Is Our World an Intelligent Simulation?

Dmitri Martila

Tartu University (2004–2011), Estonia*

(Dated: May 18, 2021)

Abstract

Elon Musk seems to believe that our world is an intelligent simulation: one part of our world is simulated (part A), the other part is not (part B): it is like augmented reality, made by highly advanced beings. I argue that part B is a galaxy, but part A is the Dark Matter surrounding that galaxy.

^{*}Electronic address: eestidima@gmail.com

I. MY SHORT CV AND PRINCIPLES

I am positively different from millions of non-prominent and unfamiliar journal submitters. I have completed secondary school with the Gold Medal, Tartu University with Cum Laude, and I have successfully published in Physical Review E and European Physical Journal B. Presented are short clear proofs of the conjectures from Number Theory, waiting at my home office to be published by you!

If somebody (including me) has convinced me of having made a mistake, I repent and will try to correct the mistake. But I cannot correct a mistake, just because somebody has seemingly joked in saying that I have made a mistake there. Writing to me rejection letters like "we have no time to read your paper because you are not the only submitter [and you are not a Professor]; and it seems that it requires considerable effort and meditation to understand your approach to the conjecture" is not acceptable at all as a flaw! Please look at the type of mistake demonstration I would accept:

If I would write in a paper: "2=5+7", then the editor would find that place and reply: "2=5+7 does not hold".

A. I have no authority to tell you that but drop your shields of distrust!

In the reading process, the brain does mental activity and dwells on what was read. A new web of connections in brain cells should have the time and rights to emerge. Scientific trust, curiosity, and interest are necessary to be in origin because the instinctual defense mechanism of the brain [called "skepticism"] does not allow new "software" to be "installed" in the deep, operational tissue. This defense is necessary, because malware (or defective software) if installed, will harm the consistency of the system. The authors of mental malware are called "intellectual terrorists". However, reading an original scientific paper is a complicated learning process. I have very new material, so you should learn; thus, it is normal to feel unease.

II. THE PAPER

Because dark matter has not yet been observed directly, it must barely interact with ordinary baryonic matter and radiation, except through gravity, if it exists. Most dark

matter is thought to be non-baryonic; it may be composed of some not yet discovered subatomic particles. The primary candidate for dark matter is some new kind of elementary particles that have not yet been discovered, in particular weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs). Many experiments to directly detect and study dark matter particles are being actively undertaken, but none have yet succeeded.

Elon Musk, a highly authoritative figure, talks on YouTube that most likely our world is an intelligent simulation. He has mentioned the idea that part of our world is simulated (part A), and part is not (part B): it is like "augmented reality" (check this term in Wikipedia), made by highly advanced beings. I argue that part B is a galaxy, but part A is the Dark Matter surrounding that galaxy. Hereby, I am calling Dark Matter being the virtual reality, the virtual matter.

Dark Matter is presented as a consequence of the hypothesis of augmented reality. Dark Matter still needs hard science research to identify and to understand it. However, I have done the hardest work as well (during the last 17 years), and so my effort is better to be respected. I base my findings on observations and experiments, in particular on the fact that detectors have detected nothing. This is a negative experimental fact which is presented as a positive one in my paper. I see no logic gaps in my argumentation on this. Dark Matter is a logical construct without unsupported premises.

Indeed, the failure of direct detection of Dark Matter tells at least to me that Dark Matter passes through our reality as being free from interaction with it. Gravity is not the direct-contact interaction, as is known. This is just like the augmented reality of the Pokémon Go game; the virtual monster Pokémon is being placed into our reality without direct interaction with it. [6]

It is understandable why underground detectors for particles of Dark Matter have caught absolutely nothing for so many years of work. Usually, particles have a pretty strong effect on our world. But such small corpuscles as neutrinos have the weakest effect on ordinary matter. I give convincing arguments that Dark Matter acts so weakly on our world that its direct-contact action is equal to zero. That is why Dark Matter passes through the devices that are built for its capture completely without noticing them, completely without labor and friction with these devices. Such Dark Matter is a representative of the "invisible" world, i.e. the detectors trying to detect it locally are "blind", they see nothing. It falls into the third category of matter according to the following classification:

- 1. Living visible matter people, animals, artificial animals (latter is Artificial Intelligence), plants, microbes, insects, fish.
- 2. Non-living visible matter stones, rocks, ice.
- 3. Non-living invisible matter Dark Matter, Dark Energy.
- 4. Living invisible matter the prediction of my classification of matter. The candidates belong to Religion (angels, souls; demons) and the cloaking technology of the Star Trek serial (that is how UFO vanishes).

"Dark matter is invisible", Professor Richard Massey, Royal Society University Research Fellow of the Institute for Computational Cosmology at Durham University, said, "but in this same patch of sky, we used the Hubble Space Telescope to make the first 3D map of dark matter, by noticing how it affects all the visible things around it." While scientists have observed the gravitational effects of dark matter for decades, its true nature still remains a mystery. [7]

According to my classification, phosphorus found in nature will be part of inanimate matter, and phosphorus in the human body will be part of living matter. For example, as long as feces are in the body, they are part of a person.

The periodic table of Dmitri Mendeleev has predicted many chemical elements. Recall also the story of the positron [it is like a "positively charged electron"]: the existence of this elementary particle was predicted. I also have a logical classification table. I predicted the discovery of angels.

An angel is made of living invisible matter. His motives and aspirations are his spiritual side: the angel is either fallen or real. God is Spirit. There are two spirits: the evil spirit and the Holy Spirit. Spirit is not Matter, and Matter is not Spirit. So, there are two spirits, and God is Spirit. The impossibility to convince the Scientific Community of God proof is this: there are two of them. The first step of convincing is to make people think, that there are two of them: Goodness Vs Evil.

Show your God, if you have One! Then you should show your acts of love, respect, and kindness. For the Spirit of these acts is God.

Dr. Richard Dawkins in a religious movie [Expelled: No Intelligence Allowed] said something like this: "I am atheist because there is no proof that God exists." I believe it is

incomplete, so, let me try to read between the lines: "I am atheist because there is no [globally accepted] proof that God exists and I want to be atheist." Many respectful and valid theists say: "I am the theist because I want so, however, there is no [globally accepted] scientific proof for God yet. But there is no valid disproof of God either." Richard would demonstrate an un-emotional reason to be an atheist if he would say: "I am atheist because there is [non-debunked] proof that God does not exist."

A skeptic might say: that is fine, but that is an irrational belief; simply replace "God" with "Bigfoot" and see how silly that argument is. And I would reply: "check this for irrationality: the electron is the particle and the wave same time."

III. WHO HAS "CREATED" GOD?

A being who would know everything also knows that God exists. Because God is Omniscient. Therefore, among all knowledge, there is also this: "'God exists.'"

It is not just another form of the ontological argument of St. Anselm. Instead, I have discovered something new.

Yes, the Christian God has many properties, for example: Omnipresence, perfect Love, objective Judgment. But it is enough to prove the existence of the Omniscient Being, in order to prove the existence of the Christian God. Why? Because God is Spirit. And so, it is enough to prove Omniscience, because the latter is the Spirit of Knowledge. God as being Knowledge himself knows the answer even to such mathematical conjectures, which cannot have logical proofs [due to the Incompleteness Theorem of Kurt Gödel]. And so, having proven the Spirit, we have concluded that the Spirit of other Christian properties (Love, Judgment, Holiness) does exist. It is the proof not for God in general, but for the Christian God.

I have no PREMISES, I have 100% sure proof. The proof can be understood even by a non-educated skeptic.

I like the word IF, it is not from satan and it is often used in proofs: If some being gets to know all, then he will get to know that God exists. Thus, God exists. But I can use the word WHEN: When you get educated enough, you will become the theist. Why? Because God is the theist for He is the origin of Theism: one of His names is Theism.

Somebody could ask: "And the one who knows everything, does he know how to learn something new?" This is not a question, but a statement, which says in short: "There is no God." Just like the question of Pontius Pilat "What is Truth?" (John 18:38) was a rhetoric one.

The expression "Who created God?" is not a question, but the statement "There is no God", expressed differently, but also in a popular way. In the same way, the question "Can God create a stone that He cannot lift" is not a question, but a statement of "There is no God."

IT IS BECAUSE THE Reason tells us that there is no proof of the "Absence" of God, and cannot be even in principle. Hereby God is not an alien, who lives in a distant galaxy, but He lives on Earth because He is Omnipresent (so one can easily find and reach Him). And such rhetoric questions above like "who has created God?" are simply another variant of the original "No God" written in a less crazy appearance.

Omnipotence Paradox: "Can God create a stone that He cannot lift?" Answer: no, the Omnipotent One cannot make such a stone because it is a suicide, and the latter is sin.

It was not about the stone. It is about the action of God, which ends His existence as Omnipotent God. Any sin is an act of non-existence. Because sin is emptiness, the absence of any meaning, the God of Existence and living does only existent actions. Satan, the evil spirit of non-existence and death, does the non-existent actions only: he is the father of sin. For example, the tobacco industry produces cancer cases. It serves the evil authorities to depopulate the planet. The satan does not exist in God's objective opinion. The satan is not existent. The satan is the border of our reality, inside satan is Absolute Nothing. It is like a black hole: the hole in our reality. He is there, but he can not be full of life and existence: the sickness is the absence of Health. Thus, sickness does not exist. The satan is absolute sickness. Adolf Hitler has no origin in God, but in satan. Thus, Adolf is there, but he does not exist: one can lose the right to exist.

The question about stone-lifting has hidden meaning, the skeptic asks can God lose divinity, own divine powers. All those Omnipotence Paradox formulations can be put into the question: can God commit suicide? But the answer is no, also because the Christian God is Omnipresent, and so, if He becomes dead in 2021 AD, then He was dead when He was creating the Universe. But that is not possible, because the world exists now. Also because God is not mad and crazy. He is Spirit of Wisdom, mental Health, and Life, and so,

can not commit suicide even in principle. He can do only reasonable actions, not the crazy ones. Therefore the German Philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche with his "God is Dead" must be understood not literally, he was referring to the modern and post-modern apostasy.

A skeptic says: "Bible is a fairytale for children." I would reply: our life is a fairytale, because contains logical contradictions, named by me as illusions. Life is not an illusion but contains ones. The illusive distortions are found not only in our perception of nature but in nature itself; for example, an electron is a particle and a wave at the same time. I am talking about Aristotle's definition of logic, not quantum logic. God and creatures with free will are the writers of our life story (fairytale). There can not be a book without writers: God is proven now. Another illusion is the run of time. Is there a reason why today is 2021 AD? I see no such reason. Yes, the historic dates are real, but the run of the clocks is an illusion.

IV. EQUATIONS OF GEODETIC MOTION

Consider Reissner-Nordström black hole. From the rest state at r = R, let us release a small, electrically neutral test body.

The metric is t-independent, so the test-body has a velocity component $u_t = -E = \text{const.}$ The falling is radial, so $u^{\theta} = u^{\phi} = \text{const} = 0$. Using normalized velocity vector with $u_{\nu} u^{\nu} = g^{tt} u_t u_t + g_{rr} u^r u^r = E^2/(-A) + (u^r)^2/A = -1$, for the radial component of velocity one has

$$(u^r)^2 = E^2 - A\,, (1)$$

where $A = 1 - 2M/r + Q^2/r^2$. Starting at r = R with radial velocity $u^r = 0$, one has

$$E^2 = 1 - 2M/R + Q^2/R^2, (2)$$

and

$$(u^r)^2 = Q^2(1/R^2 - 1/r^2) + 2M(1/r - 1/R) =$$

$$= (1/r - 1/R)(2M - Q^2[1/R + 1/r]).$$
(3)

For r < R with $(u^r)^2 > 0$, one obtains $r > r_m = 1/(2M/Q^2 - 1/R)$. Thus, the test-body has not reached the singularity at r = 0.

V. VANISHING SIZE

Further research has shown that the proper size of the body shrinks to zero at $r = r_m$.

Consider a drop of "perfect fluid" falling into a Black Hole. Because the drop is small, the velocity of every part of it is the velocity of the fall. The equation of matter is $T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu}=0$, thus $u_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu}_{;\nu}=0$, where

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} + p g^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (4)$$

where pressure p and density ρ are the inner characteristics of the drop. Thus,

$$-(\rho+p)_{,\nu}u^{\nu} - (\rho+p)u^{\nu}_{,\nu} + (\rho+p)u^{\nu}u^{\mu}_{,\nu}u_{\mu} + p_{,\nu}u^{\nu} = 0,$$
 (5)

where $u^{\mu}_{;\nu}u_{\mu}=0$, because $(u^{\mu}u_{\mu})_{;\nu}=(-1)_{;\nu}=0$. As $u^{\nu}=dx^{\nu}/ds$, one has

$$-\frac{d(\rho+p)}{ds} - (\rho+p) u^{\nu}_{;\nu} + \frac{dp}{ds} = 0.$$
 (6)

Here and in the following, the index with semicolon means the covariant derivative uses Christoffel symbols, while the index with comma means the ordinary derivative with respect to the spacetime coordinate.

This differential equation has no solution, unless the fluid is compressible. Let the equation of state be $p = p(\rho)$. Then

$$\frac{d\rho}{ds} = -(\rho + p(\rho)) u^{\nu}_{;\nu}. \tag{7}$$

Now the rate (and sign) of the change of the density depends on $D := u^{\nu}_{;\nu}$, and the formula coincides with the one given in Ref. [1], pages 226–227.

If one inserts the above velocity u^{ν} into the divergence, one gets to know that $u^{\mu}_{;\mu} \sim 1/u^r \to -\infty$ at $r = r_m$. The idea of the paper is proven now because the position of the latter special point r_m coincides with the special point r_m in the previous chapter, derived by the first method.

It is interesting to note that for a Schwarzschild Black Hole $(M \neq 0, Q = 0)$ one has

$$D := M \frac{4r - 3R}{\sqrt{2MRr^3(R - r)}}$$
 (8)

With the zero at r = 3R/4 being the starting point for the compression. Notably, this happens at an infinite distance from the Black Hole, if R is infinite. Such an unexpected result hardly can be found in Newton's age, even while we still have a weak field at r =

 $(3/4)R \gg 2M$. The deadly ripping with $D \gg 1$ never begins, but the deadly compression with $D \ll -1$ happens at the singularity r=0. This has been shown by several methods, including the study of the geodetics deviation equation. The effects of this paper are found in Kerr and Kerr–Newman spacetimes. The vanishing of particles with light-like geodesic is found as well. [8]

The drop's density at $r \to r_m$ diverges because of

$$\frac{d\rho}{\rho} = \left(-D - D\frac{p(\rho)}{\rho}\right) ds. \tag{9}$$

Integration of both sides produces

$$\ln(C\,\rho) = \int \left(-D - D\frac{p(\rho)}{\rho}\right) ds =$$

$$= \int \left(\frac{D}{u^r} + \frac{D}{u^r}\frac{p(\rho)}{\rho}\right) dr = \infty, \tag{10}$$

where C is a constant of integration.

VI. SOLUTION TO THE CONTRACTION

Because the contraction seems to go beyond the energy-momentum conservation law [2] and General Relativity, I have endured the known law $T^{\nu\mu}_{;\nu} = 0$ with the tensor of invisible Virtual Matter $X^{\nu\mu}$,

$$(T^{\nu\mu} + X^{\nu\mu})_{;\nu} = 0. (11)$$

I call the Virtual Matter "invisible" because it should go through underground "detectors of Dark Matter" without the slightest effort. Why? Because being just a mathematical fix to the contraction of the test body, Virtual Matter is not a new kind of matter; hence, it does not interact with the visible matter even via the weak interaction. To my understanding, Virtual Matter with $X^{\nu\mu}_{,\nu}=0$ is called Dark Matter, and Dark Matter with $X^{\nu\mu}=-\Lambda\,g^{\nu\mu}$, where Λ is the cosmological constant, is called Dark Energy. A paper from AD 2021 introduces the hypothesis that Dark Energy is produced by Dark Matter [3]. Expressed in a simple way: Dark Energy is Dark Matter!

VII. ADDITIONAL FACTS

Consider a cloud of dust and an astronaut floating in weightlessness near the edge of this cloud, but inside the cloud. The gravity shrinks the cloud in size, and so the astronaut must be able to measure a slight compression of his body during the fall towards the center of the cloud: due to the gravitational collapse the astronaut is being compressed together with the cloud.

However, in Newton's worldview, the gravity at the feet of the astronaut is stronger than the gravity at the head, so the astronaut is being spaghettified. The spaghettification was indeed observed recently [4]. But according to my own calculations, this spaghettification happens only halfway down. After that, size-shrinking happens and the astronaut vanishes. Hereby the vanishing happens even outside the curvature singularity, which is at the center.

Due to vanishing, Einstein's equations have to be mathematically fixed. This is the role of Dark Matter which is not actual physical matter but a mathematical term put "by hand" to the right-hand side of Einstein's Equations. I called it Virtual Matter. Hereby Dark Energy is a special form of Virtual Matter.

The natural values become bounded in Ref. [5], to avoid infinities and singularities: "the sand a boundary for the sea, an everlasting barrier it cannot cross. The waves may roll, but they cannot prevail; they may roar, but they cannot cross it." Jeremiah 5:22 NIV. The bounded spacetime curvature comes with cutting off the singular areas [of our universe], including the Big Bang. This means that the world began not in the moment of the Big Bang, but later. Prior to the existence of the actual world, there was a Virtual World, Virtual Reality. Remnants of this totally Virtual World are Dark Matter and Dark Energy.

Science is defined by its methods. The basic one is to assume the absence of God's influence on nature, including the "absence" of God in doing the Big Bang. Absence of a miracle while the Miracle of Creation of the Virtual World, which 7000 years ago has become an actual thing, and remnants of this virtual world are invisible Dark Matter and Dark Energy. So, there will be no science in a better, sane world and God-driven society. Surely, there will be research and knowledge (because "I am the way", says God in the Bible), but we will manage it without methodological naturalism.

A believer would say, that nature itself should be the evidence of God's influence. Why? It perfectly would work with Atheism or Deism, if one assumes the presence of the laws of nature. God does not force us into the right theistic worldview, because the knowledge does not save; for satan knows that God exists, but he has the spirit of atheism. Yes, it is illogical, but there is no logic in mentally sick satan.

Science assumes not Atheism, but Deism because methodological naturalism assumes zero divine action on nature. But in Big Bang, it assumes Atheism, not Deism, because God can not do Miracle of Creation without doing the miracle. Christianity is not Deism. Thus, science denies the most popular understanding of who God is. I am sorry to disappoint you, but Science is the Babylon Babe from the Revelation. And we are addicted to her, she is so beautiful and dirty!

- [5] Dmitri Martila, Is nature bounded?, 2020.
- [6] Pokémon Go is a 2016 augmented reality (AR) mobile game developed and published by Niantic in collaboration with Nintendo and The Pokémon Company for iOS and Android devices. The game uses mobile devices with GPS to locate, capture, train, and battle virtual creatures, called Pokémons, which appear as if they are in the player's real-world location.
- [7] Adam Smith, Scientists to map mysterious dark matter with new Nasa telescope, Independent, 2021.
- [8] Dmitri Martila, New results on vanishing, 2020

^[1] A. P. Lightman, W. H. Press, R. H. Price, S. A. Teukolsky, "Problem Book in Relativity and Gravitation," Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1975.

^[2] Thibaut Josset, Alejandro Perez, and Daniel Sudarsky, "Dark Energy from Violation of Energy Conservation", Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 021102 (2017).

^[3] Karoline Loeve, Kristine Simone Nielsen, Steen H. Hansen, "Consistency Analysis of a Dark Matter Velocity-dependent Force as an Alternative to the Cosmological Constant", ApJ 910, 98 (2021), arXiv:2102.07792 [astro-ph.CO].

^[4] M. Nicholl, et.al. "An outflow powers the optical rise of the nearby, fast-evolving tidal disruption event AT2019qiz," Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc. 499, 482–504 (2020).