1 # The Sun Creation Reason And Effect (II)

The Author	**Authorized To Be Used By**
Mr. Gerges Francis Tawdrous	**Dr. Budochkina, Svetlana Aleksandrovna**
A Student–Physics Department- Physics & Mathematics Faculty – Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) - **Moscow – Russia** **Phone +201022532292** E-Mail: mrwaheid@gmail.com Curriculum Vitae http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044	Associate Professor (Mathematical Analysis and Theory of Functions Department) Peoples' Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University) - **Moscow – Russia** **Phone +7 (495) 952-35-83** **E-Mail:** budochkina-sa@rudn.ru, sbudotchkina@yandex.ru Website http://web-local.rudn.ru/web-local/prep/rj/index.php?id=2944&p=19024

2 **The Assumption Of S. Virgin Mary -Written in Cairo – Egypt –21st April 2020**

3 **Abstract**

4 **Paper Argument**

5 **The Sun Is A Result Of The Solar Planets Motions- i.e. The Planets Were**
6 **Moving Before The Sun Creation** -

7
8 - The Paper compares between the solar system before & after The Sun Creation.

9 - **The Paper asks "How did the planets move before The Sun Creation?"**

10 - **A Conclusion**– **The Sun is The Planets Motions Result and NOT The Reason.**

11 **Before The Sun Creation**

12 The Solar Planets Order Was As Following

13 - Mercury (Where Pluto was The Mercury Moon) – then Mars –then Venus – Then
14 Earth- The Uranus – Then Neptune – Then Jupiter –

15 **After The Sun Creation**

16 - The Planet Saturn Is Created

17 - Mars had migrated from its original orbital distance (84 mkm) to (227.9 mkm)

18 - Pluto had migrated from its original point (The Mercury Moon) to (5906 mkm) –
19 and Pluto collided with Neptune and from this collision debris Pluto had created
20
21 its moons and **The Kuiper Belt** is Created

22 **Mars Migration Theory Revision:**

23 - Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm. and Mars had migrated to 227.9 mkm

24 - Through Mars Motion from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm, Mars had collided with Venus
25 and then Mars had collided with Earth also.

26 - From the Collisions debris The Earth Moon was created –and Mars had found its
27 moons. And the rest debris had attracted by Jupiter and created **The Asteroid Belt**

28 **The Giant-Impact Hypothesis** is in consistency with **Mars migration theory**

29 **But**

30 Instead of the (Supposed Planet) **Theia**, Mars itself made the collisions.

31 **Mars migration Theory** solves The **Giant-Impact Hypothesis difficulties:**
32

33 I. **Why Venus has No Moon?** – Because Mars had migrated and moved from 84
34 mkm to 227.9 mkm and pushed all debris in its motion direction – **far from**
35 **Venus** – So Venus had found no debris around to create its own Moon - But Earth
36 has a greater Mass and the debris lost their motion high momentum at Earth
37 Position– so Earth could attract some debris and create its moon

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/ 1
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com mrwaheid@gmail.com +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

II. **The Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) Origin**– **is Venus** – because the moon is created by 3 planets debris (Venus – Earth – Mars) – So their rocks are found in it

III. **Why The Iron Oxide (Feo) of the Moon= (13%)?** **Because** The rate (13%) is a middle between Mars rate (18%) and the terrestrial mantle (8%).

IV. **Why Mars diameter (and Mass) are decreased through the history? Because** of the collisions – Mars diameter lost around (4.1 %) (And Mars mass also which causes difficulties for Mars gravitation equation)

V. **Mars migration** is done **Because of The Sun Creation Process**

VI. Is there a possibility that Mars will return to its original point (84 mkm)? **Yes**

VII. **Why?** Because the Planet orbital distance is defined relative to its diameter (and Mass) Mars now is in the wrong Position- but forced to it because of the sun effect

Conclusion The Giant-impact hypothesis supports Mars migration Theory

Pluto Migration Proves (a summary)

- Jupiter (the last plant in ancient order) moved nearer to the sun and so – Neptune occupied Jupiter Position with orbital distance 5906 mkm
- Pluto had collided with Neptune and pushed it and occupied its position
- From The Pluto Neptune Collision Debris –Pluto had created its moons and the Kuiper belt.

Paper Objectives:

The paper tries to prove that –

7 Planets and Pluto (which was The Mercury Moon) were found before **The Sun Creation** and they were moving spite the sun wasn't created yet

Means - **The Solar Planets Motions Don't Depend On The Sun Masses Gravity -** i.e.

The Sun Is A Result Of The Planets Motions And Not Its Their Reason.

Paper Contents:
1- Introduction
2- Methodology
3- The Solar System Situation Before The Sun Creation
4- The Sun Creation Basic Concepts
5- The Sun Creation Geometrical Mechanism

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   2
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 ## 1- Introduction

2 The Solar Planets Order Was As Following

3 - Mercury (where Pluto was The Mercury Moon) – then Mars –then Venus – Then
4 Earth- The Uranus – Then Neptune – Then Jupiter –

5 - The orbital distances of Mercury – Venus – Earth - aren't changed.

6 **The Solar System Before Sun Creation**

7 - Mercury Axial Tilt was 1 degree

8 - Mercury Day Period was 176 Solar Days

9 - Venus Axial Tilt was 1.774 degrees (= $\pi^{1/2}$ degrees)

10 - Mars Orbital Distance was 84 mkm

11 - Pluto was The Mercury Moon

12 - **Saturn Was Created After The Sun Creation**

13 Some Action did by Mercury **led to Create the Sun**

14 **The Solar System After The Sun Creation**

15 - Mercury Axial Tilt becomes 0.01 degree

16 - Venus Axial Tilt received The lost value (from 1 to 0.01) – to save the inner
17 planets energy – So Venus axial tilt changed from 1.774 deg to 177.4 deg

18 - Pluto had to move far from Mercury – with a distance = 57.9 mkm (Mercury
19 orbital distance) for each point (from 1 degrees to 0.01 degrees) –that forced Pluto
20 to move far from Mercury with a distance =(100 x 57.9 mkm) = 5906 mkm Pluto
21 orbital distance

22 - **Mars had migrated from (84 mkm) to (227.9 mkm)**

23 - As a result of Mars Migration the Earth Moon was created.

24 - Mercury Day was decreased by 84 minutes =5040 seconds

25 - The inner Planets changes are recorded with Uranus Data

26 - Uranus hold the solar system to prevent its destruction-

27 - Uranus depended on Earth basically and Venus to save the solar system.

28 - Uranus caused Saturn to be created to help the solar system rescue.

29 - Saturn & Jupiter unified their power to save the solar system.

30 - Uranus & Earth Connection is the first basic connection to save the solar system
31 and the next one is the relationship between Venus and Saturn.

32 - The Cycle 2737 years is a real cycle found to record the events.

33 - The planets data analysis shows that Uranus effects vertically on the solar system
34 – and Uranus by this effect prevent any overturn motion can be done by any planet
35 and by that Uranus help to unify the planets general motion around the sun.

36 - Uranus Data Analysis shows that – there's a perpendicularity in the solar system
37 motion – based on that we conclude – "The is on vertical line relative to Uranus –
38 means – There's 180 degrees between the sun and Uranus on the vertical level.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   3
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **2- Methodology**

2 Let's remember one of Einstein basics questions in following

3 **Why The Matter Electromagnetic Properties Can't Predict The Matter**

4 **Mechanical Properties?! i.e.**

5 **How the matter is created?**

6 Why Earth Circumference =40080 km= the (5) inner planets diameters total?

7 Lorentz add more depth for this invistgation – so let's ask again

8 *Is Lorentz length Contraction Phenomenon a real phenomenon effect on the*

9 *particle own nature, or it's just illusion of measurement?* **My answer is**

10 *Lorentz length Contraction Phenomenon* is *a real phenomenon* **Why?**

11 Because

12 (1st) Empirical results prove these features (length contraction- mass increasing)- and

13 We need to protect the physical experimental measurements credibility- means we

14/15 attribute any properties to any particle based on experimental results ….And

16 (2nd) If the particle real (and correct) data is found when this particle motion relative

17 to me = zero, that means I'm **The Universe Reference Point.**

18 The next question is ready

19 **Why Particle data (length and mass) can be changed with high velocity motion?**

20 **How the matter is created?**

21 We can perform no progress unless we answer this question…

22 The Observer Effect Concept add more depth for this same invistagtion

23 - Why does a particle behave s particle and not as a wave after its observation? How

24 the observer effect on the particle nature?

25 - It's some strange experiment – before the observation we have (particles or

26 waves) but after the observation we have particles only! Why?

27 **How the matter is created?**

28 Let's imagine we have 2 observers travel with light velocity (0.3mkm/sec) – how

29 each one will see the other? No difference in velocity between their motions – so

30 each observer will see the other as a matter (a Particle)

31 That's my situation – no difference in velocity between my motion and my computer

32 motion – so if we both are in a spacecraft moves with light velocity nothing change

33 The argument tells us that – Not all matters we see as matters still matters for all

34 observers.

35 **Methodology**

36 How the previous discussion can explain the research methodology?

37 **Shortly**

38 I use the planets data analysis to discover the geometrical and physical rules on which

39 the planets were created – Specifically-

40 1- I compare between the planets data and the physics acceptable theories to see if

41 there's a consistency between both

42 2- I compare between the planets data with each other to see if they are independent

43 or dependent on each other..

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   4
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

3-The Solar System Before Sun Creation

3-1 Were The Solar Planets Before The Sun Creation?

3-2 Mars Migration Proves Revision (5 Proves)

3-3 Pluto Migration Proves Discussion

3-4 Uranus Position In The Sky (A Theoretical Analysis)

3-1 Were The Solar Planets Before The Sun Creation?

The Earth Is Older Than The Sun – this information inspired the basic idea in this paper… let's remember the hypothesis we have in this paper introduction…

The Solar Planets Order Was As Following

- Mercury (where Pluto was The Mercury Moon) – then Mars –then Venus – Then Earth- The Uranus – Then Neptune – Then Jupiter –The orbital distances of Mercury – Venus – Earth - aren't changed.

- **Why is this the planets original order?** Because this order depends on the planet diameter and mass – we have learnt that from **Mercury – Venus – Earth** order- where the 3 planets data are in order – Diameters, Masses And Orbital Distances

- If we use this same rule and removed Saturn from the group – the order will be as I have mentioned already

- **The sun creation because of some action did by Mercury(I can't define it yet)**

Many Changes were done After The Sun Creation ….let's refer to them:

- The ancient order is changed into the current one – Jupiter came to 778.6 mkm and Mars moved to 227.9 mkm – the Saturn is created and Neptune moved to occupy Jupiter point (The Solar System End Point)

But

- Pluto was pushed from its original point – as the Mercury Moon – to 5906 mkm – the last point in the solar system – where Neptune had occupied in that time– then Pluto had collided with Neptune strongly pushed it to nearer to the sun (4495.1 mkm) and Pluto occupied its position (5906 mkm) –

- **This explains**

    a. Why couldn't Titius Bode Law predict Neptune's orbital distance? Because the last point (5906 mkm) is Neptune Point and Pluto took it – so its NOT Pluto Point but Neptune and because of that Titius Bode Law predicts perfectly Pluto orbital distance but Not Neptune –

    b. Why Pluto has many moons but Venus Not?! because Pluto had collided with Neptune strongly and from this collision debris Pluto had created its moons and also The Kuiper belt was Created.

Let's remember The changes list which we have seen in this paper introduction – to the sun great effect on the solar planets data –in following…

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   5
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **The Solar System Before Sun Creation**

2 - Mercury Axial Tilt was 1 degree

3 - Mercury Day Period was 176 Solar Days

4 - Venus Axial Tilt was 1.774 degrees (= $\pi^{1/2}$ degrees)

5 - Mars Orbital Distance was 84 mkm

6 - Pluto was The Mercury Moon

7 - **Saturn Was Created After The Sun Creation**

8 Some Action did by Mercury **led to Create the Sun**

9

10 **The Solar System After The Sun Creation**

11 - Mercury Axial Tilt becomes 0.01 degree

12 - Venus Axial Tilt received The lost value (from 1 to 0.01) – to save the inner
13 planets energy – So Venus axial tilt changed from 1.774 deg to 177.4 deg

14 - Pluto had to move far from Mercury – with a distance = 57.9 mkm (Mercury
15 orbital distance) for each point (from 1 degrees to 0.01 degrees) –that forced Pluto
16 to move far from Mercury with a distance =(100 x 57.9 mkm) = 5906 mkm Pluto
17 orbital distance

18 - **Mars had migrated from (84 mkm) to (227.9 mkm)**

19 - Mars has collided with Venus and Earth and the Earth Moon, Mars Moons and the
20 asteroid belt were created by this collisions debris.
21

22 - **Mercury Day was decreased by 84 minutes =5040 seconds**

23 - The inner Planets changes are recorded with Uranus Data

24 - Uranus hold the solar system to prevent its destruction-

25 - Uranus depended on Earth basically and Venus to save the solar system.
26

27 - **Uranus caused Saturn to be created to help the solar system rescue.**

28 - Saturn & Jupiter unified their power to save the solar system.

29 - Uranus & Earth Connection is the first basic connection to save the solar system
30 and the next one is the relationship between Venus and Saturn.

31 - The Cycle 2737 years is a real cycle found to record the events.

32 -

33 - The planets data analysis shows that Uranus effects vertically on the solar system
34 – and Uranus by this effect prevent any overturn motion can be done by any planet
35 and by that Uranus help to unify the planets general motion around the sun.

36

37 - Uranus Data Analysis shows that – there's a perpendicularity in the solar system
38 motion – based on that we conclude – "The is on vertical line relative to Uranus –
39 means – There's 180 degrees between the sun and Uranus on the vertical level.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   6
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **3-2 Mars Migration Proves Revision (5 Proves)**
2
3 (**1st proof**) The Giant –Impact Hypothesis (The Geological Proves)
4
5 (**2nd proof**) The Planets Order Analysis
6
7 (**3rd proof**) Mars migration Results
8 **a- The Earth Moon Creation**
9 **b- Mars Moons Creation**
10 **c- Mars Diameter (and Mass) decreasing**
11 **d- The Asteroid Belt Creation**
12
13 (**4th proof**) Planet Effect On Its Neighbor
14
15 (**5th proof**) Mar migration Motion Direction
16
17
18 **(1st proof) The Giant –Impact Hypothesis (The Geological proves)**
19 As the paper abstract shows how Mars theory is in consistency with The Giant-
20 Impact hypothesis – also Mars migration theory answers many basic questions face
21 the giant –impact hypothesis –as we have discussed–
22 **Why Venus has no moon?**
23 because Mars Motion was from the point (84 mkm) to (227.9 mkm)– so the direction
24 of Motion is defined - and Mars Motion pushed all debris to move with Mars that
25 made a wave pushed all debris far from Venus – So Venus Couldn't create a moon for
26 it. then these debris lost their high motions momentum when reach to Earth position
27 and because Earth mass is greater than Venus – Earth could attracted some debris and
28 created from them its moon –
29 **Mars Moons** supports this description because Mars with small mass could attracted
30 2 moons – How?
31 Because the debris high momentum is lost already, and its motion became so weak
32 even Mars could attracted its moons –
33 Also the rest debris was attracted by Jupiter and created **The Asteroid Belt**.
34
35 Please review **The Giant –Impact Hypothesis** -
36 **The Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) Origin**– **is Venus** –
37 This solution is so important, it solves a serious difficulty – as explained before
38 Now the question is why Mars had migrated when the sun is created? we have to
39 discuss that later…
40
41 **Why Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm?**
42 Because the planet orbital distance depends on its diameter and mass – but the order
43 is disturbed by Mars migration and we don't see it now – the planets order analysis
44 we should discuss in the following point…
45
46

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   7
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **(2nd proof) The Planets Order Analysis**

2
3 Mars migration theory is born basically based on the planets order analysis

4 *(Mercury – Venus – Earth)*

5 This order of planets shows an order in planets diameters, masses and orbital distance
6 – the order rule is

7 **A Greater Diameter Or Mass Needs A Greater Orbital Distance**

8

9 If this order controls all planets so Mars should be the second planet after Mercury –
10 But –

11 *(Jupiter – Saturn –Uranus – Neptune – Pluto)*

12 the outer planets order shows that the rule depends on planet diameter (and not mass
13 because Uranus Diameter is greater than Neptune but less Mass) and the rule is
14 reversed from the previous one

15 i.e.

16 **A Greater Diameter Needs A Shorter Orbital Distance** –

17 This analysis refers to that Mars may be found in a wrong position in the planets
18 order and this wrong position causes a disturbance for the planets order – based on
19 this analysis Mars migration theory can be concluded … to get **the correct answer**
20 we need to know if **There's A Real Relationship Between Planet Diameter And**
21 **Orbital Distance.** –

22

23

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   8
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **(3rd proof) Mars migration Results**
2   **a- The Earth Moon Creation**
3   **b- Mars Moons Creation**
4   **c- The Asteroid Belt Creation**
5   **d- Mars diameter Decreasing (4.1%)**
6
7   **e- Mars Mass Decreasing (4.1%)**

8 Simply we have a reason to answer Why Venus has no moon but Mars (mass =1/13)
9 has 2 moons?!
10 The fact shows itself clearly – there were no debris around Venus to create a moon!
11 But Mars had!? Why? because of the Motion Direction
12
13 Mars had moved from the Point (84 mkm) to the point (227.9 mkm) from the sun and
14 pushed all debris with it in the same motion direction – so no debris left around
15
16 Venus – because all debris had moved with Mars in its Motion Direction

17 Now if we practice such event – what expectation we may conclude?
18 Mars Diameter (and Mass) must be decreased
19 i.e.
20 Mars Diameter (and Mass) must be decreased than their values when Mars was in its
21 original orbital distance (84 mkm)
22 **Can this conclusion be proved?**
23 The gravitation Equation works only with Saturn and Jupiter Masses – where
24
25 $$\frac{(\text{Saturn orbital distance})^2}{(\text{Jupiter orbital distance})^2} = \frac{\text{Jupiter Mass}}{\text{Satrun Mass}} = 3.4$$
26
27
28 **If Mars Mass is increased by 4.1% the gravitation equation can work**
29
30
31 $$\frac{(\text{Mercury orbital distance } 57.9 \text{ mkm})^2}{(\text{Mars original orbital distance } 84 \text{ mkm})^2} = \frac{\text{Mars Mass } 0.668}{\text{Mercury Mass } 0.33}$$
32
33 (Equation Error 3.4%)
34 **Question (1)**
35 **Why don't all planets follow the gravitation equation?!**
36 Because of Mars migration which caused disturbance for the planets order
37 **Question (2)**
38 Is there any proof that Mars Diameter is decreased by the same rate (4.1%) **Yes**
39 Mercury orbital distance 57.9 mkm   = Mercury Diameter 4879 km   x 109^2
40 Earth orbital distance 149.6 mkm   = Earth Diameter 12756 km   x 109^2
41 Satrun orbital distance 1433.5 mkm   = Satrun Diameter 120536 km   x 109^2
42 Mars (org) orbital distance 84 mkm   = Mars (org) Diameter 7070km x 109^2
43
44 *(Mars Diameter 7070 km is increased than the Mars registered diameter 6792 km*
45 *with 4.1%)*
46

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   9
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **(4th proof) Planet Effect On Its Neighbor**

2 Let's summarize the idea in following…
3

4 - I claim each planet effect on its neighbor planet data and motion

5 - I provide data shows such effect (for example Earth effects on Mars Motion)

6 So

7 - If we have found data claiming that – Mars Motion is effected by Mercury Data –

8 so this data may refer to that – Mars was a neighbor of Mercury

9 **I- Data**

10 (1)

11 687 days (Mars orbital period) = 365.25 days (Earth orbital period)   x 1.9

12 687 days (Mars orbital period) = 27.3 days   (The moon orbital period)   x 25.2

13 But 25.2 degrees = Mars axial tilt and 1.9 degrees = Mars orbital incaution

14 Also 25.2 deg (Mars axial tilt and) = (1.9 deg. Mars orbital incaution) x 13.18 deg.

15 Where

16 The moon motion degrees daily =13.18 degrees

17 We should not limit our discussion for just Data consistency – let's see its depth

18 Because

19 Mars moves per solar day = 0.524 degrees (=360 degrees /687 days)

20 The value 0.524 degrees = (1/1.9) where 1.9 degrees = Mars orbital incaution

21 i.e.

22 Mars Data (25.2, 1.9, 0.524 …etc) depends on the solar day period of time

23 (2)

24 **4331 days (Jupiter orbital period) = 687 days (Mars orbital period) x 2 π**

25 **II-Discussion**

26 The previous data shows that Earth and moon on one side and Jupiter on the other

27 side all of them effect on Mars orbital period (i.e. effect on Mars Motion)

28 **More Data**

29 **Equation No. (1)**

$$\left(\frac{\text{Mercury Diameter}}{\text{Mars Diameter}}\right)^2 = \frac{\text{Mars Mass}}{\text{Mercury Mass}} = 0.524$$

30
31

32 **Equation No. (2)**

$$\frac{\text{Mars Orbital Period 687 days}}{\text{Mercury Day 175.94 days}} = \frac{\text{Mars Orbital Distance 227.9 mkm}}{\text{Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9 mkm}} = 3.93$$

33 **Equation No. (3)**

34
35 $$\frac{\text{Mars Day 24.7 hours}}{\text{Earth Day 24 hours}} = \frac{\text{Earth Orbital Period 365.25 days}}{\text{Moon Synodic Year 354.36 days}} = 1.029$$
36

37

38 **Equation No. (5)**

39

40 **7 deg. (Mercury Orbital Inclination)= 1.9 deg. (Mars Orbital Inclination)+ 5.1**

41 **deg. (Earth Moon Orbital Inclination)**

42

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   10
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **(5ᵗʰ proof) Mar migration Motion Direction**

2 Let's summarize the idea in following

3 - The solar system has one defined motion which is the planets revolution around
4 the sun

5

6 - Mars Motion from (84 mkm) to (227.9 mkm) is a new direction of Motion is
7 unknown in the solar system

8

9 - In this point I refer to another motion started from Jupiter to Pluto – and this
10 motion is in the same direction of Mars migration Motion which makes Mars
11 migration Motion is a defined motion in the solar system and not a unique one

12

13 Please review Jupiter Data Analysis in my previous paper

14

15 The Giant-impact hypothesis supports,, Mars Immigration Theory,, (III)
16 https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521

17 Also

18 Can Titius Bode Law Disprove Mars Immigration Theory?
19 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0292

20

21 Does Mars Effect on the Earth Moon Motion?
22 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0264

23

24 Can we explain the solar Planets Order?

25

26 The Solar Planets Order Still Shows More Puzzles
27 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0086

28

29

30

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   11
2ⁿᵈ Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

3-3 Pluto Migration Proves Discussion

This Discussion Is Done In Details In My Previous Paper

Why couldn't Titius Bode Law predict Neptune's orbital distance?
https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0509
or
https://www.academia.edu/42803181/Why_couldnt_Titius_Bode_Law_predict_Neptune_s_orbital_distance
or
https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/why-couldnt-titius-bode-law-predict-neptunes-orbital-distance

The Basic Proves Are:

1- Pluto Data shows that, Pluto was belonged to The inner Planets

2- Pluto Moons and the **The Kuiper Belt** shows that –Some collision is occurred here similar to the collisions of Mars with Venus and Mars with Earth. – So the logical conclusion tells that Pluto may collide with Neptune

3- Titius Bode Law predicts perfectly Pluto orbital distance but Not Neptune, Where Neptune Only can't be defined by Titius Bode Law that tells some disturbance is found here for some reason

4- Pluto Eccentricity Distance = Pluto Neptune Distance = Neptune Orbital Distance/π ----- This data tells that there's some interacting effect between Pluto and Neptune.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   12
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

3-4 Uranus Position In The Sky (A Theoretical Analysis)

Let's summarize this idea in following:

1- Uranus Data analysis shows always an angle =90 degrees –this angle is found with Uranus data effect on any other planet…

2- This angle 90 degrees is used frequently between Uranus and different planets

For example
97 deg = Uranus axial tilt (97.8 deg) – Uranus orbital inclination (0.8 deg) = 90 degrees + 7 degrees (Mercury orbital inclination)
This calculation is one of many where the angle 90 degrees is used frequently

3- The frequent using of the angle 90 degrees leads to the conclusion- Uranus is perpendicular on the inner planets plain..

Also

4- Uranus is the important planet for the sun – and the analysis shows that – the sun and Uranus are found on a straight line between them 180 degrees and that means the sun is perpendicular on the inner planets (higher) an Uranus is perpendicular on the inner planets (lower) – or at least this 180 degrees passes by the Earth Body if doesn't contain all inner planets…

5- That shows a vertical axis in the solar system geometry –

Please review this argument – in my papers

Uranus Effect On Mars Migration
https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0421
or
https://www.academia.edu/42762930/Uranus_Effect_On_Mars_Migration
or
https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/uranus-effect-on-mars-migration

My Research Basic Arguments (V)
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0278

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   13
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

4- The Sun Creation Basic Concepts

4-1 Preface

This paper provides some new approach to study the Solar System Geometry

Many new and strange ideas are inserted in it –Where their proves are still under discussion…

To find an ordinary approach for this paper – we have to answer one question:

Is the Earth older than the sun? i.e. **Was our Earth found before the sun creation?**

If the answer is Yes – as we know –so- this paper has some logical basics

How the Earth is found before the sun? moving or not? if moving by what force?

This paper provides my vision how the planets were before the sun creation and what changes occurred because of the creation –I use the planets data analysis

2 Basic Concepts –I have to provide here –in following:

(1st Concept) – The Solar System is one body or one building –each planet is a part of this same building – Because of that – the planet data is created relative and complementary to other planet data

i.e. The solar planets aren't separated points from each other – on the contrary – they are similar to a train carriages –all planets are connected with each other and move together one unified motion – as a train

(2nd Concept) – The Planets Motions are found before the Sun Creation

The sun is a result of the planets motions and not their cause

Let's summarize the idea in following:

- The Planets Motions energies are accumulated on one point only (the sun point)
- The sun has a different rate of time. Specifically 1 solar day on the sun =1 year on Earth (a hypothesis)

i.e.

- The Planets Motion Energies during 1 sidereal year (365.25 solar days) – all this energy is accumulated together on one point only and the sun can use the (year) energy in (one day only)
- Based On That – The Planets Motions Mechanical Waves Energy Is Transferred Into Light Wave Energy and the Sun rays are produced.

Shortly

This paper tries to prove that the sun rays energy origin is not the nuclear interactions as the current theory learns – but the sun rays energy is created by the planets motions energies accumulation.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   14

2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..

mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

4-2 The Sun Creation (1ˢᵗ) Concept

The 1ˢᵗ Concept is the following:

Planet Motion Depends On Light Motion

And

1 Day Of Planet Motion Depends On Light Motion For 1 Second

The Concept Explanation:

- The Sun Rays is produced from C^2
- $C^2 = 90000$ million km   (when The time = 1 second)
- 9000 mkm= π^3 x 2872.5 mkm (Uranus Orbital Distance)

Where

     o π^3 = Uranus Axial Tilt / Jupiter Axial Tilt
     o   The Equation tells   that – the distance (2872.5 mkm) uses the rate $\pi^3$to produce 90000 mkm which will = C^2 (when t= 1 second)

- All solar planets motions distances total per solar day =2872.5 mkm- How?

- All solar planets motions distances per solar day (actually) =17.75 mkm (this value = the 9 planets motions distances total + the Earth Moon motion distance and I suppose the Moon motion distance = Earth Motion distance otherwise they would be separated from Each other)

- How 17.75 mkm became equal 2872.5 mkm?!

- **1433.5 mkm (Saturn orbital distance) =17.75 mkm x 80.8**
- 80.8 x 2π = 511 (where 511.1 degrees = The Planets Axial Tilts Total)
- The previous equation tells that – the planets axial tilts work as gears – as in Gears machine –one gear revolves once causes the other to revolve twice –that's the same – the planets motions distance total per solar day =17.75 mkm but the planet axial tilts works as gears and make this distance equal =1433.5 mkm

So

- The planets total motions per solar day = 1433.5 mkm (= Saturn orbital distance)
- This value becomes 2x 1433.5mkm = 2872.5 mkm how? By reflection – the value 1433.5 mkm is a distance (where Space =Energy) – so this distance works as light beam and it reflects and produce one equal distance!

That explains

     o   Why Saturn orbital distance = Saturn Uranus Distance? Because both of them are light beams reflected on each other…

What we get from all that?

- The planets motions distances total (17.75 mkm) per solar day became = 2872.5 mkm (per solar day) – this value will use the gear π^3 to produce C^2 from which the sun rays are created!

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   15
2ⁿᵈ Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

Another Method For This Calculation

- The planets motions distances total (17.75 mkm) per solar day

But

- The sun has a different rate of time where 1 day on the sun = 1 year on Earth
- So this distance 17.75 mkm is performed in one day on Earth – on the sun equal?

- One day one Earth =237 seconds on the sun –

- So the distance =17.75 mkm and the time 237 seconds – so
- The Velocity = 0.25 C (where C= light known velocity 0.3 mkm/sec)

Now

- Jupiter Energy Analysis shows that there's a light beam with velocity 1.16 mkm/sec (Jupiter Energy will be discussed here)

So

$$0.25 \text{ C x } 4\text{C} = \text{C}^2$$

Shortly

The planets motions distances energies are accumulated in one point to produce the sun rays – but this process can be done only if the sun rate of time is different from the Earth rate of time – and by that – the sun can use the accumulated energies in high concentrate to produce the sun rays

A Comment:

The previous idea is discussed frequently in my papers-

- The distances energies accumulation is possible because the planets revolve around the sun in the same direction which enable this process –but we should before accepts that the distance is created of Energy (Space = Energy).

- The different rates of time in the solar system is a logical idea if we accept that the planet motion depends on light motions – if not we will return to the basic question how the matter is created – and with the matter definition we may discover how the light motion (must be) contained inside the planet motion.

For Detailed Proves Please Review

The Solar System Is Created Based On Light Motions
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0535

My Research Basic Arguments (Part II)   https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0151

Matter Creation Principle (Part V)   https://vixra.org/abs/1908.0367

Earth Moon moves with 2 Rates Of Time (I)   https://vixra.org/abs/1910.0199

Time And Distance Equivalence (Proves)   https://vixra.org/abs/1904.0125

The Time Definition   https://vixra.org/abs/1805.0523

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   16
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

4-3 The Sun Creation (2nd) Concept

The Planets Motions Are Found Before The Sun Creation

And

The Sun Is A Result Of The Planets Motions And Not Their Cause

This concept also depends on the question

Is Earth older than the Sun? If so- does Earth move before the sun creation?!

How to prove that? the sun data is in harmony with the planets data –i.e. –it's not possible that the sun is created from a different source –on the contrary –the sun and Solar Planets were created from the same one stuff and based on the same geometrical rules! The following Equation may help

Gerges Equation For Planet Gravity

$$\text{The planet gravity} = (\frac{\text{Earth Diameter}}{\text{The Planet Diameter}})^2 \times (\frac{\text{The Planet Mass}}{\text{The Earth Mass}}) \times \text{Earth gravity}$$

Table No. 1

Planet	Equation	Resulted	Registered	Error
The Sun	**(Earth/Sun diameters rate)^2 (Sun Mass/ Earth Mass)* earth gravity** **= (1/109)^2* 333000*9.8= 274**	**274**	**274**	**0**
Moon	$(3.66)^2$ x $(0.073 / 5.97)$ x 9.8	1.6 m/sec^2	1.6 m/sec^2	0
Mercury	$(2.61)^2$ x $(0.23/5.97)$ x 9.8	3.7 m/sec^2	3.7 m/sec^2	0
Venus	$(1.0538)^2$ x 4.87 /5.97) x 9.8	8.9 m/sec^2	8.9 m/sec^2	0
Earth	9.8	9.8	9.8	0
Mars	$(1.878)^2$ x $(0.642 / 5.97)$ x 9.8	3.7 m/sec2	3.7 m/sec2	0
Jupiter	$(0.0892)^2$ x $(1898 / 5.97)$ x 9.8	24.7 m/sec2	23.1 m/sec2	6.9%
Saturn	$(0.10582)^2$ x $(568/5.97)$ x 9.8	10.44 m/sec2	9 m/sec2	16%
Uranus	$(0.25)^2$ x $(86.8/5.97)$ x 9.8	8.9	8.7	2.3%
Neptune	$(0.2575)^2$ x $(102/ 5.97)$ x 9.8	11.1 m/sec^2	11 m/sec^2	0.9%
Pluto	$(5.337)^2$ $(0.0131 / 5.97)$ x 9.8	0.6125 m/sec^2	0.6 m/sec^2	2%

Discussion

1. The big error with **Jupiter & Saturn** (6.9% and 16% respectively) –I claim that error is a result of relativistic effects found in the solar system

   There Are Relativistic Effects In The Solar Group http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0565

2. We need to observe and analyze deeply **The Sun Equation** in row No.1 of the previous table, because this equation supports my claim that the sun is originated from the same source from which the solar planets were originated, otherwise why the sun herself follows the same equation? That supports my claim that, the sun rays is created depends on solar planets motions energies accumulation….

Note Please / the previous table disproves clearly the sun masses gravity concept – or at least provide a real doubts against.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   17
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

4-4 Is There a light beam travels with 1.16 mkm /sec

This idea will be discussed clearly with Jupiter Energy analysis

For more detailed discussion please review

My Research Basic Arguments (Part IV)
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0270

Jupiter And Venus Motions Interaction
https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0357

A Light with (1.16 Mkm Per Sec), creates the solar system
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0504

There's A Light Beam Travels With 1.16 mkm per sec (III)
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0338

There's a Light Beam Travels with 1.16 Mkm Per Sec (II)
https://vixra.org/abs/2002.0316

There's A Light Beam Travels With 1.16 mkm per sec (My Claim)

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   18
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

4-5 Jupiter Energy Analysis

(1)

Jupiter sends the energy to Pluto – Jupiter energy is sent in a light beam form, where this light beam velocity =1.16 mkm/sec – Jupiter continued sending its energy for 2 full solar days (2 x 86400 seconds)

(2)

This light beam passes during the period =1.16 mkm/s x 2 x 86400 s =**202584 mkm**

So

During 2 solar days, light with velocity 1.16 mkm/s passes a distance = **202584 mkm**

(3)

This Energy reach to Pluto – but Pluto reflected this full energy again to Neptune – **That means** Pluto didn't use any of this energy but Pluto reflected it to Neptune completely

(4)

Neptune – in that time – had no an orbital circumference – for that reason – Neptune used part of the sending energy to build its orbital circumference (28255 mkm)

Specifically Neptune used 14% of the total energy to build its orbital circumference

(3)

After Neptune Orbital Circumference Building

The rest of energy = 86% (= 2x 86400 mkm) ,

this energy Neptune reflected to the inner planets –into 2 equal trajectories of Energy, Each Trajectory contains an energy =43% of the total =86400 mkm

(4)

Neptune reflected the first Trajectory of energy contains (86400 mkm) to Venus and Earth together (to be used by Venus & Earth)

(5)

Also Neptune reflected the second Trajectory of energy contains (86400 mkm) to Jupiter and then to Mercury (Jupiter doesn't use any of the energy – Jupiter directed the energy only toward Mercury to reach Mercury = 86400 mkm completely)

(6)

How we know this story and the values?! Because **Distance = Energy**

(7)

So all distances I have referred are real distances – and that means – these real distances are created based on the previous story which force us to conclude that a light velocity 1.16 mkm/sec must be found in the solar system

(8)

Simply –

The distances values analysis force us to accept that a velocity of 1.16 mkm/sec must be found behind these distances creation –let's analyze and discuss that deeply as possible in following:

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   19
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

Jupiter Energy Analysis

(Equation No. a)

(Pluto Orbital Circumference-Jupiter Orbital Circumference) x2π=202584mkm

1.16 mkm/sec   x 2 x   86400 seconds   =202584mkm

(Equation No. b)

202584 mkm =

        28255   mkm (Neptune Orbital Circumference) +

        2 x 86400 mkm

(Equation No. c)

(Neptune orbital Circumference –Earth orbital Circumference) x π= 86400 mkm

(Error less 1%)

Discussion

Equation No. a

(Pluto Orbital Circumference -Jupiter Orbital Circumference)x2π=202584mkm

Jupiter & Pluto Orbital Circumferences Difference x 2π = 202584 mkm

Also

Light with velocity 1.16 mkm/s during 2 solar days passes a distance = **202584mkm**

Equation No. b

This equation tells a simple information – from a distance =202584mkm we minus Neptune orbital circumference (28255 mkm)- The rest of energy = **2 x 86400 mkm**

Equation No. c

This equation tells that the value 86400 mkm reach to Earth (or Venus)!

First, Why this prove any thing??

Because we use the same equation!!

The difference between Neptune & Earth Circumferences x **π=86400 mkm**

This is the same equation by which the energy is sent from Jupiter to Pluto – it's NOT similarity for some numbers – it's the same motion of energy- so the same equation and the same amount of energy are used

Second, to where the energy is sent, because if we use Neptune Earth circumferences difference or Neptune Venus circumferences difference – the error will be less 1% give no direction to the energy transportation– so the 1st trajectory energy is sent to Earth or Venus?!

To both together – the energy is reach to a point 120 mkm from the sun and from this point the energy (86400 mkm) is divided for 2 Planets (Earth and Venus)

Third,

The difference between (Neptune & Mercury) orbital circumferences x **π = 86400mk** (error 1.5%)

So – why this energy must be passed through Jupiter – why not directly to Mercury?

Because Mercury Jupiter Distance =720.7 mkm and

720.7 mkm x 2π = 4495.1 mkm (Neptune orbital distance)- later we'll discuss it.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   20
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
<u>I do this research</u>

More Deep Discussion

<div align="center">

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

</div>

(1)

Why does the previous data prove the story?

Shortly

The value **202584mkm** is used 3 times in the previous data

    (1) As the result of **Jupiter Pluto Circumferences Difference x 2π**

    (2) As a distance passed by light with velocity 1.16 mkm/s during 2 days

    (3) As the total =28255 mkm +2 x 86400 mkm

Where (28255 mkm = Neptune orbital circumference) and (86400 mkm =Neptune – Earth orbital circumferences difference x **π)** where we can use Venus or Mercury in place of Earth and reach to the same result

The 3 times of using the value **202584mkm** have no clear explanation – just what I provided here in this paper.

(2)

How to prove the energy is transported really?

Let's remember – we accepted that – **Distance = Energy** …Now

The inner planets creation energies are sent from Jupiter and reflected by Neptune

What conclusion we can reach here?

Jupiter & Neptune orbital distances control the inner planets data <u>Is It True??</u>

More Data

<div align="center">

Group No. (I)

</div>

Neptune Orbital Distance 4495.1mkm =

    = Earth Venus distance 41.4 x Venus orbital distance 108.2

    = Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9 x Earth Mars distance 78.3

    = Mercury venues distance 50.3 x Mercury Earth distance 91.7 (error 2.5%)

Simply the inner planets define their distances with a limit which is Neptune orbital distance- Why? because **Neptune reflected their energy**

<div align="center">

Group No. (II)

</div>

Jupiter Orbital Circumference

360 mkm (Mercury Orbital Circumference) + 680 mkm (Venus Orbital Circumference) +940 mkm (Earth Orbital Circumference) + 1433.5 mkm (Mars Orbital Circumference) x 2 = 4900 mkm (Jupiter Orbital Circumference) **(error 1%)**

Shortly

the inner planets orbital circumferences total = Jupiter orbital circumference! Why?

because Jupiter energy is their creation source – the inner planets are created because of Jupiter energy - and **<u>Energy = Distance</u>** – that explains the data clearly-

Note Please

For a geometrical necessity Mars Orbital Circumference is used 2 times in the previous summation (**Later we'll have more deep discussion**).

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   21
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

Group No. (III)

Jupiter Orbital Distance

- Mercury Orbital Distance x 2 = Mercury Jupiter Distance
- Venus Orbital Distance = Venus Jupiter Distance (Error 1.5%)
- Earth Orbital Distance = Earth Jupiter Distance (Error 1.3%)

Note Please

(1)

When Earth and Jupiter are at 2 sides from the sun so 930 mkm =778.6mkm+ 149.6 mkm – so Earth Jupiter distance(in this case) = Earth orbital circumference (940 mk)

(2)

The previous data needs more deep discussion – we should realize that Jupiter is the inner planets store of Energy and Neptune reflected this energy to them – simply the inner planets live on this energy – and that creates a very great effect of Jupiter and Neptune on the inner planets

The previous data (which is so much data) is a very small part of a sea of data proving this fact –we need to discuss each relationship alone to see clear as possible

For example

Mercury moves during its day period (around 176 solar days) a distance = **Mercury Jupiter Distance**! Why? it's Jupiter effect on Mercury motion – which we need to discuss later

Mercury orbital inclination, orbital period and a great part of Mercury orbital motion depends directly on Jupiter data

The previous data I inserted to work as a proof for the argument – but the real relationships are so deep in the solar system geometrical structure and we should discuss them as deep as we can in this paper.

Group No. (IV)

1. $\dfrac{778.6\,\text{mkm Juppiter Orbital Distance}}{720.3\,\text{mkm Jupiter Mercury distance}} = 1.0725$ (0.7%)

2. $\dfrac{720.3\,\text{mkm Jupiter Mercury distance}}{670\,\text{mkm Jupiter Venus Distance}} = 1.0725$ (No Error)

3. $\dfrac{670\,\text{mkm Jupiter Venus Distance}}{629\,\text{mkm Jupiter Earth Distance}} = 1.0725$ (0.6%)

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/ 22
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **5- The Sun Creation Geometrical Mechanism**

2 5-1 Preface

3 5-2 The Sun Data Analysis

4 5-3 the Sun & Uranus Positions in The sky

5 5-4 The Solar System general Description

6

7 **5-1 Preface**

8 Let's summarize the Idea in following …

9

10 - The current Theory tells that the sun rays is produced by nuclear interactions and
11 the sun is a star independent from the solar planets creation origin

12

13 - I accept all ideas simply – just we to think –and compare this description with the
14 sun & planets data – as we have seen in the gravity equation where the sun gravity
15 value depends on Earth gravity value – that can't be understood if both are
16 independent from Each other

17

18 - What we will do here? we will analyze the sun data and try to conclude if the sun
19 data is related or independent from the planets data…

20

21 - It's somehow simple process –if the data is dependent on each other that means the
22 independent origins must be unreal

23

24 - We here will analysis the sun diameter –circumference – Mass and different data
25 let's start immediately

26

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   23

2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..

mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

5-2 The Sun Data Analysis

The Sun Diameter

I-Data

(1)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Jupiter **Diameter**   x π^2 (1.5%)

(2)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Saturn **Circumference**   x 3.66

(3)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Uranus **Diameter**   x 27.3

(4)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Neptune **Diameter**   x 28.3

(5)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Earth **Diameter**   x 109

(6)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Venus **Diameter**   x 115.2

(6)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Mars **Diameter**   x 205.2

(6)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Mercury **Diameter**   x 285.3 =

(6)

The sun diameter 1.392 mkm = Pluto **Diameter**   x 584

II-Discussion

The previous rates aren't independent – for example

- The rate between Jupiter and the sun diameter is π^2 which has a geometrical meaning and can't be independent or common rate.

- Neptune produces the rate 28.1 where Neptune Axial Tilt =28.3 degrees (0.7%)

- Uranus produces the rate 27.3 which is the basics argument in Uranus discussion – please review -Uranus Effect On Mars Migration https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0421

- Earth produces the rate 109 where the planet orbital distance is defined based on the planet diameter multiply with the rate 109^2-and because of that
    o Earth diameter   x 109 = The Sun Diameter
    o Earth diameter   x 109^2 = Earth orbital distance
    o Earth Moon diameter x 109   = Earth Moon distance
    o Mercury diameter   x 109^2 = Mercury orbital distance
    o Saturn diameter   x 109^2 = Saturn orbital distance

- I try to summarize the idea here that's why I refer in shorts sentences only – the data needs long discussion – but in next page we will discuss Venus and Mars Equations in full details to see how the sun diameter is created in fact depending on Venus and Mars Diameters

A conclusion "The sun diameter is created relative to the planets diameters"

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   24
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 <mark>**The Sun diameter = 115.2 x Venus Diameter**</mark>

2 **Data (1)**

3 - Mars Axial Tilt = 25.2 degrees   (on the horizontal level)

4 - Mars Axial Tilt = **115.2** degrees   (on the vertical level 115.2 = 25.2+90)

5 - Mars Axial Tilt = **205.2** degrees   (where 205.2 degrees = 115.2 +90)

6   But

7 - Saros Shift angle = 115 degrees ....And

8 - Saros Cycle 6585.39 days = 115 x (180/π)

9 Why Venus diameter is so specific one?

10 **Because**

11 - **The sun diameter = 115.2 x Venus Diameter**

12 - **The sun diameter = 205.2 x Mars Diameter**

13 **Note Please**

14 - **In kepler Law (P^3/ T^2 = Constant)**) when we use the solar planets data in this

15   equation the constant will be 25 – now the rate 25 = (25 degrees / 1degree) where

16   25.2 degrees = Mars Axial Tilt –

17 **Also**

18 (1) Venus Diameter 12104 km = Mars diameter 6792 km x $\sqrt{\pi}$

19

20 (2) 3.4 degrees (Venus orbital inclination) x 0.524 degree = $\sqrt{\pi}$

21 Where 0.524 degrees = Mars Motion degrees daily (360 degrees 687 days)

22 **Discussion (1)**

23 The previous data tries to show that – the Value 25.2 is so effective in the solar

24 system geometry in different ways – where this value is seen in different forms – why

25 because Venus & Mars diameters are relative to the sun diameter by this rate

26 The next data can help this explanation greatly

27 **Data (2)**

28   **(Mars diameter 6792 km)^2 x π = (Venus Diameter 12104 km)^2 = 144 mkm**

29 Mars Migration was from its original (84 mkm) to its current (227.9 mkm) with

30 Displacement = **144 mkm**

31

32 That tells, Venus & Mars diameter were relative to each and they defined the

33 displacement 144 mkm (because of the relationship between the planet diameter and

34 orbital distance) –

35 And that means Mars & Venus Diameters weren't created relative to the sun but on

36 the contrary the sun diameter is created relative to them where the sun is created later.

37

38 **Note Please**

39 The data in 5-2-1 needs long discussion for each equation – where Venus & Mars

40 diameters discussion was just example – so I have summarized the idea only to make

41 it as clear as possible.

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   25
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

5-3 The Sun & Uranus Positions in The sky

(Part I)

90000 mkm = 2872.5 mkm (Uranus orbital distance) x π^3

We know this equation perfectly – 90000 mkm = C^2 if the time =1 second

So the sun rays are created from C^2 which is the source of energy – the sun doesn't see 90000 mkm but see only C^2 – on the other side Uranus doesn't see the value C^2 but see 90000 mkm and create its orbital distance (2872.5 mkm) based on this value 90000 mkm- so the sun creation is done with a distance – its length=2872.5 mkm and on this distance there are 2 points (**The Sun And Uranus**) – this feature is a unique one for Uranus and no other planet enjoys it

(Where π^3 = **Uranus axial tilt/ Jupiter axial tilt**)

i.e.

The sun is not a point – it's a straight line created together in one process –this straight line length =2872.5 mkm and this line has 2 points on it 1st point is the sun and the 2nd point is Uranus–this is NOT similar to any other planet because the value 2872.5 mkm is created from c^2 which is the source of energy

Note Please

Here we don't discuss the origin of the value c^2 - we here start with c^2 and move with the production process of the sun rays – the basic hypothesis we should remember is that, **Distance = Energy … i.e. Space = Energy**

So the value c^2 produces the sun rays and Uranus orbital distance with the sun

Based on this idea – we may conclude the following

(1st Conclusion)

Uranus defines the sun position because they were created with the same distance (2872.5 mkm)

Based on that the other solar planets define the sun position in their orbital circumferences based on Uranus position in the sky

(2nd Conclusion)

Uranus defines the planets internal distances and because of that

778.6 mkm(Jupiter orbital distance) x 0.8 = 627 mkm (Earth Jupiter Distance)

The previous equation is example of many others we have discussed before

The equation tells that – Uranus orbital inclination (0.8) defines The sun point relative to Jupiter and Earth Points…

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   26
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

1 **(Part II)**

2 **180.8 degrees = 177.4 deg (Venus axial tilt) + 3.4 deg (Venus orbital inclination)**

3 (1)

4 What's this 180.8 degrees?

5 It's Uranus orbital inclination (0.8 degrees)

6 0.8 degrees +90 +90 =180.8 degrees!

7 What does that mean?

8 Uranus is perpendicular on Earth Moon orbit – we discussed that before

9 97.8 degrees (Uranus axial tilt) – 6.7 degrees (Earth Moon axial tilt) = 91.1 deg

10 Not perfectly perpendicular – how to be equal 90 degrees? We discussed that before

11 Now

12 **The sun is perpendicular on Earth…!**

13

14 **That means – the Sun and Uranus are 2 points on the same straight line – for**

15 **that reason the value 180.8 degrees is seen**

16

17 **For full discussion**

18 Please review

19

20 Uranus Effect On Mars Migration

21 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0421

22

23 Uranus Effect On Mars Migration (II)

24 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0443

25

26 Uranus Effect On Mars Migration (III)

27 https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0481

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   27
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

5-4 The Solar System general Description

This study tells us that – the solar system has some geometrical features which are different from Copernicus Model

Shortly
There's A Vertical Axis In The Solar System
i.e.
there planets are found on vertical axis relative to other planets
simply
there's an angle =180 degrees between the sun and Uranus
and an angle =90 degrees between the sun and Earth on one side and between Uranus
and Earth on the other side

Let's make this description as clear a possible:

- We describe the planets positions relative to Earth
- All planets are on the horizontal level relative to Earth – mean – on coordinates
  xyz – we deal here with xy plain only – no any vertical axis

Except
- Uranus is on the vertical axis (z axis) relative to Earth – Uranus is on Vertical axis
  Lower than Earth Level – means – to reach to Uranus Earth needs to descend the
  upstairs to Vertical Axis Lower

- The Sun is on the vertical axis (z axis) relative to Earth – The Sun is on The
  Vertical Axis Upper than Earth Level – means – to reach to The Sun Earth needs
  to ascend the upstairs on Vertical axis Upper

- The Planets revolve around the straight line (180 degrees) extends from the sun to
  Earth to Uranus.

Additional Detail
There's one more geometrical feature which needs more analysis – almost- each
planet is found on One Stair –So the horizontal level is divided into small stairs
around this vertical line (180 degrees)
For that reason
The planets don't revolve neither around the sun or Earth – they revolve around the
straight line (180 degrees) – each planet according to its horizontal level (its Stair)

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   28
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292

IN THE ALMIGHTY GOD NAME
Through the Mother of God mediation
I do this research

References

Uranus Effect On Mars Migration

https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0421

Can Titius Bode Law Disprove Mars Immigration Theory?

https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0292

Research Paper "The Solar Planets Order Still Shows More Puzzles"

https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0086   (German text) https://vixra.org/abs/2004.0165

Mars Immigration Theory

https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0689   (German text) https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0685

The Giant-impact hypothesis supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (III)

https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521

Lorentz Length Contraction (More Discussion)

https://vixra.org/abs/1911.0431

Dr. Budochkina, Svetlana Aleksandrovna

Associate professor - Candidate of physico-mathematical sciences (2005)

Math-Net.Ru	http://www.mathnet.ru/eng/person22119
Google Scholar	List of publications on Google Scholar
ZentralMATH	List of publications on ZentralBlatt
MathSciNet	https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet/MRAuthorID/757317
eLIBRARY.RU	http://elibrary.ru/author_items.asp?spin=6087-3245
ORCID	http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3447-0425
RESEARCHERID	http://www.researcherid.com/rid/G-7453-2014
Scopus	http://www.scopus.com/authid/detail.url?authorId=6507007003
ResearchGate	https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Svetlana_Budochkina
Full list of publications:	http://web-local.rudn.ru/web-local/prep/rj/index.php?id=2944&p=15209

Mr.Gerges Francis Tawdrous +201022532292

Physics Department- Physics & Mathematics Faculty

Gerges Francis Tawdrous +201022532292

Curriculum Vitae	http://vixra.org/abs/1902.0044
E-mail	mrwaheid@gmail.com
LinkedIn	https://eg.linkedin.com/in/gerges-francis-86a351a1
Facebook	https://www.facebook.com
Researcherid	https://publons.com/researcher/3510834/gerges-tawadrous/
ORCID	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1041-7147
Quora	https://www.quora.com/profile/Gerges-F-Tawdrous
Google	https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=2Y4ZdTUAAAAJ&hl=en
Academia	https://rudn.academia.edu/GergesTawadrous
List of publications	http://vixra.org/author/gerges_francis_tawdrous

Gerges Francis Tawdrous/   29
2nd Course student – physics Faculty – People's Friendship University – Moscow –Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com   mrwaheid@gmail.com   +201022532292