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#### Abstract

Comments on "A new additive decomposition of velocity gradient [Phys. Fluids 31, 061702 (2019)]" is presented.


The Cauchy-Stokes decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor into a symmetric strain rate tensor $\mathbf{D}$ and an anti-symmetric spin tensor $\mathbf{W}$ is well-known (Kundu and Cohen, 2002).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{D}+\mathbf{W} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin tensor $\mathbf{W}$ is the tensor representation of the vorticity $2 \boldsymbol{\omega}$ in the three dimensional physical space, where $\mathbf{W}_{i j}=-\varepsilon_{i j k} \omega_{k}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k}\right.$ is the permutation tensor). Coope et al. (1965) and Coope and Snider (1970) noted that a general second-rank tensor, like $\nabla \mathbf{u}$, can be decomposed to three unique, irreducible second-rank tensors of various weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0}}+\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{trace}(\nabla \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{U}+\mathbf{W} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a symmetric, traceless (the so-called natural) second-rank tensor of weight two. $\mathbf{W}$ is an second-rank tensor of weight one, whereas $\mathbf{U}$ is the zeroth-weight, secondrank isotropic tensor. The weight $k$ of an irreducible tensor is defined such that its dimension is $2 k+1$ (for example, dimensions of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{0}}, \mathbf{W}$ and $\mathbf{U}$ are 5,3 and 1 respectively). Any other possible decompositions are necessarily reducible. Undoubtedly, eq.(2) was known before Coope et al. (1965) and Coope and Snider (1970), but their work provided a general algorithm to find such irreducible decompositions of any arbitrary ranked cartesian tensor. One reason of interest in such decompositions is the need to identify vortices in fluid flows. In the quest of finding characteristics that define a vortex, the vorticity field has been found lacking due to various reasons (Epps, 2017). An interesting, alternative proposition of a novel but reducible decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor is presented by Sun (2019) based on the Lie algebra of the special orthonormal Lie group $S O(3)$. This decomposes the velocity gradient tensor into a component which is a rotation tensor instead of the usual spin tensor. Sun (2019) had noted that a deeper significance of
this decomposition is not yet clear and further investigations are necessary in that direction. The comments here are intended to interpret and rectify some of the aspects of Sun (2019).

Sun (2019) decomposes the velocity gradient tensor as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \mathbf{u}=\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{Q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Q} \in S O(3)$ is a rotation tensor and $\mathbf{K}$ is the residual. One of the questions raised by Sun (2019) is under what condition(s) $\mathbf{K}$ is symmetric? From his arguments, $\mathbf{K}$ can be symmetric, at best, for vortical flows with vanishing vorticity ( $\omega \rightarrow 0$ ). It will, however, be shown here that it is impossible for $\mathbf{K}$ to be symmetric in a flow with vorticity. Such differences in inference occur due to disregard of a fundamental property of Lie algebras and Lie groups by Sun (2019).
Anti-symmetric tensors like $\mathbf{W}$ belong to the Lie algebra (so(3)) of the Lie group $S O(3)$. There exists an exponential map from so(3) $\rightarrow S O(3)$. Exploiting this, Sun (2019) expresses a rotation matrix $\mathbf{Q} \in S O(3)$ as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q}=e^{\mathbf{W}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, Sun (2019) does not address the issue of dimensional inconsistency in eq.(4). Physical dimension of $\mathbf{W}$ is $\sec ^{-1}$ - there are obvious problems and cannot exponentiate a dimensional quantity. It is unclear if the all the physical quantities are non-dimensional. Second, presuming that $\nabla \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{W}$ are non-dimensional right from the outset, there is a more basic problem with eq.(4). $\mathbf{Q}$ in eq.(4) does not represent a one-parameter subgroup of $S O(3)$ in the neighborhood of $\mathbf{I}$ (Fegan, 1991; Hall, 2015), where $\mathbf{I}$ is identity element of $S O(3)$. This is an essential requirement for an isomorphism from so(3) to $S O(3)$ in the neighborhood of identity. The second objection is fundamental because fixing it will fix the first objection seamlessly, and not vice-versa. In other words, even if all the quantities were dimensionless, eq.(4) would still not represent a one-parameter subgroup of $S O(3)$. Equation(4) needs rectification, and its basis is discussed in the next section along with the implications of this decomposition.

A Lie group, such as the $S O(3)$, has the structure of a differentiable manifold in the vector space of real matrices. On any integral curve induced by the tangent tensor field like $\mathbf{W}$ on $S O$ (3), the following hold (Hall, 2015) in the neighborhood of $\mathbf{I}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma(\tau)}{d \tau}=\mathbf{W} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau$ is the parameter in the map $\sigma: I_{R} \rightarrow S O(3)$, with $\tau \in I_{R}=[a, b] \in \mathbb{R}$ and $I_{R}$ contains $0(a, b \in \mathbb{R})$. $\tau$ might be interpreted as the time increment/decrement, $t-t_{0}$, where $\sigma(0)=\mathbf{I}$ for some reference time $t_{0}$. Along the integral curve $\sigma(\tau) \in S O(3)$, eq.(5) demands,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\tau)=\mathbf{Q}(\tau)=e^{\mathbf{W} \tau} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation(6) would describe a family of rotations parameterised by $\tau$ : a one parameter subgroup of $S O(3)$. Equation(6) can also be derived by a much simpler consideration of the orthonormal, rotation tensor $\mathbf{Q}(\tau)$. Time derivative of $\mathbf{Q Q}{ }^{T}(=\mathbf{I})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{T}\right)}{d \tau}=\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^{T}+\mathbf{Q} \dot{\mathbf{Q}}^{T}=\dot{\mathbf{I}}=\mathbf{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}, \dot{\mathbf{I}}$ denote the time derivatives of $\mathbf{Q}$ and $\mathbf{I}$ respectively, and $\mathbf{Q}^{T}$ is the transpose of $\mathbf{Q}$ (with $\mathbf{Q}^{T}=\mathbf{Q}^{-1}$ ). From eq.(7), it is obvious that $\dot{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}^{T}$ is anti-symmetric. Thus for any $\mathbf{Q}$, there always exists a $\dot{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mathbf{Q}}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{Q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tensorial differential equation is equivalent to eq.(5), and the following satifies eq.(8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{Q}(\tau)=e^{\mathbf{W} \tau} \mathbf{Q}_{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the integral curve in $S O(3)$ through the identity with $\mathbf{Q}_{0}=\mathbf{I}$, thereby reducing eq.(9) to eq.(6), reiterating the fact that $\mathbf{Q}(\tau)$ is a one-parameter sub-group of $S O(3)$ near I. This is mathematically and dimensionally a more consistent exponential map from so(3) $\rightarrow S O(3)$ than eq.(4). If $\mathbf{W}$ is independent of time, there are no restrictions on $\tau$ in eq.(6), and eq.(4) is recovered only for a special case of $\tau=1$. But, in a generic fluid flow field, $\mathbf{W}$ must be a function of time for a material fluid parcel. Therefore, this limits the validity of eq.(6) to $|\tau| \rightarrow 0$.
The Rodrigues' formula used by Sun (2019) (eq. 16 of the paper) is valid only for $\tau=1$. Based on this modified exponential map in eq.(6), the complete Rodrigues' formula for Q is,

$$
\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}+\frac{\sin \omega \tau}{\omega} \mathbf{W}+\frac{1-\cos \omega \tau}{\omega^{2}} \mathbf{W}^{2}
$$

And, if decomposition (3) for a non-dimensional $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ is demanded such that $\mathbf{K}$ is symmetric, then the following must hold,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{\sin \omega \tau}{\omega}\right) \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation(10) can be satisfied for any allowable $\tau(|\tau| \rightarrow 0)$, if and only if $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{0}$ identically. Thus, $\mathbf{K}$ can never be symmetric in a vortical flow. This is in distinction to the possibility of a symmetric $\mathbf{K}$ from Sun's exposition, where symmetric $\mathbf{K}$ is allowable for vortical flows with $\omega \rightarrow 0$. It is clear that incorrect use of the transformation from the Lie algebra to Lie group, $s o(3) \rightarrow S O(3)$ (eq.(4)) is the source of such discrepancies.
For a turbulent flow, as mentioned earlier, $\mathbf{W}$ would have erratic dependence on time, and the exponential map would be valid just for infinitesimal time duration, i.e., $|\tau| \rightarrow 0$. In that limit, $\mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{D}+\mathbf{W}-\mathbf{I}$, severely restricting the applicability of this new but not irreducible decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor.
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