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## Abstract <br> The Main Hypothesis

## 'There Are Relativistic Effects In The Solar Group"

We can't observe the higher velocity which produces these relativistic effects but we can observe the relativistic effects which are produced by it.

As proves for the relativistic effects, I may refer to the following:

1. The Earth Moon Motion ...
2. Mercury Day Period...

The previous 2 phenomena should be discussed in this paper with many other as proves for the relativistic effects are found in the solar group geometry.
This Papers provides 2 Points
$\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Point : The Relativistic Effects Proves
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Planetary Fact Sheet - Metric

|  | MERCURY | VENUS | EARTH | MOON | MARS | JUPITER | SATURN | URANUS | NEPTUNE | PLUTO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{\text { Mass ( } 10^{24} \mathrm{~kg} \text { ) }}$ | 0.330 | 4.87 | 5.97 | 0.073 | 0.642 | 1898 | 568 | 86.8 | 102 | 0.0131 |
| Diameter (km) | 4879 | 12,104 | 12,756 | 3475 | 6792 | 142,984 | 120,536 | 51,118 | 49,528 | 2390 |
| Density ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) | 5427 | 5243 | 5514 | 3340 | 3933 | 1326 | 687 | 1271 | 1638 | 1830 |
| Gravity ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathbf{s}^{2}$ ) | 3.7 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 23.1 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 11.0 | 0.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Escape } \\ & \text { Velocity (km/s) } \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 2.4 | 5.0 | 59.5 | 35.5 | 21.3 | 23.5 | 1.1 |
| Rotation <br> Period (hours) | 1407.6 | -5832.5 | 23.9 | 655.7 | 24.6 | 9.9 | 10.7 | -17.2 | 16.1 | -153.3 |
| Length of Day (hours) | 4222.6 | 2802.0 | 24.0 | 708.7 | 24.7 | 9.9 | 10.7 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 153.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Distance from } \\ & \underline{\text { Sun }\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~km}\right)} \end{aligned}$ | 57.9 | 108.2 | 149.6 | 0.384* | 227.9 | 778.6 | 1433.5 | 2872.5 | 4495.1 | 5870.0 |
| Perihelion ( $10^{6} \mathbf{~ k m}$ ) | 46.0 | 107.5 | 147.1 | 0.363* | 206.6 | 740.5 | 1352.6 | 2741.3 | 4444.5 | 4435.0 |
| Aphelion ( $10^{6} \mathrm{~km}$ ) | 69.8 | 108.9 | 152.1 | 0.406* | 249.2 | 816.6 | 1514.5 | 3003.6 | 4545.7 | 7304.3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orbital } \\ & \hline \text { Period (days) } \end{aligned}$ | 88.0 | 224.7 | 365.2 | 27.3 | 687.0 | 4331 | 10,747 | 30,589 | 59,800 | 90,588 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Orbital } \\ & \text { Velocity } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 47.4 | 35.0 | 29.8 | 1.0 | 24.1 | 13.1 | 9.7 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 4.7 |
| Orbital Inclination (degrees ) | 7.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 17.2 |
| Orbital Eccentricity | 0.205 | 0.007 | 0.017 | 0.055 | 0.094 | 0.049 | 0.057 | 0.046 | 0.011 | 0.244 |
| Axial Till (degrees) | 0.01 | 177.4 | 23.4 | 6.7 | 25.2 | 3.1 | 26.7 | 97.8 | 28.3 | 122.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Temperature (C) } \end{aligned}$ | 167 | 464 | 15 | -20 | -65 | -110 | -140 | -195 | -200 | -225 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Surface } \\ & \text { Pressure (bars) } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 92 | 1 | 0 | 0.01 | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown* | 0 |
| Number of Moons | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 67 | 62 | 27 | 14 | 5 |
| Ring System? | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Global Magnetic } \\ & \hline \text { Field? } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Unknow $\mathrm{n}$ |
|  | MERCURY | VENUS | EARTH | MOON | MARS | JUPITER | SATURN | URANUS | NEPTUNE | PLUTO |

http://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/
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## 1- Introduction

In this paper methodology we'll discuss how the moon orbital motion is found basically based on the relativistic effects...
This explanation uses the rate 1.0725 as a rate found by relativistic effects- and I want in the introduction to show that this rate is found frequently in the solar planets data and not limited only to the moon motion distance
So let's provide the data in following...

## I- Data (Distances)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 1st- } \frac{\text { Earth Daily Motion } 2.58 \mathrm{mkm}}{\text { Moon Orbital Circumference } 2.41 \mathrm{mkm}}=1.0725 & \text { (No Error) } \\
\text { 2nd- } \frac{\text { Apogee orbital radius }(406000 \mathrm{~km})}{\text { Total Solar Eclipse radius }(378500 \mathrm{~km})}=1.0725 & \text { (No Error) } \\
\text { 3rd- } \frac{778.6 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Juppiter Orbital Distance }}{720.3 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Jupiter Mercury distance }}=1.0725 & \text { (No Error) } \\
\text { 4th- } \frac{720.3 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Jupiter Mercury distance }}{670 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Jupiter Venus Distance }}=1.0725 & (0.6 \%) \\
\text { 5th- } \frac{670 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Jupiter Venus Distance }}{629 \mathrm{mkm} \text { Jupiter Earth Distance }}=1.0725 & \text { (N }
\end{array}
$$

6th- $\frac{\text { Jupiter Orbital Circumference }(4894 \mathrm{mkm})}{\text { Neptune Orbital Distance }(4495.1 \mathrm{mkm})}=1.0725$ (Error1.5 \%)

7th- $\frac{\text { Saturn Orbital Distance }(1433.5 \mathrm{mkm})}{\text { Sarurn Venus Distance }(1325.3 \mathrm{mkm})}=1.0725$
(Error 0.8\%)
8th- $\frac{\text { Saturn Earth Distance }(1284 \mathrm{mkm})}{\text { Sarurn Mars Distance }(1205.6 \mathrm{mkm})}=1.0725$
(Error 0.7\%)

9th- $\frac{\text { Uranus Orbital Distance }(2872.5 \mathrm{mkm})}{\text { Uranus Mars Distance }(2644 \mathrm{mkm})}=1.0725$
(Error 0.7\%)

## II- Discussion

The previous data tells us that there are many different distances have the rate 1.0725 between them- which provide a clear question- why these distances are rated to each other by this same rate?
My answer is : because this rate is found by lorentz contraction effect which effect on all these distances... (Note Please the previous Data is example only and there are many different values are rated by this same rate)
Please review
Solar Group Geometrical Structure http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0081
And
The Time Definition http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0523
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## 2- Methodology

I use the same method in all my researches, The Planet Data Analysis...
Let's explain the idea here again
In Pythagoras triangle we found that $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$, this rule we can conclude from the triangle data if its dimensions are 3,4 and $5 \ldots$ so the data may show the geometrical rules....similar to that, I use the solar planets data analysis to conclude the main geometrical rules which explain the solar planets origin and motion...
Note please/ we depend on The Data Direction so the data analysis is important process because we need to see if the data concluded direction is supported and real one.
Let's use this method to explain the moon orbital motion in following:
Preface:

$$
\frac{\text { Apogee Orbital Circumference }(\mathrm{r}=0.406 \mathrm{mkm})=2.58 \mathrm{mkm}}{\text { Moon Orbital Circumference }(\mathrm{r}=0384 \mathrm{mkm})=2.41 \mathrm{mkm}}=1.0725
$$

Also

$$
\frac{\text { 25.2 Mars axail tilt }}{\text { 23.4 Earth axail tilt }}=\frac{\text { 26.7 Satrun axail tilt }}{25.2 \text { Mars axail tilt }}=\frac{28.3 \text { Neptune axail tilt }}{26.7 \text { Satrun axail tilt }}=1.0725
$$

Let's explain the previous Equation firstly
28.3 degrees (Neptune axial tilt) is the main value which is contracted by lorentz length contraction effect (by the 1.0725 ) to produce 26.7 deg (Saturn axial tilt) which also is contracted again to produce 25.2 deg. (Mars axial tilt) and then contracted again to produce 23.4 deg. (Earth axial tilt) so these 4 values are equal but the difference is found by relativistic effects

## The Moon Orbital Motion:

- The moon moves 2.58 mkm daily = Earth motion daily (otherwise they will be separated from each other)
- If there's no relativistic effects in the solar system, The moon should be seen as a bright stationary point in the sky because Earth and Moon move by the same velocity.


## But

- Because of the relativistic effects this value ( 2.58 mkm ) will be contracted with the rate 1.0725 to produce the value 2.41 mkm (as in $1^{\text {st }}$ Equation)
- So the moon daily motion $=2.58 \mathrm{mkm}$ but this value is seen $=2.41 \mathrm{mkm}$ (because of lorentz length contraction phenomenon)
- The difference between both $=0.17 \mathrm{mkm}$ which causes The Moon Daily Displacement ( $0.17 \mathrm{mkm}=2 \times \mathbf{8 8 0 0 0} \mathbf{~ k m}$ )
- Why the difference $0.17 \mathrm{mkm}=\underline{\mathbf{2}} \times$ moon daily displacement 88000 km ? may that occur because of the motions opposite directions.
This theory can answer easily why the moon orbital circumference at apogee radius $(2.58 \mathrm{mkm})=$ Earth daily motion..... because this circumference express the daily motion...

Please review ...
"The Moon Orbital Motion"
http://vixra.org/abs/1812.0200
Or
https://www.slideshare.net/Gergesfrancis/the-moon-orbital-motion
Gerges Francis Tawadrous/
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## 3- The Relativistic Effects Proves

3-1 Preface
3-2 The Moon orbital motion is a relativistic effects
3-3 Mercury Day Period is found as a relativistic effects

## 3-1 Preface

What does Special Theory of Relativity tell us?
If a particle moves by velocity $v=0.99 \mathrm{c}$ (where c is light velocity), So this particle will suffer from lorentz length contraction effect with a rate $=7.1$
But if the velocity $v=0.9999$ c So the rate will be 71
So, if we find the rate 7.1 between the solar planets orbital and internal distances, can we suppose this rate 7.1 is found as a relativistic effects? Let's see one example in following:

## - Eaxample 1

Earth Motion Energy produces the Moon Orbit:
In Lorentz Length contraction Equation
$L_{o}=\frac{L}{\sqrt{1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}}}$
If

- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}=$ Earth Daily Motion $=2.58$ million
- $\mathrm{v}=0.99 \mathrm{c}$ (hidden velocity- Hypothesis)
- $\mathrm{L}=363000 \mathrm{~km}$ (the distance between Earth and moon at Perigee Point)
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{o}}=7.09 \mathrm{~L}$

That means
Earth Energy Motion moves toward the moon and builds the moon orbit radius at perigee point ( 363000 km )
If we accept the previous idea- how the rate 1.0725 is found?

## - The Rate 1.0725

$$
\frac{7.1}{100}+1=1.071
$$

(Equation No. I)
The previous Equation tells us that, (1.071 is very near to 1.0725)
The rates 7.1 and 1.0725 are found based on the same velocity $v=0.99 \mathrm{c}$ but the rate 1.0725 uses more complex system or description- that means the length contraction effect doesn't effect directly as we imagine in the simple forms. Rather the contraction effects on the distance by some complex interacted system which causes the contraction is this new rate 1.0725
We need to discuss the geometrical description and meaning for the relativistic effects to see much better the concept (this description will be in point no. 4)
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## 3-2 The Moon orbital motion is a relativistic effects

We have discussed the moon orbital motion in this paper methodology

## 3-3 Mercury Day Period is found as a relativistic effects

I- Data

- Mercury Day Period = 176 days minus 84 minutes ( 5040 seconds)


## II- Discussion

- If we have a velocity $\mathrm{v}=0.9999 \mathrm{c}$ so the length contraction rate will be 71
$-\quad(71)^{2}=5041$
- The period 5040 seconds are very useful value in the solar group geometry!


## I claim that the $\mathbf{5 0 4 0}$ seconds are found as a relativistic effect

How to prove that?
I'll provide some important data concerning the value 5040 seconds in following! But why this is a proof?
Shortly I can't prove that unless we discuss our geometrical understanding for the relativistic effects - for example why the length is contracted because of the high velocity? Why the time dilation is occurred? We need to see the theoretical background to prove this claim - so I'll provide the data here but the discussion will be in point No. 4 (The Relativistic effects Geometrical Meaning and Description)
Let's provide the Data in following:

## I-Data

During A Period = $\mathbf{5 0 4 0}$ seconds
a- Mercury moves a distance
b- Mars moves a distance
c- Saturn moves a distance
d- Pluto moves a distance

## Note Please

e- Neptune Orbital Distance $=$ Saturn orbital distance $\mathrm{x} \pi$
f- Pluto eccentricity distance $=$ Saturn orbital distance
Also
g- Saturn Orbital Distance $=$ Mars Orbital Circumference $=0.5$ Uranus Orbital distance $=2$ Mercury Jupiter Distance (error 0.5\%)
h- Saturn Orbital Distance $=(\text { Saturn diameter })^{2} / \pi^{2}$

## Please review

Does Earth Velocity Causes The Eclipse Phenomena? (T.S. Eclipse III)
http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0489
Why We See the Sun Disc = the Moon Disc? (T.S. Eclipse II)
http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0322
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Course student - physics Faculty - People's Friendship University - Moscow -Russia..
mrwaheid1@yahoo.com mrwaheid@gmail.com +201022532292

## 4-The Relativistic effects Geometrical Meaning and Description

4-1 The Time Definition
4-2 Saturn Orbital distance

## 4-1 The Time Defination

Where's our difficulty? In the definition... what's the day? ( 86400 seconds)! is it correct answer?
While we understood the unit 1 meter by some meaning, and we understood the unit 1 second by another meaning...so our advanced description (in our mind) prevent us completely to think that $\mathbf{1}$ second may be very near to 1 meter... these 2 values are not similar or equal by any way..! the time is time and distance is a distance... we keep by heart! But what Special Theory of relativity tells us?

## I- Light velocity equation ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Method)

## X = CT

Distance $=$ times multiplies with light velocity...
If $\mathrm{C}=1$
So

## time will be equivalent to the distance!

What does mean the time is equivalent to the distance?
Our daily experiences cause serious difficulty to accept such idea
But this idea -if we can prove- will be an addition to the special theory of relativity i.e.
a development for SR theory
let's explain the idea and later provide the proof
If Energy (or particle) moves with c velocity, the following features will be occurred

1. The mass increasing
2. The time dilation
3. The length contraction
4. The time values will be seen as distances values

## Point No. 4 is my contribution to develop the Special Theory of Relativity

 (Gerges Francis Tawdrous contribution)
## II- The Solar Group data ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ Method)

Solar group data tells us that the time and distance values can be equivalent in specific situations
i.e. not all distance values can be seen as time values but just some of them (depending on the observer position and case)
let's consider one example to explain that
25920 years is the Cycle of Precession
It's known Cycle
(Earth Axis needs 25920 to rotate a complete rotation around himself)
But
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25920 million $\mathrm{km}=$ the distance passed by the light his velocity $0.3 \mathrm{mkm} / \mathrm{sec}$ during one complete day 86400 second
Also
25920 million $\mathrm{km}=17.76$ solar planets total velocities per day x 1461 days (earth 4 years Cycle)
(25920 is the distance moved by all solar planets during 1461 days)
So we see the same number in 2 different units (one in time and the other is distance)!
That's my idea
This effect is happened because the solar group motions are relativistic motions
Now we can discuss the hard question
Why Mercury during 5040 seconds moves a distance $=2$ Saturn diameters?
Please review my paper
Does Earth Velocity Causes The Eclipse Phenomena? (T.S. Eclipse III)
http://vixra.org/abs/1903.0489
in which we have discussed that Mercury during his Day Period moves a distance $=$ Mercury Jupiter Distance
Also Please review

The Time Definition
http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0523
Solar Group Geometrical Structure
http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0081
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Course student - physics Faculty - People's Friendship University - Moscow -Russia..
mrwaheidl@yahoo.com mrwaheid@gmail.com +201022532292

## 4-2 Saturn Orbital distance

Let's remember here Saturn Data in following (sub-point 3-3)
I- Data

## Group No. 1

## During A Period = 5040 seconds

1. Mercury moves a distance
2. Mars moves a distance
3. Saturn moves a distance
4. Pluto moves a distance

## Note Please

5. Neptune Orbital Distance
6. Pluto eccentricity distance
$=2$ Saturn diameter
= 1 Saturn diameter
= Neptune diameter
$=$ Pluto circumference $\mathrm{x} \pi$
$=$ Saturn orbital distance $\mathrm{x} \pi$
= Saturn orbital distance
(Error 1\%)
(Less than 1\%)
(Error 1.2\%)
(Less than 1\%)

Also
7. Saturn Orbital Distance $=$ Mars Orbital Circumference $=0.5$ Uranus Orbital distance $=2$ Mercury Jupiter Distance (error 0.5\%)
8. Saturn Orbital Distance $=(\text { Saturn diameter })^{2} / \pi^{2}$
(Error 2.6 \%)
9. Saturn diameter $=$ Venus Diameter $\times \pi^{2}$
10. The Sun diameter $=$ Jupiter Diameter $\mathrm{x} \pi^{2}$

## Group No. 2

| Table No. 1 | error |
| :--- | :--- |
| -1433.5 days x Mercury velocity daily $4.095 \mathrm{mkm}=5870 \mathrm{mkm}$ Pluto Orbital Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Venus velocity daily $3.02 \mathrm{mkm}=4329 \mathrm{mkm}$ Venus Neptune Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Earth velocity daily $2.58 \mathrm{mkm}=3699 \mathrm{mkm}$ Jupiter Neptune Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Mars velocity daily $2.082 \mathrm{mkm}=2984.5 \mathrm{mkm}$ Uranus Pluto Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Jupiter velocity daily $1.1318 \mathrm{mkm}=1622.4 \mathrm{mkm}$ Uranus Neptune Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Saturn velocity daily $0.838 \mathrm{mkm}=1201 \mathrm{mkm}$ Mars Saturn Distance | $0.3 \%$ |
| -1433.5 days x Uranus velocity daily $0.5875 \mathrm{mkm}=842 \mathrm{mkm}$ |  |
| -1433.5 days x Neptune velocity daily $0.4665 \mathrm{mkm}=670 \mathrm{mkm}$ Venus Jupiter Distance | 0 |
| -1433.5 days x Pluto velocity daily $0.406 \mathrm{mkm} \quad=582 \mathrm{mkm}$ Mercury Earth distance*2п | $1 \%$ |


| Table No. 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "The Solar Planets Diameters Total Relationship With The Moon Orbit" |  |  |
| If Earth diameter $=12756 \mathrm{~km}$, is considered to be $=\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |
| i.e. The Earth Circumference which $=40080 \mathrm{~km}$, will be just $=\Pi$ |  |  |
| So The Following Is Correct |  | Error |
| * Earth Circumference | = П | - |
| * Solar Inner Planets diameter total | = II | - |
| Moon motion free space (from perigee to apogee) (the free space without the moon diameter) | $=\Pi$ | 1.3\% |
| * Moon Perigee orbit radius | $=9$ II | - |
| * Solar outer planets diameters total | $=9$ II | - |
| * Moon orbit apogee radius | $=10$ II | 1.2 |
| * All solar planets diameters total | $=10 \mathrm{\Pi}$ | 1 |
| * 2 Jupiter diameter + Saturn diameter | =10 П | - |
| * Saturn Circumference <br> = Moon orbit radius at total solar eclipse | $=9.5 \Pi$ | - |
| * Jupiter Circumference | $=11$ П | 1.9 |
| * The Sun diameter | $=11 \Pi^{2}$ | 1.2 |

## II- Discussion

We discuss here Table No. 1 (Saturn Orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm )
In this table, the distance 1433.5 mkm , We will use as 1433.5 days...
All solar planets real velocities performed real distances in the solar group except Uranus!
Can all these data be "pure coincidences"?
Let's first see How the 1433.5 mkm can be 1433.5 days
i.e. 1 day $=1 \mathrm{mkm}$

- The moon orbit regresses 19 degrees yearly causes the eclipse cycle to regress by 19 days, means 1 day $=1$ degree
- Mercury orbital Circumference $=360 \mathrm{mkm}$ and his degrees $=360$ degrees i.e. 1 degree $=1 \mathrm{mkm}$
- Now if 1 degree of the moon orbit $=1$ Degree of the Mercury Orbital Circumference we will perform our goal.....how these 2 values can be equal...? If the solar group is one machine and each planet is a gear in this same machine, so this goal can be performed


## III- Time Definition

What's the time?

## The time is an Energy,

Because the time is equivalent to the distance
And in the previous paper, we have defined the distance as an Energy

## VI- Solar Group Geometrical Design

The time is equivalent to the distance!
What does that mean?
What time is equivalent to what distance?
Now we have a distance $=1$ million km , and this distance will be a time
What time will be produced?
Let's find some help from the solar group data

## Data

Distance $=1$ million km

- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ second, this rate we have found with the distance 86400 mkm which we discussed deeply in previous paper
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ minute, this rate is found with Saturn orbital Distance 1433.5 mkm which equal 1434 minutes (Earth rotation period)
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ hour, this rate is found with Jupiter Saturn distance ( 655.7 mkm ) which equal moon sidereal month
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ day, this rate is found with Mercury Orbital circumference 364 mkm , which equal earth orbital period 365.25 days
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ week, this rate in found with Mercury Venus distance 50.3 mkm , which equal sidereal Moon year 352.5 days
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ month this rate is found with Mars orbital distance 228 mkm , which equal Metonic Cycle (12months x 19 years)
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ year this rate is found with the Cycle 25920 years we discussed before
- $1 \mathrm{mkm}=1$ Earth Cycle ( 1461 days), this rate is found with the cycle 2736 years which equal the distance 680 mkm (Venus Orbital Circumference)
What do we understand with the previous data?


## Discussion

The previous data tells us one new clear information
The Solar Group Geometry Makes The Distances To Be Unified, To Create Different Rates Of Time

What does that mean?
The distance also has different rates! Yes the time has day, minute and seconds...etc
But the distance has km and thousand km and million km and .....etc
So, how to understand this conclusion?
Yes the distance has different rates but no cycle makes this rate to be shown
The time different rates have cycles in the solar group to make this rates are shown
That's why the humanity knew all time rates year, month, week, day, minute, seconds

These values are standard for the universe regardless the people knowledge
But for distance, people uses km, miles, cubes ....etc

## Solar Group Geometrical Design

The previous notice is interesting, the time periods are clear for people but distances aren't that because the universe geometry explains the time different values and rates..
Interesting idea
But what's the useful result form it?
Why the time different rates and values are seen but the distances aren't?
Because the distances are unified but the time values are specific and shown by cycles
So, the 1 million km which we used in previous data is the same
But with different rates of time..!
Now let's ask
why the solar geometry does that?!

## Because

The Energy accumulation process depends on different rates of time
By the different rates of time Earth motion can produce the Sun Energy
i.e.
what the Earth moved along complete year, the sun can uses for one day only
so we can see how the energy is accumulated
let's summarize all that in clear idea
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## 4-5 The main Idea Summary

- Based on the solar group relativistic motions, time values can be seen as distances values
- The solar geometry uses unified distances to create different values of time, which are seen by different cycles
- The time different values depend on each other to create different rates of time
- By this different rates of time the Energy can be accumulated to produce huge energy from average one.
- Based on this description, the solar planets motions produce the sun light beams
- Also by this same method the Earth produces an energy $=4 \mathrm{c}$ and sends this energy to Jupiter in form a light beam his velocity $=1.16 \mathrm{mkm} / \mathrm{sec}$, where Jupiter uses this energy in his process as we have explained in the previous paper


## For Details Please Review

The Solar Group is One Geometrical Structure
http://vixra.org/abs/1811.0523

The Time Definition

http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0523

## Solar Group Geometrical Structure

http://vixra.org/abs/1805.0081

## Appendix No. 1 The 2737 Phenomenon (The Planets alignment in December 2012)

( I called: The Egyptian Astronomic Phenomenon 2737)

## 1- Introduction

On $3^{\text {rd }}$ December 2012 aligned 3 planets (Mercury, Venus and Saturn) on the three Great Pyramids heads in Egypt, the Phenomenon repeated just once each 2737 years.

I called this phenomenon
"The 2737 Egyptian Astronomic Phenomenon"
In this paper I present the proof that the 2737 Egyptian

PLANETARY ALIGNMENT WITH THE GIZA PYRAMIDS, IT ONLY HAPPENS ONCE IN 2,737 YEARS
 Phenomenon Cycle depends on the Moon Metonic Cycle! And then in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Chapter I'll discuss how the three planets (Mercury, Venus and Saturn) depend on the Earth- moon orbit in their motions!
In this introduction I'll refer just to some interests we got by the 2737 Egyptian phenomenon.

## Some interests we got by 2737 Egyptian phenomenon:

* First we know that the Pyramids builders recognized the different astronomic cycles, and especially 2737 phenomenon, for that they built just 3 pyramids at its parallel line.
* Second, we know that the Pyramids builders knew the relative distances between the planets and built the pyramids at their rates!
* Third where the 2737 phenomenon repeated on $3^{\text {rd }}$ December 2012 for the third time, so the Number 8211 years is important number for human life or at least for the pyramids age.
* Fourth, I may claim that the Pyramids builders built the three Pyramids specifically for the 2737 Egyptian phenomenon.
* Note: The Great Pyramid Height is 5776 Egyptian inches $=$ the distance between the Sun \& Pluto (each inch = 1 million kilometer)


## $1^{\text {ST }}$ SECTION

Why the Lunar year doesn't correspond with the sidereal year at any cycle? ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$
We know that the moon turns with the Earth around the sun, so why the lunar year which equals 354.36 days (lunar Synodic period 29.53 days x 12 months) doesn't correspond to the Sidereal year that equals 365.25 days at any cycle?
We see the 32 Sidereal year a cycle $=11688$ days
But the Lunar year cycle $=33$ years $-\mathbf{5 . 8 1}$ days $=11693.88-5.8=11688$
And why there's this addition 5.81 days to prevent both periods to meet each other
We know that the plane of lunar orbit regresses 19 degrees each year that causes the eclipse to come early 19 days each year ${ }^{2}$
So that said the movement of 19 degrees causes change for 19 days
And now we see the lunar year come early 5.81 days, and the lunar plane has inclination to ecliptic equal approximately 5.14 degrees...
Can I suppose that this difference between Sidereal Cycle 11688 days and Lunar Cycle 11693.88 days which equals 5.8 days caused by the lunar plane inclination 5.14 degrees (later we will discuss How?) When we divided this inclination value 5.14 degrees (or the period 5.8 days) at 360 we find that,
The Lunar orbital inclination causes the lunar Synodic day to come early approximately 21 minutes daily..
That lead to the following results

- The correct Synodic month is 29.515
- The correct Synodic year is 354.1818 days And by such way

The lunar cycle which contain 33 Synodic year $\quad=11687.999$ days
The Sidereal Cycles which contain 32 sidereal year $=11688$ days
Where both come to end in the same day
And So the cycle of 32 sidereal years equals 33 modified Lunar Synodic years (modified lunar year $=354.1818$ days) perfectly
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## $2^{\mathrm{ND}}$ SECTION

## The Basic Lunar Year 360 Days

## Now I modified the lunar year already from 354.36 to be 354.1818 days

But there's another year related to the moon,
In this year we should added the modified year 354.1818 to the difference of days caused by the lunar orbital inclination which was 5.8 days approximately

So $5.8+354.1818=360$ days approximately
That's the ancient biblical year And I'll call it

## The Basic Lunar Year

Now we have three types of years

- The Basic Lunar Year
- The modified lunar Synodic year
- The Sidereal year

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =360 \text { days } \\
= & 354.1818 \text { days } \\
= & 365.25 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

And we'll see how the Metonic Cycle effect on each of them

## $3^{\text {RD }}$ SECTION

## The 2737 Egyptian Astronomic Phenomenon depends on Metonic Cycle

The Metonic Cycle defines as a moon Cycle continues for 19 Sidereal Orbit year which equals 6939.75 days, and Metonic Cycle made because the Lunar orbit plane regresses yearly 19 degrees that causes the eclipses to come early 19 days yearly.

## The Following Table shows How The Metonic Cycle Effect On The Different Years

| Metonic Cycle Number | Sidereal years No. (365.25 days) | Number of modified Lunar year (equals 354.1818 days) |  | The Basic lunar Year Numbers (360 days) <br> For each Metonic Cycle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lunar years in each Metonic cycle | The Lunar years <br> (354.1818days) Numbers <br> For each Metonic Cycle <br> 19 |  |
| M. Cycle 1 | 19 S. Year | 19 | 19 Lunar Y. + 210.295 days | ```19 BLY (Basic Lunar Year) + 99.75d(days)``` |
| M. Cycle 2 | 38 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \mathrm{~L} . \text { Y. }+420.59 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 39 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+\mathbf{6 6 . 4 0 8} \mathrm{d} \end{aligned}$ | 38 BLY+199.5 d |
| M. Cycle 3 | $57 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{Y}$ | 19 | $58 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+276.703 \mathrm{~d}$ | $57 \mathrm{BLY}+299.25 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| M. Cycle 4 | 76 S. Y | 20 | 77 L. Y +486.998 d = <br> 78 L. Y +132.8162 d | $\begin{aligned} & 76 \text { BLY }+399 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 77 \text { BLY+39 days } \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 5 | 95 S. Y | 19 | 97 L. Y + 343.111d | 96 BLY+138.75d |
| M. Cycle 6 | 114 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \text { L. Y +553.406d = } \\ & 117 \text { L. Y + } 199.224 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 115 BLY+ 238.5 d |
| M. Cycle 7 | 133 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 136 \text { L. Y +409.519d= } \\ & \mathbf{1 3 7} \text { L. } \mathrm{Y}+\mathbf{5 5 . 3 3 7 4 d} \end{aligned}$ | 134BLY+338.25d |
| M. Cycle 8 | 152 S. Y | 19 | 156 L. Y +265.6324d | 153 BLY+438d= $\mathbf{1 5 4}$ BLY+78days |
| M. Cycle 9 | 171 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+475.9274 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 176 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+121.745 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 173 BLY+ 17.75d |
| M. Cycle 10 | 190 S. Y | 19 | 195 L. Y+ 332.0406 d | 192 BLY+ 277.5d |
| M. Cycle 11 | 209 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 214L.Y +542.3356d= } \\ & 215 \text { L. Y +188.1538d } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 211 BLY+ } 37.25 \mathrm{~d}=212 \mathrm{BLY}+ \\ & 17.25 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 12 | 228 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \text { L. Y +398.4488d= } \\ & \text { 235 L. Y +44.267d } \end{aligned}$ | 231 BLY+117d |
| M. Cycle 13 | 247 S. Y | 19 | 254 L. Y +254.652d | 250BLY+216.75d |
| M. Cycle 14 | 266 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 273 \text { L. Y +464.857d= } \\ & 274 \text { L. Y + 110.675d } \end{aligned}$ | 269 BLY+216.5d |
| M. Cycle 15 | 285 S. Y | 19 | 293 L. Y +320.9702d | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 288 \quad \mathrm{BLY}+416.25 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & \mathrm{BLY}+56.25 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 16 | 304 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 321L.Y +531.2653d= } \\ & \text { 313 L. Y +17.0834d } \end{aligned}$ | 308 BLY+156d |
| M. Cycle 17 | 323 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 332 \text { L. Y + 387.378d= } \\ & 333 \text { L. Y + 33.1966d } \end{aligned}$ | 327 BLY+255.75d |
| M. Cycle 18 | 342 S. Y | 19 | 352L.Y+243.4916d | 346 BLY+ 355.5d |
| M. Cycle 19 | 361 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 371 \text { L. Y +453.7866d= } \\ & 372 \text { L. Y + } 99.60486 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 365 \text { BLY+455.25d= } \\ & 366 \text { BLY+ } 95.25 \mathrm{~d} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 20 | 380 S. Y | 19 | 391 L. Y + 309.8992d | 385 BLY+195d |
| M. Cycle 21 | 399 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 410 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+520.1948 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 411 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+166.013 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 404 BLY+294.75 d |
| M. Cycle 22 | 418 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 430 \text { L. Y + 376.308d } \\ & \text { 431 L. Y +22.12626d } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 423 \text { BLY+394.5d= } \\ & 424 \text { BLY+ } 34.25 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 23 | 437 S. Y | 19 | 450 L. Y + 232.4212d | 443 BLY+ 134.25d |
| M. Cycle 24 | 456 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 469 \text { L. Y + } 442.7162 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 470 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+88.5344 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 462 BLY+234d |
| M. Cycle 25 | 475 S. Y | 19 | 489 L. Y +298.829d | 481 BLY+333.75d |
| M. Cycle 26 | 494 S. | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \text { L. Y + } 509.1244 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 509 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+154.9426 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \text { BLY+433.5d= } \\ & 501 \text { BLY+ } 73.5 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 27 | 513 S. Y | 20 | 528 L. Y +365.2376d= | 520 BLY+173.25d |
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|  |  |  | 529 L. Y +11.0558d |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. Cycle 28 | 532 S. Y | 19 | 548 L. Y +221.3508d | 539 BLY+273d |
| M. Cycle 29 | 551 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 567 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+431.6458 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 568 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+77.464 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 558 \text { BLY+ } 372.75 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 559 \mathrm{BLY}+12.5 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ |
| M. Cycle 30 | 570 S. Y | 19 | 587 L. Y + 287.759 d | 578 BLY+ 112.5d |
| M. Cycle 31 | 589 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 606 \text { L. Y + 498.054d= } \\ & 607 \text { L. Y + 143.8722d } \end{aligned}$ | 597 BLY+ 212.25d |
| M. Cycle 32 | 608 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 626 \text { L. Y + 354.1872=627 L. } \\ & Y \end{aligned}$ | 616 BLY+ 312d |
| M. Cycle 33 | 627 S. Y | 19 | 646 L. Y + 210.295 | $\begin{array}{lccc} \hline 635 & \text { BLY+ } & 441.75 \mathrm{~d} & = \\ 636 \mathrm{BLY}+51.75 \mathrm{~d} & & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| M. Cycle 34 | 646 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 665 \mathrm{~L} \cdot \mathrm{Y}+420.5754 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & \mathbf{6 6 6} \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{Y}+\mathbf{6 6 . 3 9 3 6 d} \end{aligned}$ | 655 BLY+151.5d |
| M. Cycle 35 | 665 S. Y | 19 | 685 L. Y + 276.6886 d | 674 BLY+ 251.25 d |
| M. Cycle 36 | 684 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 704 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+486.9836 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 705 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}++132.801 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 693 BLY+351 d |
| M. Cycle 37 | 703 S. Y | 19 | 724 L. Y + 343.096d | $\begin{array}{lrr} \hline 712 & \text { BLY+ } & 450.75 \mathrm{~d} \\ =713 \mathrm{BLY}+90.75 \mathrm{~d} \end{array}$ |
| M. Cycle 38 | 722 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 743 \text { L. Y + 553.391d= } \\ & 744 \text { L. Y + } 199.2092 \mathrm{~d} \end{aligned}$ | 732 BLY+190.5d |
| M. Cycle 39 | 741 S. Y | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 763 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+409.5042 \mathrm{~d}= \\ & 764 \mathrm{~L} . \mathrm{Y}+\mathbf{5 5 . 3 2 2 4 d} \end{aligned}$ | 751BLY+289.5d |
| M. Cycle 40 | 760 S. Y | 19 | 783 L.Y + 265.6174d= | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 770BLY+389d= } \\ & 771 \text { BLY +29 days } \end{aligned}$ |
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## The Previous Table Study classified into 2 parts

First Part : The study of the column of the modified lunar year (which equals 354.1818days)

1- Each 32 Metonic Cycles the last day of modified lunar year (equals 354.1818 days) corresponds with the last day of Metonic cycle which is the Sidereal Year last day where the lunar modified years equal 627 lunar years that equals 608 sidereal years perfectly.
2- The difference days between the Modified lunar year with the Metonic Cycle moves in Cycle and decreases as following (66.4-55.33-44.26-33.19-22.126-11.0558 0.00 days) at 98 years interval ( 98 modified Lunar years - which equals 354.1818 days)
3- The modified Lunar years number for each Metonic Cycle shown in the table, and I found the following Modified Lunar Year order '19-20-19-20-19-20" repeats once with each Cycle consist of 627 modified Lunar year
a. That means with the last day of the Metonic Cycle No. 32 which equals 608 Sidereal Years but equals 627 Modified lunar year. after this Cycle ends, and starts the new one the modified lunar year order 19-20-19-20-19-20 will repeat once again as a mark for the new Cycle starting (this order in table marks by Gray color)
b. We can call the Metonic Cycle no. 32 a Cycle for the modified Lunar year with the Metonic Cycle (this Cycle in Table remarked by Yellow)

## Second Part: The Study Of The column of the Basic Lunar Year ( equals = 360 Days)

1- We see in the table the day common fractions spread through the cycles but these fractions unify to be one day each $4^{\text {th }}$ Metonic Cycle which equals 76 Sidereal years (that similar to the Sidereal Year, 3 years equals 365 days and the $4^{\text {th }}$ year is 366 days).....
2- but after $4^{\text {th }}$ Metonic Cycle the last day of Basic Lunar year (which equals 360 days) doesn't correspond the last day of the Metonic Cycle because there are 39 days addition to the Basic Lunar years (means 76 Sidereal years $=77$ Basic Lunar Years +39 days). And with the following cycles this number increases regularly by addition 39 days each 76 Sidereal years. (so after more 76 years we finds at the $8^{\text {th }}$ Metonic Cycle 154 Basic Lunar Year +78 days.... And that continues)
3- But at Metonic Cycle No. $40^{\text {th }}$ which equals 760 Sidereal Years we find this number equals 771 Basic Lunar years $\mathbf{+} \mathbf{2 9}$ days, and that means the rest days decreased
from 39 days to be 29 days during period ( 760 Sidereal Years - $\mathbf{7 6}$ Sidereal years $=\mathbf{6 8 4}$ years) and this is the difference between the Metonic Cycle No. 4 and Metonic Cycle No. 40... and all that says... EACH 684 SIDEREAL YEARS, THE REST DAYS DECREASE 10 DAYS

4- Now we know that 39 days prevented the basic lunar year to end in the same day with the $4^{\text {th }}$ Metonic Cycle, and we know this period 39 days decreases by 10 days each 684 sidereal years, and that means we need four Cycles which will decrease the 39 days to be ( -1 day) which is the most near to the metonic Cycle.
5- Now we need 4 Cycles of the period 684 years where These 4 Cycles Equals 2736 Sidereal Years
6- And based on that the 2736 Phenomenon was a Metonic Cycle and this period was needed to Correspond the Basic Lunar year with the last day of Metonic Cycle (the Basic Lunar Year equals 360 days - the bible year which no one considers in modern life)

7- Now we saw 39 days which found in Metonic Cycle No. 4, have decreased as (10/10/10/9) at interval 684 Sidereal years for each 10 days, by that we found the 2737 Egyptian phenomenon for which we researched,
8- But still there are two questions, first about the number because we got the Cycle of 2736 Sidereal Years and not 2737 Sidereal Years, and the second question about the last day we need because 39 equals (10/10/10/9) and the decreasing EACH 684 years equals $\mathbf{1 0}$ days and that means the last cycle will have -1 day

We still have 2 question to answer respectively

## The First Question

says we got relation to the Cycle 2736 Sidereal years and not to 2737 Sidereal years phenomenon?
And how 2736 Cycle related to 2737 Cycle?
the answer
As we know Metonic Cycle is 6939.75 days
We know Saros Cycle is 6585.35 days
And the difference between both is 354.2 days
Which equals a modified lunar year approximately..
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While Metonic Cycle connected to Saros Cycle completely because the Metonic Cycle is The lunar Orbit Cycle and Saroc Cycle is The Eclipse Cycles that made by the Moon itself.. all that say to us, there's great connection between both Cycles..

Because there's a complete year difference between them (regardless the explanation of How that occur!)

And we see this Cycle 2736 Sidereal years needs a complete sidereal year to be our phenomenon 2737 ...

So Both connected with Great Relation
While the first one depends on the Metonic Cycle that means the second also does.

## The Second question about the minus day

39 days we found and the decreasing is 10 days each 684 Sidereal years means after 4 Cycles (2736 Sidereal years) the number 39 will decrease to be -1 day.. means the Metonic Cycle will finish after the last Basic lunar year day with one complete day..

So each 2736 Sidereal years we have -1 day
So this Cycle will continues to be $10(-10)$ days and to consume in one Cycle 3736 Sideral Years Where 27360 Sidereal years $=1440$ Metonic Cycles (which we may call astronomy full day where the solar day equals 1440 Minutes).

## A comment on

## The Egyptian Astronomic Phenomenon 2737

I'm very surprised that there's no any study dealt "the 2737 Egyptian astronomic phenomenon"!
I see that not logical because the phenomenon tells us there were other people understood perfectly the universe astronomy and they gave us a great gift by building the great three pyramids as a references to such phenomenon, so why the modern astronomy doesn't try to compare our knowledge with theirs through encourage the studies and reports about this 2737 Egyptian astronomic phenomenon.. actually it's illogical to neglect such very great gift we didn't work for, but even got it without any payments or efforts, and its data are available to us to compare our knowledge with ancient knowledge and correct ourselves if it's necessary!!

## Appendix No. 2 References

## I- Sites for 2737 Egyptian astronomic phenomenon

http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/badastronomy/2012/08/20/planetary-alignment-pyramidscheme/\#.VMjredKUeE0
http://blog.world-mysteries.com/science/2012-and-the-pyramids-at-giza/
http://beforeitsnews.com/alternative/2012/08/december-3rd-2012-planets-align-with-giza-pyramids-for-the-1st-time-in-2737-years-2454374.html
http://mysteriesunsolvedstory.blogspot.ru/2012/02/2012-and-pyramids-at-giza.html
http://beforeitsnews.com/alternative/2012/08/december-3rd-2012-planets-align-with-giza-pyramids-for-the-1st-time-in-2737-years-2454374.html
http://alamongordo.com/apocalypse-armageddon/3-planets-balanced-giza-pyramids-december-32012/
http://galacticconnection.com/mercury-saturn-and-venus-aligned-on-dec-3-2012-with-the-pyramids-at-giza
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-y_OAdAAdes
http://galacticconnection.com/mercury-saturn-and-venus-aligned-on-dec-3-2012-with-the-
pyramids-at-giza/
http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/badastronomy/2012/08/20/planetary-alignment-pyramidscheme/
http://astroblogger.blogspot.com.au/2012/08/no-there-will-not-be-planets-aligned.html
http://www.ronpaulforums.com/showthread.php?397336-Planets-Aligned-with-Pyramids-of-Giza-1st-Time-in-2-737-Years-12-3-2012
http://www.stuffwecomeupwit.com/planetary-alignment-that-will-take-place-dec-3-2012-on-top-ofpyramids/
http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message2067960/pg1
http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message2068942/pg1
$\underline{\text { http://blogs.nature.com/houseofwisdom/2012/08/planets-alignment-on-pyramids-image-is-not-very- }}$ accurate.html
http://2012thebigpicture.wordpress.com/2012/12/01/significance-of-the-giza-pyramid-planetary-alignment-on-december-3rd-2012/
http://www.educatinghumanity.com/2012/12/Planetary-Alignment-Dec-3-2012-Pyramids-TruthPhotos.html
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ - the day defines here approximately 86164 seconds where the sidereal year is 365.25 days.
    ${ }^{2}$ - Total Solar Eclipses and how to Observe them- Martin Mobberley- page. 11

