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#### Abstract

: In this paper by using the quantized space and time theory we explain that why magnetic momentum of muons in Fermilab National Accelerator Laboratory (FNAL) has anomaly and doesn't compatible with the predicted amounts in the standard model. Also the speed of muons in cyclotron will be calculated precisely.
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## 1-Introduction:

One of the achievements of the space and time theory is "Charge formula", It shows that how charged subatomic particles affect on around the time-space quanta and exchange energy with electromagnetic fields with relativity.
$q=\frac{\sqrt{8 \pi \varepsilon_{0} m_{0} c^{2} l_{0}}}{\sqrt[4]{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} C=\frac{1 \bullet 602 \times 10^{-19}}{\sqrt[4]{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} C$
$l_{0}=1 \bullet 409 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}$
$m_{0}=9 \bullet 10938188 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$
Where $m_{0}$ is the initial active mass, and $l_{0}$ is length quanta around the particle.
By using this formula it can be found that, time- space around the particles bend by the energy of the charged particles and convert to eight arches by the length of ( $\pi \times l_{0}$ ). This formula is regarded to relativity because the length around the particle doesn't decrease and merely changes to arches, but the internal active mass of charged particle increases under the relativity rules. In the standard model, the charge of elementary particle is constant. And this concept makes the anomaly in magnetic momentum results of muon in FNAL.

## 2. Calculation of the muon frequency in cyclotron

At first we should calculate the speed of muon which created in the electromagnetic field of FNAL. In this experiment the momentum of $3,1 \mathrm{Gev} / \mathrm{c}$ apply on each muon. By using two following formulas $\{(4)$ and (5) $\}$ we can calculate the basic speed of muon in cyclotron.
$p_{\text {max }}=\frac{h \times \sqrt{1-\frac{v_{\text {max }}^{2}}{c^{2}}}}{n \times l_{0} \times \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\max }=\frac{p_{\max } c^{2}}{v_{\max }} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Vmax}($ under the radical): $[0,1] \times v$

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =n \times l_{0}  \tag{7}\\
r & =\sqrt[3]{\frac{M_{\mu}}{M_{e}}} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$r=\sqrt[3]{\frac{1.88353109 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~kg}}{9.10938188 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}}} \approx 6 \times l_{0}$

V max: The maximum speed of charged particle, h : plank constant, P max: the maximum of momentum of each muons .
$r$ : the radius of mouns.
$M_{e}$ :mass of electron
$M_{\mu}$ mass of muon
The equ 4 and 5, have the main role in calculation of energy exchange and particle momentum in the quantized space and time theory.
Vmax under radical is a constant and quantized number and it appears in the speed of zero and the exact amount of the maximum speed. The following formula is used to calculate the speed of the muons in FNAL.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\max }=\frac{p_{\max } c^{2}}{v_{\max }} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\max }=\frac{h}{n \times l_{0} \times \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum momentum (Pmax) in a determined speed is equal to a momentum which can move the center of muons by the distance of their radius.
Because the momentum $3.1 \mathrm{Gev} / \mathrm{c}$ is given to the muons in the laboratory, we use the mentioned formula to theoretically obtain the base speed of the muons from the electromagnetic field.
$p_{\text {max }}=\frac{h}{n \times l_{0} \times \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}=3 \bullet 1 \mathrm{Gev} / \mathrm{c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}=\frac{3 \bullet 1 G \times e \times 6 \times l_{0}}{h \times c}=21 \bullet 137 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda=$ Lorentz Impact factor.
Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=299456761 \bullet 2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact base speed is obtained from the exchange of muon particles momentum with the electromagnetic field of device formula which is impressive.
Using this speed, the period and the base frequency of muons in cyclotron are obtained.
$T=\frac{7 \bullet 112 \times 2 \times \pi}{299456761 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}=149 \bullet 2 \mathrm{~ns}$
$f=6701514 . \mathrm{Hz}$

Radius of central orbit of cyclotron=7.112m [2]
Which unbelievably corresponds to the base period level in the FNAL experiment $(T=149 \bullet 2 n s)[2]$.
3. Theoretic calculation of muons magnetic momentum anomaly in FNAL
Supposedly, if two muons in a cyclotron stand at a distance of one space quantum, with the momentum exchanged from the first muon to the next one, beings in the motion direction and in the electromagnetic fields direction, this momentum equals,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mu 1}+r_{\mu 2}=12 \times l_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{\mu-\mu}=\frac{p_{\max } c^{2}}{v_{\max }}=\frac{h \times c}{n \times l_{0} \times \sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}=1 \bullet 4 \mathrm{Gev}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\max }=3.1 \mathrm{Gev} / \mathrm{c}+1.4 \mathrm{Gev} / \mathrm{c} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the maximum possible energy of a muon is 4.3 Gev [3] and the obtained level is greater than that of the maximum muon energy; hence, the speed is said to have reached its maximum level ( $v=v_{\text {max }}=299792407.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ), [3]increasing the radiation of the energy on the base of relativity charge formula.

Thus, the predicted speed is lower than that in the cyclotron at the standard model and this discrepancy of speed equals,
$\Delta v=299792407.5-299456761.2=335646 \bullet 2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

Now, assuming the first muons constant and the second ones as receiving the momentum, ( The consequenced equations have been presented in a previous study of the author by the title of " solving Einstein twins' paradox "[4].
The anomalous in the magnetic momentum of muons in cyclotron obtain by using charge formula. as follows,
$q_{2}=\frac{1 \bullet 602176462 \times 10^{-19}}{\sqrt[4]{1-\frac{335646.2^{2}}{c^{2}}}}=1 \bullet 602176964 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$
$\frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}}=1 \bullet 000000313$

By applying the maximum speed in the following formula, the anomalous frequency of muons and their actual period in the FNAL cyclotron will be:
$T^{\prime}=\frac{7 \bullet 112 \times 2 \times \pi}{299792407 \bullet 5}=149 \bullet 05 \mathrm{~ns}$
$f^{\prime}=6708864.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$
Using the $\frac{q_{2}}{q_{1}}=1 \bullet 000000313$ coefficient, the anomalous magnetic momentum of muons in FNAL is estimated
$\mu_{\mu}=g\left(\frac{q}{2 m_{\mu}}\right) \vec{s}$
Where
$g=2\left(1+a_{\mu}\right)$
$\mu_{\mu}^{\prime}=g\left(\frac{\left.1 \bullet 000000313 \times q_{1}\right) \vec{s}}{2 m_{\mu}}\right)$

Using the anomalous magnetic momentum of muons $\mu_{\mu}^{\prime}$, the angular speed anomaly and detectors anomaly can be determined, yielding the total anomaly in the FNAL experiment.

Conclusion: If the charge of elementary particles is not taken into relativity account, in high-energy experiments, it would be impossible to calculate the energy exchange of sub-atomic particles with the surrounding time and space. The time and space quantization theory is advantageous in that it provides exact energy calculations numbers.
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