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Abstract

I provide for a coherent theory of vacuum quantum gravity.

1 Introduction

A prototype theory of quantum gravity is developed based upon my previous work on covariant quantum mechanics in [1]. The old problem of the diffeomorphism and Hamiltonian constraints are solved in a fairly unique way providing for a complete background independent propagator. Comments towards further work are provided for.

2 Quantum gravity; old fashioned metric formulation.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a compact $n + 1$ dimensional manifold with initial and final boundaries $\Sigma_1$ and $\Sigma_2$ which we shall assume to be spacelike regarding any further metric specification. Regarding non-compact manifolds, we compact consider sub-cobordisms with a boundary part which is timelike and normal initial data are kept fixed as well during variation so that fluctuating initial conditions $(h, \pi)$ provide for a unique solution to the Einstein equations. Consider a metric $g_{\alpha'\beta'}(x, y)$ where the primed indices refer to the $y$-coordinate and unprimed ones to the $x$-coordinate. Actually, $g$ is a bitensor on the product manifold $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$ with the ordinary product differentiable structure at least what concerns the second factor; mathematically, it belongs to $\mathcal{M} \times T_2\mathcal{M}_{\text{sym}}$. The data on $\Sigma_i$ are specified by a vierbein $E_a$ and a vacuum solution $g_{\alpha'\beta'}(x, y)$ for any $x \in \Sigma_1$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}$ with initial data $h_{\alpha'\beta'}(x, y) = h_{\alpha'\beta'}(y) = \sum_i E_{i\alpha'}(y) E_{i\beta'}(y)$ where $E_i \in T^1\Sigma$. Now, every $h \in T^2\Sigma$ defines a Fourier transform in $L^2(\Sigma, h)$:

$$\pi_h(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} dk \hat{\pi}_{\Sigma, h}(k) \psi_{\Sigma, h}(x, y, k)$$

where $\psi_{\alpha, h}(x, y, k)$ has been defined in my previous book on covariant quantum mechanics. We shall henceforth assume this Fourier transform to define a diffeomorphism modulo a certain ambiguity on the function space.
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\[ L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d^n k, x, h) \] which is modelled by linear operators \( \Psi_{a,b} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d^n k, x, h) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d^n k, x, h) \) which are not necessary unitary with respect to the standard inner product \( \langle \pi | \psi \rangle_{x,h} = \int d^n k \bar{\psi}_{x,h}(k) \overline{\psi}_{x,h}(k) \) where some representatives \( \psi_{x,h}, \overline{\psi}_{x,h} \) have been chosen. Notice that these expressions are not necessarily the same as \( \int d^n y \pi(y) \psi(y) \) and are moreover heavily \( x \) dependent. Choose any minimal subspace \( Z_{a,b} \) of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, d^n k, x, h) \) containing one representative only and which glue nicely together to a Hilbert bundle on \( \Sigma \) modelled on \( T^1 \Sigma \). Likewise, it is possible to consider the space of initial data \( \pi_{\alpha', \beta'}(y) \) on \( T^2 \Sigma \) and define a Fourier transform defined by

\[ \overline{\psi}_{ab,x,h}(k) \]

where \( a, b \) are Euclidean indices in \( x \) and the latter is a function in \( Z_{a,b} \).

For more details, see a previous publication on the Fourier transform. Point is that the Hamiltonian constraint \( H(h, \pi) = 0 \) together with the diffeomorphism constraints must be rewritten in \( \otimes_{n(N+1)} Z_{x,h} \) which defines an infinite dimensional Riemannian submanifold \( \overline{C}_{h,x} (\pi) \) in the induced metric. A canonical limiting measure may now be defined on this infinite dimensional Riemannian manifold by putting \( k \) space in finite balls of radius \( L \) and taking periodic boundary conditions in the radius as well as modes with integer factor \( m \) bounded by \( |m| \leq N \), so that the measure spaces \( Z_{x,h}(L, N) \) are finite dimensional. The natural thermodynamic limit is \( N \rightarrow \infty \) followed by \( L \rightarrow \infty \) in every \( x \in \Sigma \). A natural inner product space and measure are therefore defined by means of these truncations and a scalar product between different \( (h, \pi) \) data in \( \otimes_{n(N+1)} Z_{x,h} \) is given by the linear extension in the \( \pi \) factor of

\[ \langle (h_1, \pi_1)(h_2, \pi_2) \rangle = \int_{\Sigma} d^n x (h_1(x) h_2(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \int d^n k \overline{\pi}_{x,h_1}(k) \overline{\pi}_{x,h_2}(k) \]

and likewise so for the Riemannian metrics themselves. This scalar product has no suitable additive properties with respect to the spatial metric. The respective scalar product has a differentiable action of \( \text{Diff}(\Sigma) \) by means of unitary operators and therefore the identity component of the spatial diffeomorphism group is generated by unitary operators.

This brings us back to the idea that a spacetime in the state \( |h \rangle \) represents the birth of an entire universe and that the appropriate integration over different metrics is done by means of this “background” metric. This intertwining between dynamics and kinematics was present already in my covariant quantum theory published hereafter and it canonically defines spacetime particles and the appropriate propagators associated to the birth of a spatial universe in contrast to the standard quantum theory on Minkowski where the maximal symmetry makes this association canonical. Specifically, denote by the metric \( h \) on the boundary \( \Sigma \) the birth metric of a spatial universe; then a wave function \( \Phi \) in \( h' \) satisfying \( Z_0(\pi, h') = 0 \) can be fully developed with respect to \( h \). That is,

\[ h'_{\alpha', \beta'} = \Gamma_{\alpha'}^\alpha(x,y) \Gamma_{\beta'}^\beta(x,y) \int d^n k \hat{h}_{ab,x,h}(k) \psi(x,y,k,x,h) \]

assuming that \( x, y \) are connected by exactly one \( h \) geodesic and \( \Gamma_{\alpha'}^\alpha(x,y) \) is the propagator along that geodesic. A more complicated but equally adequate formula exists when multiple \( h \) geodesics connect \( x \) with \( y \). The diffeomorphism constraints on \( h' \) are most easily expressed in terms of integral equations of the Fourier components \( \hat{h}_{ab,x,h} \in Z_{x,h} \). Hence, \( \Phi(h') \)
must be decomposed with respect to the induced metric on the $h'$ sub-
manifold $D(h, h', x)$ in $\otimes_{\pi(x)} Z_{x,h}$ of momenta satisfying the constraints
$Z_i(h, \pi) = Z(h', \pi) = 0$. The usual $L, N$ filtration gives then a sequence of
measures $d\mu_{L,N}$ and Fourier transforms defined by the canonical flat
metric on tangent space such that
$$
\Phi_{h,x}(h') = \lim_{L,N \to \infty} \int d\pi_{ij,x,h,h'} \Phi_{h,x,h,L,N} \psi(h, h', x, \pi_{ij,x,h,h'})
$$
where $\pi_{ij,x,h,h'}$ are the cotangent bundle coordinates in a orthogonal basis
of $T_{h,x}D(h,x)(L,N)$ and $\psi$ denotes the usual Fourier wave. The Fourier
decompositions differ when varying the point $x$ but crucially depend upon $h$ and one canonically defines a diffeomorphism invariant scalar product
$$
\langle \Psi_h | \Phi_h \rangle = \lim_{L,N \to \infty} \int d^n x \sqrt{h(x)} \int d\pi_{ij,x,h,h'} \Phi_{h,x,h,L,N} \psi(h, h', x, \pi_{ij,x,h,h'})
$$
for wavefunctions regarding a universe born at $(\Sigma, h)$. Given $(h, \pi)$ one solves for the vacuum Einstein equations of motion
$$
R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
with any acceptable lapse and shift vector so that all variables remain well
defined; for example, the Gaussian gauge could break down before $\Sigma_2$ is reached. Now, we wish to study the evolution of $\Psi_h(h')$ in “time”. Given a point $y$ in $(M, g(h, \pi, N_\mu))$ to the future of $\Sigma_1$, denote by $P(y, g)$ the subset of pairs $(x, v)$ $\in \Sigma \times TM|_{\Sigma}$ such that $exp_\pi(v) = y$. The spacetime
Gaussian $\psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', y)$ is given as
$$
\psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', y) = \int_{P(y, g(h, \pi, N_\mu))} d^n x \sqrt{\hat{h}(x)} \psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', x, v)
$$
where $\hat{h}$ is the metric induced on $P(y, g)$ by means of the product metric
$h \otimes \delta$ on $\Sigma \times T_\Sigma$. The functions $\psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', x, v)$ are determined by
means of the standard Schroedinger equation
$$
d \psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', x, v; s) = i \frac{\pi_{\mu\nu,x}(\gamma_{x,v}(s)) g^{\mu\nu}(\gamma_{x,v}(s)) \gamma_{\nu,v}(s) \pi_{\kappa\lambda,x}(\gamma_{x,v}(s)) \gamma_{\lambda,v}(s)}{\sqrt{\gamma_{x,v}(s)}} \psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', x, v; s)
$$
with conditions $\gamma_{x,v}(0) = x, \gamma_{x,v}(0) = y$ and the tensors $\pi, h$ on $\Sigma$ have canonical extensions towards $M$. The tensors $\pi_{\mu\nu,x}(\gamma_{x,v}(s))$ are covariantly constant along the $g$-geodesic and their value at the endpoint may vary from starting point to starting point $x$. The Hamiltonian constraint
is as such preserved along any geodesic and is entirely foliation independent. Keeping $N^\mu$ fixed, we therefore can define a propagator
$$
D(h, N_\mu, h'; x, y) = \lim_{L,N \to \infty} \int d^n z \sqrt{\hat{h}(z)} \int_{\Sigma_{h,h',L,N}} d\pi_{ij,x,h,L,N} \delta(H(h, \pi)) \delta(H(h', \pi)) \psi(h, \pi, N_\mu; h', x, y)
$$
which is nothing but the adequate substitute for the graviton propagator
on the background $(h, \pi)$ in a first quantized theory. Alas, as we shall
soon see, this object is not of much use. A second quantized theory
would demand a four index bitensor propagator with two indices in every
reference point each.

The evolution therefore is
\[
\Phi_{h,\Sigma_1}(\mathcal{H}, N_\mu, \Sigma_2) = \lim_{L,N \to \infty} \int_{\Sigma_2} d^n y \sqrt{\mathcal{H}(y)} \int_{\Sigma_1} d^n x \sqrt{h(x)} 
\int_{C_{x,h}(L,N)} d\pi_{ij} x,h,L,N 
\int_{K(h,\pi,N_\mu,\Sigma_1;x,y,\Sigma_2)} d\mu_{h,\pi,N_\mu,\Sigma_1,x} \delta(Z_i(\pi)\delta(H(h,\pi))\psi(h,\pi,N_\mu;h',x,y))
\]
where \( K(h,\pi,N_\mu,\Sigma_1;x,\mathcal{H},y,\Sigma_2) \) is the Hilbert manifold of Riemannian metrics \( h' \) on \( \Sigma_1 \) canonically metricized and equipped with the limiting volume form by means of \( T\Sigma_{1,x} \) such that the projection of
\[
\int_{P(y,g(h,\pi,N_\mu))} d^p z \sqrt{\tilde{h}(z)g'_{\mu\nu}(y)}
\]
on \( \Sigma_2 \) equals \( \mathcal{T}_{\alpha\beta}(y) \). Clearly this expression is divergent and further regularization is needed.

## 3 Final Remarks.

Extensions of the latter theory including second quantized matter appear obvious; further exposition of this paper is material for an extended version.
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