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#### Abstract

The Permanent Oscillatory Cosmology is confirmed by 75 formula giving the Hubble radius, with 7 correlating to $10^{-9}$. The computer shows the best formula, obtained using the Atiyah constant and the number 137, the Eddington's integer part of the electric constant. This conforms with Atiyah's testimony about the Physics-Mathematics unification and the central role of arithmetics in this unification process. The identification with the Eddington statistical formula gives $G$, compatible with the $10^{-5}$ precise BIPM measurement and the $10^{-6}$ precise quasar non-Doppler Kotov period. The hypothesis of a computing Cosmos implies a $\pi$ rationalization process which validates the Wyler's theory and the Fermion Koide formula in the $10^{-9}$ domain.
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## 1 Introduction

From Hirzebruch's work [1], which revolutionized geometry and topology, Sir Michael Atiyah, Raoul Bott [2] and Isadore Singer [3] introduced the index theory, acclaimed by theoretical physicists [4]. Following this path, on the advice of the physicist Gerard t'Hooft, Atiyah looked for the determination of the electrical constant $a \approx$ 137.035999085(21) [5].

At the 2018 Heidelbergh Laureate Forum, he showed that the extrapolation of the Euler formula $e^{2 i \pi}=1$ to the quaternions leads to the 'Atiyah constant' $\Gamma=\gamma a / \pi$. Meanwhile, he rehabilitated the Eddington [6] bare electrical constant, the prime number 137, and announced that the resolution of the Riemann conjecture appears as a "bonus". Moreover, the four forces would be connected to the four principal algebra, whose the octonion non-associative one would be tied to the gravitation constant $G$ in a future work [5].

## 2 The scope and method

Quite independently, the $G$ value was tied to the invariant Hubble radius $R$ in the Coherent Cosmology. A computer analysis has shown that it is confirmed in the ppb domain $\left(10^{-9}\right)$ by simple formula involving the Atiyah constant [7]. The aim of this article is to confirm the above arithmetic unificaton .

Our main hypothesis is that both mathematics and physics standard model are widely incomplete, and that the so far unexplained measured adimensional constants (see Table ??) can be used as a guide for the overall arithmetics unification. Recall that the search for correlations between measurements is the heart of the scientific method, as the history shows, through Dalton, Proust, Balmer, Mendeleiv, Mandel... In particular, it was already shown that the Atiyah constant enters the core of Coherent Cosmology, the Topological Axis Figure 16, both in connection with the Higgs boson and the galaxy group radius, a crucial cosmic distance [7]. The pertinent data is completed by physical and cosmic constants in Tables 2 and 3 .

In conformity with this physics-mathematics unification idea, the Table ?? mixes physical adimensional constants [9] with pure mathematical constants. But among the later an important distinction is made. Only the whole numbers are considered really exact. For instance, the Archimedes constant $\pi$ is refered only as 'exact', meaning one can uses it in a computer calculation, only if one defines an imprecision domain. From this kind of argument, the Cosmos vastness has been justified by quasi-continuous quantum holography, where the whole large numbers of Lucas-Mersenne [8] and Eddington [6] are central [7].

In particular, the fact that the Muon-Electron mass ratio is measured to 10 ppb , while nobody knows the role of Muon in Nature, is very intriguing. We show here that this permits to definitely validate the empiric, but dramatically simple, Koide fermion formula, connected with a rehabilited Wyler's theory through $\pi$ rationalisation process.
While the Atiyah's work does not seem to give the $a$ value, nor the Riemann conjecture solution, he suggested there is a bridge between the octonion algebra and the sporadic groups [10]. This article will confirm this Atiyah's prediction, connecting these two appently separated mathematical domains.

Note that the Topological Axis shows clearly the eight-fold Bott periodicity, typical of octonion algebra, and that Coherent Cosmology seems to involve the sporadic groups. This connection will be strengthened by a more attentive study of the modular function, clearly tied to the Monster group, the largest of the 26 sporadic ones [12] [13].

This article will show also the unexpected laison between the Topologcal Axis and the Periodic table of elements, and the height-manifold crystallography.

## 3 The cosmic liaison between $a$ and the weak mixing angle

Thus, the physical parameters would be mathematical constants of an unknown arithmetical domain. So, their « finetuning» is not due to hazard in a Disparate Multiverse, but are of mathematical origin in a single Cosmos unifying coherent universes. One main result of Coherent Cosmology is that the Cosmos volume, with length unit the Hydrogen radius $r_{H}$, involves $a^{a}$, showing that $a$ is an optimal computation base [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(4 \pi / 3)\left(R_{C} / r_{H}\right)^{3} \approx a^{a} / \pi \approx(1 / \ln 2)^{\sqrt{p H}} \approx(13 / 3)^{p / 4} \approx\left(1 / \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{n / 4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, H$ and $n$ are the proton-electron, hydrogen-electron and neutron-electron mass ratios, and $13 / 3$ the fraction associated to the decomposition $16=13+3[14]$. This corresponds to the value $\sin ^{2} \theta \approx 0.231235$, compatible with the measured weak mixing angle $0.21322(4)$ [9].

Note that the presence of $\ln 2$ invoves information theory [15].

## 4 The Rehabilitation of Wylerś theory

The presence of an excess $\pi$ in the above formula suggests that $\pi$ is also a computation base for the Cosmos.This is indeed the case in the even Riemann series.

Atiyah did not consider this computation point of view, but insisted on the analogy of his procedure with that of Archimedes for calculing $\pi[5]$. But, in the hypothesis that the cosmos is a computer, the cosmos cannot use the mathematical Archimedes constant $\pi$, which is an idealisation, otherwise any time calculation would be infinite. Its decomposition is an unresolved problem, but the first terms are : 3, 7, 16, -293.634, where the fourth term, hightly singular, is so close ( 3 ppm ) to $1+n / 2 \pi$, where $n$ is the neutron/electron mass ratio.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: 3,7,16,-(1+n / 2 \pi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the famous Wyler formula [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(3 \sqrt{a} / 4))^{8}=120 \times \pi_{W}^{11} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

implicitely tied to the 11 D supergravity space, the development of $\pi_{W}$ shows an analogy with the above one, apart the insertion of the singular prime 163:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{W}: 3,7,16,-163 / 2,-(1+n / 4 \pi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \approx 137.03599908399 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This number 163 is the last of the Heegner-Stark numbers [16].
Moreover, according to Atiyah [10], an approximation of $\pi$ appears directly in $\left(a^{2}-137^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=\pi_{a, 137}: 3,7,10, a_{s}$, where the forth term is very close to the inverse strong coupling constant $1 / a_{s} \approx 0.1179(10)$ [9]. The proton-electron mass ratio of Wyler [11] is the simple formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{W}=6 \pi^{5} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the product of the area of a cube of side $\pi$ with its volume. Taking the above value $\pi_{W}$, this gives $p_{W, a, 137} \approx$ 1834, which is of central pertinence in cosmology: indeed it connects both with $R$ and $R_{1}$, the one-electron universe radius [7] (Table 4).

## 5 The Central Role of the Modular Number $j_{0}=744$

The Ramanujan quasi-whole number $N_{R}=\exp (\pi \sqrt{(163))}$, is tied to the Dedekind eta function, which plays a role in bosonic string theory [18] [17], wholly rehabilitated by the Topological Axis (Fig.).

This large number is also tied to the modular function $j_{m}$, whose Fourier series shows linear combinations of dimensions of the irreductive representations of the Monster group. With $q=2 \pi x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m}(x)=1 / q+744+196884 q+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular $196884=\mathrm{D}+1$ where $\mathrm{D}=196883$ is the Monster group order. This was called the Monster Moonshine [12]. It was shown that string physics makes a bridge between these two separated mathematical domains [13], but the connexion with octoinons is not observed.

But the q -independent number number $j_{0}=744$ is unexplained. It is directly connected to the Monster group order:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi / 2 \approx \ln \ln \ln O_{M} \approx 1 / \ln \ln \ln j_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the forth natural logatithm of $O_{M}$ shows a double correlation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \ln \ln \ln O_{M} \approx \ln O_{M} /(2 \times 137) \approx e / 6 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the Baby Monster group order appears in:

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{B} \approx j_{0}^{\sqrt{137}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

see below the pertinence of deviation from this formula
$j_{0}$ enters the simplest couples of all formulas (n. 15 and 16 in Table 5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R / \lambda_{e} \approx j_{0}^{n / a} \approx j_{0}^{2 e a p \beta / j_{0}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the most elementry cosmic test shows a symmetry proton-electron in the ppm relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0} \approx 2 e a p \beta / n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is related to the above fraction $13 / 3$ by the relation involving the Monster and the bosonic string dimension 26:

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{M}^{1 / 26^{2}} \approx j_{0}^{1 / 6^{2}} \approx\left(1 / \ln \left(1+1 / d_{e}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{e} \approx 1.001159$ is the electron magnetic moment excess [9]. Moreover, there is a tight liaison with the Baby Monster group order and the Eddington's brut value 136:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(O_{B} / j_{0}^{\sqrt{137}}\right)^{2} \approx a-136 \approx 1+1 / \sqrt{j_{0}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$j_{0}$ connects also with the topological function $\left.f(d)=\exp \left(2^{( } d / 4\right)\right)$, the Sternheimer scae factor $j$, and the single electron universal radius $R_{1}$ [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\exp \left(2^{( } \sqrt{j_{0}} / 4\right)\right) \approx e^{j-1} \approx O_{M} /(2 \times 136)^{2} \approx R_{1} \lambda_{e} / \lambda_{W} \lambda_{Z} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\sqrt{j_{0}}$ as a dimension $2+4 k$, this shows that $k \approx 2 \pi_{E g y}$, where $\pi_{E g y}=(4 / 3)^{4}$. This leads to the 14 ppb relation, where $\tau$ is the Tau-Electron mass ratio:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{j_{0}} \approx 2\left(1+4 \pi_{E g y}\right)-1 / 137-1 / \tau \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $j_{0}=(3 / 2) \times 496$, where 496 is the dimension of the superstring gauge group $\mathrm{SO}(32)$, a necessary conditions for a superstring theory to make sense [19].
But 10 -dimensional string theory is the version of the theory that uses octonions algebra [?]. So, it seems that the Atiyah's conjecture was correctly prophetic.

## 6 The Decisive Role of the term $a^{a}$

The product of the 6 pariah sporadic groups is directly tied with $a^{a}$ and $F / a, F$ being the ratio Fermi/electron [7].
Moreover, $a^{a}$ is also very close to the Lucas-Lehmer term $S_{9}=g_{3}^{2^{9}}$, where $g_{3}=2+\sqrt{(3)}$ is the generator of quasiwhole numbers. Now, as recalled before, the Lucas-Mersenne Large Number $N_{L}=2^{127}-1$ plays a central role in Coherent Cosmology [7]. It is prime because it is a divisor of the huge number $S_{125}$, which appears to connect also in cosmology (last formula of Table 4).

Now, $a^{a}$ connects also directly with the famous Ramanujan quasi-whole number $N_{R}=\exp (\pi \sqrt{(163))}$, tied to the above Heegner-Stark number 163, which exhibits staggering correlations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln R_{N}=\pi \sqrt{(163)} \approx \ln a \times \ln \tau \approx \ln p \times \ln \mu  \tag{16}\\
a^{a} \approx N_{R}^{\tau / \mu}  \tag{17}\\
\tau / \mu \approx g(1) \approx 2 a_{s} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g(k)=\exp \left(2^{k}\right) / k$ is the Topological Function (Figure 16), while $p, \mu$ and $\tau$ are respectively the masses of Proton, Muon, and Tau by respect to the Electron one. Now they seem to be related to Topological Axis Function $g(1)$ and the strong coupling $a_{s}$.

## 7 The Koide-Wyler ppb relation

While $\mu$ is measured to $10 \mathrm{ppb}, \tau$ is rather badly measured. The Koide relation [21], always unexplained, has shown correct predictability for the $\tau$ mass, proving the present standard particle theory is badly insufficient. This relation writes in the most symmetrical way connecting with the above Wyler formula, in the following ppb formula, which confirms the specified ppb value: $\mu=(F a / \sqrt{p H})^{1 / 2}$ [7].

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\mu+\tau) / 2=(1+\sqrt{\mu}+\sqrt{\tau})^{2} / 3=p_{K} \approx 6 \pi_{K}^{5}\left(1+(\mu / \tau)^{2}\right) \quad \pi_{K}: 3,7,16,-(2 \times 137)^{2 / 3} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

he fermions Mu and Tau are complete mystery in the standard model. However, Eddington predicted the tau fermion, 35 years before its fascinating discovery, calling it «heavy Mesotron», with a right order prediction of its mass [6]. This was very surprising because the Eddington theory, accused of pythagorism, has been the subject of great denial.

## 8 The rehabilitation of $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ from the electroweak coupling constant

But Eddington also predicted the importance of the $N_{16}=136$ elements of a symmetrical matrix $16 \times 16$, giving 137 by adding unity, whose pertinence is confirmed by the very precisely ( 0.1 ppm ) measured electroweak coupling (inverse) factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{w}=(2 \times 137 \Gamma)^{3} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Atiyah presented this number by the form $137=2^{0}+2^{3}+2^{7}$. Moreover, this additive unity is clearly tied to the Combinatorial Hierarchy [8], based on the Catalan-Mersenne series starting with 3, because $N_{4}=10=3+7$ and $3+$ $7+127=137=N_{16}+1$. The following term $N_{32}=528$ cannot be compared with the huge Lucas-Mersenne Large Number $2^{127}-1$, so it is the last term of the Hierarchy.
This Lucas number appears in the ppb precise formula of Table 3 in liaison with 137. Moreover $32^{2}-N_{32}=496$ is the above dimension of the superstring gauge group $\mathrm{SO}(32)$, and the third perfect number, see below the paramount importance of this fact, unrecognized up to this day.

## 9 The Planck law connection with the Bernouilli function

Now $a$ is tied in the superstring 9D space with the two constants of the Planck law, whose kernel is the Bernouilli fonction, $x /\left(1-e^{-x}\right)$, central in the Atiyah's work [5]. These are the reduced Wien displacement constant $w$, and the number of photons $16 \pi \zeta(3)$ in a volume $\lambda^{3}$, with $\lambda=h c / k T$, corresponding to one photon by volume $l_{p h}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(16 \pi \zeta(3))^{3} / w^{4}=\lambda^{5} l_{\text {Wien }}^{4} / l_{p h}^{9} \approx \pi_{a}^{3} a \Rightarrow \pi_{a}: 3 ; 7 ; 16 ; 17 p_{a} n / p \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the preceeding case, this is a symbolic rationalisation. This is the single formula obtained by computer in this article, exept the ppb precise relations involving the Atiyah constant in the Table 6

## 10 The Holographic Fine-Tuning with the Universal Critical Radius

Among the 30 or so free parameter of the present standard model, the Nature seems to favor some ones (Hierarchy Principle [7]). They distinguish themselves as being measured with high precision, so the Table 2 does not include the quarks, neither the neutrinos.
Recall that the Cosmos seems to be ruled by the Holographic Principle and its Diophantine form, the Holic Principle, presented in 1994 at ANPA (Cambridge) [14]. Orsay University gave a sabbatical year (1997-1998) to F.M. Sanchez, in order to develop the application of the Holographic and Holic principle in theoretical physics. In the three first minutes of this sabbatical year, Francis M. Sanchez found, by the most elementary method, based on the universal constants, half the length 13.80(2) Gly (billion light-years). This was deposed in a closed letter in March 1998 at the French Academy.

So, to show that the Hubble radius is constant, it was sufficient, in elementary dimensional analysis, to replace the speed $c$ by the mean masses of the 3 main particles in Atomic Physics. Note that the general use of $c=1$ seems to have precluded this discovery before. Also, for most theorists, the proton is not a so fundamental particle as the electron. But this is a reductionist point of view. In fact, the proton mass is fairly well measured (Table 2), while the quark masses are not, as recalled above.
This 2 factor is typical of the critical Schwarzschild radius $2=R c^{2} / G M$, and is also presented in the Archimedes testimony, as the ratio between the perimeter and the area of a disk with radius unity, as expressively noticed by Atiyah . It was the first historic holographic relation. So, the critical radus is given by an holographc relation defining a space quantum $l_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(R / l_{P}\right)^{2}=2 \pi R / l_{0} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

So this universal radius $R$ may be considered as the radius for which, in an homogeneous Universe (the basic cosmological principle), the included mass reaches the above critical value [7]. Thus each space quantum (topon) in the cosmos is the center of a sphere with universal radius $R$.
This permits to resolve the question of the enormity of the vacuum energy by pushing down the Plank wall by a factor $10^{6} 1$, resolving also the vacuum quantum energy dilemna [7].

At the same epoch, some theorists, as t' Hooft [22], introduced also the Holographic Principle, but could not apply it to the Universe, believing the Hubble radius is variable.
In fact these authors applied the above disk area to a blackhole, calling it 'Bekenstein-Hawking entropy' [?], but, instead of considering the disk, they considered the sphere area, introducing the useless factor $1 / 4$.
In fact it was shown that, starting from the real disk, a 3D sphere surface can be generated by rotating it around a diameter, leading, via an universal quantification tying the electron to the Lucas Number and the proton to the Eddington Number [7]. This explains why the cosmos is so large. Indeed, it tries to mimic a continuous space, to use approximations of $\pi$ in the calculation.
The critical factor 2 can be also considered as the ratio between the areas of a unit-radius sphere to the circonference of diametral disk. The extension to the 3D volume gives the nominal Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) wavelength, corresponding to 2.73 Kelvin, in function of atomic and molecylar hydrogen wavelengths: [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi R / \lambda_{e} \approx 4 \pi\left(\lambda_{H} / l_{P}\right)^{2} \approx(4 \pi / 3)\left(\lambda_{C M B} / \lambda_{H 2}\right)^{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series of 69 formula presented in this article confirm the invariance of both the Hubble radius and the cosmos temperature, as well as the background (cosmos) temperature. Let us recall that the Hubble radius is defined by $R=c / H_{0}$, where $H_{0}=v / d$ is the Hubble constant, which implies the apparent speed $v$ in the red-shift of a $d$-distant galaxy $v=c \Delta \lambda / \lambda$. So, there is the direct simpler relation $\Delta \lambda / \lambda=d / R$.
The so-called standard Universe age is 13.80 (2) billion years [9], while the Hubble radius deduced from the super novae 1 a is $R_{S N 1 a} \approx 13,6(6)$ Gly [?]This article shows this cannot be related to any age, since this length is given by a series of 69 formula implying invariant quantities, including the cosmic background in 9 formula .

This recalls 14 formulas presented by Jean Perrin in 1909 to prove definitely the real existence of atoms. Here, the task is to show the existence of an ultimate theory of massive strings in a dramatic re-interpretation of standard cosmology: the Big Bang becoming permanent, and the Multiverse becoming coherent: each point is the center of a R-radius sphere. This means that the Universe is destroyed and reconstructed in an high-frequency oscillation. This permits to consider matter as an matter-antimatter oscillation [26].
This opens to the possibility that dark matter, whose existence is proven by the connection with the Eddington large number $N_{E d d}$, (table 1), would be a quadrature oscillation.

## 11 The connection with Diophantine and Eddington physics

These formulas give a radius $R$ value compatible with the following Diophantine analysis. The movement $(r, v)$ of a mobile in a gravitational central field has the form $r v^{2}=G m_{G}$, where $m_{G}$ is a characteristic mass. Viewing the third Kepler law as a Diophantine one,i.e. only resolvable in whole numbers, it resolves in $T^{2}=L^{3}=n^{6}$, thus $L=n^{2}$, the orbital law in the Hydrogen atom, characterized by $r v=\hbar / m_{\hbar}$ ). So, there is a kind of symmetry between $G$ and $\hbar$. Consider the following system, using the two principal masses, the electron and proton's ones:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r v^{2}=G m_{e}  \tag{24}\\
& r v=\hbar / m_{p} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, with the Planck mass $m_{P}=(\hbar c / G)^{1 / 2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.c / v=m_{P}^{2} / m_{e} m_{p}=\sqrt{( } M / m_{e}\right) \quad M=m_{P}^{4} / m_{e} m_{p}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By identifying this mass with the critical mass of the Universe, this is the statistical solution [25] of the Large Number Question by Eddington : $R=2 \sigma \sqrt{\left(M / m_{0}\right)}$, where the reference mass $m_{0}$ is identified to $m_{e}$ and the standard deviation $\sigma$ to $\hbar / \operatorname{csqrtm}_{p} m_{H}$, in conformity with the gravitational Hydrogen molecule model [7]. The optimized value for $G$ follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=2 \hbar^{2} / G m_{e} m_{p} m_{H} \quad \Rightarrow G \approx 6.67545375 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is compatible with the BIPM value [27], precise to 10 ppm , but not with the standard value [?] which is the incongruous mean between discordant measurements. Moreover, this $G$ value is compatible with the value corresponding to the elimination of c between the gravitational and electroweak coupling constants (among the last formula of Table 2], leading to specify the non-Doppler quasar Kotov period $t_{K} \approx 9600.591457 \mathrm{sec}$ [7].

## 12 The specified connection with the topological function

Using the Holographic Principle, the cosmic quantities associated to this critical radius R are defined in the Table 5 , in particular the Cosmos radius, which shows a dramatic connection with the topological term $g(7)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{C} / \lambda_{e} g(7) \approx \lambda_{e} / 6 l_{P} \approx F^{5} / 6 a^{3} \approx\left(\lambda_{C M B} / r_{H}\right)^{3} \approx\left(a m_{p} / m_{e}\right)^{4} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This induces the discovery of the Central Gravito-Electroweak relation :

$$
F^{5} / a^{3} \approx \eta P
$$

with $F=\left(2^{1} 37 G\right)^{3 / 2}$, the Fermi-Atiyah-Sanchez, factor, specifying the measured value of $F$ (Table ??) with the help of the above Atiyah's constant $G=a \gamma / \pi$, where appears the factor $\eta=419 / 417$, very close to the Sternheimer limma $2^{1 / 144}$ [28]], which will be conneted to 10D cristallography below..
Moreover, this confirms that the Cosmos is the real source of the background radiation [5]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F^{5} \approx 6\left(\lambda_{C M B} / \lambda_{e}\right)^{3} \Rightarrow T_{C M B} \approx 2.725820 K\left(\text { mes }: T_{C M B} \approx 2.7255(6) K\right)\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The graviton mass, calculated from the double step holo-tachyonic propagation is associated with that of the photon. This graviton mass connects directly with $g(6)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{N} / m_{g r} \approx g(6) /(1+1 / \mu)^{2} \Rightarrow t_{K} \approx 9600.65 \mathrm{sec}\left(m e s: t_{K} \approx 9600.60(1) \mathrm{sec}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying the mass ratio Muon-Electron.

## 13 The connection with the Periodic Table of Elements

In fact the pythagorism is in accordance with a quantum computation world ruled by Arithmetics. In particular the four smaller dimension numbers of the Topological Axis (Fig. 1) : 2, 6, 10, 14 identify with the atomic numbers of the Periodic Table spectroscopic series : s, $p, d, f$. The Periodic Table contains 19 such series, corresponding to 118 atoms: $7 \mathrm{~s}+6 \mathrm{p}+6 \mathrm{~d}+2 \mathrm{f}=118$ (atomic number of the Oganesson nucleus).

Now the periods are distinct from the principal quantum number, so that the periods starting from the second one are double. So, the above number of atoms decompose in $118 / 2=59=1+3 s+3 p+2 d+f$. By separating the last series $\mathrm{f}+$ $1=15$, the theoretical decomposition $137=107+30$ is justified by the sum $137=7(s+1)+6(p+1)+4(d+1)+2(f+1)$. Note that $s+1=3$ and $p+1=7$ are the first terms of the above Combinatorial Hierarchy [8] .

Consider all the series in the Topological Axis, by introducing the supplementary series $g, h, i, j$ of dimensions $18 ; 22$ ; $26 ; 30$, corresponding to the higher part of the Topological Axis, after the 16 which is the central dimension, this leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 s+7 p+6 d+5 f+4 g+3 h+2 i+j=408=3 \times 136 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This writes, in function of the 10 D point symmetry operation numbers : $k_{10-}=165$ and $k_{10+}=419: S O 3 \times 136=$ $419-11=165+35$, and $419-165=2 \times 127=35+11$. Note that the later is the supergravity dimension number and that $128 / 3^{5}$ is the classical musical limma.

But the superstring theory is only coherent in 9D space. For every odd dimension number, $k_{(2 n-1)-}=k_{(2 n-1)+}=k_{2 k-}$ so the above combination type $k_{-}+2 k_{+}$is for $9 \mathrm{D}: 3 \times 165=495$, the canonical reduced number attached to the above perfect number 496. This number 495 is associated to the Higgs boson (Fig. 1) and to the smallest sporadic group, the Mathieu one, of order 16 $\tilde{A}-495$. Note that the couple 495-496 has the same Euler index (240) and the same Carmichael-lambda index (60). This could be unique, defining 496 as a super-perfect number. Note also that 496 is close to the 20th root of the Monster order.

Table 7. Cristallographic $P S O_{C r}$

| $E^{(d)}$ | $E^{(0)}$ | $E^{(1)}$ | $E^{(2)}$ | $E^{(3)}$ | $E^{(4)}$ | $E^{(5)}$ | $E^{(6)}$ | $E^{(7)}$ | $E^{(8)}$ | $E^{(9)}$ | $E^{(10)}$ | $E^{(11)}$ | $E^{(12)}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K_{d+}$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 19 | 59 | 59 | 165 | 165 | 419 | 419 | 1001 |
| $K_{d-}$ |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 19 | 59 | 59 | 165 | 165 | 419 | 419 |
| $K_{d}=\left(K_{d+}+K_{d-}\right) / 2$ |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 39 | 59 | 112 | 165 | 292 | 419 | 710 |
| $\sum K_{d}$ |  | 1 | 4 | 9 | 21 | 40 | 79 | 138 | 250 | 415 | 707 | 1126 | 1836 |
| $K_{(d-1)+}+K_{d+}+K_{(d+1)+}$ |  | 7 | 11 | 29 | 43 | 97 | 137 | 283 | 389 | 749 | 1003 | 1839 | 2421 |

## 14 Connections with the high-dimensional crystallography

Now the above numbers 19 and 59 are the Crystalline Ponctual Symmetry Operation numbers ( $P S O_{C r}$ ), respectively negative and positive in 6D crystallography [29] (Table 7) : $k_{6-}=19, k_{6+}=59$. Note that this dimension $\mathrm{d}=6$ corresponds to $\mathrm{k}=1$ in the Topological Axis. So the above definition of 137 writes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
137==7(s+1)+6(p+1)+4(d+1)+2(f+1)=k_{6-}+2 k_{6+} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{5+}+K_{6+}+K_{7+}=K_{6-}+K_{7-}+K_{8-}=137 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

while:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{10+}+K_{11+}+K_{12+}=K_{11-}+K_{12-}+K_{13-}=1839 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

this number 1839 is the whole number closest to the neutron-electron mass ratio.
Moreover, the sum of the mean values $K_{d}=\left(K_{d+}+K_{d-}\right) / 2$ untill the dimension $d=12$ is 1836 (Table ??), which is the entire part of the proton-electron mass ratio. Note that this sum limited to $d=7$ gives 138 . So it seems that the dimension 12 will play a role in the future string theory.
Note that in the above ratio 419/417, the number 419 is the number of positive Point Operation in 10D cristallography, while 417 is the number of trivial ones .
Note that the roots of the crystallographic algebraic equation of degree $n$ are of type $\exp (i 2 \pi m / l)$, where $l$ and $m$ are whole numbers such that $l \leq n$ and $1 \leq m \leq l$,: this is similar to the above spectroscopic series. Such an unexpected connection needs also further study.

Note that the roots of the crystallographic algebraic equation of degree $n$ are of type $\exp (i 2 \pi m / l)$, where $l$ and $m$ are whole numbers such that $l \leq n$ and $l \leq m \leq l$, : this is similar to the above spectroscopic series. Such an unexpected connection needs also further study.

## 15 Conclusion : towards an unified Science

This study shows 71 relations giving the Hubble radius, interpreted as the radius of every Universe composing the Cosmos, a kind of Coherent Mutiverse. It is also the radius of an universal black hole tied to every particle. So the later is the singularity announced by the theory at the cener of a black hole, the center of an oscillation between costruction and deconstruction of the particule, with a passage by the antimatter state. The involved sweeping process explains the lack of rigth-left symmetry in Physics and Biology.
This recall the 14 formula of Jean Perrin which established definitely the existence of atoms. This study proves the existence of an Ultimate Massive String Theory, and, according to the Atiyah's testimony [5], that the octonion algebra and the sporadic groups are related, opening a new field in mathematical research. In the scope of a computational Cosmos, the main parameters appears as calculation bases, and the Wyler's theory is so completely rehabilitated, as well as the Fermion Koide formula, in the ppb range.
The simplicity of these 71 formula, which were all obtained by hand, except the two decisive ppb ones involving the Atiyah constant, confirms that the mysterious "fine-tuning" is of mathematical origin. More precisely, the fine-tuning seems to be optimal. So, the search of optimal calculation bases could define the 30 or so parameters defining the Cosmos. The treatement of so many variables would be rather intractable, but, happily, the Cosmos seems to be hierarchized : only three of these parameters are sufficient for a first survey [30].
This illustrates an essential property of Science : progress is possible without knowing the final theory. So the approximative induction is often more productive than pure deduction. In fact, a mathematical theory cannot give more than
its own foundation, and the more credible one in a Computing Cosmos is the Number Theory. While, according to Poincaré himsetf, it would be the most difficult mathematical domain, this article shows how a diophantine degenerate equation is in fact the simplest mathematical problem, and leads directly to the universal radius, via a kind of symmetry between the Newton constant $G$ and the Planck one $\hbar$.

The fine-tunig was evoked to justify the existence of Life in our Universe, considered as special among a series of sterile universe in a disparate Multiverse [30]. This application of the so-called 'anthropic principle' is misleading since it has been shown that the physical parameters are present in the DNA nucleotides. Indeed, the massses of the couple AT and GC are equal, within one Hydrogen precision, to $1389 / 3$, so that the mean mass of a complete codon and an electron is about an Hydrogen mass. Moreover this bi-codon mass is directly tied to the non-Doppler quasar Kotov period [31]. So the DNA molecule would be a linear hologram, tied to optimal calculation directly with the Cosmos. This could be the prefiguration of quantum computer in the future.

So, the reunification physics-mathematics could be extended to Biology. This is a return to ancient times where Science was not distinguished from Philosophy.

The most imminent prediction is that the James Webb telescope will show old galaxies in the far field, instead of the predicted so-called «dark age».
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## TOPOLOGICAL AXIS

Characteristic lengths follow the law : $\exp \left(2^{\mathrm{d} / 4}\right)$
$+\times$ Unit length : Electron Compton reduced wavelength $\hbar / m_{e} c=\lambda_{e}$
Supercycle-period (unit : $\lambda_{e} / c$ ). Photon and Graviton masses (unit : $2 \pi m_{N}$ )


Figure 1: The Topological Axis (data in Table 1). The double natural logarithms $y=\ln (\ln (\mathrm{Y}))$ of the main dimensionless physical quantities $(Y)$ corresponds to the special string dimension series $d=4 k+2$, from $k=0$ to $k=7$, characteristics of the Bott sequence. This is the reunion of height 2D-1D holographic relations, hence the name 'Topological Axis‘. Two relations comes from the double large number correlation [6], one comes from the Carr and Rees weak boson-gravitation relation $\mathrm{Eq}(2)$, and one comes from the Davies analysis, involving the Cosmological Microwave Background (CMB) wavelength. In the macro-physics side, with length unit $\lambda_{e}$, the Electron Compton reduced wavelength, $6 \times$ the Hubble radius 13.812 billion light-years, Eq.(2), is tied to the bosonic critical dimension 26, while Bott reduction $\Delta \mathrm{d}=8$ leads firstly to $\mathrm{d}=18$ : it is the thermal photon $(\mathrm{CMB})$. This temperature $T \approx 2.725820805$ Kelvin, Eq. (31,) is identified to the common temperature of the couple Universe-Grandcosmos. It is tied to the mammal wavelength through the Sternheimer scale factor $j$ (section 8.3); another Bott reduction leads to $\mathrm{d}=10$ (super-string dimension): it is the Hydrogen atom, and finally to $\mathrm{d}=2$ : the massive string, about 2.1 GeV . For the number 24 of transverse dimensions, it is the Kotov length (section 4.3), multiplied by a factor about $2 \pi a$, with $a \approx 137.036$. For $\mathrm{d} \approx \Gamma$, the Atiyah constant (section 8.2), it is the galaxy group radius, a characteristic cosmic length ( $10^{6}$ light-years, section 2.1). For $\mathrm{k} \approx e^{2}, y \approx 2 e$, it is the Grandcosmos radius (section 3). The Space-Time-Matter Holic dimension $\mathrm{d}=30$ (section 6) is tied to $c$ times the cosmic Supercycle period (section 5). In the micro-physics side, with the same length unit $\lambda_{e}$, Bott reductions from $\mathrm{d}=30$ lead to the gauge bosons: $\mathrm{d}=22$ for the Grand Unification Theory (GUT) one, $\left(2.30 \cdot 10^{16} \mathrm{GeV}\right), \mathrm{d}=14$ for the weak one and $\mathrm{d}=6$ for the (massive) gluons, about 8.6 MeV . For the intermediary superstring value $\mathrm{d}=10$, there is the mean Pion. For $\mathrm{d} \approx \gamma \times \Gamma, \mathrm{Y} \approx 495^{2}$ the square of the diminished Green-Schwarz string dimension (496-1), it is the Brout-Englert-Higgs boson ( 125.175 GeV ). For $\mathrm{k} \approx 2 e^{e}$, it is the topon, the visible Universe wavelength, the space quantum, which identifies with the mono-radial unit length of the Bekenstein-Hawking Universe entropy (section 3). With unit $2 \pi$ times the Nambu mass $m_{N}=a m_{e}, \mathrm{~d}=24$ and 26 corresponds to the photon and graviton masses, defined by the two-step holographic interaction [14], section 7.4.
This is the extrapolation towards smaller numbers of the Double Larger Number correlation. The central dimension is $\mathrm{d}=16$, for a total of $2^{7}$ string dimensions in the Bott sequence. This suggests a liaison with the Eddigton's matrix
$16 \times 16$ [6].

Table 1: Table 1

| Adimensional primary constants |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| name | symbol | value | imp (ppb) |
| Euler-Napier constant | e | 2.718281828459042 | 'exact' |
| Archimedes constant | $\pi$ | 3.1459265358979 | 'exact' |
| Euler-Mascheroni constant | $\gamma$ | 0.57721566490153 | 'exact' |
| Apery constant | $\zeta(3)$ | 1.202056903159594 | 'exact' |
| Lucas-Lehmer generator $\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{4}$ | $g_{3}$ | 3.73205080756888 | 'exact' |
| Wien constant $w=5\left(1-e^{-w}\right)=h c / k_{B} T \lambda_{\text {Wien }}$ | W | 4.961142317443 | 'exact' |
| Eddington Electric constant $\quad e^{\pi} \approx a / \ln (e a) \approx a-j$ | a | 137.035999084 | 0.15 |
| Electron magnetic moment / Bohr magneton | $d_{e}$ | 1.00115965218128 | 0.15 |
| Atiyah constant | $\Gamma$ | 25.17809724196 | 0.15 |
| Modular number | $j_{0}$ | 744 | exact |
| Eddington Large Number | $N_{E d d}$ | $136 \times 2^{256}$ | exact |
| Lucas Large Prime Number | $N_{L}$ | $2^{127}-1$ | exact |
| Monster group order | $O_{M}$ | $2^{46} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^{9} \cdot 7^{6} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71$ | exact |
| Monster dimension | D | $47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71=196883$ | exact |
| Baby-Monster group order | $O_{B}$ | $2^{41} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^{6} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$ | exact |
| Happy Family order product | $\Pi_{\text {hap }}$ | $\exp (674.5210288)$ | exact |
| Pariah Family order product | $\Pi_{p a r}$ | $\exp (166.7658991)$ | exact |
| Measured Fermi/Electron $m_{F} / m_{e}$ | $F_{\text {meas }}$ | 573007.362 | 250 |
| Fermi Atiyah Sanchez mass ratio: $(2 \gamma \times 137)$ | F | 573007.3652 | 0.22 |
| Proton/Electron mass ratio $m_{p} / m_{e}$ | p | 1836.15267343 | 0.06 |
| Hydrogen/Electron mass ratio $H=p+1-(p / a(p+1))^{2} / 2$ | H | 1837.15266014 | 0.06 |
| Neutron/Electron mass ratio | n | 1837.15266014 | 0.06 |
| Measured Muon/Electron mass ratio | $\mu_{\text {meas }}$ | 206.7682830 | 22 |
| Sanchez Muon/Electron mass ratio ( $F a / \sqrt{p H})^{1 / 2}$ | $\mu$ | 203/7682869 | 0.1 |
| Measured Tau/Electron mass ratio | $\tau_{\text {meas }}$ | 3477.23 | $7 \times 10^{4}$ |
| Koide $\tau:(1+\mu+\tau) / 2=(1+\sqrt{\mu}+\sqrt{\tau})^{2} / 3$ | $\mu$ | 3477.441701 | 0.1 |
| Measured W boson/Electron mass ratio | $W_{\text {meas }}$ | 157297 | $1.5 \times 10^{5}$ |
| Sanchez W boson/Electron mass ratio $137^{2} \Gamma / 3 d_{e}$ | W | 157340.1093 | 0.15 |
| Measured Z boson/Electron mass ratio | $Z_{\text {meas }}$ | 178450 | $2.3 \times 10^{4}$ |
| Sanchez Z boson/Electron mass ratio $137^{2} \Gamma / 3 d_{e}$ | Z | 178451.7402 | 0.15 |

Table 2: Table 2
Table 2. physical constants

| name | Symbol | unit | Value | imp (ppb) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Relativity speed | c | $\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ | 299792428 | exact |
| Planck constant | h | J s | $6.62607015 \times 10^{-34}$ | exact |
| Reduced Planck constant $h / 2 \pi$ | $\hbar$ | J s | $1.05457181 \times 10^{-34}$ | "exact" |
| Official Gravitation constant | $G_{o f f}$ | $\mathrm{~kg}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $6.67430 \times 10^{-11}$ | contested |
| Optimized Gravitation constant | G | $\mathrm{kg}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $6.67545375 \times 10^{-11}$ | ppb |
| Fermi constant | $G_{F}$ | $\mathrm{Jm}^{3}$ | $61.435851 \times 10^{-62}$ | 500 |
| Electron mass $m_{e}=m_{p} / p=m_{H} / H=m_{n} / n$ | $m_{e}$ | kg | $9.1093837015 \times 10^{-31}$ | 0.3 |
| Mean mass $\left(m_{e} m_{p}=m_{n}\right)^{1 / 3}$ | m | kg | $9.1093837015 \times 10^{-28}$ | 0.3 |
| Boltzman pseudo constant $($ unity convertor $)$ | $k_{B}$ | $J / K$ | $1.380649 \times 10^{-23}$ | exact |
| Wien displacement constant $\lambda_{W}$ ien $\times T=h c / k_{B} w$ | $k_{B}$ | m K | $2.897771995 \times 10^{-3}$ | "exact" |
| Electron reduced wavelength $\hbar / m_{e} c$ | $\lambda_{e}$ | m | $3.861592675 \times 10^{-13}$ | 0.3 |
| Electron classical radius $\hbar / a m_{e} c$ | $r_{e}$ | m | $2.817940322 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.45 |
| Hydrogen Bohr radius $a(1+1 / p) \lambda_{e}$ | $r_{H}$ | m | $5.294654092 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.45 |
| Proton radius | $r_{p}$ | m | $8.8 \times 10^{-16}$ | contested |
| Planck length $\left(\hbar G / c^{3}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $l_{P}$ | m | $1.61639471 \times 10^{-35}$ | this work ppb |
| Rydbergh correction constant $(H-p)^{-1}$ | $\beta$ | - | 1.000026597 |  |
| Planck ratio $m_{P} / m_{e}$ | P | - | $2.389015907 \times 10^{22}$ | this work ppb |
| Gravitational coupling constant $R / 2 \lambda_{e}=p^{2} / p H$ | $a_{G}$ | - | $1.691936467 \times 10^{38}$ | this work ppb |
| Electroweak coupling constant $F^{2}=(2 \gamma \times 137)^{3}$ | $a_{w}$ | - | $3.283374406 \times 10^{11}$ | this work ppb |

Table 3: Table 3
Table 3. cosmic constants

| name | Symbol | unit | Value | imp (ppb) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Official Hubble-Lemaitre so-called "present" constant | $c / H_{0}$ | Gly | $13.80(2)$ | $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ |
| Critical Universal radius $2 \hbar^{2} / G m_{e} m_{p} m_{H}=2 G M / c^{2}=2 a_{G} \lambda_{e}$ | R | Gly | 13.81197677 | this work ppb |
| Universal mass $R c^{2} / 2 G=m_{P}^{4} / m_{e} m_{p} m_{H}$ | M | kg | $8.796524777 \times 10^{52}$ | this work ppb |
| Universal energy density | $u_{U}$ | $J / m^{3}$ | $8.459065716 \times 10^{-10}$ | this work ppb |
| Grandcosmos hologram Nambu radius | $R_{N}$ | m | $1.712894163 \times 10^{26}$ | this work ppb |
| Grandcosmos radius | $R_{G C}$ | m | $9.075773376 \times 10^{86}$ | this work ppb |
| Universal mono-electron radius $\lambda_{e} e x p\left(\left(\pi^{2} / 6-1\right) a(1+1 / p)+1-\gamma\right) \approx g_{3}^{a / 2} / 4$ | $R_{1}$ | m | $1.492365473 \times 10^{26}$ | this work ppb |
| Official CMB temperature | $T_{C M B o f f}$ | K | $2.7255(6)$ | $2 \times 10^{5}$ |
| Grandcosmos (CMB) temperature | $T_{C M B}$ | K | 2.725820138 | this work ppb |
| Neutrino temperature $(C N B) T_{C M B} /(4 / 11)^{1 / 3}$ | $T_{C N B}$ | K | 1.945597343 | this work ppb |
| CMB energy density $\left(\pi^{2 / 15}\right) \hbar c / \lambda_{C M B}^{4} \approx\left(2 a_{s}^{2}\right)^{2} u_{U}$ | $u_{C M B}$ | $J / m^{3}$ | $4.176762758 \times 10^{-14}$ | this work ppb |
| CMB photon density $16 \pi \zeta(3) / \lambda_{C M B}^{3}$ | $l_{p h}^{-3}$ | $m^{-3}$ | $410.871743 \times 10^{6} m^{-3}$ | this work ppb |
| CNB energy density $u_{C M B}=\left(3 \times(7 / 8) \times(4 / 11)^{4 / 3}\right)$ | $u_{C N B}$ | $J / m^{3}$ | $2.84572016 \times 10^{-14}$ | this work ppb |
| Non-Doppler quasar period | $t_{K m e s}$ | sec | $9600.60(1)$ | 1000 |
| Optimized Non-Doppler quasar period $\lambda_{e}\left(a_{G} a_{w}\right)^{1 / 2} / c$ | $t_{K}$ | sec | 9600.591457 | this work ppb |
| Equivalent number of neutrons in the critical sphere | $n_{n}$ | - | $5.251883912 \times 10^{79}$ | this work ppb |
| Number of photons in the critical sphere | $n_{p h}$ | - | $3.840045866 \times 10^{87}$ | this work ppb |
| Number of photons in the Grandcosmos | $N_{p h}$ | - | $\exp (621.949984)$ | this work ppb |
| Equivalent number of Hydrogen atoms in the Grandcosmos | $N_{H}$ | - | $\exp (603.8432382)$ | this work ppb |

Table 4: Table 4
Table 4. 42 formulas for the Hubble radius, with better precision than $1 \%$

| \# | Formula | Value (Gyr) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(20 / 3) N_{E d d} G m_{H} / c^{2}$ | 13.79 | Confirms Eddington Large number and black matter existence [3] |
| 2 | $2 \hbar^{2} / G m_{e} m_{p} m_{n}$ | 13.80 | obtained in a 3 minutes calculation (1997) by dimensional analysis withput c |
| 3 | $2 \hbar^{2} / G m_{e} m_{p}^{2}$ | 13.82 | theoretical radius of a mono-atomic star |
| 4 | $\lambda_{e} g(6)$ | 13.82 | with the topological function $g(k)=\exp \left(2^{k+1 / 2}\right) / k$ for $\mathrm{k}=6(\mathrm{~d}=26$, critical dimension) |
| 5 | $\lambda_{e}(\tau / \mu)^{32} / w$ | 13.83 | $6 g(6)=g(1)^{32}$ |
| 6 | $\left(2 \lambda_{e} / 3\right)\left(\lambda_{C M B} / \lambda_{H 2}\right)^{3}$ | 13.90 | 3 D holographic term in $2 \pi R / \lambda_{e} \approx 4 \pi\left(\lambda_{p} / l_{P}\right)^{2} \approx(4 \pi / 3)\left(\lambda_{C M B} / \lambda_{H 2}\right)^{3}$ |
| 7 | $\lambda_{e} S_{4}^{5}$ | 13.80 | holographic 5D extension |
| 8 | $\lambda_{e} \Gamma^{55 / 2}$ | 13.80 | implies $s_{4} \approx \Gamma^{11 / 2}$ |
| 9 | $\lambda_{e} \exp (j \sqrt{(137 / a)}-\Gamma)$ | 13.82 | confirms the Atiyah and Sternheimer constants |
| 10 | $\lambda_{e} \exp \left(\left(p^{2}-p_{W,,, 137}^{2}-j / \pi\right)\right.$ | 13.81 | with $p_{W, a, 137}=6\left(a^{2}-137^{2}\right)^{5 / 2} \approx 1833.99827$ confirms Wyler's theory |
| 11 | $\lambda_{e} \exp \left(\sqrt{ }\left(p^{2}-p_{W, a, 137}^{2}\right) / d_{e}^{2}\right)$ | 13.81 | with $p_{W, a, 137}=6\left(a^{2}-137^{2}\right)^{5 / 2} \approx 1833.99827$ confirms Wyler's theory |
| 12 | $\lambda_{p}(W Z)^{4}$ | 13.80 | specifies the Carr and Rees relation $a_{G} \approx W^{8}$ [5] |
| 13 | $\left(2 l_{K}^{3} / r_{e}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 13.75 | from holographic relation $\pi\left(R / l_{K}\right)^{2}$ approx $2 \pi l_{K} / r_{e}$ |
| 14 | $l_{K}\left(3\left(r / l_{P}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}$ | 13.69 | from holographic relation $(4 \pi / 3)\left(R / l_{K}\right)$ sapprox $4 \pi\left(l_{K} / r_{e}\right)^{2}$ |
| 15 | $\left(R_{C} r_{e}^{2}\right)^{2 / 3} / l_{k}$ | 13.70 | from the empiric $\sqrt{(3)} l_{K}^{3} \approx R_{C} r_{e} l_{P}$ |
| 16 | $\lambda_{e}^{11 / 3} / l_{P}^{2} t_{C M B}^{2 / 3}$ | 13.87 | confirms the thermal photon background |
| 17 | $2 \lambda_{C N B}^{6} / t_{e}^{3} \lambda_{\text {CMB }}^{2}$ | 13.83 | confirms the statistical neutrino background |
| 18 | $2 \lambda_{e} a_{s}^{2} W^{7}$ | 13.86 | confirms the Holic Principle |
| 19 | $2 \lambda_{e}(F Z)^{7 / 2}$ | 13.95 | confirms the Holic Principle |
| 20 | $\lambda_{e} 2^{128}$ | 13.90 | $R / 2 \approx 2{ }^{127}$ Lucas Large Number, last term of the Combinatorial Herarchy |
| 21 | $\lambda_{e} \pi^{155 / 2}$ | 13.80 | $\pi$ as a calculation basis (Riemann series): $2^{1 / 155} \approx \pi^{1 / 256} \approx(2 \pi)^{1 /(3 \times 137)}$ |
| 22 | $4 P^{3} \lambda_{e} l_{W C M B} / R_{N}$ | 13.82 | from the Holo-thermal holographic relation : $e^{a} \approx 4 \pi\left(R_{N} / l_{W C M B}\right)^{2} \approx(2 \pi / 3)\left(r_{p} / l_{P}\right)^{3}$ |
| 23 | $\left(2 \pi^{32} P \lambda_{e}\right)^{2} / R_{N}$ | 13.80 | ties to $l_{W C M B} / l_{P} \approx \pi^{64}$ |
| 24 | $R_{N} a^{a} / \Pi_{\text {hap }}\left(R_{C} / l_{P}\right)^{3} / \Pi_{26}$ | 13.81 | ties the Grandcosmos hologram radius to the 20 happy family sporadic groups |
| 25 | $R_{N}\left(R_{C} / l_{P}\right)^{3} / \Pi_{26}$ | 13.79 | ties the Grandcosmos to the 26 sporadic groups |
| 26 | $\lambda_{F} P^{3} / p^{7}$ | 13.80 | P and p computation bases |
| 27 | $\lambda_{F} P^{2} e / 8$ | 13.81 | related to $\sqrt{a} \approx 32 / e$ |
| 28 | $\lambda_{e} O_{M}^{7 / 10}$ | 13.94 | related to $O_{M}^{7 / 10} \approx 496$, dimension of the superstring SO32 gauge group |
| 29 | $\left(\lambda_{\text {Ryd }} n^{4}\right)^{2} / \lambda_{p}$ | 13.81 | tied to $c t_{K} / \lambda_{e} \approx a F W Z n$ |
| 30 | $\left(\lambda_{C M B} /(j+1)\right)^{2} / l_{P}$ | 13.80 | yieds to the central cosmo-biologic relation [5]: $\sqrt{\left(R l_{P}\right)} \approx \lambda_{\text {mam }}$ |
| 31 | $\left(\lambda_{C M B}^{4} / j \sqrt{E_{3}}\right)^{1 / 2} / l_{P}$ | 13.84 | implies $j / a \approx \sqrt{\ln 2} \approx 1 / \zeta(3)$ |
| 32 | $\left(\lambda_{e}\left(2 R / R_{N}\right)^{210}\right)$ | 13.85 | Confirms the Holic Principle and the Grandcosmos hologram with radius $R_{N}$ |
| 33 | $R_{N}\left(R_{N} \pi^{1 / 3} / O_{M} \lambda_{e}\right)^{1 / 127}$ | 13.77 | Confirms the Monster |
| 34 | $\left(\lambda_{e}(\tau / p)^{140} / 2\right.$ | 13.77 | confirms the Eddington's proton-tau symmetry |
| 35 | $R_{N}\left(O_{M} O_{B} / n_{p h}\right)^{2}$ | 13.77 | confirms the large spradic groups. $\left(O_{M} O_{B} / 2\right)^{-1 / a} \approx \sin ^{2} \theta \approx \ln ^{4} 2$ |
| 36 | $R_{N}\left(\pi O_{M} O_{B} / 3\right)^{2} / \exp \left(e^{6}\right)$ | 13.90 | confirms the pertinence of $e^{6} \approx \pi^{4}+\pi^{5} \approx \sin ^{2} \theta \approx \ln ^{4} 2$ |
| 37 | $(\sqrt{3} / 2) \lambda_{e} g_{3}^{8 a_{s}}$ | 13.84 | Confirms the Lucas-Lehmer series $g_{3}^{2^{n}}$ |
| 38 | $2 \lambda_{e} N_{R}^{1+\sqrt{137}} /\left(R_{N} / l_{P}\right)^{3}$ | 13.86 | Confirms the Ramanujan Number pertinence |
| 39 | $R_{C}\left(e^{\gamma} / R_{N}^{7}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 13.81 | Confirms the Superspeed ratio $C / c=R_{G C} / R$ |
| 40 | $\left.\lambda_{e} \sqrt{( } a\right) \times j_{0}{ }^{\sqrt{(163)}}$ | 13.78 | Confirms the liaison Modular-sporadic $O_{B} \approx 744^{\sqrt{(137)}}$ |
| 41 | $6 \lambda_{e} \ln S_{125}$ | 13.81 | confirms the Lucas-Lehmer number: $\ln S_{125}=2^{125} \operatorname{lng}_{3}$ |
| 42 | $\lambda_{e} a^{-\sin ^{4} \theta} / \sqrt{j_{0}}$ | 13.94 | confirms the weak mixing angle |

Table 5: Table 5
Table 5. 26 formulas for Hubble radius, with better precision than $2 \times 10^{-4}$

| $\#$ | Formula | Value (Gly) | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\left(l_{P}^{2} \lambda_{e}\right) a_{s}^{2} N_{L}\left(\lambda_{C M B} / r_{H}\right)^{6}$ | 13.810 | confirms the cosmic role of the strong coupling $a_{s}$ |
| 2 | $\lambda_{e}\left((a-136) E_{3}^{\sqrt{a}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 13.814 | $E_{3}=e^{e^{e}} \approx E_{4}^{1 / a p} \approx e^{3 e+7} \approx \tau \times 8 a a \approx e^{7} / 8$ |
| 3 | $\lambda_{e} \Pi_{26}^{1 / 9} /(j+e)$ | 13.813 | with the product of the 26 sporadic group orders |
| 4 | $\left(\Pi_{26}^{2}\left(\lambda_{e} / j\right)^{18} R_{N} / 2\right)^{1 / 19}$ | 13.813 | $j^{18} \approx a^{17} l n a$ |
| 5 | $\lambda_{e} a^{3 / 38}$ | 13.812 | a computation basis |
| 6 | $\lambda_{e}(D / 3-a)^{8}$ | 13.813 | empiric $D / 3-a-1 \approx 2 \mu p_{\text {hol }} a^{-1 / 2}$ |
| 7 | $\left(\lambda_{e}^{2} / R_{N}\right)(137 /(16 \times 136)) g_{3}^{a}$ | 13.815 | confirms the Lucas-Lehmer generator $g_{3} ; g_{3}+1 / g_{3}=4$ |
| 8 | $R_{1} a_{s} a^{3} N_{L} e^{-2} P^{-2}$ | 13.813 | by comparison with $G m / c^{2}$ |
| 9 | $R_{C} d_{e}^{-e^{3}} / e^{(5)}\left(-a^{3} / p_{K}^{2}\right)$ | 13.8112 | confirms the singularity of $R_{C} / R=$ C/c |
| 10 | $R_{1}(8 / \sqrt{3 a})^{1 / 7}$ | 13.8118 | from relations between photon numbers |
| 11 | $\lambda_{e}\left(\left(e^{4 e-1 / a}-l^{2}\left(P^{4} / a^{3}\right)\right) / 2\right)^{1 / 2}$ | 13.8117 | from the geo-dimensional couple Universe-Grandcosmos |
| 12 | $\lambda_{F} e P^{2} E_{2}^{4}(p n)^{-1 / 2}$ | 13.8126 | tied to $H / 8 \approx E_{2}^{2}=e^{2 e}$ |
| 13 | $\left(\lambda_{e}^{2} / l_{P}\right)(j / 16)^{16} E_{2}^{2} d_{e} \sqrt{2}$ | 13.8120 | liaison j-matrix $16 \times 16$ |
| 14 | $3^{1 / 137} R_{G C}^{2 / 3} r_{e}^{4 / 3} / l_{K}$ | 13.8124 | confirms the liaison Grandcosmos-quasar period |
| 15 | $O_{M}^{d_{e} p H} \sqrt{\beta / 24 D}$ | 13.8115 | confirms the monster and its dimension D |
| 16 | $\lambda_{e} \sqrt{a / 137} j_{0}^{2 e p \beta / j_{0}}$ | 13.81139 | confirms the modular number as calculation basis |
| 17 | $\lambda_{e} j_{0}^{n / a}$ | 13.81189 | confirms the modular number as calculation basis |
| 18 | $\left(a 137^{-1 / 2}(4 \pi F)^{-2} \lambda_{e}^{4} l_{p h}^{3}\left(\lambda_{C M B}\right) / l_{P}^{8}\right)^{1 / 7}$ | 13.81189 | comes from $\sqrt{2 n_{p h} / n_{n}} \approx\left(u^{U}\right) /\left(u_{C M B}+u_{C N B}\right)$ |
| 19 | $R_{N} e x p\left(-2 / e^{2}\right)$ | 13.81195 | empiric |
| 20 | $2 \beta \lambda_{e} j^{17}(4 \pi)^{2} \sqrt{137}$ | 13.81198 | j calculation basis |
| 21 | $\lambda_{e}\left(3 j^{j} / 2 H\right)^{1 / 6}$ | 13.81199 | jand a : related computation bases : $\left(j^{j}\right)^{5 / 4} \approx a^{a}$ |
| 22 | $\beta F P^{3 / 2}(n / p)^{7 / 2} 2 \pi$ | 13.81198 | proton-neutron symmetry |
| 23 | $\left(45 \lambda_{C M B}^{7} / 4(p+5) / \lambda_{C N B}^{3}\right)^{1 / 2} / l_{P}$ | 13.81197 | confirms $T_{C M B} a n d p+5 \approx n^{2} / p \approx H^{5} / p^{4}$ |
| 24 | $4 l_{K} p^{4} \sqrt{p / H} / \beta d_{e}$ | 13.81198 | confirms the non-Doppler quasar period |
| 25 | $\lambda_{e} e^{(4)}(1 / l n \sqrt{a})\left(a^{3} / p H\right)(a / \pi)^{-2 / p}$ | 13.81199 | confirms $R_{N}=R p H / a^{3}$ and the economic function $e^{(4)}(x)=e x p(e x p(\exp (\exp (x))))$ |
| 26 | $2\left(l_{K} / F\right)^{2} / \lambda_{e}$ | $13.81198(3)$ | from elimination of $c$ between gravitational and electroweak couplings |

Table 6: Table 6
Table 6.7 ppb precise formula for $R \approx 13.8119768$ Gly

| \# | Formula | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $2 \lambda_{e}\left(p n / H^{2}\right)\left(g(5) / \ln \left(2-1 / j a^{2}\right)\right)^{2}$ | confirms the Topological axis $g(5)^{2} / g(6)=25 / 6 \rightarrow \ln (2) \approx 2 \sqrt{(3 / 5)}$ |
| 6 | $x R_{1}^{2} / R_{N}$ withx $=(11 / 4)^{1 / 610}$ | confirms the statistical term $11 / 4 ; 2 / x^{137} \approx \ln (11 / 4) \approx d_{e}^{10}$ |
| 5 | (20/3) $N_{\text {Edd }} \exp \left(\left(4 \pi_{0}\right)^{-3}\right) / \lambda_{n}$ | $\pi_{0}=(22 a-377 / 2) /(7 a-60) \leftrightarrow \pi_{\text {Arch }}=22 / 7 \pi_{\text {Prol }}=377 / 120=2+137 / 120$ |
| 4 | $\left.\lambda_{e} g(6) /\left(1+\sqrt{\left(137^{2}\right.}+\sqrt{(136)}\right) / \mathrm{jn}\right)$ | Confirms $137=136+1$ |
| 3 | $\left(\lambda_{e} 2^{128}\right)\left(1-\left(137^{2}+\pi^{2}+e^{2}\right) / p H\right)$ | shows a symmetry between $\pi$, e and 137, prolongating $a \approx\left(137^{2}+\pi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ |
| 2 | $\left(\lambda_{e} 2^{137}\right)\left(\gamma^{2} n^{6} / 137^{2} \Gamma^{11}\right)$ | superstring liaison 11D-9D, with $\Gamma$, the Atiyah constant |
| 1 | $\left(\lambda_{e} 2^{128} / d_{e}^{2}\left(m_{H} / m_{p}\right)^{6}\right.$ | empiric [5], separates the neutron from $\Gamma \gamma^{2} d_{e}^{2} \approx\left(p \Gamma^{2} \sqrt{(137) / 2} \sqrt{(2) H n}\right)^{6} \approx a_{s}$ |

