How computers must think

Abstract: We evaluate the two requirements for how computers must think: four-valued logic and the loop with sentinel structure. The logic allows for discovery of the correct mapping of the structure as a theorem.

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VŁ4 with Tautology as the designated proof value, \( F \) as contradiction, \( N \) as truthity (non-contingency), and \( C \) as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

For computers to think, the two requirements are a four-valued logic and tautology of the programming structure for the loop with sentinel. The quaternary logic is needed to differentiate tautology from truthity, and contradiction from falsity, as shown farther below when evaluating the loop and sentinel in this table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loop</th>
<th>Do-loop</th>
<th>Do-loop while</th>
<th>Do-loop until</th>
<th>Do-while loop</th>
<th>Do-until loop</th>
<th>For-next loop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel</td>
<td>exit</td>
<td>while</td>
<td>until</td>
<td>while</td>
<td>until</td>
<td>next</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[See next page.]
A single looping example suffices. (From the FMC behavior diagram as a Petri net at the Apache modelling project (2008).)

**Remark 2.1.1:** For our purpose, the return node from below r can point directly to p.

\[
\text{LET } \begin{align*}
  p &\quad \text{establish\_connection}, \\
  q &\quad \text{process\_request}, \\
  r &\quad \text{process\_resource}, \\
  s &\quad \text{render\_page}.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(p\land q)\Rightarrow(r\land(p\lor s)) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
  T & T & T & T & T & T & T \\
\end{array}
\]  

**Remark 2.1.1.1:** It is the four-valued logic that exposes the mappings of Eqs. 2.1.1.2 and 2.1.1.3 as not tautologous.

The consequent of Eq. 2.1.1.2 reads "process_resource result implies loop back to establish_connection or fall through to render_page".

To coerce 2.1.1.1 into a tautology we inject the literal of the antecedent into the consequent as follows.

The consequent of 2.1.1.2 is to read "process_resource result implies loop back to if establish_connection then process_request or fall through to render_page".

\[
(p\land q)\Rightarrow(r\land((p\land q)\lor s)) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc}
  T & T & T & T & T & T \]
\]