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Abstract
The Theory of Everything is The Holy Grail of Science. Scientists all over the world are searching for it. Today only three out of four known forces are somewhat unified. Gravitational interaction is a freak without adequate explanation. This paper shows that there is an adequate theory for it. As a bonus, paper presents The Theory of Everything. Presented theory is testable.
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Theory of Everything by illusion

Theory of Everything by illusion (ToEbi) demonstrates that gravitation, strong interaction and electromagnetic interactions are generated from the same phenomenon and they are distributed by tiny force transfer ether particles (FTEPs). ToEbi gives equations for force calculations which apply in scale from atomic to astronomical. Theory of Everything by illusion is based on two hypotheses:

- Big Bang created very tiny spiked sphere like objects (physical particles) which vary in sizes.
- Gravitation, strong interactions and electromagnetic interactions between particles or system of particles are purely mechanical (particle collisions and/or particle rotation).

Early Universe formatted particles as we know today. Only tiniest force transfer ether particles (FTEPs) are not detected. These tiniest particles create force transfer ether (FTE) into the universe. All particles rotate (due to Big Bang) and therefore generate movement into FTE. Rotation is the key concept in order to generate movement into FTE but it’s not necessary in order to experience FTE. Moving object experiences surrounding FTE and reacts with it.

Force transfer ether particles

The first hypothesis stated that Big Bang created a very tiny spiked objects (physical particles) which vary in sizes. Current physics can detect many of these particles, like electrons. Exact shape is not known and that’s why we need the first hypothesis. Every particle has tiny spikes. One may think that these spikes are actually the raw material from Big Bang. Because high pressure spikes got entangled with each other and thus created various spiked particles.

At first the smallest particles (FTEPs) survived the pressure. After a while, other particles emerged, like electrons.

From the first hypothesis we can explain for example phenomenon like faster than light breakdown of interference pattern in the double slit experiment. Moving photon generates waves propagated through FTE. Because of the spikes, FTEPs are connected to each other. This pure physical connection causes interference pattern to disappear instantly in case of blocking or in some other way observing slits in the experiment.

Metric

ToEbi is based on spinning particles and their properties. In order to calculate things, within any physics theory, we have to define second. Contemporary definition based on atomic events is an excellent choice. Usage of atomic clock ties the concept of second elegantly with a dynamic system called universe.

During one second, for example, photon moves a certain distance and electron spins \( n \) times around its axis. Spinning phenomenon provides also
the basis for different interactions e.g. gravitational interaction (experienced by mass). All these units, second, kilogram and meter are linked together.

Due to the linkage we postulate ToEbi metric

\[
\frac{kg}{m \ast s} = c \text{ (constant)}
\]

**Energy**

What attributes contribute to particle’s energy at rest (in our reference frame)? Current answer comes from Einstein, rest mass and speed of light. Mass is totally understandable but the speed of light sounds a bit strange. From ToEbi hypothesis only reasonable definition for a particle’s energy is

**First Law of ToEbi**

\[
\vec{E} = m \vec{f}
\]

where \( m \) is the rest mass and \( \vec{f} \) is the spin frequency \((1/s)\) of a particle.

Based on First law of ToEbi we can make the relation between particle’s energy and its kinetic energy

\[
mf = \frac{1}{2}mv^2
\]

so in our reference frame particle’s velocity changes distribute to particle’s rotation frequency.

**ToEbi energy relation**

\[
\Delta f = \frac{1}{2} \Delta v^2
\]

Derived relation is actually quite obvious. What other options a particle has in order to store or release kinetic energy? More on this mechanism in source of inertia chapter. Read also chapter: ToEbi vs. classical mechanics

**Force**

Particles interact with their masses and spin frequencies through the local FTE. Naturally the distance between two particles and their spin vector orientations are significant factors. FTEP flux generated by spinning particle decreases, for purely geometric reasons, in inverse square fashion.

We define **force between two none composite particles as Second law of ToEbi, case 1**

\[
\vec{F} = (G_1 + G_2) \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2} (\cos \alpha \vec{x}_1 + \sin \alpha \vec{x}_2)
\]

where \( M \) is mass, \( \alpha \) is angle between spin vectors, \( r \) is distance between particles (center to center) and

\[
G = \frac{1}{2} f^2 \text{ (G factor)}
\]

where \( f \) is particle spin frequency.
Second law of ToEbi has two unit vectors, \( \vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \). First one is quite obvious, it points towards another particle. Second vector \( \vec{x}_2 \) is a unit vector perpendicular to the plane formed by \( \vec{x}_1 \) and \( \vec{f}_x \) in the direction given by the right hand rule.

Units of particle interaction are

\[
\frac{kg^2}{s^2 \cdot m^2},
\]

which based on ToEbi Metric equals \( c^2 \).

So, the first force component points towards (or away from) another particle and the second force component points perpendicularly to the particle spin axis. If two particles don’t spin in parallel fashion then the second force component makes them fly away.

Second law of ToEbi, case 1 applies to spherical stellar objects as well. Even though the scales differ enormously, the same phenomena are involved in both interaction types.

What would happen if interacting particles had a significantly different masses? Or in a case where a single particle interacts with a planet? What would be differently from the same mass scenario? Composite particles, as well as stellar objects, generate FTEP flux around them, but unlike in the case of electrons, ejected FTEPs have not same kind of heading therefore force between these objects is always attractive.

We define force between particle and more massive spherical system of particles as Second law of ToEbi, case 2

\[
\vec{F} = G_s \frac{M_s M_p}{r^2} (\cos \alpha \vec{x}_1 + \sin \alpha \vec{x}_2)
\]

where \( G_s \) is G factor for a system of particles (SoP), \( M_s \) is mass of a SoP, \( M_p \) is a particle mass and \( \alpha \) is angle between particle spin axis and the plane perpendicular to the line connecting mass points.

Earth’s calculated G factor is \( \approx 6.7347 \times 10^{-11} \frac{1}{s^2} \) which differs from measured gravitational “constant” G approximately 0.9 %. Why is the difference? We have two possible explanations, either Second law of ToEbi doesn’t hold and ToEbi is falsified or Earth as whole doesn’t spin at the same rate than its surface does.

Earth itself is not totally solid sphere, it has different layers and some of these layers are more or less liquid. Such a structure allows different spin frequencies for different layers, for example Earth surface can spin a bit faster than its inner core and so nicely explains the difference between G factor and measured gravitational constant.

Different spin frequencies of layers of a stellar object might give a rise to a magnetic field (Dynamo theory). So if we calculate stellar object specific G factor we have to remember that in reality the actual, measurable, value might differ a bit.

**Gravitation**

Rotation induced force is easily observed with Modified Cavendish Experiment (http://www.sea3000.net/zhuyonghuan/20081009181348.php). Ball
is put near another ball, then by rotating the ball there will be an additional measurable gravitational effect. Experiment on larger mass, like on Earth, effects greatly experiment's results. But still the effect is measurable. The Third Law of ToEbi will handle the dampening effect caused by Earth.

Gravitational constant $G$ is an empirical physical constant which is believed to be universal. In reality, $G$ is unique to each stellar object and its calculated value is (from Second Law of ToEbi)

$$G = \frac{1}{2} f^2$$

where $f$ is spinning frequency of stellar object.

There won’t be an additional gravitational acceleration if we rotate rocks, tires, drills, ourselves, etc on Earth. Objects located on Earth have their particles aligned with Earth’s idealized surface. Object’s spinning won’t increase the total amount of interacting FTEPs with Earth. Some particles in a rotating object experience increased amount of FTEPs while some particles (at the same time) experience reduced amount of FTEPs. Triangle structure of the most common hadron particles (proton, neutron) is presented in their own sections.

![Figure 1: Object rotating horizontally](image)

However, another object on a side of the rotating object experiences increased amount of FTEPs.
Incoming comet, asteroid, spacecraft etc. have considerable mass hence there might be a preferred direction for object’s particles to align with. In principle, we could calculate an additional force generation due to incoming object’s spin frequency.

**Strong interaction**

Strong interaction and strong residual force can be also calculated with the laws of ToEbi. Atom Model and Relativity paper (the latest version is available from [http://www.toebi.com](http://www.toebi.com) site) will cover these aspects in more detailed fashion. Few relevant issues are presented here.

Based on First Law of ToEbi, proton’s (and electron’s) spinning frequency is roughly $8.98755 \times 10^{16} \, 1/s$ at rest on Earth. High spin frequency guarantees very powerful interactions between particles. At the same time there will emerge a repulsion between particles. Repulsion prevents particles to collide and it emerges from colliding FTEPs between particles. At ground state the force of repulsion is equal to the pulling force.

**Electromagnetism**

Naturally electromagnetic interaction can be also calculated with ToEbi laws. Previously classical atom models thought that electrons orbit around the nucleus, just like planets orbit around Sun. It’s very understandable idea after all. In reality, electrons can orbit around the nucleus but they don’t have to. For example, electrons involved within bonds are pretty static. Those electrons function as a buffer between nucleus. High FTE density around a nucleus prevents electrons (in normal conditions) to collide with nucleus.

Changes between different electron orbits (towards nucleus) in an atom causes photon emission. Creation of photon is a physical process. When electron returns to its ground state, it will cause a shock wave of FTEPs toward nucleus. FTEPs get compressed together and a new photon particle is created. We can conclude that photon is a sphere like particle because other particles involved are also sphere like.
Light’s wavelength is actually a presentation of photon’s rotation frequency \( \lambda = \frac{\hbar}{p} \). Frequency depends on how near created photon can get to a nucleus during the compression process and that depends on electrons released potential energy.

**Magnetism**

Material is magnetized, if its free valence electrons have parallel spin vectors. Obviously, direct consequence from this phenomenon is that there can’t be so called magnetic monopolies.

**FTE**

Denser FTE means bigger repulsion between objects. Even our own planet experiences this for example in case of Sun’s effects on radioactive decay rate on Earth. While orbiting Sun, Earth experiences different densities of FTE around Sun (distance varies). In case when Earth is at nearest to Sun, combined FTE between Earth and Sun is most dense. This puts atom nucleus under increased destructive force induced by electrons. Increased destructive force happens because denser FTE keeps weak spots of nucleus in longer distance than normally. Orbiting electrons get lever from this new nuclei distances which increases odds for a radioactive decay.

Solar flares create FTE shock waves hence denser FTE on Earth. Incoming FTE shock wave can be measured with radioactive decay rates (with certain atoms) and protective measures can be made against following electromagnetic radiation.

Radioactive decay rate can be increased artificially by rotating radioactive material [1]. Reason for the phenomenon is increased FTE density because rotation. Weak spots inside a nucleus increase their distances which gives electrons more destructive lever.

**Force calculations**

Obviously spinning SoP, like proton or planet, has an effect on how strongly two separate particles (particles 1 and 2) interact under the influence of this SoP. FTEP flux generated by SoP dampens FTEP fluxes generated by these two separate particles. We must acknowledge the phenomenon when we make force calculations. Let’s assume that we have those two particles on a same level parallel to the surface perpendicular to a line connecting particle and SoP. We define **dampening factor as Third law of ToEbi**

\[
T_{SoP} = \frac{s^{-2}kg}{m} \frac{x_{1,SoP}^2}{f_{SoP}^2 * M_{SoP} * x_{1,2}}
\]

where \( x_{1,SoP} \) is distance between the center of particle 1 and the surface of SoP, \( f_{SoP} \) is SoP spin frequency, \( M_{SoP} \) is SoP mass and \( x_{1,2} \) is distance between surfaces of particles 1 and 2. But if we calculate forces between unbound particles we can say that \( x_{1,2} \) equals a distance between particles.
However, if we apply our force equation (case 2) and dampening factor to solid spheres we might possess (marbles, golf balls, etc.) we must measure distance \( x_{1,2} \) from surface to surface.

Modified Cavendish experiment is one easy way to verify ToEbi force equations.

**Planck constant**

Modern physics states Planck constant \( h \) and its relation on photon’s energy and frequency

\[
E = hf
\]

where \( f \) is the frequency of photon. Direct consequence from The First Law of ToEbi is that Planck constant, without its units, presents in reality photon mass. Photon’s energy is increased when photon enters denser FTE. In that case the photon encounters more FTEPs in its path which induces higher spin frequency for it. Phenomenon is known as (gravitational) blue shifting. Opposite case is when the photon exits denser FTE. Encounters with FTEPs decrease which decreases photon’s frequency. Phenomenon is known as (gravitational) red shifting.

**Photon momentum**

Based on First Law of ToEbi (photon mass = Planck constant’s value in kilograms)

\[
E = hf = \frac{hc}{\lambda}
\]

therefore

\[
\frac{h}{\lambda} = \frac{E}{c}
\]

On the other hand

\[
p = hc = \frac{hc\lambda c}{c} = \frac{hf\lambda c}{c} = \frac{E}{c} \frac{c^2}{f}
\]

so following relation applies

\[
\frac{f}{c^2}p = \frac{h}{\lambda}
\]

**Compton scattering**

Due to conservation of momentum

\[
\vec{p}_e = \vec{p}_2 - \vec{p}_1 = h\vec{c}_2 - h\vec{c}_1
\]

hence

\[
m_e^2v^2 = 2h^2c^2 - 2h^2\vec{c}_2\vec{c}_1.
\]

Therefore we get kinetic energy of electron

\[
\frac{hc}{\lambda_1} - \frac{hc}{\lambda_2} = \frac{1}{2}m_ev^2 = \frac{h^2c^2}{m_e}(1 - \cos \alpha)
\]
therefore

\[ \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} = \frac{hc}{m_e} (1 - \cos \alpha). \]

Obviously following applies

\[ \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = \frac{h^2}{p_1 p_2} = \frac{1}{c^2} \]

so we get the equation for Compton scattering

\[ \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = \frac{h}{m_e c} (1 - \cos \alpha). \]

**Speed of light**

Speed of light in a vacuum is measured as being constant for all observers. What gives photon its speed? It doesn’t miraculously just emerge, at least in ToEbi. Something puts photon into a motion and there isn’t too many options either.

Let’s say that electrons and protons have spin frequency \( x \) at rest. What is the greatest speed for any particle which can be generated from this particular spin frequency? That’s correct, it’s the speed of light. Obviously it can be achieved only if particles’ spin vectors are anti-parallel, hence FTEP flux pushes particles apart in the most effect way possible.

What else can be considered as a factor in this process? Obviously particle’s cross section which is an area \( (m^2) \). FTEP flux from both particles concentrates over that area and that concentration allows FTEPs to push those particles apart at the rate of \( \sqrt{x} \). So obviously protons and electrons at rest have spin frequency \( \approx 8.98755179 \times 10^{16} \text{ 1/s (} = f_{\text{rest}} \). 

In principle, if we had a fast moving, light emitting, apparatus we would exceed our speed of light? Unfortunately that’s not the case. Time and length depends on selected reference frame (ToEbi Metric), so our speed of light equals the speed of light of emitting apparatus. Natural consequence from this phenomenon is light’s wavelength changes.

**What is mass?**

There is a two types of masses in physics, inertial and gravitational mass. Those two are experimentally verified to be the same (within measurements accuracy limits). But what is mass itself? What is the mechanism behind it? Only reasonable way to define mass emerges from particle’s properties and only property which isn’t involved yet in ToEbi is particle’s size.

Some particles are made of multiple smaller particles, like hadrons do. How should we define the size of different particles? Every spinning particle defines repulsive wall around it. Inside that wall another particle comes a part of a new particle. Nuclear fusion is a good example or electron capture in case of neutron creation.

We should define that particle mass is its (repulsive wall’s) cross section. Cross section is an area \( (m^2) \), so based on ToEbi metric

\[ \frac{kg}{m \ast s} = \frac{m^2}{m \ast s} = \frac{m}{s} = c \]
Proton

Based on the mechanism of a mass it’s likely that proton is just constructed from three electrons. Prediction is also supported by the fact that proton’s and electron’s energy can be calculated with the same spin frequency.

Repulsive wall in picture is simplified. In reality, the wall is more pear like.

![Proton configuration](image)

Figure 3: Proton

Configurations based on two or four electrons are not stable. In case of two electrons very small disturbance causes electrons flyby each other. In case of four electrons the problem arises from very easy rolling out effect of upper electrons in construction. Up from four electrons, potentially stable construction are just too big and fragile in order to survive (at least on Earth).

Mass of proton is over 1800 times the mass of electron. Based on previous, we can say that proton’s repulsive wall (cross section) is over 1800 times the electron’s repulsive wall (cross section). However, measuring the size of proton based on scattering electrons gives obviously a different size (cushion effect).

Neutron and neutrino

Neutron is also made of three electrons. The unique feature which differentiates a neutron from a proton is neutron’s smaller spin frequency. In case of electron capture the electron penetrates the repulsive wall of the spinning proton (most likely through the spin axis pole) and decreases both proton’s and electron’s spin frequency. We can conclude that ejected electron neutrino is actually the penetrating electron itself!

Another way (not as common as electron capture) to produce a neutron is through $\beta^+$ decay which might actually be triggered by an incoming neutrino (work hypothesis). Neutrino comes very close (reduced spin frequency of neutrino allows that) to one of the three electrons and pushes it towards another electron resulting a new electron (interpreted as positron) and decreased spin frequency for the proton (energy conservation!) is now interpreted as a neutron. Based on used work hypothesis it’s totally understandable that the neutrino flux from Sun effects in some cases the rate of $\beta$ decay on Earth.
Decreased spin frequency of neutron enables proton-neutron bond because there won’t be too powerful initial interaction between proton and neutron. In case where a proton approaches another proton they generate very strong pulling (or pushing) force (both rotating fast). Generated pulling force causes these protons just repulsive bounce and/or flyby each other.

Neutrino oscillation is similar to red or blue shifting of light. When neutrino enters more dense FTE it will experience more interactions with FTEPs and rotate faster. Bigger rotation frequency generates bigger energy for the neutrino. When neutrino enters less dense FTE it will experience less interactions with FTEPs and rotate slower. Smaller rotation frequency decreases the energy of neutrino.

Why free neutron decays so fast but a neutron in a nucleus doesn’t? One obvious reason is the lack of shielding in two ways. There won’t be a neighbouring electrons and protons providing shielding. Secondly, reduced spin frequency means also reduced FTE density around the neutron. Also the absence of other nuclei provided FTEPs (this also explains why neutrons are “bloated” in a nucleus when compared to a free neutron) weakens the shielding.

Free neutrons can interact freely with surrounding electrons and protons. These interactions eventually trigger the neutron decay process.

Spin

Quote from Wikipedia:

Spin is an intrinsic form of angular momentum carried by elementary particles, composite particles (hadrons), and atomic nuclei. Spin is a solely quantum mechanical phenomenon; it does not have a counterpart in classical mechanics (despite the term spin being reminiscent of classical phenomena such as a planet spinning on its axis).

Actually it does have a counterpart in classical mechanics. Spin is indeed particle spinning around its axis! That is the core of ToEbi. With that interpretation theory of everything is possible.

Nuclear spin

Nuclear spin is generally determined by calculating protons and neutrons. If both sums pair up it is said that nuclear spin is zero. If only one of them pairs up it is said that spin is half and if both sums are uneven it said that spin is one. There is natural explanation for the nuclear spin and it’s very much classical. It also explains the calculus of nuclear spin. In trivial case of hydrogen spin is labeled as half, in case of deuterium it is one and in case of tritium it is half.

Protons and neutrons have different spin frequency which explains why spin calculation works separately for protons and neutrons, in other words only proton can eliminate other proton’s wave generation into FTE totally. In tritium there is two neutrons in nucleus and they eliminate each others waves.
With second neutron created hydrogen isotope decays very quickly but based on measurements neutrons around proton are evenly distributed. In that setup neutrons won’t eliminate each others waves due to distance, that’s why spin is 2.

Elimination of waves means that when two same kinds of particles with same spin direction are at very proximity then generated repulsion causes turbulence into FTE around those particles. Turbulence prevents particle’s ability to interact with external waves in FTE (like waves from magnet). Turbulence generated around proton and neutron also effects interaction ability but not as totally as in case proton-proton.

Other often observed atom isotope in MRI is oxygen-17 (8 protons, 9 neutrons) and its spin is 5/2. Why 5/2? There is three alpha particles which have combined spin zero. Two proton-neutron pairs (total spin 2) and one standalone neutron (spin half). So total nuclear spin is $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}$.

Electron spin

Electrons are no exception. When two electrons are close enough in dense enough FTE they can spin together and generate turbulence around them. Usually this happens when electrons are inside an atom. Dense FTE provides big enough dampening effect which prevents too strong interaction between electrons.

The origin of electron spin numbers is in Stern-Gerlach experiment. The real reason why silver atoms create the observed pattern is valence electron’s spin orientation in magnetic field. Magnetic field causes free valence electron to choose its spin orientation. Emerged spin orientations attracts the electron towards S or N magnetic pole as described by Second Law of ToEbi.

Synchrotron radiation

Electromagnetic radiation emitted from synchrotron is very misleading phenomenon. It might be the biggest reason why modern particle physics considers classical interpretation of atom structure impossible. Ultra-relativistic (charged) particle emits photons therefore orbiting classical billiard ball electrons must lose their energy and crash into the nucleus.

In reality situation is very much different in an atomic scale and in a synchrotron. Electrons in an atom are not necessarily orbiting at all, just like in the case of crystals. Electrons participating in bonds are pretty stationary. Naturally inside a standalone atom electrons are free to orbit but their orbiting speeds and directions depend on multiple factors, like thermal energy, nearby electrons, incoming particles etc.

The reason for electromagnetic radiation from a synchrotron is the photon creation (compressed FTEPs) due to acceleration of an electron or a proton. Phenomenon happens also when an electron accelerates inside an atom but with much smaller velocities.
Source of inertia

Spinning particles approach their balanced spin orientation all the time. For example, inside an iron block, all iron atom nucleus are aligned in relation to Earth’s imaginary surface (smooth spherical surface). Electrons have their balanced positions inside a crystal and so on. Same balanced spin orientation seeking happens everywhere all the time.

What causes inertia? In a situation where an object is at rest its particles are in somewhat balanced alignment towards Earth’s surface. If we have two objects, A and B, and object A hits object B. It means that object A has some stored energy in its particles (ToEbi energy relation) in form of additional spin frequency. During the impact energy will be changed from A to B (elastic collision).

Emerged inertia is actually a work against pulling force between Earth and object B. Object A has its energy stored in higher spin frequency of its particles. During the impact stored energy causes particles of object B (with smaller spin frequency) to lose their alignment in relation to Earth’s surface. Bigger the energy bigger the none-alignment. Because close distances between object’s atoms exerted force is experienced by every particle.

In the next phase pushing repulsive force between object A and B overcomes experienced force between objects and particles of object B start to precess. Precession is caused by interaction between object B and Earth (Second Law of ToEbi). Result of precession is a bigger spin frequency of object B’s particles. Momentum and energy are conserved.

Very similar idea on energy conservation and inertia is presented by physicist Vesselin Petkov (http://spacetimecentre.org/vpetkov/Inertia-Petkov.pdf).

Superconductivity

In order to understand superconductivity we must understand what happens when energy is very low in an atom or in a system of atoms. Removing energy from a particle equals reducing its spin frequency. There is immediately two obvious consequences.

- Particle (or system of particles) interacts less with Earth’s FTE. In other words it experiences less gravitational interaction.
- Moving electrons (in material capable of superconductivity) experience less pulling force towards a nucleus due to slow spin frequency of involved particles. Also contacts between current electrons are less violent hence there won’t be acceleration generated between contacting electrons (equals no energy lost through radiation). Actually those current electrons are capable of making pairs (Cooper pair) which is impossible in higher temperatures.

Meissner Effect is easily explained with ToEbi. After critical point in terms of atom energy reduction (reduced spin frequency) magnetic flux (flow of FTEPs) starts to control spin orientation and spin frequency of electrons on surface (and below surface) of object. Magnetic flux induces electrons to
spin opposite direction compared to magnetic flux. Phenomenon is exactly
the same as in case of photon creation in atom. Based on Second Law of
ToEbi magnetic source and outer electrons of the object starts to experience
pushing force.

**Helium II phase**

One of the most exciting phenomenon in low energy experiments is helium II
phase. First of all, helium is the only atom which won’t experience solid state
in normal pressure no matter how low the temperature is. The reason for this
is atom structure of helium-3,4.

There is three or four nuclei orbited by one pair of electrons. Because those
paired electrons, helium composes an inert gas. Helium nucleus is however
quite exposed compared to other atoms’ nucleus. Atoms containing more than
one protective electron or electron pair can protect their nucleus much more
efficiently. When two atoms are put together there is always generated denser
FTE between them which attracts orbiting electrons. Because that nucleus
exposure helium gas won’t experience solid state. Spinning nucleus can always
interact with another nucleus due to lack of protection provided by electron.
With high pressure (25 bar) it is possible to bring helium atoms so close to
each other that helium appears to be in solid state.

The difference between helium-4 and helium-3 is one neutron. Because a
single neutron in helium-3 its nucleus is more interactive at nucleus level in
comparison to helium-4 nucleus. This explains why it takes even lower energy
to achieve helium II phase with helium-3.

**Creeping effect**

So what causes the creeping effect? Obviously gravitational interaction causes
liquid level equalizing. But why that liquid does the creeping? Even thou
container has a low energy also it certainly has more mass in a contact area
when compared to that helium inside it. Container mass provides denser
local FTE for helium to interact with. Because of low energy, the container
and helium can get very close to each other, therefore helium nucleus near the
container wall changes its spin orientation towards it! Creeping effect enabled.

**ToEbi vs. classical mechanics**

What is the relationship between ToEbi and classical mechanics? Can we
derive classical mechanics laws from ToEbi?

**Force and G**

The most profound law of classical mechanics might be

\[ F = ma \]

so the units of force are

\[ N = \frac{kg \times m}{s^2} \]
and gravitational acceleration is

\[ g = G \frac{M_{\text{Earth}}}{r^2} \]

hence

\[ \frac{m}{s^2} = G \frac{kg}{m^2} \]

In this situation, the fathers of classical mechanics made a huge mistake. In order to overcome the mismatch both in units and magnitude they decided that \( G \) should be defined as (with modern measurement accuracy)

\[ G = 6.67384(80) \times 10^{-11} \frac{m^3}{kg \cdot s^2} \]

That must be the biggest blunder in physics!

**Examples**

Section contains few calculation examples based on ToEbi equations. Amount of examples can be infinite therefore only examples are included.

Obvious conclusions based on ToEbi are that there is no need for dark matter or dark energy. Slow rotation frequency of a galaxy explains quite naturally observed galaxy arms behavior. Rotation orientation of galaxies explains observed accelerating expansion of universe. Roughly same sized galaxies obey also Second Law of ToEbi. Both of these phenomena deserves a paper of their own.

Understanding and ability to harness antimatter might be the most important outcomes from ToEbi at least to author. Naturally there is a whole paper dedicated to it. Actually antimatter and its applications deserve a book series!

**Parallel wires**

Let’s assume that electric current arranges spin vectors of free electrons parallel and spin vectors direction is always towards the source of electrons (a.k.a. battery). In future book (Introduction to Theory of Everything by Illusion) this phenomenon is described in more details.

Let’s assume that we have two copper wires (AWG 28, 0.08 mm\(^2\)) 1 meter apart (1 meter above a surface). Half of the surface area of each wire interacts with another wire, so the effective surface area per wire is \(5.01 \times 10^{-4} m^2\). We shall exclude the effect generated from electrons under the surface.

So how many electrons we need in order to generate a force as big as \(2 \times 10^{-7}\) between the areas? We do know dampening factor \(T\), electron spin frequency and mass, so by resolving \(x\) from equation

\[ 2 \times 10^{-7} = T \times 2G_e x^2 M_e^2 \]

gives the amount of needed electrons per surface area which is \(\approx 1.5422 \times 10^{17}\). In case we feed the same current but from the opposite ends of wires then based on Second Law of ToEbi generated force is pushing those wires apart.
Mass of Sun

Earth orbits Sun roughly 30 km/s so the force holding Earth in its orbit is

\[ F = \frac{mv^2}{r} \approx 3.6 \cdot 10^{22} N. \]

Total pulling force generated by Earth and Sun can be calculated by Second Law of ToEbi (excluding the second force component and angle between spin axes). Second force component causes the precession and also we don’t know exactly the angle between overall spin axes of Sun and Earth (different layers of these stellar objects might have their own spin axes and spin frequencies).

\[ 3.6 \cdot 10^{22} N = \left(G_{\text{Sun}} + G_{\text{Earth}}\right)\frac{M_{\text{Sun}}M_{\text{Earth}}}{r^2} \]

Resolving \( M_{\text{Sun}} \) from the equation gives \( \approx 2.0000 \cdot 10^{30} \) Kg. Current calculated value is \( 1.9891 \cdot 10^{30} \) kg.

Sun bends starlight

Initial facts.

- Mass of photon (Planck constant): \( 6.62606957 \cdot 10^{-34} \) Kg
- Selected wavelength of light: \( \lambda = 430 \) nm, hence \( n_{\text{photon}} = \frac{c}{\lambda} \approx 6.972 \cdot 10^{14} \) 1/s

Based on Second Law of ToEbi, force deflecting photon at its maximum value is

\[ F = (G_{\text{Sun}} + G_{\text{photon}}) \frac{M_{\text{Sun}}M_{\text{photon}}}{r^2} \approx 6.7 \cdot 10^8 N \]

Obviously calculated value doesn’t match the observations. There must be some other type of interaction mechanism between a photon and a stellar object. Let’s hypothesize that interaction happens only between an electron and a photon when they are almost colliding (photon electron flyby). The minimum distance between an electron and a photon is \( \approx 5.3848 \cdot 10^{-16} + 1.452289 \cdot 10^{-17} + x \approx 5.53 \cdot 10^{-16} + x \) m (Values are calculated based on particle’s cross sections \( \pi r^2 \)). Variable \( x \) means the mandatory gap between the interacting particles. The duration of flyby is \( \approx 3 \cdot 10^{-24} \) s. Hence the impulse is

\[ F \cdot s = (G_{\text{electron}} + G_{\text{photon}}) \frac{M_{\text{electron}}M_{\text{photon}}}{r^2} \cdot s \approx \frac{7.3 \cdot 10^{-54}}{(5.53 \cdot 10^{-16} + x)^2} J \]

Measured deflection angle is \( \approx 8.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \) rad. By using basic momentum vector calculus we get

\[ \frac{7.3 \cdot 10^{-54}}{hc(5.53 \cdot 10^{-16} + x)^2} = \frac{3.7 \cdot 10^{-29}}{(5.53 \cdot 10^{-16} + x)^2} = \tan \alpha \]

and the value for \( x \) as big as \( \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \) m which sounds reasonable. Therefore chosen hypothesis sounds promising. Naturally the mass of Sun effects the distance between the electron and the photon during the flyby. Based on our example calculation, shorter distances between an electron and a photon would cause scattering.
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