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## Abstract

The Direct question is,
Why doesn't the solar planets order follow the gravitation equation?!
Where the sun mass is constant in all planets equation- that means - the planets should be ordered based on their masses from the great mass to the less one..
By this description Jupiter should be the most near planet to the sun
Of course that's not true $\qquad$ .But
Jupiter and Saturn distances to the sun follow the gravitation equation!

$$
\frac{(\text { Saturn orbital distance })^{2}}{(\text { Jupiter orbital distance })^{2}}=\frac{\text { Jupiter Mass }}{\text { Satrun Mass }}=3.4
$$

This equation tells that both Jupiter and Saturn follow the gravitation equation perfectly and also the greatest mass is more near to the sun...
But no other planets follow it!! The Equation doesn't work! Why?!

## Because

Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm and Mars had immigrated from it to its new orbital distance 227.9 mkm - Through this Motion from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm Mars had collided with Venus and after that Mars had collided also with Earth From these Collisions debris Earth Moon is created -from the debris Mars had found its moons and the rest debris were attracted by Jupiter, creating The Asteroid Belt This Theory solves many questions in the Giant -impact hypothesis

- The Lunar Magma Ocean (LMO) Origin- is Venus - because the moon is created by 3 planets debris - that's why the three planets rock are found...
- why Venus has no moon? this also is solved - because Mars moved from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm and pushed all debris with its motion direction - far from Venus but Earth has greater Mass and the debris lost thei high momentum - so Earth could attrackt some debris and create its moon
- why The Iron Oxide (Feo) of the Moon= (13\%)? This also is solved because The rate $(13 \%)$ is a middle between Mars rate $(18 \%)$ and the terrestrial mantle $(8 \%)$.
- i.e. The Giant-impact hypothesis supports Mars Immgrtion Theory


## The Conclusion : The Solar Planets Order Proves Mars Immigration Theory

## 1- Introduction

Let's - once again - try to analyze the planets order in following:
Spite Jupiter and Saturn follows perfectly the gravitation equation - but- the planets order shows almost an order depends on the planets diameters and not masses!

- The order (Mercury - Venus - Earth) shows that greater diameter (or mass) need greater orbital distance (contradicting the gravitation equation!)
- The order (Jupiter - Saturn - Uranus - Neptune - Pluto) shows that greater diameter (but not mass) needs shorter orbital distance (the order depends on Planets diameters because Uranus diameter is greater than Neptune but less mass)
- The order still tells more data ... (Jupiter - Satrun - Netune -Uranus - Pluto) this order is done for the outer planets based on their masses - but their days periods are ordered based on their masses - the greatest mass has the shortest day period.
- Mars immigration theory started from this analysis - because if Mars was the second planet after Mercury - the inner planets order will be in perfect form as following (Mercury - Mars - Venus - Earth) the order in diameters- masses and orbital distances...
- The basic question... does the planets order depend on their diameters or masses? The answer is with kepler .... Let's see it in following:
"Kepler thus assumed that the volumes of the planets were proportional to their distances to the sun and the quantity of matter they contained to the square root of this distance, so that planetary densities varied inversely as square root of the orbital radius and is this ad hoc way be could reproduced his third law ( $\mathrm{T}^{2}$ varies with $\mathrm{a}^{3}$ ) and absorb into physical Astronomy" "From Eudexus to Einstein A history of Mathematical astronomy" (page 198199) C.M. Linton - Cambridge university Press...
- The order simply depends on both the diameter and the mass - why? because the planet material density is a function of its distance to the sun!
- This strange idea may give some light how explain the solar planets order

Let's remember why we analyze the planets order?
To prove that Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm and mars had immigrated to its new orbital distance 227.9 mkm

So let's provide this paper content

## 2- The Solar Planets Order Analysis

2-1 Mars Immigration Theory
2-2 The Gravitation Equation
2-3 Mars Diameter Decreasing
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## 2- The Solar Planets Order Analysis

## 2-1 Mars Immigration Theory

## The Giant-impact hypothesis supports Mars immigration theory

The Giant-impact hypothesis suggests that, The Earth Moon is formed from the ejects of a collision between the proto-Earth and a Mars-sized planet,
Please Review The Giant-impact hypothesis

## Mars Immigration Theory Summary:

- Mars original orbital distance $=84 \mathrm{mkm}$, and Mars had to move from it to its new orbital distance $=227.9 \mathrm{mkm}$-i.e. Mars had to move from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm .
- Through Mars motion from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm - Mars had collided with Venus (at first) and then collided also with Earth (at Second)
- The debris which are produced by these collisions were pushed by Mars motion to move with Mars in the same direction - that causes the debris to move far from Venus and because of that Venus couldn't create a moon.
- But Earth Mass is greater than Venus and because the debris lost their high motion momentum at Earth Position, Earth could attracted some of these debris and created its moon-
- The rest debris were moved with Mars from which Mars could create its own Moons...
- Some of the rest debris were attracted by Jupiter and created The Steroid Belt
i.e.
- Mars immigration has 3 results

1. The Earth Moon Creation
2. The Asteroid Belt Creation
3. Mars Diameter Decreasing

Mars Immgration Theory solves many important difficulties as following:
(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Question) Why Venus has no moon spite it had a similar collision?
Because Mars was moving from the point ( 84 mkm ) to the point ( 227.9 mkm ) - So Mars pushed all debris to move with it in the same motion direction - Mars had created a wave to push all debris far from Venues toward Mars current orbital distance ( 227.9 mkm )- for that reason Venus Couldn't Create A Moon - but Earth has A Greater Mass and the Debris Lost Their Motion High Momentum at Earth - so Earth could created its moon from these debris

The Origin Of This Ocean Is Venus and by that - the mystery is solved easily - the moon rocks are consisted of 3 planets rocks which are Venus - Earth - Mars - and because of that the 3 planets rocks are seen among the moon rocks.
( $3^{\text {rd }}$ Question) why The Iron Oxide (Feo) of the Moon= (13\%)?
The rate ( $13 \%$ ) is a middle between Mars rate ( $18 \%$ ) and the terrestrial mantle ( $8 \%$ ) because the moon is created by all of them.

## (4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Question) Why The Solar Planets Order doesn't follow the gravitation equation?

Because Mars had changed its original orbital distance ( 84 mkm ) to its current orbital distance ( 227.9 mkm ) - and this change caused a distribution for the planets order
(5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Question) how to prove that Mars diameter is decreased through the history? Because there's a relationship between the planet diameter (and mass) and its orbital distance - so by a deep analysis we many conclude how the change occurred for the planet diameter
And by this analysis we may prove that Mars diameter was $=7070 \mathrm{~km}$ when its orbital distance was 84 mkm and Mars diameter is changed because of the collisions done with Venus \& Earth through its motion from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm .

Because Mars had changed its original orbital distance ( 84 mkm ) to its current orbital distance 227.9 mkm and that caused a disturbance for the planets order.

## Mars Immgartion Theory Is Proved By 5 Proves Which Are:

( ${ }^{\text {st }}$ proof) The Giant -Impact Hypothesis (The Geological Proves)
( $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{~ p r o o f )}$ ) Planet diameter \& orbital distance relationship (Planets Order Analysis)
( $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ proof) Planet effect on its neighbor
( $4^{\text {th }} \mathbf{~ p r o o f ) ~ M a r ~ I m m i g r a t i o n ~ M o t i o n ~ D i r e c t i o n ~}$
( $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }} \mathbf{~ p r o o f ) ~ M a r s ~ I m m i g r a t i o n ~ r e s u l t s ~ ( M a r s ~ D i a m e t e r ~ d e c r e a s i n g ~ - ~ A s t e r o i d ~ b e l t ~ C r e a t i o n ) ~}$

## For more discussion please review

Research Paper "Mars Immigration Theory"<br>https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0689<br>or<br>https://www.academia.edu/42453661/Mars Immigration Theory<br>The Giant-Impact Hypothesis Supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (III)<br>https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521

## 2-2 The Gravitation Equation

$$
\frac{(\text { Saturn orbital distance })^{2}}{\left(\text { Jupiter orbital distance) }^{2}\right.}=\frac{\text { Jupiter Mass }}{\text { Satrun Mass }}=3.4
$$

We remember this equation...
This equation tells that J

## Jupiter and Saturn follow perfectly the gravitation equation

We can't find any other planets follow the gravitation equation...
Spite of that
The gravitation equation proves clearly the following:

## 1- Mars original orbital distance was 84 mkm <br> 2- Mars original Diameter was 7070 km

So the gravitation equation strongly support "Mars Immigration Theory"
Let's see that in following
$\frac{(\text { Mercury orbital distance } 57.9 \mathrm{mkm})^{2}}{(\text { Mars original orbital distance } 84 \mathrm{mkm})^{2}}=\frac{\text { Mars Mass } 0.668}{\text { Mercury Mass } 0.33}$
(Equation Error 3.4\%)
Mars registered Mass $=0.642 \times 10^{24} \mathrm{~kg}($ when Mars diameter $=6792 \mathrm{~km}) \ldots$...so
Mars Mass will be $\quad=0.668 \times 10^{24} \mathrm{~kg}$ (when Mars diameter $=7070 \mathrm{~km}$ )
So Mars Mass and Mars orbital distance with Mercury Mass and orbital distance all are controlled by the gravitation equation (a similar to Jupiter and Saturn)
Just If
(1) Mars orbital distance $=84 \mathrm{mkm}$
(2) Mars Mass $=0.668 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{x10} 0^{24}$ when Mars diameter $=7070 \mathrm{~km}$

That lead to the following conclusion

## Conclusions

(1)

If Mars didn't immigrate from its orginal orbital distance ( 84 mkm ) to its new orbital distance ( 227.9 mkm ) - the planets order will be created based on the gravitation Equation without any disturbance -
Shorly - Mars Was Mercury Neighbour
(2)

Mars immigration was a great catastrophe in the oalr system could destroy it - but because Satrun and Jupiter unfiy their powers to save the solar system - the solar planets still in life

## 2-3 Mars Diameter Decreasing

Did Mars diameter decrease through the history?
Yes - it was 7070 km and becomes 6792 km (The Difference 4.1\%)

How to prove that?
Based on the diameter analysis we have 2 proves as in following:
(1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Proof)
The planets order - as we have discussed before - let's remember it

## The order "Mercury - Mars - Venus - Earth"

This order shows clearly that- the planets data are in order in their diameters - masses and orbital distances - no confusion is found here - the order is perfect and clear

```
(2 'rd Proof)
```

(1)

Mercury Orbital Distance 57.9 mkm = Mercury Diameter $4879 \mathrm{~km} \times 109^{2}$
(2)

Earth Orbital Distance 149.6 mkm = Earth Diameter 12756 km x $109^{2}$
(3)

Saturn Orbital Distance $1433.5 \mathrm{mkm}=$ Saturn Diameter $120536 \mathrm{~km} \times 109^{2}$
(4)

Mars (Original) Orbital Distance $84 \mathrm{mkm}=$ Mars (original) Diameter $7070 \mathrm{~km} \times 109^{2}$
(5)

144mkm $\quad=$ Venus Diameter $12104 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{x} 109^{2}$

## Discussion

Equations 1,2 and 3 show clear rule $\left(\right.$ Planet orbital distance $=$ planet diameter $\left.\times 109^{2}\right)$

## Equation No. (4)

Mars with (supposed data) follow the equation

## Equation No. (5)

Venus doesn't follow the rule - but
Venus recorded the value $144 \mathrm{mkm}=$ Mars displacement from 84 mkm to 227.9 mkm So Mars moved the distance ( 144 mkm ) as a defined distance - i.e. Mars had to move to the point 227.9 mkm exactly and not to any other point...Why?
Because there's a relationship between the planet diameter and orbital distance - so the displacement is defined in Mars and Venus diameters as seen in next discussion

Mars Diameter Analysis
Mars Current diameter 6792 km
(Mars current $^{2} \mathbf{x} \pi=\left(\right.$ Venus Diameter) ${ }^{2}$
That means
Mars diameter $\mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\pi}^{1 / 2}=$ Venus Diameter ...
Note Please $\quad \pi^{1 / 2} \times 100=177.4($ where 177.4 degrees $=$ Venus Axial Tilt $)$
We interest for the result .... (Mars current) $)^{\mathbf{2}} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{\pi}=(\text { Venus Diameter })^{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1 4 4} \mathbf{~ m k m}$

## That means

Mars Circumference $\times$ Mars diameter $=(\text { Venus diameter })^{2}=\mathbf{1 4 4} \mathbf{~ m k m}$
It's Mars displacement (from $84 \mathbf{m k m}$ to $227.9 \mathrm{mkm}=144 \mathrm{mkm}$ )
So the value $\mathbf{1 4 4} \mathbf{~ m k m}$ was defined in Mars and Venus Diameters
We remember the question No. 3
Why Mars had immigrated from its original point (84 mkm)? And Why specifically to the point 227.9 mkm ? Why not to 200 mkm or 250 mkm ?

How I knew the point 84 mkm ? I just discounted the value $\mathbf{1 4 4} \mathbf{~ m k m}$ from Mars current orbital distance 227.9 mkm

Surely... There's A Relationship Between The Planet Diameter And Orbital Distance
For that reason -

Mars Displacement was defined inside Mars \& Venus Diameters - and for that the data is just in harmony

## 144 mkm x $\boldsymbol{\pi}=\mathbf{2} \times 227.9$ mkm (Mars Current Orbital Distance)

## Remember Please

- Earth orbital distance $149.6 \mathrm{mkm} \quad=$ Earth diameter $12756 \mathrm{~km} \quad$ x $109^{2}$
- Mercury orbital distance $57.9 \mathrm{mkm} \quad=$ Mercury diameter $4879 \mathrm{~km} \times 109^{2}$
- Mars orbital distance $84 \mathrm{mkm} \quad=$ Mars diameter 7070 km x $109^{2}$
- $\mathbf{1 4 4} \mathbf{~ m k m} \quad=$ Venus diameter $12104 \mathrm{~km} \quad \mathrm{x} 109^{2}$

The planets data are created depending on each other - because the solar planets is one machine and each planet is a gear in it - and based on that - each change in the solar system history is recorded in the planets data ...
That provides a decisive advantage for the planets data analysis as astrophysics research method.

10 The Giant-impact hypotesis
The Giant-impact hypothesis supports "Mars Immigration Theory" (III) https://vixra.org/abs/2003.0521
or
https://www.academia.edu/42301145/The_Giant-impact_hypothesis_supports_Mars_Immigration_Theory_III_
Dr. Budochkina, Svetlana Aleksandrovna Associate professor - Candidate of physico-mathematical sciences (2005)
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