A LINEAR COMBINATION WITH SMARANDACHE FUNCTION TO OBTAIN THE IDENTITY[1]

by

M. Andrei, I. Bălăcenoiu, C.Dumitrescu, E. Rădescu, N. Rădescu, V.Seleacu

In this paper we consider a numerical function $i_p : N^* \to N$ (p is an arbitrary prime number) associated with a particular Smarandache Function $S_p : N^* \to N$ such that $(1/p)S_p(a) + i_p(a) = a$.

1. INTRODUCTION. In [7] is defined a numerical function $S: N^* \to N$, $S(n)$ is the smallest integer such that $S(n)!$ is divisible by n. This function may be extended to all integers by defining $S(-n) = S(n)$.

If a and b are relatively prime then $S(a \cdot b) = \max\{S(a), S(b)\}$, and if $[a, b]$ is the last common multiple of a and b then $S([a \cdot b]) = \max\{S(a), S(b)\}$.

Suppose that $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_r^{a_r}$ is the factorization of n into primes. In this case,

$$S(n) = \max\{S(p_i^{a_i} | i = 1, \dots, r\} \tag{1}$$

Let $a_n(p) = (p^n - 1)/(p-1)$ and $[p]$ be the generalized numerical scale generated by $(a_n(p))_{n \in N}$:

$$[p]: a_1(p), a_2(p), \dots, a_n(p), \dots$$

By (p) we shall note the standard scale induced by the net $b_n(p) = p^n$:

$$(p): 1, p, p^2, p^3, \dots, p^n, \dots$$

In [2] it is proved that

$$S(p^a) = p\left(a_{[p]}\right)_{[p]} \tag{2}$$

That is the value of $S(p^a)$ is obtained multiplying by p the number obtained writing the exponent a in the generalized scale [p] and "reading" it in the standard scale (p).

Let us observe that the calculus in the generalized scale [p] is different from the calculus in the standard scale (p), because

$$a_{n+1}(p) = pa_n(p) + 1 \text{ and } b_{n+1}(p) = pb_n(p) \tag{3}$$

We have also

$$a_m(p) \le a \Leftrightarrow (p^m - 1)/(p-1) \le a \Leftrightarrow p^m \le (p-1) \cdot a + 1 \Leftrightarrow m \le \log_p((p-1) \cdot a + 1)$$

so if

$$a_{[p]} = v_t a_t(p) + v_{t-1} a_{t-1}(p) + \dots + v_1 a_1(p) = \overline{v_t v_{t-1} \dots v_1}_{[p]}$$

is the expression of a in the scale [p] then t is the integer part of $\log_p((p-1) \cdot a + 1)$

$$t = \left[\log_p((p-1) \cdot a + 1)\right]$$

and the digit v_t is obtained from $a = v_t a_t(p) + r_{t-1}$.

In [1] it is proved that

[1] This paper has been presented at 26th Annual Iranian Math. Conference 28-31 March 1995 and is published in the Proceedings of Conference (437-439).

$$S(p^a)=(p-1)\cdot a+\sigma_{[p]}(a) \tag{4}$$

where $\sigma_{[p]}(a)=v_1+v_2+...+v_\upsilon$.

A Legendre formula asert that

$$a!=\prod_{\substack{p_i\le a\\ p_i\ \text{prim}}} p_i^{E_{p_i}(a)}$$

where $E_p(a)=\sum_{j\ge1}\left[\frac{a}{p^j}\right]$.

We have also that ([5])

$$E_p(a)=\frac{\left(a-\sigma_{[p]}(a)\right)}{p-1} \tag{5}$$

and ([1]) $E_p(a)=\left(\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]_{(p)}\right)_{[p]}$.

In [1] is given also the following relation between the function E_p and the Smarandache function

$$S(p^a)=\frac{(p-1)^2}{p}\left(E_p(a)+a\right)+\frac{p-1}{p}\sigma_{[p]}(a)+\sigma_{[p]}(a)$$

There exist a great number of problems concerning the Smarandache function. We present some of these problem.

P. Gronas find ([3]) the solution of the diophantine equation $F_S(n)=n$, where $F_S(n)=\sum_{d|n}S(d)$. The solution are n=9, n=16 or n=24, or n=2p, where p is a prime number.

T. Yau ([8]) find the triplets which verifies the Fibonacci relationship

$$S(n)=S(n+1)+S(n+2).$$

Checking the first 1200 numbers, he find just two triplets which verifies this relationship: (9,10,11) and (119,120,121). He can't find theoretical proof.

The following conjecture that: "the equation $S(x)=S(x+1)$, has no solution", was not completely solved until now.

2. The Function $i_p(a)$. In this section we shall note $S(p^a)=S_p(a)$. From the Legendre formula it results ([4]) that

$$S_p(a)=p\left(a-i_p(a)\right) \text{ with } 0\le i_p(a)\le\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]. \tag{6}$$

That is we have

$$\frac{1}{p}S_p(a)+i_p(a)=a \tag{7}$$

and so for each function S_p there exists a function i_p such that we have the linear combination (7) to obtain the identity.

In the following we keep out some formulae for the calculus of i_p. We shall obtain a duality relation between i_p and E_p.

Let $a_{(p)}=\overline{u_k u_{k-1}.....u_1 u_0}=u_k p^k+u_{k-1}p^{k-1}+.....+u_1 p+u_0$.

Then

$$a=(p-1)\left(u_k\frac{p^k-1}{p-1}+u_{k-1}\frac{p^{k-1}-1}{p-1}+....+u_1\frac{p-1}{p-1}\right)+(u_k+u_{k-1}+...+u_1)+u_0=$$

$$(p-1)\left(\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]_{(p)}\right)_{[p]}+\sigma_{(p)}(a)=(p-1)E_{p(a)}+\sigma_{(p)}(a) \qquad (8)$$

From (4) it results

$$a=\frac{S_p(a)-\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p-1} \qquad (9)$$

From (8) and (9) we deduce

$$(p-1)E_p(a)+\sigma_{(p)}(a)=\frac{S_p(a)-\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p-1}.$$

So,

$$S_p(a)=(p-1)^2E_p(a)+(p-1)\sigma_{(p)}(a)+\sigma_{[p]}(a) \qquad (10)$$

From (4) and (7) it results

$$i_p(a)=\frac{a-\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p} \qquad (11)$$

and it is easy to observe a complementary with the equality (5).
Combining (5) and (11) it results

$$i_p(a)=\frac{(p-1)E_p(a)+\sigma_{(p)}(a)-\sigma_{[p]}}{p} \qquad (12)$$

From

$$a=\overline{\upsilon_t\upsilon_{t-1}...\upsilon_{1[p]}}=\upsilon_t(p^{t-1}+p^{t-2}+.........+p+1)+\upsilon_{t-1}(p^{t-2}+p^{t-3}+.........+p+1)+$$
$$+......+\upsilon_2(p+1)+\upsilon_1$$

it results that

$$a=(\upsilon_t p^{t-1}+\upsilon_{t-1}p^{t-2}+......+\upsilon_2 p+\upsilon_1)+\upsilon_t(p^{t-2}+p^{t-1}+....+1)+\upsilon_{t-1}(p^{t-3}+p^{t-4}+...+1)+.....+$$
$$\upsilon_3(p+1)+\upsilon_2=(a_{[p]})_{(p)}+\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]$$

because

$$\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]=\left[\upsilon_t(p^{t-2}+p^{t-3}+...+p+1)+\frac{\upsilon_t}{p}+\upsilon_{t-1}(p^{t-3}+p^{t-4}+....+p+1)+\frac{\upsilon_{t-1}}{p}+....+\right.$$
$$\left.+\upsilon_3(p+1)+\frac{\upsilon_3}{p}+\upsilon_2+\frac{\upsilon_2}{p}+\frac{\upsilon_1}{p}\right]=\upsilon_t(p^{t-2}+p^{t-3}+...+p+1)+$$
$$+\upsilon_{t-1}(p^{t-3}+p^{t-4}+...+p+1)+...+\upsilon_3(p+1)+\upsilon_2+\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]$$

we have $[n+x]=n+[x]$.
Then

$$a=(a_{[p]})_{(p)}+\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right] \qquad (13)$$

or

$$a=\frac{S_p(a)}{p}+\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]$$

It results that

$$S_p(a)=p\left(a-\left(\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]\right)\right) \tag{14}$$

From (11) and (14) we obtain

$$i_p(a)=\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right] \tag{15}$$

It is know that there exists $m,n\in N$ such that the relation

$$\left[\frac{m-n}{p}\right]=\left[\frac{m}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{n}{p}\right] \tag{16}$$

is not verifies.

But if $\dfrac{m-n}{p}\in N$ then the relation (16) is satisfied.

From (11) and (15) it results

$$\left[\frac{a-\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]=\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right].$$

This equality results also by the fact that $i_p(a)\in N$.

From (2) and (11) or from (13) and (15) it results that

$$i_p(a)=a-\left(a_{[p]}\right)_{(p)} \tag{17}$$

From the condition on i_p in (6) it results that $\Delta=\left[\dfrac{a-1}{p}\right]-i_p(a)\geq 0$.

To calculate the difference $\Delta=\left[\dfrac{a-1}{p}\right]-i_p(a)$ we observe that

$$\Delta=\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]-i_p(a)=\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]-\left[\frac{a}{p}\right]+\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right] \tag{18}$$

For $a\in[kp+1,kp+p-1]$ we have $\left[\dfrac{a-1}{p}\right]=\left[\dfrac{a}{p}\right]$ so

$$\Delta=\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]-i_p(a)=\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right] \tag{19}$$

If $a=kp$ then $\left[\dfrac{a-1}{p}\right]=\left[\dfrac{kp-1}{p}\right]=\left[k-\dfrac{1}{p}\right]=k-1$ and $\left[\dfrac{a}{p}\right]=k$.

So, (18) becomes

$$\Delta=\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]-i_p(a)=\left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right]-1 \tag{20}$$

Analogously, if $a=kp+p$, we have

$$\left[\frac{a-1}{p}\right]=\left[\frac{p(k+1)-1}{}p\right]=\left[k+1-\frac{1}{p}\right]=k \quad\text{and}\quad \left[\frac{a}{p}\right]=k+1$$

so, (18) has the form (20).

For any number a, for which Δ is given by (19) or by (20), we deduce that Δ is maximum when $\sigma_{[p]}(a)$ is maximum, so when

$$a_M=\overline{\underbrace{(p-1)(p-1)\ldots(p-1)p}_{t\ \text{terms}}}_{[p]} \tag{21}$$

That is

$$a_M = (p-1)a_t(p) + (p-1)a_{t-1}(p) + \dots + (p-1)a_2(p) + p =$$
$$= (p-1)\left(\frac{p^t-1}{p-1} + \frac{p^{t-1}-1}{p-1} + \dots + \frac{p^2-1}{p-1}\right) + p =$$
$$= (p^t + p^{t-1} + \dots + p^2 + p) - (t-1) = pa_t(p) - (t-1)$$

It results that a_M is not multiple of p if and only if $t-1$ is not a multiple of p.
In this case $\sigma_{[p]}(a) = (t-1)(p-1) + p = pt - t + 1$ and

$$\Delta = \left[\frac{\sigma_{[p]}(a)}{p}\right] = \left[t - \frac{t-1}{p}\right] = t - \left[\frac{t-1}{p}\right].$$

So $i_p(a_M) \geq \left[\frac{a_M-1}{p}\right] - t$ or $i_p(a_M) \in \left[\left[\frac{a_M-1}{p}\right] - t, \left[\frac{a_M-1}{p}\right]\right]$. If $t-1 \in (kp, kp+p)$ then $\left[\frac{t-1}{p}\right] = k$ and $k(p-1)+1 < \Delta(a_M) < k(p-1)+p+1$ so $\lim\limits_{a_M \to \infty} \Delta(a_M) = \infty$.

We also observe that

$$\left[\frac{a_M-1}{p}\right] = a_t(p) - \left[\frac{t-1}{p}\right] = \frac{p^{t+1}-1}{p-1} - \left[\frac{t-1}{p}\right] \in \left[\frac{p^{kp+1}-1}{p-1} - k, \frac{p^{kp+p+1}-1}{p-1} - k\right].$$

Then if $a_M \to \infty$ (as p^x), it results that $\Delta(a_M) \to \infty$ (as x).

From $\dfrac{i_p(a_M)}{\left[\frac{a_M-1}{p}\right]} = \dfrac{a_t(p) - t}{a_t(p) - \left[\frac{t-2}{p}\right]} \to 1$ it results $\lim\limits_{a \to \infty} \dfrac{i_p(a)}{[a-1]p} = 1$.

Current Address: Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, A.I.Cuza, No.13, Craiova, 1100, ROMANIA

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] M. Andrei, C. Dumitrescu, V. Seleacu, L. Tuţescu, Şt. Zanfir, **La fonction de Smarandache une nouvelle fonction dans la theorie des nombres**, Congrès International H. Poincarê, Nancy 14-18 May, 1994.

[2] M. Andrei, C. Dumitrescu, V. Seleacu, L. Tuţescu, Şt. Zanfir, **Some remarks on the Smarandache Function**, Smarandache Function Journal, Vol. 4, No. 1 (1994), 1-5.

[3] P. Gronas, **The Solution of the Diophantine Equation** $s_h(n) = n$, Smarandache Function J., V. 4, No. 1, (1994), 14-16.

[4] P. Gronas, **A note on** $S(p^r)$, Smarandache Function J., V. 2-3, No. 1, (1993), 33.

[5] P. Radovici-Mărculescu, **Probleme de teoria elementară a numerelor**, Ed. Tehnică, Bucureşti, 1986.

[6] E. Rădescu, N. Rădescu, C. Dumitrescu, **On the Sumatory Function Associated to the Smarandache Function,** Smarandache Function J., V. 4, No. 1, 1994), 17-21.

[7] F. Smarandache, **A Function in the Number Theory,** An. Univ. Timiăoara ser. St. Mat. Vol XVIII, fasc. 1 (1980), 79-88.

[8] T. Yau, **A problem concerning the Fibonacci series,** Smarandache Function J., V. 4, No. 1, (1994)