Conservation of Entanglement ?

Elemér E Rosinger

eerosinger@hotmail.com Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics

University of Pretoria Pretoria 0002 South Africa

Dedicated to Marie-Louise Nykamp

Abstract

Recently, [3], it was shown that in certain composite quantum systems with time independent potentials, the extent of the entanglement in an initial state is conserved during the time evolution under the Schrödinger equation, and thus in the absence of any measurement. Here the *extent* of entanglement is meant in the sense of the *grading* function introduced and studied in [1,2]. Based on the celebrated Stone theorem on one parameter groups of unitary operators on Hilbert spaces, the question is raised whether the mentioned conservation of the extent entanglement may hold for composite quantum systems with *arbitrary* potential.

1. Preliminaries

Recently, [1, 2], a non-negative integer valued grading function was considered on tensor products in order to distinguish between nonentangled and entangled elements. The essential property of this grading function is that it gives the *minimally* entangled expression for all entangled elements in a tensor product. A main interest in such a minimal entanglement is in the study of the *variation* of that minimum when the respective elements are *time dependent*, like for instance, when we have a composite quantum system and its state evolves according to a corresponding Schrödinger equation, and does so in the absence of any measurement.

The general case, obviously, is that of the study of entanglement dynamics in arbitrary dynamical systems which evolve in a *tensor product*. It appears that such a case has not been considered so far, not even in the particular situation of composite quantum systems.

In [2], a brief mention of such a *dynamics of entanglement* was made, based on earlier unpublished work of the present author. Here, some of the related details are now presented.

For convenience, first we recall here briefly the way this grading function classifies entangled elements. Namely, the larger the grade of such an element, the higher the extent to which it is entangled, and of course, the other way round. In essence, this is done as follows. Let X and Y be two vector spaces over a field \mathbb{K} , then we define

$$(1.1) \qquad gr: X \bigotimes Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

where for $u \in X \bigotimes Y$, we have

(1.2)
$$gr(u) = \min\{ n \mid u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i, x_i \in X, y_i \in Y \}$$

with the convention that $gr(0 \otimes 0) = 0$.

One of the relevant results is that, given $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \in X \bigotimes Y$, then

(1.3)
$$gr(u) = \min\{k, h\}$$

where k and h are, respectively, the dimensions of the linear span of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ in X, and of $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ in Y.

In particular, $u \in X \bigotimes Y$ is not entangled, if and only if $gr(u) \leq 1$.

Clearly, gr(u) can be computed by well known methods in linear al-

gebra, for instance, methods which give the rank of a matrix.

Also, if X and Y are finite dimensional, then for $u \in X \bigotimes Y$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad gr(u) \le \min\{\dim X, \dim Y\}$$

A specific feature of the grading function (1.1) - (1.3) is that it is defined exclusively in terms of the respective tensor product $X \bigotimes Y$, and in view of (1.3), in fact, in terms of X and Y alone.

As for obtaining for a given

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \in X \bigotimes Y$$

a corresponding *minimum* representation

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_j \otimes v_j \in X \bigotimes Y$$

where $m = gr(u) \leq n$, we have the following result, see [1].

Proposition 1.1.

Let X and Y be two vector spaces over a field \mathbb{K} , and let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \otimes y_i \in X \bigotimes Y$. If

$$(1.5) \qquad gr(u) = m < n,$$

- (1.6) the dimension of the linear span of $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is m, and it is less or equal with the dimension of the linear span of $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$,
- (1.7) $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ are linearly independent

then

(1.8)
$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes v_i$$

where

(1.9) $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ is linearly independent, and it is contained in the linear span of $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$

Furthermore, as seen next in the Proof, one can obtain an *explicit* expression for the linearly independent vectors $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$, as seen in (1.10) below.

Proof.

In view of (1.6), (1.7), we have

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_{j,i} x_i, \quad m < j \le n$$

where $\mu_{j,i} \in \mathbb{K}$. Hence

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes y_i + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{j,i} x_i \otimes y_j =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes y_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \mu_{j,i} x_i \otimes y_j =$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \otimes (y_i + \sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \mu_{j,i} y_j)$$

Consequently

(1.10)
$$v_i = y_i + \sum_{j=m+1}^n \mu_{j,i} y_j, \quad 1 \le i \le m$$

and $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ must be linearly independent in view of (1.8), (1.5).

In this paper the above grading function will be applied to the study of the dynamics of composite quantum systems. Namely, let X, Y be complex Hilbert spaces and let S be a quantum system with the state space $X \bigotimes Y$. Then its evolution is given by a one parameter family of *unitary* operators U(t), with $t \in [0, \infty)$, where

$$(1.11) \qquad X \bigotimes Y \ni |\psi\rangle \longmapsto U(t)(|\psi\rangle) \in X \bigotimes Y$$

Namely, given any preparation $|\psi_0\rangle$ of the system S at time t = 0, then the state of the system at a time moment $t \ge 0$ will be

(1.12)
$$|\psi_t \rangle = U(t)(|\psi_0 \rangle)$$

The *problem* under study in this paper is as follows. We obviously have

(1.13)
$$|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n(0)} x_i(0) \otimes y_i(0) \in X \bigotimes Y$$

while, for $t \ge 0$, we shall have

(1.14)
$$|\psi_t \rangle = U(t)(|\psi_0 \rangle) = \sum_{i=1}^{n(t)} u_i(t) \otimes v_i(t) \in X \bigotimes Y$$

where both n(0) and n(t) are supposed to be *minimal*, namely, we assume that

$$(1.15) \quad gr(|\psi_0\rangle) = n(0)$$

$$(1.16) \quad gr(|\psi_t >) = n(t)$$

and note that n(t) may in general be a *variable* non-negative interger, depending on the time t.

Thus in general

- the state $|\psi_t\rangle$ of the composite system S at any moment of time $t \ge 0$ may be *entangled*, namely, whenever $gr(|\psi_t\rangle) = n(t) \ge 2$,
- the extent $gr(|\psi_t \rangle) = n(t)$ of that entanglement may vary from one moment of time to another.

We therefore intend to study this variation of the *extent of entanglement*, which in terms of the above notation, is given by the mapping

$$(1.17) \quad [0,\infty\} \ni t \longrightarrow gr(|\psi_t\rangle) \in \mathbb{N}$$

that is, do so with the help of the grading function gr.

Here one can note from the beginning that, since the grading function gr only takes non-negative integer values, the mapping (1.15) will in general have *discontinuities*. And the closer study of these discontinuities can have mathematical, as well as quantum physical interest.

Let us therefore give a seemingly general definition, as follows :

Definition 1.1.

We call *entanglement dynamics* the situation when given a regular enough, for instance, continuous mapping

$$(1.18) \qquad \mathbb{R} \ni t \longmapsto F(t) \in X \otimes Y$$

with

(1.19)
$$F(t) = x_1(t) \otimes y_1(t) + \ldots + x_{n(t)}(t) \otimes y_{n(t)}(t)$$

where

(1.20)
$$gr(F(t)) = n(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

there may occur a variation in n(t), as t ranges over \mathbb{R} .

Remark 1.1.

It is important to clarify the necessary minimal complexity of the notation in (2.4) in the sequel, used for the general form of the solution F(t) of an evolution equation (2.1) - (2.3) in a tensor product. Namely, given two moments of time $0 \le t_1 < t_2$, we obviously have in general

$$(1.21) \quad F(t_1) = a_1 \otimes b_1 + \dots + a_n \otimes b_n, \quad F(t_2) = c_1 \otimes d_1 + \dots + c_m \otimes d_m$$

where $a_i, c_j \in X$, $b_i, d_j \in Y$. Now obviously, a_i, b_i and n may depend on t_1 , while c_j, d_j and m may depend on t_2 .

It follows therefore that the notation in (2.4) for the general form of

the solution F(t) is minimal in its complexity, although is may be replaced, in case it would be convenient, with the equally minimally complex notation

$$(1.22) F(t) = x_{t, 1} \otimes y_{t, 1} + \ldots + x_{t, n(t)} \otimes y_{t, n(t)}$$

It should be noted that it is the novelty of dynamical systems in tensor products which leads to the usefulness of such a clarification. Dynamical systems in Cartesian products, thus corresponding to classical and not quantum - composite systems, have a well established and considerably simpler notation for the evolution of their states.

2. A Simple Instance of Possible Entanglement Dynamics

We recall that the evolution of quantum systems which are not subject to measurement is supposed to take place according to the Schrödinger equation. In other words, the state $|\psi\rangle$ of a quantum system - a state which is a vector in a suitable Hilbert space H, and which is a square integrable function on a corresponding configuration space given by a finite dimensional Euclidian space E - satisfies a linear partial differential equation, namely the Schrödinger equation, in which the independent variables are the time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, as well as the coordinates $x \in E$ of the respective configuration space.

Our interest here being in *entanglement dynamics*, see its definition at the end of this section, we focus on composite quantum systems which, therefore, have their state space given by suitable tensor products.

At the same time, however, the core of the development to follow can easily be extended to general dynamical systems in tensor product spaces.

In view of the above, however, it will help first to have a look at the following more general mathematical formulations of the entanglement dynamics. Indeed, the Schrödinger equation is, in the language of partial differential equations, an *evolution equation*, and then, it can be written as a first order differential equation in the time t, which describes a dynamics taking place in a suitable space of functions in the coordinates $x \in E$ of the corresponding configuration space E of the quantum system considered. And this space of functions is in fact the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(E)$.

Here however, it will be convenient to star by considering the evolution equations in the more general Banach spaces, and at the convenient stages, to return to the particular case of Hilbert spaces.

Let therefore (X, || ||), (Y, || ||) be two Banach spaces over a field K. In particular, they can be finite dimensional Euclidean spaces. We first consider *autonomous* first order ODEs in the tensor product space $X \bigotimes Y$, namely of the form

(2.1)
$$dF(t)/dt = A(F(t)), \quad t \in [0,\infty)$$

where

$$(2.2) \qquad [0,\infty) \ni t \longmapsto F(t) \in X \bigotimes Y$$

while

$$(2.3) \qquad A: X \bigotimes Y \longrightarrow X \bigotimes Y$$

The problem is that, in terms of X and Y, the solution of (2.1) - (2.3) will in general be of the form

(2.4)
$$F(t) = x_1(t) \otimes y_1(t) + \ldots + x_{n(t)}(t) \otimes y_{n(t)}(t)$$

And it is quite likely that $x_i(t) \in X$, $y_i(t) \in Y$, as well as $n(t) \in \mathbb{N}$, do indeed all of them depend on t. Thus the situation is of considerable difficulty, since (2.4) means that the ODE in (2.1) - (2.3), when considered in terms of X and Y, will have a *variable* number of unknowns and equations. Furthermore, the representation of the solution F(t)in (2.4) is not unique.

Of course, when instead of (2.1) - (2.4), we have the *classical*, and not quantum, case of the composition of two systems with the respective state spaces X and Y, namely

$$(2.5) \qquad [0,\infty) \ni t \longmapsto F(t) \in X \times Y$$

then instead of (2.4) we have the much simpler form of solution, given by

$$(2.6) F(t) = (x(t), y(t)) \in X \times Y$$

and thus we simply have a usual system of two ODEs in $X \times Y$, which avoids the possibility of a *variable* number of unknown functions - and thus, equations - as it may in general happen in (2.4).

3. Conservation of the Extent of Entanglement in the Case of a Simple Composite Quantum System

Let us consider two one dimensional quantum systems S and T, with the respective state spaces $X = Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then their composite quantum system Q will have the state space $Z = X \bigotimes Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Correspondingly, the evolution of the composite quantum system Q is given by the Schrödinger equation

(3.1)
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,y,t) = -\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + V(x,y,t)\right]\psi(x,y,t)$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$, where at any moment of time t, the state of the composite system is given by $|\psi_t\rangle \in Z = X \bigotimes Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}).$

Clearly, (3.1) is of the form (2.1) - (2.3), where $A(|\psi_t\rangle)$ is the right-hand term in (3.1), divided by the constant $i\hbar$.

Now a general *initial condition* for (2.1) - (2.3) is of the form

(3.2)

$$\psi(x, y, 0) = a(x, y) =$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le n} b_j(x) \otimes c_j(y) \in Z = X \bigotimes Y = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}) \bigotimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$$

where $b_j(x) \in X$, $c_j(y) \in Y$. And in view of (1.4), n in (3.2) can be arbitrary large, since X and Y are infinite dimensional vector spaces.

Clearly, the evolution of the composite quantum system Q will exhibit *entanglement dynamics*, if and only if we shall have

$$(3.3) \qquad gr(a(x,y)) \neq gr(|\psi_t\rangle)$$

for some $t \in (0, \infty)$.

For convenience, let us consider in (3.1) the usual case of the *time* independent potential V, namely

(3.4)
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,y,t) = -\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + V(x,y)\right]\psi(x,y,t)$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$. In this case, as is well known, certain solutions of (3.4) can be obtained by the method of *separation of variables*, as follows. Let us look for a solution of the form

(3.5)
$$\psi(x, y, t) = f(t) g(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$$

then (3.4) gives

$$\left[i\hbar\frac{df(t)}{dt}\right]g(x,y) = -f(t)\left\{\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + V(x,y)\right]g(x,y)\right\}$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$. Thus

$$\left[i\hbar\frac{df(t)}{dt}\right]/f(t) = -\left\{\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + V(x,y)\right]g(x,y)\right\}/g(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, for which $\psi(x, y, t) = f(t) g(x, y) \neq 0$.

However, the left hand term above does not depend on x or y, while the right hand term does not depend on t. Hence, for certain $E \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain

(3.6)
$$\left[i\hbar\frac{df(t)}{dt}\right] = Ef(t)$$

(3.7)
$$-\left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right) + V(x,y)\right]g(x,y) = Eg(x,y)$$

whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty), \ \psi(x, y, t) = f(t) g(x, y) \neq 0.$

Now (3.6) gives

(3.8)
$$f(t) = f(0) \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et), \quad t \in [0, \infty)$$

while (3.7) yields certain solutions given by functions $\chi(x, y)$, with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

It follows, that among the solutions of (3.1), (3.2) are those of the form

(3.9)
$$\psi(x, y, t) = \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et) a(x, y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$$

where $E \in \mathbb{C}$. Needless to say, due to superposition, solutions of (3.1), (3.2) will also be given by

(3.10)
$$\psi(x,y,t) = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_j t\right) \left[b_j(x) \otimes c_j(y)\right]$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, t \in [0, \infty)$.

Since obviously $\exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}Et) \neq 0$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$, it follows that, for solutions (3.9), we obtain

(3.11)
$$gr(\psi(x, y, t)) = gr(\sum_{1 \le i \le n} b_i(x) \otimes c_i(y)) = gr(a(x, y))$$

with $t \in [0, \infty)$, thus according to (3.3), in the case of a *time independent* potential V, the composite quantum system Q does *not* exhibit an entanglement dynamics, in certain cases.

4. The Stone Theorem

Let E be a Hilbert space and U_t , with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a strongly continuous group of unitary operators on H. Then there exists a self-adjoint operator H on E, such that

$$(4.1) U_t = \exp(itH), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Conversely, given the Schödinger equation

(4.2)
$$i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi_t = H\psi_t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \ \psi_t \in E$$

where H is a self-adjoint Hamiltonian, then the solution is given by the strongly continuous group of unitary operators U_t on H, with $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in (4.1) according to

$$(4.3) \qquad \psi_t = U_t \psi_0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

5. Is the Extent of Entaglement Conserved in Composite Quantum Systems ?

The above, and in particular, the affirmative result in section 3, leads to the

Question :

Given a composite Hilbert space $E = F \otimes G$ and a strongly continuous group of unitary operators U_t on E, with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, given $\psi \in H$. Is then the case that :

(5.1)
$$gr(U_t\psi) = gr(\psi), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$
?

6. Many Paticle Quantum Systems : Special Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation

Let be given a one dimensional quantum system of n particles with the respective masses m_1, \ldots, m_n and coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding Schrödinger equation in the configuration space \mathbb{R}^n is

(6.1)
$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(t,x) = -\hbar^2 [\partial_{x_1^2}^2/(2m_1) + \dots + \partial_{x_n^2}^2/(2m_n)]\psi(t,x) + V(t,x)\psi(t,x), \quad t \in [0,\infty), \ x = (x_1,\dots,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Let us consider the case, which covers a large variety of instances of interest, when the potential V is of the form

(6.2)
$$V(t,x) = V(x) = V_1(x_1) + \dots + V_n(x_n), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

thus it does not depend on $t \in [0, \infty)$, and it is separable in $x_1, ..., x_n$.

We shall be looking for solutions of (6.1), (6.2) which are linear superpositions of solutions with separable variables, that is, of the form

(6.3)
$$\psi(t,x) = f(t)g_1(x_1)...g_n(x_n), t \in [0,\infty), x = (x_1,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then introducing ψ in the Schrödinger equation (6.1), we obtain

$$i\hbar f'(t)g_1(x_1)...g(x_n) = -\hbar^2 [f(t)(g_1)''(x_1)g_2(x_2)...g_n(x_n)/(2m_1) + ... + f(t)g_1(x_1)...g_{n-1}(x_{n-1})(g_n)''(x_n)/(2m_n)] + V(x)f(t)g_1(x_1)...g(x_n), \quad t \in [0,\infty), \ x = (x_1,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Assuming now that $\psi(t, x) = f(t)g_1(x_1)...g_n(x_n) \neq 0$, for $t \in [0, \infty)$, $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and dividing by $\psi(t, x)$, it follows that

(6.4)
$$i\hbar[f'(t)/f(t)] =$$

= $-\hbar^2\{\{(g_1)''(x_1)/[2m_1g_1(x_1)]\}+\ldots+\{(g_n)''(x_n)/[2m_ng_n(x_n)]\}\}+$
 $+V(x), \quad t \in [0,\infty), \ x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

and the left hand term does not depend on x, while the right hand term does not depend on t, thus both terms are constant, say, $E \in \mathbb{C}$, which does not depend either on t, or on x.

Thus we obtain the linear first order ODE

(6.5)
$$i\hbar[f'(t)/f(t)] = E, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

as well as the PDE

(6.6)
$$-\hbar^2 \{ \{ (g_1)''(x_1) / [2m_1g_1(x_1)] \} + \ldots + \{ (g_n)''(x_n) / [2m_ng_n(x_n)] \} \} +$$

$$+V(x) = E, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

The ODE (6.6) has a well known solution

(6.7)
$$f(t) = A \exp\{[(-iE)/\hbar]\}t$$

with $A \in \mathbb{C}$ being an arbitrary constant.

As for the PDE (6.6), we note that it can be written as

(6.8)
$$-\hbar^{2}\{(g_{1})''(x_{1})/[2m_{1}g_{1}(x_{1})]\} + V_{1}(x_{1}) =$$
$$= \hbar^{2}\{(g_{2})''(x_{2})/[2m_{2}g_{2}(x_{2})] + \ldots + (g_{n})''(x_{n})/[2m_{n}g_{n}(x_{n})]\} +$$
$$+ [E - V_{2}(x_{2}) - \ldots - V_{n}(x_{n})], \quad x = (x_{1}, ..., x_{n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

thus the left hand term does not depend on $x_2, ..., x_n$, while the right hand term does not depend on x_1 , therefore both terms are a constant, say, E_1 . And then we obtain the linear second order ODE in g_1 , namely

(6.9)
$$-\hbar^2 \{ (g_1)''(x_1) / [2m_1g_1(x_1)] \} + V_1(x_1) = E_1, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

or in more customary form

(6.10)
$$\hbar^2[(g_1)''(x_1)] + 2m_1[V_1(x_1) - E_1]g_1(x_1) = 0, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

which has the well known solution

$$(6.11) g_1(x_1) = c_{1,1}g_{1,1}(x_1) + c_{1,2}g_{1,2}(x_1), x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $c_{1,1}, c_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants, while $g_{1,1}, g_{1,2} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two linearly independent solutions of (6.9). Furthermore, due to Abel's Theorem, the Wronskian

(6.12)
$$W_{g_{1,1},g_{1,2}}(x_1) = \begin{vmatrix} g_{1,1}(x_1) & g_{1,2}(x_1) \\ g'_{1,1}(x_1) & g'_{1,2}(x_1) \end{vmatrix} = D_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Obvoiously, in a similar manner, we can obtain $g_2, ..., g_n$, namely, for

 $2 \leq k \leq n$, we have

(6.13)
$$g_k(x_k) = c_{k,1}g_{k,1}(x_k) + c_{k,2}g_{k,2}(x_k), \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $c_{k,1}, c_{k,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ are arbitrary constants, while $g_{k,1}, g_{k,2} : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are two linearly independent solutions of

(6.14)
$$\hbar^2[(g_k)''(x_k)] + 2m_k[V_k(x_k) - E_k]g_k(x_k) = 0, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

Furthermore, due to Abel's Theorem, the Wronskian

(6.15)
$$W_{g_{k,1},g_{k,2}}(x_k) = \begin{vmatrix} g_{k,1}(x_k) & g_{k,2}(x_k) \\ g'_{k,1}(x_k) & g'_{k,2}(x_k) \end{vmatrix} = D_1 \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

Lastly, (6.6), (6.8), (6.9) yield

$$(6.16) E_1 + E_2 + \ldots + E_n = E$$

In conclusion, in view of (6.3), the *genera* wave function solution of the Schrödinger equation (6.1), (6.2) is

(6.17)
$$\psi(t,x) =$$
$$= A \exp \{ [(-iE)/\hbar] \} t [c_{1,1}g_{1,1}(x_1) + c_{1,2}g_{1,2}(x_1)] \dots$$
$$\dots [c_{n,1}g_{n,1}(x_n) + c_{n,2}g_{n,2}(x_n)],$$
$$t \in [0,\infty), \ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

References

- [1] Khrennikov A, Rosinger E E, Van Zyl A : Graded Tensor Products and the Problem of Tensor Grade Computation and Reduction. http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00717662, http://vixra.org/abs/1207.0050
- [2] Khrennikov A, Rosinger E E, Van Zyl A : Graded Tensor Products and Entanglement. Foundations of Prophability and Physics

- 6 (Eds. M D'Ariano, et.al.), AIPI Conference Proceedings 1424, Vaxjo, Sweden, 14-16 June 2011, pp. 189-194

[3] Rosinger E E : Entanglement Dynamics : Application to Quantum Systems. http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00746957, http://vixra.org/abs/1210.0121