1

# The fundamental significance of time in quantum relativity

2

# Marc Meurens

As measured in the Lunar Laser Ranging experiment, the intra-temporal decrease of the gravitation
 constant G reveals the quantum relativity according to which the time unit represents the local
 density of quantum energy causing the expansion as well as the gravitation of the universe.

6

# 7 Time effect

The measurement of the Earth-Moon distance by the Lunar Laser Ranging (LLR) experiment is today the best test to verify the equivalence principle and the gravitation constancy<sup>1</sup>. By its result, that the average distance increases 3.8 cm per year, the test indicates that the fractional decrease of the gravitation constant G of Newton is equal to ~10<sup>-13</sup> part per year. The universe age ~10<sup>13</sup> years calculated from this decrease is about thousand times older than the universe age ~10<sup>10</sup> years calculated from the expansion constant of Hubble<sup>2</sup>. The time discordance between both universe ages is the reason of the present study of the time effect on the gravitation constant.

15

### 16 Intra-temporal relativity

17 This study is focused on the deciphering of the time effect in two formulae of the gravitation constant G. The first formula,  $G' = \text{length}^3 / (\text{time}^2 \text{.mass})$  or  $G' = I^3 / (t^2 \text{.m})$ , is the dimensional formula 18 due to Newton. The second formula,  $G'' = \overline{\mathbf{h}} \cdot c / m_P^2$ , comes from the formula of the Planck mass  $m_P =$ 19  $(\bar{\mathbf{h}} \cdot \mathbf{c} / \mathbf{G})^{\mathbb{X}}$ , in which  $\bar{\mathbf{h}} = \mathbf{h} / 2\pi$  is the reduced Planck constant and c is the speed of light in vacuum. 20 The values of  $m_P$  and G", that are not fundamentally significant, will be replaced further by other 21 22 values and the fundamental significance of these other values will be explained. The formulae of 23 Newton and Planck are differing by the meaning of their time expression, which is the duration t in the Newton formula and which is the time unit s in the Planck formula. Another difference, to note 24 25 between both formulae, is that t is in the denominator of the Newton formula and that s is in the 26 numerator of the Planck formula. Consequently, G decreases when s decreases in the Planck formula 27 and, G decreases when t increases in the Newton formula. So in regards of a similar change of G in both formulae, s decreases while t increases. This inverse relation between t and s is considered as 28 29 an internal relativity of time named intra-temporal relativity. As we will see it, the combination of the

- 31
- intra-temporal relativity with the general relativity and the quantum mechanics allows to unify these
  two theories in a new theory for which the name of quantum relativity is proposed.
- 34

## 35 Quantum relativity

The starting claim of the quantum relativity is that there is a gravitational mass in photons that are 36 deviated by gravitation. From this claim, the Planck constant is formulated as  $h = \bigoplus .c^2.s$  and, the 37 fundamental quantum mass 1 is calculated as h / (c<sup>2</sup>.s) = 10<sup>-51</sup> kg. If we compare m<sub>P</sub> = ~10<sup>-8</sup> kg with 38 1 = ~10<sup>-51</sup> kg, we observe that m<sub>P</sub> is ~10<sup>42</sup> times heavier than 1. It is interesting to remark that the 39 ratio ~10<sup>42</sup> between  $m_P$  and u is approximately equal to the ratio ~10<sup>42</sup> between the 40 electromagnetic and the gravitational forces. This ratio similarity indicates that during the evolution 41 of the universe, when  $G' = \sim 10^{+32} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kg}^{-1}$  was  $\sim 10^{42}$  times stronger than  $G' = \sim 10^{-10} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ , the 42 gravitational and electromagnetic forces existed at the same strength level. Moreover, if we develop 43  $\overline{\mathbf{h}}$  .c into  $(\mathbb{L})$ .c<sup>3</sup>.s and, if we replace  $m_P$  by  $(\mathbb{L})$  in the formula  $G'' = \overline{\mathbf{h}}$  .c /  $m_p^2$ , this one becomes X' = c<sup>3</sup>.s 44 / (!!) = ~10<sup>75</sup> m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup>.kg<sup>-1</sup>. In that case, how to explain why the universe age, calculated as t from the 45 decrease of X'' =  $(l^3 / (t^2. \oplus))$ , is not older than ~10<sup>21</sup> seconds whereas the universe age, calculated as 46 1 / s in X' =  $c^3$ .s /  $(10^{-10} \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-2} \text{ kg}^{-1})$ , is ~10<sup>85</sup> seconds? The explanation is that, during the first 47 phase of the universe evolution corresponding to the cosmic inflation, before the genesis of matter, 48 the time unit value s decreased from 1 to  $10^{-42}$  while X' decreased from  $\sim 10^{75}$  m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup>.kg<sup>-1</sup> to  $\sim 10^{32}$  m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup> 49  $^{2}$  kg<sup>-1</sup> and, during the second phase of the universe evolution corresponding to the gravitation age, 50 the time unit value s decreased from  $10^{-42}$  to  $10^{-85}$  while X' and X'' decreased from ~ $10^{32}$ m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup>.kg<sup>-1</sup> to 51  $\sim 10^{-10}$  m<sup>3</sup>.s<sup>-2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>, while the gravitation duration t increased from 1 to  $\sim 10^{21}$  seconds. In consequence, 52 the total age of the universe can be split in an expansion age or duration  $1/s = ~10^{42}$  seconds and a 53 gravitation age or duration t =  $(1/s)^{1/2}$  = ~10<sup>21</sup> seconds. According to this distinction, X' = c<sup>3</sup>.s / 1 can 54 be named quantum expansion factor and,  $X'' = I^3 / (t^2. \textcircled{u})$  can be named quantum gravitation factor. 55 It is important to note that the quantum expansion factor  $X' = c^3 \cdot s / \oplus$  becomes equivalent to the 56 quantum gravitation factor  $X'' = I^3 / (t^2. \textcircled{u})$ , if the numerical value of  $I^3$  remains constantly equal to 57 the value of  $c^3$ .s /  $t^{2}$  = ~10<sup>-18</sup> m<sup>3</sup> with s fixed at the value ~10<sup>-42</sup> and t fixed at the value 1. The 58 equivalence between both factors, due to the constancy of I<sup>3</sup>, indicates that the same quantum 59 energy is causing the expansion and the gravitation of the universe. 60

- 61
- 62 63

64

#### 65 Significance of time

66 However, to completely understand completely the significance of the physical time, it remains to 67 answer the question of what is the meaning of the time expressions s and t. According to the 68 quantum relativity, the answer is illustrated by the mechanical model of quantum interaction 69 showing below a collision between two fundamental quanta represented by (11).

70

71 When two fundamental quanta collide at the speed c and exchange all their energy, so that they go 72 away into outside still at the constant speed c, the exchange of their energy produces a space 73 increase in the inverse proportion of the time unit decrease corresponding to the decrease of local 74 energy density in the quantum vacuum. At the first instant of the universe, when the time unit s was 75 1, the local energy density in the quantum vacuum and the quantum YIELD (Yield of Interactive 76 Exchange Locally Determined) were equal to 100% or to the numerical value 1. At the following 77 instants, the time unit, like the energy density in the quantum vacuum and like the quantum YIELD, 78 decreased in inverse proportion of the space unit I so that  $I \cdot s = c$ . Therefore, the total universe age, 79 which is the duration of quantum interaction between the first instant and now, has (in seconds) the inverse numerical value of the actual time unit s = $^{10^{-85}}$ . On the other hand, the material universe 80 age, which is the gravitation age or the gravitation duration between the genesis of matter and now, 81 is the universe age t = $^{10^{21}}$  seconds calculated from the LLR experiment. So the time unit s and the 82 83 duration t are the mathematical expressions that, under the form of pure numbers, represent the 84 physical reality of the local quantum energy causing the expansion as well as the gravitation of the 85 universe.

- 86
- 87
- 88
- 89
- 90
- 91

#### 93 Coherence in physics

Moreover, according to the intra-temporal and quantum relativity, the fundamental significance of the time expressions s and t can explain that the dark matter and the dark energy are illusions. Indeed, as the gravitation constant G of Newton varies in function of time, the concept of dark matter appears now as a mass overestimation due to a too weak gravitation constant used in the mass calculation of stars and galaxies. Indeed likewise, the concept of dark energy is contrary to the claim of the quantum relativity that the same quantum energy is causing the expansion and the gravitation of the universe. The fundamental significance of s and t could also help to solve other great problems of physics<sup>3</sup>, such as notably the comprehension of the quantum mechanics. Finally, the spectral signature of time, detected by the LLR experiment, looks like the key for more coherence in physics4. 

3. Smolin, L. (ed.) *The Trouble with Physics* (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2007).

1. Murphy, T. Rep. Prog. Phys. 76, 076901 (2013).

2. Planck Collaboration arXiv:1303.5062 [astro-ph.CO] (2013).

4. Smolin, L. (ed.) *Time Reborn* (Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2013).

107	
108	
109	
110	