

# On the Riemann hypothesis

Daniel Thomas Hayes

December 30, 2024

A proposed proof of the Riemann hypothesis.

## 1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (1)$$

for  $\sigma = \text{Re}(s) > 1$ . For other values of  $s$  it is defined uniquely by analytic continuation, see [1]. The function  $\zeta(s)$  has trivial zeros at  $s = -2l$  for  $l \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . It is known that the nontrivial zeros  $s = \sigma + it$  of  $\zeta(s)$  satisfy the following properties.

(I) If  $s = \sigma + it$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$  then  $s = \sigma - it$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ .

(II) If  $s = \sigma + it$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$  then  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ .

(III) If  $s = \sigma + it$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$  then  $s = 1 - \sigma + it$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ .

## 2. Proof of the Riemann hypothesis

### Theorem

All nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  have real part equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

### Proof

In light of [2] consider

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \log_e(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log_e(1 - x^{-2}) \quad (2)$$

for  $x \in (n + 1, n + 2)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $\psi(x)$  is a weighted prime counting function

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \leq x} \log_e p \quad (3)$$

where  $p$  is prime and the sum is over all prime powers. The sum in the second term on the right of (2) is over all  $\rho$  such that  $s = \rho$  is a nontrivial zero of  $\zeta(s)$ . The exact function  $\psi(x)$  is constant on the domain between any two consecutive integers. The approximation of  $\psi(x)$  with finitely many  $\rho$  values displays a Gibbs phenomenon. Differentiating (2) with respect to  $x$  yields

$$0 = 1 - \sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} - \frac{1}{x^3 - x}. \quad (4)$$

Rearranging (4) gives

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} \left( \frac{x^3 - x}{x^3 - x - 1} \right) = 1. \quad (5)$$

Differentiating (5) with respect to  $x$  yields

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} [(\rho - 1)(x^5 - 2x^3 - x^2 + x + 1) - (3x^2 - 1)] = 0. \quad (6)$$

Now

$$\sum_{\rho} (\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+iy} (\beta + iy - 1)x^{\beta+iy-1}. \quad (7)$$

On using Euler's identity

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta) \quad (8)$$

equation (7) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho} (\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} (\beta + i\gamma - 1)x^{\beta-1} [\cos(\gamma \log_e x) + i \sin(\gamma \log_e x)] \quad (9)$$

which expands to

$$\sum_{\rho} (\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} [\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma] + i \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} [\sin(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) + \cos(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma]. \quad (10)$$

The second term on the right of (10) disappears due to (I). Then (10) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho} (\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} [\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma]. \quad (11)$$

Also

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta+i\gamma-1}. \quad (12)$$

On using Euler's identity equation (12) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} \cos(\gamma \log_e x) + i \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} \sin(\gamma \log_e x). \quad (13)$$

The second term on the right of (13) disappears due to (I). Then (13) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} \cos(\gamma \log_e x). \quad (14)$$

Equation (6) is then

$$\sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} [\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma](x^5 - 2x^3 - x^2 + x + 1) - \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} x^{\beta-1} \cos(\gamma \log_e x)(3x^2 - 1) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Let  $x = y + c$  where  $0 \leq y \ll 1$  and  $c$  is such that  $x \in (n + 1, n + 2)$ . Then (15) implies

$$\sum_{\beta+i\gamma} (y + c)^{\beta-1} \{ [\cos(\gamma \log_e (y + c))(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e (y + c))\gamma] [(y + c)^5 - 2(y + c)^3 - (y + c)^2 + (y + c) + 1] - \cos(\gamma \log_e (y + c))[3(y + c)^2 - 1] \} = 0. \quad (16)$$

On using a Taylor expansion (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} (y + c)^{\beta-1} \{ [\cos(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\gamma](c^5 - 2c^3 - c^2 + c + 1) - \cos(\gamma \log_e c)(3c^2 - 1) \\ & + \{ [-\sin(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1)\frac{\gamma}{c} - \cos(\gamma \log_e c)\frac{\gamma^2}{c}] (c^5 - 2c^3 - c^2 + c + 1) \\ & + [\cos(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\gamma](5c^4 - 6c^2 - 2c + 1) \\ & + \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\frac{\gamma}{c}(3c^2 - 1) - 6\cos(\gamma \log_e c)c \} y + O(y^2) \} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now (17) must be true independent of  $y$  and  $y + c$ . We then take coefficients of like powers of  $y$  and  $(y + c)$  in (17), for  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  in accordance with (II), and set them to zero. Equation (17) has the form

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(\beta)} (y + c)^{\beta-1} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [f_l(\gamma, c)(\beta - 1) + g_l(\gamma, c)] y^l \right\} = 0. \quad (18)$$

So for example, taking the the  $O((y + c)^{\beta-1})$  coefficient in (18) gives

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(\beta)} [f_0(\gamma, c)(\beta - 1) + g_0(\gamma, c)] = 0 \quad (19)$$

which implies

$$\beta = -\frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(\beta)} g_0(\gamma, c)}{\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(\beta)} f_0(\gamma, c)} + 1 = -\frac{\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(1-\beta)} g_0(\gamma, c)}{\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}(1-\beta)} f_0(\gamma, c)} + 1 = 1 - \beta \quad (20)$$

on using (III). Therefore without loss of generality  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] B. Riemann, Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, *Monat. der Königl. Preuss. Akad. der Wissen. zu Berlin aus der Jahre 1859* (1860), 671–680; also, *Gesammelte math. Werke und wissenschaft. Nachlass*, 2. Aufl. 1892, 145–155.
- [2] J. Vaaler, The Riemann Hypothesis Millennium Prize Problem, Lecture Video, *CLAY* (2001).