JOURNAL OF THE CHUNGCHEONG MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume **22**, No. 2, June 2009

SMARANDACHE GT-ALGEBRAS

JAEDOEK KIM*, YOUNGMI KIM**, AND EUN HWAN ROH***

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of Smarandache GT-algebras, and the notion of Smarandache GT-filters of the Smarandache GTalgebra related to the Tarski algebra, and related some properties are investigated.

1. Introduction

The variety of Tarski algebras was introduced by J. C. Abbott in [2]. These algebras are an algebraic counterpart of the $\{\lor, \rightarrow\}$ -fragment of the propositional classical calculus. S. A. Celani ([5]) introduced Tarski algebras with a modal operator as a generalization of the concept of Boolean algebra with a modal operator which he researched into these fragments of the algebraic viewpoint. Properties of filters in Tarski algebras were treated by S. A. Celani ([5]) and the authors ([7]). Recently, J. Kim, Y. Kim and E. H. Roh ([7]) considered decompositions and expansions of filters in Tarski algebras, and also they have shown that there is no non-trivial quadratic Tarski algebras on a field X with $|X| \geq 3$. However, we feel that the concept of Tarski algebra is relatively too strong for filters. Kim et al. ([8]) established a new algebra, called a GT-algebra, which is a generalization of Tarski algebra, and gave a method to construct a GT-algebra from a quasi-ordered set. Generally, a Smarandache Structure on a set A means a weak structure W on Asuch that there exists a proper subset B of A which is embedded with a strong structure S. In this paper, we introduce the notion of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ algebras and $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filters, and investigate some related properties. It's interesting to study the Smarandache Structure in GT-algebras.

Received January 16, 2008; Accepted March 07, 2008.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06F35, 03B45, 03G25.

Key words and phrases: GT-algebra, GT-filter, $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra, $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filter. Correspondence should be addressed to Eun Hwan Roh, ehroh@cue.ac.kr.

Jaedoek Kim, Youngmi Kim, and Eun Hwan Roh

Let us review some definitions and results. By a *Tarski algebra* we mean an algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ of type (2, 0) satisfying the following conditions:

(T1) $(\forall a \in X)(1 \rightarrow a = a).$

(T2) $(\forall a \in X)(a \to a = 1).$

(T3) $(\forall a, b, c \in X)(a \to (b \to c) = (a \to b) \to (a \to c)).$

(T4) $(\forall a, b \in X)((a \to b) \to b = (b \to a) \to a).$

DEFINITION 1.1. [8] By a generalized Tarski algebra (*GT*-algebra, for short) we mean an algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ of type (2, 0) satisfying the following conditions: (T1), (T2), and (T3).

A reflexive and transitive relation \mathfrak{R} on a set X is called a *quasi-ordering* of X, and the couple (X, \mathfrak{R}) is called a *quasi-ordered set* ([4]). Note that if X is a GT-algebra, then the relation \leq by setting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \to y = 1$ for any $a, b \in X$ is a quasi-ordering of X; with respect to this quasi-ordering 1 is the greatest element of X.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	1
a	1	1	c	1
b	1	1	c	1
c	1	1	1	1
1	a	b	c	1

Then $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra ([8]), and the relation $\mathfrak{R} := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, 1), (b, a), (b, b), (b, 1), (c, a), (c, b), (c, c), (c, 1), (1, 1)\}$ is a quasi-ordering of X, which is not an anti-symmetric relation of X.

LEMMA 1.3. [8] Let X be a GT-algebra. Then

- (p1) $(\forall a \in X) (a \leq 1).$
- (p2) $(\forall a, b \in X) (a \le b \to a).$
- $(p3) \ (\forall a, b \in X)(a \to (a \to b) = a \to b).$
- $(p4) \ (\forall a, b \in X) (a \le (a \to b) \to b).$
- (p5) $(\forall a, b, c \in X)(a \le b \Rightarrow c \to a \le c \to b).$

DEFINITION 1.4. [8] Let X be a GT-algebra. A nonempty subset F of X is called a *generalized Tarski-filter* (*GT-filter*, for short) of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1) $(\forall a, b \in X)(b \in F \Rightarrow a \to b \in F).$
- (F2) $(\forall a, b \in X)(a \to b \in F, a \in F \Rightarrow b \in F).$

Smarandache GT-algebras

Note that every GT-filter contains the element 1 by (T2) and (F1).

THEOREM 1.5. [8] Let F be a nonempty subset of a GT-algebra X. Then F is a GT-filter of X if and only if it satisfies $1 \in F$ and (F2).

2. Main Theorem

LEMMA 2.1. Let $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ be a nontrivial *GT*-algebra. For every $a \neq 1 \in X$, the set $\{a, 1\}$ is a Tarski algebra under the operation on X.

Proof. Straightforward.

Lemma 2.1 shows that every nontrivial GT-algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ has a Tarski algebra of order 2. The following example shows that there is a GT-algebra in which there are no proper Tarski algebra of order more than equal to 3.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	T
a	1	1	1	1
b	a	1	1	1
c	a	b	1	1
1	a	b	c	1

It is routine to check that $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra which is not a Tarski algebra, and the sets $\{a, b, 1\}, \{a, c, 1\}, \{b, c, 1\}$ are not Tarski algebras.

DEFINITION 2.3. A Smarandache GT-algebra (briefly, $S^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ -algebra) is defined to be a GT-algebra X in which there exists a proper subset Ω of X such that

(i) $1 \in \Omega$ and $|\Omega| \ge 3$,

(ii) Ω is a Tarski algebra with respect to the same operation on X.

Note that any GT-algebra of order 3 cannot be an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra. Hence, if X is an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra, then $|X| \geq 4$. Notice that the GT-algebra X in Example 2.2 is not an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a			1
a	1	b	1	1
b	a	1	1	1
c	a	b	1	1
1	a	b	c	1

It is easy to check that $(X; \to, 1)$ is an $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra since $\Omega := \{a, b, 1\}$ is a Tarski algebra which is properly contained in X.

In what follows, let X and Ω denote an $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra and a nontrivial proper Tarski algebra of order more than 2, respectively, unless specified.

DEFINITION 2.5. A nonempty subset F of X is called a *Smarandache GT-filter of* X *related to* Ω (briefly, $S_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ -filter of X) if it satisfies the following conditions:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{SF1}) & 1 \in F, \\ (\mathrm{SF2}) & (\forall x \in \Omega) (\forall a \in F) (a \to x \in F \Rightarrow x \in F). \end{array}$

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be the $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra with $\Omega := \{a, b, 1\}$ in Example 2.4. Then the sets $F_1 := \{a, 1\}, F_2 := \{c, 1\}, F_3 := \{a, c, 1\}, F_4 := \{b, c, 1\}$ are $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filters of X.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let $X := \{a, b, c, d, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	d	1
a	1	1	1	d	1
b	1	1	1	d	1
c	1	1	1	d	1
d	a	b	c	1	1
1	$\begin{array}{c}1\\1\\1\\a\\a\end{array}$	b	c	d	1

It can be readily check that $(X; \to, 1)$ is an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra with $\Omega := \{a, d, 1\}$. Then the set $F_1 := \{b, d, 1\}$ is an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filters of X. But $F_2 := \{c, 1\}$ is not an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filter of X since $c \to a = 1 \in F_2$ and $a \notin F_2$.

THEOREM 2.8. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be Tarski algebras contained in a Smarandache GT-algebra X and $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$. Then every $S_{\Omega_2}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X is an $S_{\Omega_1}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X, but the converse is not true.

Proof. Straightforward.

EXAMPLE 2.9. Let $X := \{a, b, c, d, e, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	d	e	1
a	1	1	1	d	1	1
b	$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ a \\ a \\ a \\ a \\ a \\ a \end{vmatrix}$	1	c	d	1	1
c	a	b	1	d	1	1
d	a	b	c	1	1	1
e	a	b	c	d	1	1
1	a	b	c	d	e	1

Then $(X; \to, 1)$ is a GT-algebra, $\Omega_1 := \{a, d, 1\}$ and $\Omega_2 : \{a, b, c, d, 1\}$ are Tarski algebras. Hence we know that X is a Smarandache GT-algebra, and the subset $F := \{a, c, 1\}$ is an $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_1}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X, but not an $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega_2}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X since $a \to b = 1 \in F$ and $a \in F$ but $b \notin F$.

Example 2.9 shows that there exists a Tarski algebra Ω contained in a Smarandache GT-algebra X such that an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filter of X is not a GT-filter of X.

THEOREM 2.10. For any $a \in X$, the set $[a) := \{x \in X | a \leq x\}$ is an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}GT$ -filter of X.

Proof. Obviously, $1 \in [a)$. Let $z \in \Omega$ and $x \in [a)$ and $x \to z \in [a)$. Then we have

$$a \to z = 1 \to (a \to z) = a \to (x \to z) = 1.$$

Hence $z \in [a)$. Therefore, [a) is an $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}$ GT-filter of X.

LEMMA 2.11. Every $S_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ -filter F of X satisfies the following inclusion:

$$\Omega \to F \subseteq F$$

where $\Omega \to F := \{x \to a | x \in \Omega, a \in F\}.$

Proof. Let $z \in \Omega \to F$. Then $z = x \to a$ for some $x \in \Omega$ and $a \in F$. Thus we have $z \in F$ since $a \to z = a \to (x \to a) = 1 \in F$.

Lemma 2.11 shows that every $S_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter F of X satisfies the conditions

$$\Omega \to F \subseteq F$$
, and (SF2)

The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

EXAMPLE 2.12. Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Caylev table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	1
a	1	b	c	1
b	a	1	c	1
c	a	b	1	1
1	a	b	c	1

It is ready to check that $(X; \to, 1)$ is an $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-algebra with $\Omega := \{a, c, 1\}$. Let $F := \{b\}$. Then F satisfies the conditions $\Omega \to F \subseteq F$ and (SF2). But $1 \notin F$.

If F is an $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X satisfies $\Omega \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and $\Omega \to F \subseteq F$, then there exists $a \in \Omega \cap F$, and so we have $1 = a \to a \in F$. Hence we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.13. Let F be a nonempty subset of X that satisfies $\Omega \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Then F is an $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ -filter of X if and only if $\Omega \to F \subseteq F$ and (SF2).

For any GT-algebra X and $x, y \in X$, we denote

$$A(x,y) := \{ z \in X | x \le y \to z \}$$

THEOREM 2.14. For any $x, y \in X$, the set A(x, y) is an $\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}GT$ -filter of X.

Proof. Straightforward.

Now, we give a characterization of $S_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filters.

THEOREM 2.15. Let F be a nonempty subset of X. Then F is an $S^{\mathfrak{T}}_{\Omega}GT$ -filter of X if and only if for any $x, y \in F$, either $A(x, y) \subseteq F$ or $A(y, x) \subseteq F$.

Proof. The necessity is straightforward. Suppose that either $A(x, y) \subseteq F$ or $A(y, x) \subseteq F$ for every $x, y \in F$. Then we have $1 \in A(x, x) \subseteq F$. Let $x \in \Omega$ and $y \in F$ satisfy $y \to x \in F$. Then we have $x \in A(y \to x, y) \subseteq F$. Hence F is an $S_{\Omega}^{\mathfrak{T}}$ GT-filter of X. \Box

Acknowledgements

This work was done during the third author's stay for the academic year 2007-2008 at the Jacksonville State University in Alabama, USA. The third author is highly grateful to the Department of Mathematics, Computing and Information Sciences of Jacksonville State University for their supporting.

Smarandache GT-algebras

References

- M. Abad, J. P. Dias Varela, and M. Zander, Varieties and Quasivarieties of Monadic Tarski algebras, Sientiae Mathematicae Japonicae 56 (2002), no. 3, 599-612.
- [2] J. C. Abbott, Semi-boolean algebras, Mathematicki Vesnik 4 (1967), no. 19, 177-198.
- [3] J. C. Abbott, Implicational algebras, Bull. Math, R. S. Roumaine 11 (1967), 3-23.
- [4] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 25, second edition 1948; third edition, 1967, Providence.
- [5] S. A. Celani, Modal Tarski algebras Reports on Mathematical Logic 39 (2005), 113-126.
- Y. B. Jun, Smarandache BCI-algebras, Sientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online e-2005, 271-276.
- [7] J. Kim, Y. Kim, and E. H. Roh, Decompositions and expansions of filters in Tarski algebras, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society 20 (2007), no. 4, 457-463.
- [8] J. Kim, Y. Kim, and E. H. Roh, A note on GT-algebras, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure. Appl. Math. 16 (2009), no. 1, 59-68.
- [9] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy, *Smarandache groupoids*, http://www.gallup.unm.edu/ smarandache/Groupoids.pdf.

*

Department of Mathematics, Computing and Information Sciences Jacksonville State University Jacksonville, AL 36265-1602, USA *E-mail*: jkim@jsu.edu

**

Department of Mathematics, Computing and Information Sciences Jacksonville State University Jacksonville, AL 36265-1602, USA *E-mail*: ykim@jsu.edu

Department of Mathematics Education and Institute of Mathematics Education

Chinju National University of Education Jinju 660-756, Republic of Korea *E-mail*: ehroh@cue.ac.kr or ehroh0923@gmail.com