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In math there are some classic problems of number theory which have not been solved yet.Two of these problems are as below:

1. «Pair of twin primes » (where difference is equal to 2 such as pairs of twin prime numbers ( $3 ; 5$ ); (5;7); (11;13); ...) are infinite.
2. «It is possible to show any even number, starting from 4, as a sum of two prime numbers »
2nd problem is known as «Goldbach-Euler problem».
In order to solve these problems we have compiled a table determining if the numbers like $6 \mathrm{~m}-1$ and $6 \mathrm{~m}+1(m \in N)$ are prime or composite. (Table 3)

We have solved these problems as below by using some facts and conclusions besides Table 3.

First, let's start with 2 nd problem. First problem will be solved along the proof process, too. Since $4=2+2 ; 6=3+3 ; 8=3+5$, then we can solve the problem for the numbers greater than 8 . It is clear that, we should look at the natural numbers in the form of $12 \mathrm{n}-2 ; 12 \mathrm{n} ; 12 \mathrm{n}+2 ; 12 \mathrm{n}+4 ; 12 \mathrm{n}+6$ and $12 \mathrm{n}+8$ where $n \in N$.

According to the divisibility rule for 6 , natural numbers are divided into 6 groups as following:

$$
\text { 1) } 6 \mathrm{~m} \text {; 2) } 6 \mathrm{~m}+1 \text {; 3) } 6 \mathrm{~m}+2 \text {; 4) } 6 \mathrm{~m}+3 \text {; 5) } 6 \mathrm{~m}+4 \text {; 6) } 6 \mathrm{~m}+5 \mathrm{~m} \in N
$$

Since，these groups，which get values greater than 3 are composite numbers，except second and sixth，so any prime number which is greater than 3 should be in the form of $6 m+1$ or $6 m+5(6 m-1)$ ．

Conclusion 1．Any prime number greater than 3 can be shown as $6 m+1$ or $6 m-1$ ．
Let＇s write all groups of even natural numbers greater than 8 as following，which we showed above as $12 n-2 ; 12 n ; 12 n+2 ; 12 n+4 ; 12 n+6 ; 12 n+8$ ：

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
12 \mathrm{n}-2=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-1\right) ; k_{1} \in N ; k_{2} \in N k_{1}+k_{2}=2 n  \tag{1}\\
12 \mathrm{n}=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right) \text { "_ } \\
12 \mathrm{n}+2=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right) \text { 《_ } \\
12 \mathrm{n}+4=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-1\right) k_{1} \in N ; k_{2} \in N k_{1}+k_{2}=2 n+1 \\
12 \mathrm{n}+6=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right) \text { 《_ } \\
12 \mathrm{n}+8=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1\right)+\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right) \text { 《._ }
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Now let＇s figure out the conditions of being prime of the numbers which are in the form of $6 m-1 ; 6 m+1$ ，where $m \in N$ ．In order to do so，let＇s first look for the conditions of being composite of the numbers $6 \mathrm{~m}-1 ; 6 \mathrm{~m}+1(m \in N)$ ．

It is obvious that，there cannot be prime multiplier of 2 and 3 in the composite numbers of $6 m-1$ and $6 m+1$ ．So，if $6 m-1$ and $6 m+1$ are composite numbers，then each prime multiplier of these numbers are not less than 5.

Therefore，

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
k_{1} ; k_{2} \in N \\
6 m+1=\left(6 k_{1}-1\right)\left(6 k_{2}-1\right) \cup 6 m+1=\left(6 k_{1}+1\right)\left(6 k_{2}+1\right)  \tag{2}\\
6 m-1=\left(6 k_{1}-1\right)\left(6 k_{2}+1\right) \cup 6 m-1=\left(6 k_{1}+1\right)\left(6 k_{2}-1\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

It should be noted that if there are more than 2 multipliers in the $6 m \pm 1$ ，then number of each of $6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-16 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1 ; 6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-16 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1$ should be odd．We consider number of multipliers as two，while it doesn＇t affect the whole calculation（it is as $(6 \mathrm{p}-1)(6 \mathrm{q}-1)(6 \mathrm{t}-1)=6 \mathrm{k}-1)$ ．

Let＇s write 4 possible cases of（2）more detailed：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \mathrm{~m}_{1}+1=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-1\right)=6\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right)+1 ; \mathrm{m}_{1}=6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~m}_{2}-1=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1\right)\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-1\right)=6\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right)-1 ; \mathrm{m}_{2}=6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~m}_{3}-1=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1\right)\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right)=6\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right)-1 ; \mathrm{m}_{3}=6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}-\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}-\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
6 \mathrm{~m}_{4}+1=\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1\right)\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1\right)=6\left(6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right)+1 ; \mathrm{m}_{4}=6 \mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}+\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)
$$

So, we got following result :
in case of $k_{1} ; k_{2} \in N$
a) if $m_{1}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$, then $6 m_{1}+1$ is a composite number
b) if $m_{2}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)$, then $6 m_{2}-1$ is a composite number
c) if $m_{3}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)$, then $6 m_{3}-1$ is a composite number
d) if $m_{4}=6 k_{1} k_{2}+\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$, then $6 m_{4}+1$ is a composite number

It is seen from the expressions of $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and $m_{4}(3)$, that $m_{1}$ and $m_{4}$ is symmetric with respect to $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2} . \mathrm{m}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{3}$ is also symmetric with respect to $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$.

If $\left.\quad \begin{array}{l}m_{1}=\varphi\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \\ m_{2}=f\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\end{array}\right\}$
then, $\quad m_{3}=f\left(-k_{1} ;-k_{2}\right) ; m_{4}=\varphi\left(-k_{1} ;-k_{2}\right)$
If we take these into consideration, we should look for the solution within the condition of $\mathrm{m}_{1}<\mathrm{m}_{2} \leq \mathrm{m}_{3}<\mathrm{m}_{4}$ assuming that $k_{1} \geq k_{2}$.

Then we will get following conclusions from the equalities of (3) which we showed above.

Conclusion 2: Where $k_{1}, k_{2} \in N$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { If } m=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{1}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) \\
m_{4}=6 k_{1} k_{2}+\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)
\end{array} \text { then } 6 \mathrm{~m}+1\right. \\
\text { If } m=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
m_{2}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right) \\
m_{3}=6 k_{1} k_{2}-\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)
\end{array} \text { then } 6 \mathrm{~m}-1\right. \tag{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

is a composite number.

## Conclusion 3 :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { If } m \in\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \backslash\left(\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)\right) \text { then } 6 \mathrm{~m}-1  \tag{5}\\
\text { If } m \in\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right) \backslash\left(\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)\right) \text { then } 6 \mathrm{~m}+1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

is a prime number.

Conclusion 4 : If $m \notin\left(m_{1} \cup m_{2} \cup m_{3} \cup m_{4}\right)$, then $6 \mathrm{~m}-1$ and $6 \mathrm{~m}+1$ are twin primes.

Last conclusion is also seen from the following table:

| $m \in$ | $m_{1} \cup m_{4} / \varphi$ | $m_{2} \cup m_{3} / \varphi$ | $\varphi=\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)$ | $m \notin\left(m_{1} \cup m_{2} \cup m_{3} \cup m_{4}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $6 \mathrm{~m}-1$ | P | C | C | P |
| $6 \mathrm{~m}+1$ | C | P | C | P |

In the table, P is for prime numbers; C is for composite numbers.
And now let's figure out ways to find $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and $m_{4}$. It is clear that,

In (6), there are a rows and a columns. So, we can write (6) more generally as following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)=\{(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}) ;(\mathrm{a}+1 ; \mathrm{a}) ; \ldots,(2 \mathrm{a}-1 ; \mathrm{a})\} \quad(7) \quad a \in N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let's consider the values of $\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)$ in (7) in the expressions of $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$ and $m_{4}$ in (3).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}=k_{2}=a \Rightarrow m_{1}=6 a^{2}-2 a ; m_{2}=6 a^{2} ; m_{3}=6 a^{2} ; m_{4}=6 a^{2}+2 a \\
& \left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=(a+1 ; a) \Rightarrow m_{1}=6 a^{2}+4 a-1 ; m_{2}=6 a^{2}+6 a-1 ; m_{3}=6 a^{2}+6 a+1 ; m_{4}=6 a^{2}+8 a+1 \\
& \left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=(a+2 ; a) \Rightarrow m_{1}=6 a^{2}+10 a-2 ; m_{2}=6 a^{2}+12 a-2 ; m_{3}=6 a^{2}+12 a+2 ; m_{4}=6 a^{2}+14 a+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's write the expressions of $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{2}, \mathrm{~m}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{4}$ in the following table:

| $\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a})$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}-2 \mathrm{a}$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+2 \mathrm{a}$ |
| $(\mathrm{a}+1 ; \mathrm{a})$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+4 \mathrm{a}-1$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+6 \mathrm{a}-1$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+6 \mathrm{a}+1$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+8 \mathrm{a}+1$ |
| $(\mathrm{a}+2 ; \mathrm{a})$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+10 \mathrm{a}-2$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+12 \mathrm{a}-2$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+12 \mathrm{a}+2$ | $6 \mathrm{a}^{2}+14 \mathrm{a}+2$ |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - |  |  |

Table 1

We can easily show that in the Table $1, \mathrm{~m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ are arithmetic series where the difference of columns is $6 a-1 ; \mathrm{m}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{4}$ are arithmetic series where the difference of columns is $6 a+1$.

So, where a, $n \in N$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{m}_{1}=(6 a-1)(n+a-1)-a \\ \mathrm{~m}_{2}=(6 a-1)(n+a-1)+a \\ m_{3}=(6 a+1)(n+a-1)-a \\ m_{4}=(6 a+1)(n+a-1)+a\end{array}\right\}$

If we consider (8) in Table 1, then we will get Table 2.

|  | $m_{1}$ <br> $(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{a}-1)-\mathrm{a}$ | $m_{2}$ <br> $(6 \mathrm{a}-1)(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{a}-1)+\mathrm{a}$ | $m_{3}$ <br> $(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{a}-1)-\mathrm{a}$ | $m_{4}$ <br> $(6 \mathrm{a}+1)(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{a}-1)+\mathrm{a}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{a}=1$ | $5 \mathrm{n}-1$ | $5 \mathrm{n}+1$ | $7 \mathrm{n}-1$ | $7 \mathrm{n}+1$ |
| $\mathrm{a}=2$ | $11(\mathrm{n}+1)-2$ | $11(\mathrm{n}+1)+2$ | $13(\mathrm{n}+1)-2$ | $13(\mathrm{n}+1)+2$ |
| $\mathrm{a}=3$ | $17(\mathrm{n}+2)-3$ | $17(\mathrm{n}+2)+3$ | $19(\mathrm{n}+2)-3$ | $19(\mathrm{n}+2)+3$ |
| $\mathrm{a}=4$ | $23(\mathrm{n}+3)-4$ | $23(\mathrm{n}+3)+4$ | $25(\mathrm{n}+3)-4$ | $25(\mathrm{n}+3)+4$ |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |  |

Table 2

In order to show values of all rows of Table 2 as a table, let's convert Table 2 to Table 3:

|  | $\begin{array}{ll} n \geq 1 & n \in N \\ 5 n^{m_{1}}-1 & 5 n^{m_{2}}+1 \\ 7 n^{m_{3}}-1 & n_{n}^{m_{4}}+1 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} n \geq 3 & n \in N \\ 17 n & \\ 17-3 & 17 n+3 & 19 n_{n}^{m_{3}}-3 \end{array} \quad 19 n_{n}^{m_{4}}+3$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} n \geq 4 & n \in N \\ 23 n_{1}^{\prime}-4 & 23 n_{2}^{m_{2}}+4 \end{array}$ | $$ |  |  | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | (20) | (24) | (24) | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ......... |
| 3 | 14 | 16 | (20) | 22 | (31) | 35 | 37 | (41) | (48) | (54) | (54) | 60 |  |  |  |  | ....... |
| 4 | 19 | 21 | 27 | 29 | 42 | 46 | (50) | (54) | 65 | (71) | 73 | (79) | (88) 96 |  |  |  | ......... |
| 5 | (24) | 26 | (34) | (36) | 53 | (57) | 63 | 67 | 82 | (88) | (92) | 98 | (111) (119) | $\begin{array}{r} 140 \\ (160) \end{array}$ | (150) | (150) | ........ |
| 6 | 29 | (31) | (41) | 43 | 64 | 68 | 76 | 80 | 99 | 105 | (111) | 117 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | (34) | (36) | (48) | (50) | 75 | (79) | (89) | 93 | (116) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 39 | (41) | 55 | (57) | (86) | 90 | 102 | (106) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 44 | 46 | 62 | 64 | (97) | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 49 | 51 | (69) | (71) | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | (54) | 56 | 76 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 59 | 61 | 83 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 64 | 66 | 90 | (92) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | (69) | (71) | (97) | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 74 | 76 | (104) | (106) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | (79) | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 84 | (86) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | (89) | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 94 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 99 | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | (104) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 3

It is obvious from the table that,
if $t \in N$, then we can write:
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{m}_{1}=(6 \mathrm{t}-1) \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{m}_{2}=(6 \mathrm{t}-1) \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{m}_{3}=(6 \mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t} \\ \mathrm{m}_{4}=(6 \mathrm{t}+1) \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{t}\end{array}\right\}$

It should be noted that in the table, if we multiply the numbers without parentheses in $m_{1} \cup m_{4}$ with 6 and subtract 1 , then we will get prime numbers; and similarly if we multiply the numbers without parentheses in $m_{2} \cup m_{3}$ with 6 and add 1 , then we will get prime numbers. In the table, if we multiply the numbers with 6 which are not in the interval $[1 ; 119]$ and subtract 1 or if we multiply with 6 and add 1 , then we will get twin primes.

In the Table 3, the numbers in parentheses which are in the interval $[1 ; 119]$ are the numbers included in $\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)$ and if we multiply these numbers with 6 and add 1 or subtract 1 we get composite numbers.

Let us give some examples:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
29 \in m_{1} & 6 \cdot 29-1=173 \text { is a prime number. } \\
102 \in m_{3} & 6 \cdot 102+1=613 \text { is a prime number. } \\
30 \notin\left(m_{1} \cup m_{2} \cup m_{3} \cup m_{4}\right) & 6 \cdot 30-1=179 \text { is a prime number. } \\
& 6 \cdot 30+1=181 \text { is a prime number. }
\end{array}
$$

179 and 181 are twin primes.
Now, let's show how to find the numbers in parentheses. These numbers should belong to the intersection of $m_{1} \cap m_{2} ; m_{1} \cap m_{3} ; m_{2} \cap m_{4} ; m_{3} \cap m_{4}$. In order to find the same numbers in the columns of $5 n-1$ and $7 n-1$, we should find general solution of the indefinite equation of $5 \mathrm{n}-1=7 \mathrm{k}-1$.

$$
\left.5 n-1=7 k-1 \Rightarrow 5 n=7 k \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
n=7 t \\
k=5 t
\end{array}\right\} t \in N
$$

So, these numbers are in the form of $35 \mathrm{t}-1$.

$$
\text { Therefore, } \begin{array}{ll}
t=1 \Rightarrow 34 & t=3 \Rightarrow 104 \\
t=2 \Rightarrow 69 & t=4 \Rightarrow 139
\end{array}
$$

Or for the columns of $5 \mathrm{n}-1$ and $11 \mathrm{n}+2$ we can write $5 \mathrm{n}-1=11 \mathrm{k}+2 \Rightarrow 5 \mathrm{n}-11 \mathrm{k}=3$ It is clear that, since $\operatorname{GCF}(5 ; 11)=1$, then there are numbers such as $\alpha ; \beta \in z$ where $5 \alpha-11 \beta=1$.

Let's find $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by using Euclid algorithm.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-11 & 5 \\
\frac{10}{2} & 2 & 1=11-5 \cdot 2 \\
\hline 5 & 1 & \text { Or } 5 \cdot(-2)-11 \cdot(-1)=1 \\
\frac{5}{0} & \alpha=-2 ; \beta=-1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
5 n-11 k=3 \\
5 \cdot(-2)-11 \cdot(-1)=1
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
5 n-11 k=3 \\
5 \cdot(-6)-11 \cdot(-3)=3
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow n=11 t-6 \quad k=5 t-3
$$

$$
5 n-1=5(11 \mathrm{t}-6)-1=55 \mathrm{t}-31
$$

Using this method we can get following formulas.

| $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{4}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $5 \mathrm{n}-1$ | $5 \mathrm{n}+1$ | $7 \mathrm{n}-1$ | $7 \mathrm{n}+1$ | $11 \mathrm{n}-2$ | $11 \mathrm{n}+2$ | $13 \mathrm{n}-2$ | $13 \mathrm{n}+2$ | $\ldots \ldots .$. |
| $35 \mathrm{t}-1$ | $35 \mathrm{t}+1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
| $55 \mathrm{t}+24$ | $55 \mathrm{t}+31$ | $77 \mathrm{t}+20$ | $77 \mathrm{t}-20$ |  |  |  |  | $\ldots \ldots .$. |
| $65 \mathrm{t}+24$ | $65 \mathrm{t}+41$ | $91 \mathrm{t}+41$ | $91 \mathrm{t}-41$ | $143 \mathrm{t}-2$ | $143 \mathrm{t}+2$ | $221 \mathrm{t}-51$ | $221 \mathrm{t}+54$ | $\ldots \ldots .$. |
| $85 \mathrm{t}+54$ | $85 \mathrm{t}+31$ | $119 \mathrm{t}+48$ | $119 \mathrm{t}+71$ | $187 \mathrm{t}+20$ | $187 \mathrm{t}-20$ | $247 \mathrm{t}-54$ | $247 \mathrm{t}+54$ | $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ |
| $95 \mathrm{t}+54$ | $95 \mathrm{t}+41$ | $133 \mathrm{t}+41$ | $133 \mathrm{t}+92$ | $209 \mathrm{t}+130$ | $209 \mathrm{t}+79$ | $299 \mathrm{t}-119$ | $299 \mathrm{t}+119$ | $\ldots \ldots .$. |
| $115 \mathrm{t}+4$ | $115 \mathrm{t}+111$ | $161 \mathrm{t}+111$ | $161 \mathrm{t}+50$ | $253 \mathrm{t}+119$ |  |  |  |  |
| $145 \mathrm{t}+34$ | $145 \mathrm{t}+111$ | $203 \mathrm{t}+111$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $155 \mathrm{t}+119$ | $155 \mathrm{t}+136$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $185 \mathrm{t}+179$ | $185 \mathrm{t}+6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Table 4

Where p and q are prime, if the expression of $\mathrm{mt}+\gamma$ in Table 4 is get from $\mathrm{pn}+\alpha$ $=\mathrm{qk}+\beta$, then $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{pq}$ and $\gamma=\mathrm{pt}_{1}+\alpha=\mathrm{qt}_{2}+\beta$.

It is seen from the Table 3 that, in the row of $5 n^{m_{1}}-1 ; 5 n^{m_{2}}+1 ; 7 n^{m_{3}}-1 ; 7 n^{m_{4}}+1 ; 11 n^{m_{1}}-2 ; 11 n^{m_{2}}+2 ; 13 n^{m_{3}}-2 ; 13 n^{m_{4}}+2 ; \ldots$; $\mathrm{m}_{1}-\mathrm{s}$ are arithmetic series as $\mathrm{a}_{1}=5 \mathrm{n}-1 ; \mathrm{d}=6 \mathrm{n}-1$;
$m_{2}$-s are arithmetic series as $a_{1}=5 n+1 ; d=6 n+1$;
$\mathrm{m}_{3}-\mathrm{s}$ are arithmetic series as $\mathrm{a}_{1}=7 \mathrm{n}-1 ; \mathrm{d}=6 \mathrm{n}-1$; and
$\mathrm{m}_{4}-\mathrm{s}$ are arithmetic series as $\mathrm{a}_{1}=7 \mathrm{n}+1 ; \mathrm{d}=6 \mathrm{n}+1$.
So, we know how to continue to fill the table downwards and rightwards.
Now, let's form sums as $\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}=2 \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}=2 \mathrm{n}+1(n \in N)$ :


In (10) and (11) 1 st addends indicate $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and 2 nd addends indicate $\mathrm{k}_{2}$.

Now let's show that in (10) and (11) there are at least 9 twins which those numbers do not belong to the numbers in parentheses in Table 3, or they do not exist in Table 3.
In other words, there are at least 18 twins of $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ which belong to the set of

$$
\left(( m _ { 1 } \cup m _ { 4 } ) \backslash ( ( m _ { 1 } \cup m _ { 4 } ) \cap ( m _ { 2 } \cup m _ { 3 } ) ) \cup \left(\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right) \backslash\left(\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)\right)\right.\right.
$$

or none of them belongs to ( $m_{1} \cup m_{2} \cup m_{3} \cup m_{4}$ ).
We can see from Table 3 that, there are 18 numbers in parentheses in the interval of $[1 ; 100]$ which are

$$
\begin{equation*}
20,24,31,34,36,41,48,50,54,57,69,71,79,86,88,89,92,97 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that in the rest of (12) until 2 n , number of them is not greater than $\mathrm{n}-9$, there are at least 18 twins of $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$ which none of them is in parentheses, or in the table, or only one of them is not in the table.

Now, let's prove that, number of numbers in the series of (12) until 2 n is not greater than $\mathrm{n}-9$. We will use the following fact in order to prove our conclusion.
Fact: 1) If we write the numbers from 1 to 2 n in an ascending order with a difference of 2 between each other, number of them will be $n$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\{1,3,5,7, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}-1\} \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~A})=\mathrm{n} \\
& \mathrm{~B}=\{2,4,6,8, \ldots, 2 \mathrm{n}\} \\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) In the series of natural numbers in an ascending order (until $2 n$ ):

1 - if the number of steps is $a_{1}$ - then it does not affect the whole amount;
2 - if the number of steps is $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ - then it decreases whole amount by $\mathrm{a}_{2}$;
3 - if the number of steps is $a_{3}$ - then it decreases whole amount by $2 a_{3}$;
4 - if the number of steps is $a_{4}$ - then it decreases whole amount by $3 a_{4}$.
Therefore, similarly, steps of $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, \ldots, a_{k}$ decrease the whole amount by $a_{2}+2 a_{3}+3 a_{4}+4 a_{5}+\ldots+(k-1) a_{k}$.

So, number of them in the interval of $[20 ; 2 \mathrm{n}]$ will be $(2 \mathrm{n}-19)-\left(\mathrm{a}_{2}+2 \mathrm{a}_{3}+3 \mathrm{a}_{4}+\ldots+(\mathrm{k}-\right.$ 1) $a_{k}$ ).

Now, let's evaluate the series of numbers(which are in parentheses) until 2 n in the set of $\left(m_{1} \cup m_{4}\right) \cap\left(m_{2} \cup m_{3}\right)$, (number of terms until $2 n$ in the series of $20,24,31$, $34,36,41,48,50,54,57,69,71,79,86,88,89,92,97, \ldots$ (13) which is in an
ascending order). This problem will be solved by evaluating the number of terms of the numbers in an ascending order which are found as a result of putting natural numbers to $t$ in Table 4.

Note: Since the numbers in (13) are taken from Table 4, value of each expression which belongs to the table should not exceed 2 n .

Let's look at the difference of numbers of two expressions in Table 4, where coefficients of t are not mutually prime numbers. In those two expressions if the common factor of coefficients of $t$ is 5 , then difference between them is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \mathrm{n}-1-(5 \mathrm{k}-1)=5 \mathrm{~m} \in\{5,10, \ldots\} \\
& 5 \mathrm{n}-1-(5 \mathrm{k}+1)=5 \mathrm{~m}-2 \in\{3,8,13, \ldots\} \\
& 5 \mathrm{n}+1-(5 \mathrm{k}-1)=5 \mathrm{~m}+2 \in\{2,7,12, \ldots\} \\
& 5 \mathrm{n}+1-(5 \mathrm{k}+1)=5 \mathrm{~m} \in\{5,10, \ldots\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For this cases the difference is at least $2,3,5$.
In Table 4, if the common factor of the coefficients of $t$ is 7 in two expressions, then difference between them is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7 \mathrm{n}-1-(7 \mathrm{k}-1)=7 \mathrm{~m} \in\{7,14, \ldots\} \\
& 7 \mathrm{n}-1-(7 \mathrm{k}+1)=7 \mathrm{~m}-2 \in\{5,12, \ldots\} \\
& 7 \mathrm{n}+1-(7 \mathrm{k}-1)=7 \mathrm{~m}+2 \in\{2,9, \ldots\} \\
& 7 \mathrm{n}+1-(7 \mathrm{k}+1)=7 \mathrm{~m} \in\{7,14, \ldots\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case the difference is at least $2,5,7$.
In Table 4, if the common factor of the coefficients of $t$ is 11 in two expressions, then difference between them is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 11 \mathrm{n}-2-(11 \mathrm{k}-2)=11 \mathrm{~m} \in\{11,22, \ldots\} \\
& 11 \mathrm{n}-2-(11 \mathrm{k}+2)=11 \mathrm{~m}-4 \in\{7,18, \ldots\} \\
& 11 \mathrm{n}+2-(11 \mathrm{k}-2)=11 \mathrm{~m}+4 \in\{4,17, \ldots\} \\
& 11 \mathrm{n}+2-(11 \mathrm{k}+2)=11 \mathrm{~m} \in\{11,22, \ldots\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table 4 , it is clear that the difference is not less than 4 , when the common factor of the coefficients of $t$ is greater than 11 in two expressions. So, in Table 4, if the common factor of the coefficients of $t$ is 5 in two expressions, then difference of proper numbers is at least $2,3,5$;
if the common factor is 7 , then difference is at least $2,5,7$;
if the common factor is 11 , then difference is at least $4,7,11$;
if the common factor is 13 , then difference is at least $4,9,13$;
if the common factor is 17 , then difference is at least $6,11,17$.
It is obvious that, if we continue the process, then difference will increase. So, if there is a common factor between two expressions in Table 4 and : if the common factor is 5 and 7 , then the difference of proper numbers will be at least 2 ; if the common factor is greater than 7 , then the difference will be at least 4 . And now, let's evaluate the difference of proper numbers in Table 4, where coefficients of $t$ are two mutually prime numbers in these expressions.
First, let's look at the problem on specific sample and then generalize the conclusion.

Let's look at $55 t+24$ and $91 t+41$ where coefficients of t are mutually prime. Let's indicate the difference of these expressions with $\mathrm{m} . m \in z$

$$
(55 n+24)-(91 k+41)=m \Rightarrow 55 n-91 k=m+17
$$

Since $\operatorname{CGF}(55 ; 91)$ is 1 , then there are numbers such as $\alpha ; \beta \in N$, where $55 \alpha-91 \beta=1$

Now, let's find those $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

| $\begin{aligned} & 9155 \\ & -55 \\ & \hline 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 555 \\ 36 \\ \hline 36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | So, |
| 3619 | $1=17-8 \cdot 2$ |
| $19 \frac{19}{17}^{1}$ | $2=19-17 \cdot 1$ |
| -1711 |  |
| $\underline{176}$ | $17=36-19 \cdot 1$ |
| ${ }_{2}^{1}$ | $19=55-36 \cdot 1$ |
| 2 2 | 36=91-55•1 |

Here we can write, $1=17-8 \cdot(19-17)=9 \cdot 17-8 \cdot 19=9 \cdot(36-19)-8 \cdot 19=36 \cdot 9-17 \cdot 19=36 \cdot 9-$ $17 \cdot(55-36)=36 \cdot 26-17 \cdot 55=(91-55) \cdot 26-17 \cdot 55=91 \cdot 26-55 \cdot 43$

$$
91 \cdot 26-55 \cdot 43=1 \Rightarrow 55 \cdot(-43)-91 \cdot(-26)=1 .
$$

We got general solution of

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
55 \alpha-91 \beta=1 \\
55 \cdot(-43)-91 \cdot(-26)=1
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \alpha=91 t-43 \\
& \beta=55 t-26
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\mathrm{t}=1$ then, we will get $\alpha=48 ; \beta=29$.

$$
\text { So, } \left.\begin{array}{l}
55 n-91 k=m+17 \\
55 \cdot 48-91 \cdot 29=1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here we get $\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}55 n-91 k=m+17 \\ 55 \cdot 48(m+17)-91 \cdot 29(m+17)=m+17\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}n=91 t+48(m+17) \\ k=55 t+29(m+17)\end{array}\right\}$ (16) (t $\left.t \in z\right)$

$$
(55 \cdot(91 t+48(m+17))+24)-(91 \cdot(55 t+29(m+17))+41)=m
$$

$$
(5005 t+2640(m+17)+24)-(5005 t+2639(m+17)+41)=m
$$

In (17) by putting numbers of $-4 ;-3 ;-2 ;-1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ and 4 for $m$, we can write proper differences as following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}=-4 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+34344)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+34348)=-4 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=-3 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+36984)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+36987)=-3 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=-2 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+39624)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+39626)=-2 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=-1 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+42264)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+42265)=-1 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=0 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+44904)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+44904)=0 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=1 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+47544)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+47543)=1 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=2 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+50184)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+50182)=2 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=3 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+52824)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+52821)=3 \\
& \mathrm{~m}=4 \Rightarrow(5005 \mathrm{t}+55464)-(5005 \mathrm{t}+55460)=4
\end{aligned}
$$

If we simplify the numbers by eliminating the multiples of 5005 , then we will get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (5005 t+4314)-(5005 t+4318)=-4 \\
& (5005 t+1949)-(5005 t+1952)=-3 \\
& (5005 t+4589)-(5005 t+4591)=-2 \\
& (5005 t+2224)-(5005 t+2225)=-1 \\
& (5005 t+2499)-(5005 t+2498)=1 \\
& (5005 t+134)-(5005 t+132)=2 \\
& (5005 t+2774)-(5005 t+2771)=3 \\
& (5005 t+409)-(5005 t+405)=4
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
4318+405=1952+2771=4591+132=2225+2498=4723
$$

As a conclusion, unchanged sum which is equal to 4723 shows that there is the same density among the numbers in parentheses such as $55 t+24$ and $91 t+41$ when they are in an ascending order.

and 4589: 4591
difference 2
The order of the differences will be repeated periodically as below, if we add 5005t to each of these numbers, where $t \in N$ :

$$
2 ; 4 ; 3 ; 1 ; 1 ; 3 ; 4 ; 2
$$

Now, let's look at general case, to the expressions of pqt $t_{1}+a$ and $p_{1} q_{1} t_{2}+b$ whose coefficients of $t$ are mutually prime numbers in Table 4. Let's say (pqt ${ }_{1}+\mathrm{a}$ )$\left(p_{1} q_{1} t_{2}+b\right)=m$. Here, $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are variables, $p ; q ; p_{1} ; q_{1} \in N$, $m ; a ; b \in z$. And $\operatorname{GCF}\left(\mathrm{pq} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)=1$.

Let's indicate the inequality as $\mathrm{pqt}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}, \operatorname{GCF}\left(\mathrm{pq} ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}\right)=1 \Rightarrow \alpha, \beta \in N$, so there are numbers such as $\alpha$ and $\beta$, where $p q \alpha-p_{1} q_{1} \beta=1$.

Therefore, $\mathrm{pq} \alpha(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})-\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \beta(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}$.
From here,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pqt}_{1}-\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a} \\
& \mathrm{pq} \alpha(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})-\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \beta(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, $\mathrm{t}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\alpha(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}) ; \mathrm{t}_{2}=\mathrm{pqt}+\beta(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})$. Here $t \in z$.
If we consider $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ in $\left(\mathrm{pqt}_{1}+\mathrm{a}\right)-\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{b}\right)=\mathrm{m}$, then $\left(\mathrm{pqp}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pq}(\alpha(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}))+\mathrm{a}\right)-$ $\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{pq}+\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}(\beta(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{b})=\mathrm{m}\right.$.

By substituting m with (-m) we will get
$\left(\mathrm{pqp}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pq}(\alpha(-\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}))+\mathrm{a}\right)-\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{pq}+\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1}(\beta(-\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}))+\mathrm{b}\right)=-\mathrm{m}$.
If $\mathrm{x}_{-\mathrm{m}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}$ are coordinates, where
$\mathrm{X}_{-\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{pqp}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pq}(\alpha(-\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}))+\mathrm{a}$
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{pqp}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pq}(\alpha(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a}))+\mathrm{a}$
then $\mathrm{X}_{-\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{m}}=2\left(\mathrm{pqp}_{1} \mathrm{q}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{pq}(\alpha(\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{a})+\mathrm{a})\right.$ will not depend on m .

So, our conclusion for specific case is also true for general case.
The sum is not dependent on m . So, the sum for $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ in any m is the same. Therefore, difference of the proper numbers will be 1 only if the coefficients of $t$ are mutually prime numbers in any two expressions in Table 4. Also, the number of expressions is the same whose differences is $1,2,3,4, \ldots \mathrm{~m}$, where the length of interval is $\operatorname{pqp}_{1} q_{1}$. We have showed that the difference of two proper numbers is not 1 , if the coefficients of $t$ are not mutually prime numbers in any two expressions in Table 4. So, we came to the following conclusion :
Conclusion 5: In Table 3, in the series of (13) where the numbers in parentheses are in an ascending order, the number of consecutive numbers, whose difference is 4 , are much more than the number of consecutive numbers whose difference is 1 .
Conclusion 6: In each column, in Table 3, number of the numbers in parentheses (except repeated numbers in the columns which are in left) are not greater than number of remains in the column.

So, number of the numbers until 2 n are not greater than (2n-19): 2 ,or $\mathrm{n}-9$ in the series of (13). Therefore, when they are in (10) and (11), there will not be any number such as ( m ) at least in 9 rows.

Now let's solve the second problem which we indicated in the first page. Addends are the numbers from 1 to $2 \mathrm{n}-1$ in (10), and the numbers from 1 to 2 n in (11). We showed that, number of those which belong to (13) are not greater than $n-9$. Then, in each of the series of (10) and (11), there are at least 9 rows which none of two addends in these rows exists in (13). Thus, appropriate $\left(k_{1}\right) s$ and $\left(k_{2}\right) s$ for those rows would be in following cases:
$\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} \in \mathrm{~m}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~m}_{4} \Rightarrow 6 \mathrm{k}_{1}-1,6 \mathrm{k}_{2}-1$ are prime numbers
I
$\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} \in \mathrm{~m}_{2} \cup \mathrm{~m}_{3} \Rightarrow 6 \mathrm{k}_{1}+1,6 \mathrm{k}_{2}+1$ are prime numbers
II $\left.\begin{array}{l}k_{1} \in m_{1} \cup m_{4} \\ k_{2} \in m_{2} \cup m_{3}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow 6 k_{1}-1,6 k_{2}+1$ are prime numbers
IIII $\left.\begin{array}{l}k_{1} \in m_{2} \cup m_{3} \\ k_{2} \in m_{1} \cup m_{4}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow 6 k_{1}+1,6 k_{2}-1$ are prime numbers

IV $\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}k_{1} \in m_{1} \cup m_{4} \\ k_{2} \notin \text { Table } 3\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}6 k_{1}-1 \\ 6 k_{2}-1 \\ 6 k_{2}+1\end{array}\right\}$ are prime numbers
$\left.\left.\mathrm{V} \begin{array}{l}k_{1} \in m_{2} \cup m_{3} \\ k_{2} \notin \text { Table } 3\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}6 k_{1}+1 \\ 6 k_{2}-1 \\ 6 k_{2}+1\end{array}\right\}$ are prime numbers
VI $\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}k_{1} \notin \text { Table } 3 \\ k_{2} \in m_{1} \cup m_{4}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}6 k_{1}-1 \\ 6 k_{1}+1 \\ 6 k_{2}-1\end{array}\right\}$ are prime numbers
VII $\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}k_{1} \notin \text { Table3 } \\ k_{2} \in m_{2} \cup m_{3}\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}6 k_{1}-1 \\ 6 k_{1}+1 \\ 6 k_{2}+1\end{array}\right\}$ are prime numbers
VIII $k_{1} ; k_{2} \notin$ Table $\left.3 \Rightarrow \begin{array}{c}6 k_{1}-1 \\ 6 k_{1}+1 \\ 6 k_{2}-1 \\ 6 k_{2}+1\end{array}\right\}$ are prime numbers
If we consider I - VIII in (1), we will indicate that any even number greater than 8 is a sum of two prime numbers.
And now, let's show solution of the first problem. We indicated in the solution of previous problem that, any number which doesn't exist in Table 3, determines one pair of twin primes. That's why, indicating that the numbers which do not exist in Table 3 are infinite, is a solution of the problem.

In Table 3, let us assign the set of numbers which are not in the columns of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \mathrm{n}-1 ; 5 \mathrm{n}+1 \text { as } \mathrm{A}_{5} ; \\
& 7 \mathrm{n}-1 ; 7 \mathrm{n}+1 \text { as } \mathrm{A}_{7} ; \\
& 11 \mathrm{n}-2 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+2 \text { as } \mathrm{A}_{11} ; \\
& 13 \mathrm{n}-2 ; 13 \mathrm{n}+2 \text { as } \mathrm{A}_{13} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that,
$\mathrm{A}_{7}=\{7 \mathrm{n} ; 7 \mathrm{n}+2 ; 7 \mathrm{n}+3 ; 7 \mathrm{n}+4 ; 7 \mathrm{n}+5\}$
$\mathrm{A}_{11}=\{11 \mathrm{n} ; 11 \mathrm{n}+1 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+3 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+4 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+5 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+6 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+7 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+8 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+10\}$

Let's write sets of $\mathrm{A}_{5} ; \mathrm{A}_{7} ; \mathrm{A}_{11} ; \mathrm{A}_{13} \ldots$ more generally:
$\mathrm{A}_{5}=5 \mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{1}=\{0 ; 2 ; 3\} ; n_{1} \in N$
$\mathrm{A}_{7}=7 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}=\{0 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5\} ; n_{2} \in N$
$\mathrm{A}_{11}=11 \mathrm{n}_{3}+\mathrm{p}_{3} ; \mathrm{p}_{3}=\{0 ; 1 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 10\} ; n_{3} \in N$
$\mathrm{A}_{13}=13 \mathrm{n}_{4}+\mathrm{p}_{4} ; \mathrm{p}_{4}=\{0 ; 1 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 9 ; 10 ; 12\} ; n_{4} \in N$

It is obvious that $A_{5} \cap A_{7}$ is a set of natural numbers which do not exist in the first four columns of Table3, and $\mathrm{A}_{5} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{7} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{11}$ is a set of natural numbers which do not exist in the first six columns of Table3.

Firstly, let us find a general formula for the numbers in $\mathrm{A}_{5} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{7}$.

$$
5 \mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{1}=7 \mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{p}_{2} \Rightarrow 5 \mathrm{n}_{1}-7 \mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{p}_{2}-\mathrm{p}_{1}
$$

Since $\operatorname{GCF}(5 ; 7)=1$, for the variables $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, we can always find solutions with natural numbers for this equation. When solving the second problem, we have showed the solution of this kind of problems by using Euclid algorithm.

It is clear that, $\alpha=3 ; \beta=2$, in the equation of $5 \alpha-7 \beta=1$. From here, $5 \cdot 3-7 \cdot 2=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { So, } \left.\left.\begin{array}{l}
5 n_{1}-7 n_{2}=p_{2}-p_{1} \\
5 \cdot 3\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)-7 \cdot 2\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)=p_{2}-p_{1}
\end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
n_{1}=7 t+3\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right) \\
n_{2}=5 t+2\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\} t \in z \\
& \text { Then, } 5 n_{1}+p_{1}=7 n_{2}+p_{2}=5\left(7 t+3\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)+p_{1} \Rightarrow\right. \\
& \Rightarrow 5 n_{1}+p_{1}=7 n_{2}+p_{2}=35 t+15 p_{2}-14 p_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result we get, $\mathrm{p}_{1}=\{0 ; 2 ; 3\} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}=\{0 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5\}$ and when $t \in z$ then the numbers which belong to $A_{5} \cap A_{7}$ are found with the formula of $x(t)=35 t+15 p_{2}-14 p_{1}$ (19). Here $t \in z, x(t) \in N$.

While $n\left(A_{5}\right)=3 ; n\left(A_{7}\right)=5$, then we can consider possible values of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ in (19) and since $n\left(A_{5}\right) \cdot n\left(A_{7}\right)=3 \cdot 5=15$, then we will get 15 different expressions of $x(t)$ as following:

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
p_{2}=0 \Rightarrow 35 t & p_{2}=0 \Rightarrow 35 t+7 & p_{2}=0 \Rightarrow 35 t+28 \\
p_{2}=2 \Rightarrow 35 t+30 & p_{2}=2 \Rightarrow 35 t+2 & p_{2}=2 \Rightarrow 35 t+23 \\
\text { I } \mathrm{p}_{1}=0 & p_{2}=3 \Rightarrow 35 t+10 \\
p_{2}=4 \Rightarrow 35 t+25 & \text { II p p }=2 & p_{2}=3 \Rightarrow 35 t+17 \\
p_{2}=5 \Rightarrow 35 t+5 & p_{2}=4 \Rightarrow 35 t+32 & \text { III p p }=3 \\
p_{2}=3 \Rightarrow 35 t+3 \\
p_{2}=5 \Rightarrow 35 t+12 & p_{2}=4 \Rightarrow 35 t+18 \\
p_{2}=5 \Rightarrow 35 t+33
\end{array}
$$

The multiples of 35 have been omitted in the expressions.
We can indicate all of fifteen expressions of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ in a short form with following formula :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=35 t+a ; a=\{0,2,3,5,7,10,12,17,18,23,25,28,30,32,33\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from the formula that, there are infinite number of natural numbers in Table 3 which do not exist in the columns of $5 n \mp 1 ; 7 n \mp 1$.

And now let's show that there are infinite number of natural numbers in $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$, which do or do not exist in the columns of $11 \mathrm{n}-2 ; 11 \mathrm{n}+2 n \geq 2$ in Table 3. We can write the numbers in 5 th and 6 th columns as $11 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q} k \geq 2 k \in N$ and $\mathrm{q}=\{-2 ; 2\}$.If we solve the expression of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})=35 \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{a}$ which do not exist in the first four columns of Table 3 , by writing as $35 \mathrm{t}+\mathrm{a}=11 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}$, then we will get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=385 t+176 a-175 q \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the values of a and q , we will see that there are infinite number of solutions of this equation. So, we get that there are infinite number of numbers in the 5th and 6th columns of table in $x(t)$. And now let's show that there are infinite number of numbers in $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})$ (in other words $\mathrm{A}_{5} \cap \mathrm{~A}_{7}$ ) which do not exist in the 5th and 6th columns of Table 3. In order to do so, we must solve the equation of $35 t+a=11 n_{3}+p_{3}$ using the value of $a$ in (20), and the value of $p_{3}$ in (18). The solution will be as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=385 t+176 a-175 p_{3} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that there will be infinite number of numbers in $z(t)$.
So, we came to the following conclusion:

Conclusion 7: There are infinite number of natural numbers which do not exist in the first four columns of Table 3 and those which do or do not exist in next two columns are also infinite.

It is clear from the process that, there will always be solution for each created linear equation with two variables because the coefficients of the variables will be mutually prime numbers. If we continue the process rightward, then we will get the following conclusion:

Conclusion 8: There are infinite number of numbers which do not exist in Table 3. And this shows that, there are infinite number of twin primes.

Both of the problems have been solved.
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