TWO CONJECTURES CONCERNING EXTENTS OF SMARANDACHE FACTOR PARTITIONS

Maohua Le

Abstract. In this paper we verify two conjectures concerning extents of Smarandache factor partitions.

Key words . Smarandache factor partition , sum of length .

Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n be distinct primes, and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be positive integers. Further, let $t=p_1^{a_1}p_2^{a_2}\cdots p_n^{a_n},$ (1)and let $F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ denote the number of ways in which t could be expressed as the product of its divisors. Furthermore, let $F(1#n) = F(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. ____ (2) *n* ones If d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r are divisors of t and $t = d_1 d_2 \dots d_r$, (3) then (3) is called a Smarandache factor partition representation with length r. Further, let Extent (F(1#n))denote the sum of lengths of all Smarandache factor partition representations of $p_1p_2...p_n$. In [2], Murthy proposed the following two conjectures. Conjecture 1.

(4) Extent(F(1#n))=F(1#(n+1))-F(1#n). Conjecture 2.

(5)
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \text{Extent} (F(1\#n)) = F(1\#(n+1)).$$

In this paper we verify the mentioned conjectures as follows.

Theorem. For any positive integer n, the identities (4) and (5) are true.

Proof. Let Y(n) be the *n*-th Bell number. By the definitions of F(1#n) and Y(n) (see [1]), we have (6) F(1#n)=Y(n). Let L(r) be the number of Smarandache factor partitions of $p_1p_2...p_n$ with length r. Then we have (7) L(r)=S(n,r), where S(n,r) is the Stirling number of the second kind with parameters n and r. Since

n

(8)
$$Y(n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} S(n,r),$$

by (6), (7) and (8), we get

(9)
$$F(1#n)=Y(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} S(n,r)$$

and

(10) Extent
$$F(1\#n) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} r S(n,r).$$

It is a well known fact that
(11)
$$rS(n,r)=S(n+1,r)-S(n,r-1),$$

for $n \ge r \ge 1$ (see [1]). Notice that S(n,n)=1. Therefore, by (9),(10) and (11), we obtain

Extent
$$(F(1\#n)) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} (S(n+1,r) - S(n,r-1))$$

(12)
 $= \sum_{r=1}^{n} S(n+1,r) - \sum_{r=1}^{n} S(n,r-1) = (Y(n+1)) - S(n+1,n+1)$
 $r=1$ $r=1$
 $-(Y(n) - S(n,n)) = Y(n+1) - Y(n) = F(1\#(n+1)) - F(1\#n).$
It implies that (4) holds.
On the other hand, we get from (4) that
 $\sum_{r=1}^{n} Extent (F(1\#k)) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} Extent (F(1\#r))$
 $k=0$ $r=1$
(13)
 $= \sum_{r=1}^{n} (F(1\#(r+1)) - F(1\#r)) = F(1\#(n+1)).$
Thus, (5) is also true. The theorem is proved.

References

- [1] C. Jordan, Calculus of Finite Differences, Chelsea, 1965.
- [2] A. Murthy, Length/extent of Smarandache factor partitions, Smarandache Notions J. 11(2000), 275-279.

Department of Mathematics Zhanjiang Normal College Zhanjiang, Guangdong P.R. CHINA