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#### Abstract

:

The following document is an attempted (but failed) proof of the Twin Prime Conjecture by determining bounds for the number of twin prime pairs between a number and its square and then proving that the lower bound is always greater than 1 for sufficiently large numbers.


We will use proof by deduction to prove $\exists$ infinitely many twin primes. Define a twin prime pair $H$ as a pair of integers $(k, k+2)$ s.t. $k, k+2 \in P\{$ set of all primes $\} \& \emptyset$ as the value $k+4, \forall H$.

$$
\therefore k \not \equiv 0 \bmod 2
$$

```
k #三0 mod 3
    k\not\equiv0}0\operatorname{mod}
```

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vdots \\
k \not \equiv 0 \bmod t \in P<k \\
\& \\
\therefore k+2 \not \equiv 0 \bmod 2
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k+2 \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3 \\
& k+2 \not \equiv 0 \bmod 5
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k+2 \not \equiv 0 \bmod t \in P<k+2
$$

$\therefore$ by definition of $\emptyset$ we can assert that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 2 \\
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 3 \\
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 5 \\
\vdots \\
\emptyset \neq 2,4 \bmod t \in P<\emptyset
\end{gathered}
$$

Define $I^{\prime}$ as $a$ on the interval $=[a, b], P_{m+1} \in P, m>1 \&$ consider the interval:

$$
Q_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P_{m+1}, & P_{m+1}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

It follows from the sieve of Eratosthenes that if $R \in Z$ s.t.

$$
R \in Q_{1} \&
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R \not \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\
R \not \equiv 0 \bmod 3 \\
R \not \equiv 0 \bmod 5 \\
\vdots \\
R \not \equiv 0 \bmod P_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow R \in P$. Given this definition it follows that $\exists H \in Q_{1}$ iff $\exists(k, k+2)$ s.t. $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}+2 \in P \rightarrow \exists H \in Q_{1}$ iff $\exists \emptyset$ $\in Q_{1}$ since we can be sure that the largest possible $k+2 \in Q_{1}$ is $P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-2$ which by definition of $\emptyset$ and primality of $(k, k+2)$ must satisfy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 2 \\
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 3 \\
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod 5 \\
\vdots \\
\emptyset \not \equiv 2,4 \bmod P_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note $\exists P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m}+1$ integers $\in Q_{1}$ and approximately:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\
\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\left(\frac{P_{m}-2}{P_{m}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Of all these integers, satisfy the corresponding incongruence that $\emptyset$ must satisfy.
$\rightarrow$ The expected number of $\emptyset \in Q_{1}=\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{P_{m}-2}{P_{m}}\right)$
$\rightarrow$ The expected number of $\emptyset \in Q_{1}=E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right)$

$$
=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]
$$

Lemma 1:

$$
\mid \text { Error } \mid \text { of } E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \leq \pi\left(P_{m+1}-1\right)
$$

We begin by noting that given an interval:
$[a, b]$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a, b>0$
That the total number of multiples of $Q$ on the interval inclusive is contained in the interval:

$$
\left[\frac{b-a+1}{Q}-\frac{Q-1}{Q}, \quad \frac{b-a+1}{Q}+\frac{Q-1}{Q}\right]
$$

We can write this statement more concisely as:
$\operatorname{Error}_{\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)} \in\left[-\frac{Q-1}{Q}, \frac{Q-1}{Q}\right]$
The error generated for each term in the product $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]$ is bounded correspondingly within the terms of $\pm\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{m} \pm\left[\frac{P_{i}-1}{P_{i}}\right]$ for example:

$$
\therefore \text { Error of } E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \in\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \ldots-\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right), \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \ldots+\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Error}_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \operatorname{Error}_{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right), \quad\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right] \\
& \text { : } \\
& \operatorname{Error}_{\left(\frac{1}{P_{m}}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right), \quad\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\rightarrow \text { Error of } E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \in\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-1}{P_{i}}\right], \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-1}{P_{i}}\right]\right]
$$

Note that: $\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right)<1 \forall P_{m} \in P$
$\therefore$ Error of $E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \in\left[-1-\sum_{i=2}^{m}[1], \quad 1+\sum_{i=2}^{m}[1]\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}-m, & m\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=\left[-\pi\left(P_{m+1}-1\right)\right. & \left., \pi\left(P_{m+1}-1\right)\right] \\
& \rightarrow \mid \text { Error } \mid \text { of } E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \leq \pi\left(P_{m+1}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { \& by corollary } \mid \text { Error } \mid \text { of } E_{\emptyset}\left(P_{m+1}\right) \leq \pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)
$$

## End Lemma:

$\therefore$ The expected number of $\emptyset \in Q_{1} \in$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\left[\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)-1}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)-1}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]+\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2:

$$
\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{P_{n}-2}{P_{n}}\right)=\prod_{i=2}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right] \geq\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \prod_{i=3}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i-1}}{P_{i}}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)\left(\frac{5}{7}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{P_{n-1}}{P_{n}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\right)
$$

$P_{n}>2 \in\{$ odd numbers $\} \rightarrow P_{n}-P_{n-1} \geq 2 \& P_{n}-P_{n-1} \in\{$ even numbers $\} \forall n>1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } P_{n}=11 \rightarrow P_{n-1}=7 \rightarrow \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_{n}}=\left(\frac{7}{11}\right) \leq \frac{9}{11}=\frac{P_{n}-2}{P_{n}} \rightarrow \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_{n}} \leq \frac{P_{n}-2}{P_{n}} . \\
& \therefore \text { if } P_{n}-P_{n-1}=2 \forall P_{n} \neq 11, \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right] \geq \prod_{i=3}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i-1}}{P_{i}}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\right) \\
& \text { if } P_{n}-P_{n-1} \neq 2 \forall P_{n}, \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right] \geq \prod_{i=3}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i-1}}{P_{i}}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\right) \\
& \therefore \prod_{i=2}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right] \geq \prod_{i=3}^{n}\left[\frac{P_{i-1}}{P_{i}}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{P_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

End Lemma:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore R_{1}^{r}=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}\right. & \left.-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)-1}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right) \geq R_{2} \\
& =\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \prod_{i=3}^{\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)-1}\left[\frac{P_{i-1}}{P_{i}}\right]-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right) \\
& =\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m}}\right)-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we make an additional note that $P_{m+1}>P_{m} \because P$ is an ordered infinitely large set due to the work of Euclid ${ }^{1}$.

$$
\therefore R_{2}=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m}}\right) \geq R_{3}=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
\pi(x)<1.25506 \frac{x}{\log (x)} \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq 17 \text { (Rosser,Schoenfield 2) }
$$

$\therefore R_{3}=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-\pi\left(P_{m+1}\right) \geq R_{4}$

$$
=\left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-1.25506 \frac{P_{m+1}}{\log \left(P_{m+1}\right)} \forall P_{m+1} \geq 17
$$

## Lemma 3:

$\forall P_{m+1} \geq 17 R_{4}=\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-1.25506 \frac{P_{m+1}}{\log \left(P_{m+1}\right)} \geq 1, \forall P_{m+1}$

$$
\geq 33912637
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(P_{m+1}^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-1.25506 \frac{P_{m+1}}{\log \left(P_{m+1}\right)} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1}=33912637 \\
& \frac{d}{d P_{m+1}}\left[\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-1.25506 \frac{P_{m+1}}{\log \left(P_{m+1}\right)}\right] \geq 0 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637 \\
& \quad \rightarrow\left(P_{m+1}{ }^{2}-P_{m+1}+1\right)\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\left(\frac{1}{P_{m+1}}\right)-1.25506 \frac{P_{m+1}}{\log \left(P_{m+1}\right)} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1}=33912637
\end{aligned}
$$

End Lemma:
Now note:

$$
R_{4} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{3} \geq R_{4} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637 \\
R_{2} \geq R_{3} \geq R_{4} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637 \\
R_{1}^{\prime} \geq R_{2} \geq R_{3} \geq R_{4} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637 \rightarrow R_{1}^{\prime} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ The expected number of $\emptyset \in Q_{1} \geq 1 \forall P_{m+1} \geq 33912637$

## Conclusion:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\because \exists \text { infinite } Q \in P \text { of arbitrarily large size } \rightarrow \exists \text { infinite } P_{m+1} \geq 33912637 \\
\therefore \exists \text { infinitely many } \emptyset \\
\therefore \exists \text { infinitely many } H \\
\therefore \exists \text { infinitely many twin prime pairs } \\
\text { Q.E.D. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Further Details:

The method of error determination used in this proof was very loose and overall much greater than what is actually observed. Recall the following:

The error generated for each term in the product $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \prod_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-2}{P_{i}}\right]$ is bounded correspondingly within the terms of $\pm\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left[\frac{P_{i}-1}{P_{i}}\right]$ for example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Error }_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \\
& \text { Error }_{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right),\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Error}_{\left(\frac{1}{P_{m}}\right)} \in\left[-\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right),\left(\frac{P_{m}-1}{P_{m}}\right)\right]
$$

Note that each successive term of Error is multiplied by the preceding term before its error is added and therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \text { Net Error } \mid \leq & \left(\frac{1 * 2 * 4 \ldots p_{m}-1}{2 * 3 * 5 \ldots p_{m}}\right)+\left(\frac{2 * 4 \ldots p_{m}-1}{3 * 5 \ldots p_{m}}\right) \ldots+\left(\frac{p_{m}-1}{p_{m}}\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{q=1}^{m} \frac{p_{q}-1}{p_{q}}\right)+\left(\prod_{q=2}^{m} \frac{p_{q}-1}{p_{q}}\right) \ldots+\left(\prod_{q=m}^{m} \frac{p_{q}-1}{p_{q}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\prod_{q=i}^{m} \frac{p_{q}-1}{p_{q}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that:

$$
\left(\frac{p_{m}-1}{p_{m}}\right) \geq\left(\prod_{q=r}^{m} \frac{p_{q}-1}{p_{q}}\right) \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq r>0
$$

If one excludes the final term then the same argument can be made for $\left(\frac{p_{m-1}-1}{p_{m-1}}\right)$ and by induction for any arbitrary $\left(\frac{p_{m-i}-1}{p_{m-i}}\right)$ granted enough terms from the end of the error sum are removed. This can be of value to bounding the prime counting function more tightly in exchange for more computation.
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