, j \in H_w \subseteq G_e$ then we have $v_i^* v_j^* \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon$.

$$\sum_{0 \leq v_i^* v_j^* \leq +\varepsilon} v_i v_j + \sum_{0 \leq v_i^* v_j^* \leq +\varepsilon} v_i v_j = 1 \quad ij \in E, \quad i, j \in H_w \subseteq G_e$$

Moreover, the triangle inequality could not be violated between vectors $v_i^* - v_j^*$, $w - v_j^*$ and $w - v_i^*$. But, if $ij \in E(T)$ then the triangle inequality between these vectors is violated, which is a contradiction; i.e. The triangle inequality satisfies and we have:

$$\|v_i^* - v_j^*\| \leq \|w - v^*_i\| + \|w - v^*_j\|$$
\[\sqrt{2 - 2v^*_iv^*_j} \leq \sqrt{2 - 2wv^*_i} + \sqrt{2 - 2wv^*_j} \]
\[\sqrt{2 - 2(-1 + 2(0.0004))} \leq \sqrt{2 - 2v^*_iv^*_j} \leq \sqrt{2 - 2wv^*_i} + \sqrt{2 - 2wv^*_j} \leq 2\sqrt{2 - 2(0.5003)}\]

But, this means that \(1.9995 \leq \sqrt{3.9984} \leq 2\sqrt{0.9994} \leq 1.9994\), which is a contradiction.

Likewise, if \(ij \in E(S)\) then the triangle inequality between vectors \(v^*_i - v^*_j\), \(u - v^*_j\) and \(u - v^*_i\) is violated, where \(u = -w\). □

**Corollary 2.** By introducing a normalized random vector \(w\), where \(|H_w| \geq \frac{n}{1000000}\), we can produce a feasible solution \(V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}\). Correspondingly, where \(|V_{1G}| = \max\{|S|, |T|\} \geq \frac{n}{2000000}\). Hence, based on Theorem (2), we have \(|V_{1G}| \leq \frac{1999999n}{2000000} \leq 1999999|V_{-1G}|\) and \(\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq \frac{2 \times 1999999}{1999999+1} = 1.999999 < 2\).

In other words, to produce a performance ratio of 1.999999, we should solve the SDP (1) relaxation. Then, if the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation does not meet Assumptions (1,2) then we have a performance ratio of 1.999999. Otherwise (the solution meets Assumptions (1,2)), it is sufficient to produce a normalized random vector \(w\), where \(|H_w| \geq \frac{n}{1000000}\).

Therefore, we should prove that the probability of introducing such a random vector \(w\) is acceptable; e.g. Its probability is more than 0.5.

**Theorem 5.** Let \(w\) be a normalized random vector, then for any optimal vector \(v^*_j\) \((j \in G_e)\), we have \(\Pr(|wv^*_j| \leq 0.5003) < 0.60933\).

**Proof.** Let \(w = w' + w''\), where \(w'\) is the orthogonal projection of vector \(w\) onto the \(v^*_o\) plane and \(w''\) is the projection of \(w\) onto the normal vector of that plane (suppose that the vector \(v^*_j\) is on the \(ox\) axis and then the vector \(v^*_o\) is nearly on the \(oy\) axis). Hence, \(|wv^*_j| = |(w' + w'')v^*_j| = |w'v^*_j|\).

Moreover, suppose that the vector \(w'\) lies in the first quadrant and with an angle of \(0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\) to the vector \(v^*_j\). Then, we have \(|w'v^*_j| > 0.5003\) if and only if the vector \(w'\) lies in the white region and above the function \(f(\theta)\), where \(f(\theta) = \begin{cases} 0.5003 \cos \theta & 0 \leq \theta \leq \cos^{-1}(0.5003) \\ 1 & \cos^{-1}(0.5003) \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \end{cases}\); See Figure 1.
Figure 1. The $v_i^* O v_j^*$ plane, where the radius of the smaller circle is 0.5003, $u v_j^* = 0.5003$, $u \overline{O v_j^*} = \cos^{-1}(0.5003)$, $f(\theta) = \frac{0.5003}{\cos \theta}$ $(0 \leq \theta \leq \cos^{-1}(0.5003))$, and the gray region is symmetric concerning the $x$ axis, the $y$ axis, and the origin.

In other words, if $w'$ lies in the white region then we have $1 \geq |w'| > f(\theta)$. Hence, $|w'| > \frac{0.5003}{\cos \theta}$ and $|w v_j^*| = |w' v_j^*| = |w'| |v_j^*| \cos \theta = |w'| \cos \theta > 0.5003$.

Therefore, $\Pr(|w v_j^*| \leq 0.5003) = \frac{S}{\pi}$, where $S$ is the area of the gray region in the first quadrant. Moreover, $S = \int_0^{\cos^{-1}(0.5003)} \frac{1}{2} (\frac{0.5003}{\cos \theta})^2 d\theta + \int_{\cos^{-1}(0.5003)}^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{2} d\theta$.

$$S = 0.5 \left( (0.5003)^2 \tan(\cos^{-1}(0.5003)) + \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - \cos^{-1}(0.5003) \right) \right) < 0.478567.$$ 

And we have $\Pr(|w v_j^*| \leq 0.5003) < \frac{4(0.478567)}{\pi} < 0.60933$.

Therefore, if the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation meets Assumptions (1,2) then by introducing a normalized random vector $w$, the probability of the event $|w v_j^*| > 0.5003$ at each observation $j \in G_e$ is at least 0.39067; i.e. we have a binomial distribution for $|G_e| \geq 0.989999n$ observations, where the probability of the event is at least 0.39067 at each observation.

Note that, if $v_i^* v_j^* \approx -0.5$ (the angle between two vectors is almost equal to 120°) then we have $\Pr(|w v_i^*| > 0.5003 \& |w v_j^*| > 0.5003) \approx 0$. But, here, the joint probability distribution on all possible pairs of observations is not zero; i.e. We have many vectors $v_j^* (j \in G_e)$ with this characteristic that $|w v_j^*| > 0.5003$. Because almost all pairs of vectors in $G_e$ are almost perpendicular to each other. See Figure 2, where $|w v_i^*| > 0.5003$ and $|w v_j^*| > 0.5003$ if and only if $w'$ lies in the white region.
However, we know that the binomial distribution for a given value of $p = 0.39067$ and increasing values of $0.989999n$ converges to the normal distribution, where for large values of $n$ the binomial distribution may be approximated by the normal distribution with mean $m = 0.39067n$ and variance $\sigma^2 = 0.39067(1 - 0.39067)n$. Therefore, the probability that we have $|H_w| \geq 0.000001n$ is approximated as follows:

$$P_N(0.000001n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{0.000001n}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(t-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \int_{0.39067n}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(t-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dt = P_N(0.39067n) = 0.5.$$

**Corollary 3.** If the solution of the SDP (1) relaxation meets the Assumptions (1,2), then we have $|G| \geq 0.989999n$ and by introducing a normalized random vector $w$, with a probability of 0.5, the bipartite graph $H_w$ has more than $\frac{n}{1000000}$ vertices. Hence, based on Corollary (2) we have an approximation ratio $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq 1.999999 < 2$.

Now, we can introduce our algorithm to produce an approximation ratio $\rho \leq 1.999999$.

**Zohrehbandian Algorithm (To produce a vertex cover solution with a factor $\rho \leq 1.999999$)**

**Step 1.** Solve the SDP (1) relaxation.

**Step 2.** If for more than $\frac{n}{1000000}$ of vertices $j \in V$ and corresponding vectors we have $v^*_0 v^*_j < 0$, then produce a suitable solution $V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}$, correspondingly, where $V_{-1G} = \{j|v^*_0 v^*_j < 0\}$. Therefore, the solution does not meet Assumption (1,a) and we have $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq 1.999999$. Otherwise, go to Step 3.

**Step 3.** If for more than $\frac{1}{100} n$ of vertices $j \in V$ and corresponding vectors we have $v^*_0 v^*_j > 0.0004$, then the solution does not meet Assumption (1,b) and we have $z^* \geq \frac{n}{2} + 0.0000015n$. Hence, it is sufficient to produce an arbitrary feasible solution, and for all feasible solutions $V = V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}$ we have $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq 1.999999$. Otherwise, go to Step 4.
**Step 4.** We have $|G_{0.0004}| \geq 0.989999n$. Then, if there exists an optimal vector $v_k^*$ for which we have $|F_k| \geq \frac{n}{1000000}$, then we can produce a suitable feasible solution $V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}$, correspondingly, where $|V_{-1G}| \geq \frac{n}{2000000}$. Therefore, based on Corollary (1) we have $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq 1.999999 < 2$. Otherwise, go to Step 5.

**Step 5.** Introduce a normalized random vector $w$, and produce $H_w$. Based on Corollary (3), with a probability of 0.5, the set $H_w$ has more than $\frac{n}{1000000}$ vertices and based on it, we can produce a suitable feasible solution $V_{1G} \cup V_{-1G}$, correspondingly, where $|V_{-1G}| \geq \frac{n}{2000000}$. Therefore, based on Corollary (2) we have $\frac{|V_{1G}|}{z^*} \leq 1.999999$. Otherwise, repeat Step 5.

**Corollary 4.** Based on the proposed 1.999999-approximation algorithm for the vertex cover problem, the unique games conjecture is not true.

**4. Conclusions**

One of the open problems about the vertex cover problem is the possibility of introducing an approximation algorithm within any constant factor better than 2. Here, we proposed a new algorithm to introduce a 1.999999-approximation ratio for the vertex cover problem on arbitrary graphs, and this may lead to the conclusion that $P = NP$.
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