

Matrix Exponential Computational Algorithm

Kenneth C. Johnson

KJ Innovation

(Posted 15-Mar-2022, v2.)

<https://vixra.org/abs/2203.0072>

Abstract

A numerical algorithm for the matrix exponential is developed, based on the scale-and-square method applied to a Padé approximant for small-norm matrices.

1. Introduction

A generalized Padé approximation method for numerically solving nonhomogeneous, coupled linear differential equations with non-constant coefficients was developed in [1, 2]. This paper refines and simplifies that work for the homogeneous, constant-coefficient case:

$$\frac{d}{dx} F[x] = D F[x] \quad (1)$$

where $F[x]$ is a vector function of scalar argument x and D is a constant, square matrix. (In this paper square braces “[...]” delimit function arguments while round braces “(...)” are reserved for grouping.) The solution of Eq. (1)

$$F[x] = \exp[x D] F[0] \quad (2)$$

The matrix exponential is calculated by the scale-and-square method:

$$\exp[X] = \exp[2^{-p} X]^{2^p} = (\dots (\exp[2^{-p} X] \overbrace{^2}^{p \text{ times}} \dots)^2) \quad (3)$$

where $\exp[2^{-p} X]$ approximated by a rational polynomial (Padé approximation)

$$\exp[2^{-p} X] \cong P[-2^{-1-p} X]^{-1} P[2^{-1-p} X] \quad (4)$$

(P is a polynomial function.)

The scaling power p is chosen to achieve a specified relative tolerance limit ϵ in the approximation error. Denoting the $F[x]$ approximation error as $\delta F[x]$, the tolerance condition is

$$|\delta F[x]| \leq \epsilon |F[x]| \quad (5)$$

Formulas for the P polynomial coefficients, approximation error bound, and choice of scaling power p are developed. The squaring operation in Eq. (3) can be susceptible to numerical roundoff error in the matrix diagonal, but an alternative squaring operation is used to avoid the precision loss.

The algorithm improves upon the accuracy and runtime performance of the MATLAB[®] **expm** function [3, 4]. An implementation is posted on the MathWorks[®] File Exchange [5].

2. The Padé approximation

Following the method described by Gautschi [6], an order- n Padé approximant to $\exp[xD]$ is derived by applying $2n+1$ integration-by-parts operations to the following expression,

$$D^{2n+1} \int_{-h}^h \exp[xD](x^2 - h^2)^n dx = \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j D^{2n-j} \exp[xD] \frac{d^j}{dx^j} (x^2 - h^2)^n \Big|_{x=-h}^{x=h} \quad (6)$$

The derivative factor is expanded via the general Leibniz rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^j}{dx^j} (x^2 - h^2)^n &= \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \left((x+h)^n (x-h)^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{j!}{k!(j-k)!} \left(\frac{d^k}{dx^k} (x+h)^n \right) \left(\frac{d^{j-k}}{dx^{j-k}} (x-h)^n \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{j!}{k!(j-k)!} \left(\frac{n!}{(n-k)!} (x+h)^{n-k} \right) \left(\frac{n!}{(n-j+k)!} (x-h)^{n-j+k} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

At the lower integration limit ($x = -h$) the $(x+h)^{n-k}$ factor is nonzero only if $k = n$, and at the upper limit ($x = h$) the factor $(x-h)^{n-j+k}$ is nonzero only if $k = j-n$. With $j \leq k$, neither condition holds when $j < n$; hence the summation terms $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ vanish in Eq. (6) and the sum reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j D^{2n-j} \exp[xD] \frac{d^j}{dx^j} (x^2 - h^2)^n \Big|_{x=-h}^{x=h} &= \\ \sum_{j=n}^{2n} \frac{n! j!}{(j-n)!(2n-j)!} \left((-2hD)^{2n-j} \exp[hD] - (2hD)^{2n-j} \exp[-hD] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The summation index j is replaced by $2n-j$ and the result is substituted back into Eq. (6) to obtain

$$\frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \exp[xD](x^2 - h^2)^n dx = P[-hD] \exp[hD] - P[hD] \exp[-hD] \quad (9)$$

where¹

$$P[X] = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j X^j \quad (10)$$

$$c_j = \frac{n!(2n-j)!2^j}{(2n)!j!(n-j)!} = \frac{1}{j!} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{2n-k} \right) \quad (11)$$

¹ The function $P[hD]$ corresponds to $Q[-h]$ in [1] and corresponds to “ $P[n,n](2hD)$ ” in [5].

Denoting the P function for Padé order n as P_n , the polynomial coefficients c_j for P_n can be efficiently calculated from the following recursion formula. (\mathbf{I} is an identity matrix.)

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P_0[X] &= \mathbf{I}, \\ P_1[X] &= \mathbf{I} + X, \\ P_{n+1}[X] &= P_n[X] + \frac{X^2}{4n^2 - 1} P_{n-1}[X] \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (12)$$

An algorithm for calculating $P[X]$ with a minimal number of matrix multiplies is outlined in the Appendix.

A factor of $\exp[hD]$ is multiplied into both sides of Eq. (9),

$$\frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \exp[(x+h)D] (x^2 - h^2)^n dx = P[-hD] \exp[2hD] - P[hD] \quad (13)$$

For sufficiently small h the left side of Eq. (13), which is of order h^{2n+1} , can be neglected. This leads to the Padé approximation,

$$\exp[2hD] \cong \Phi[2hD] = P[-hD]^{-1} P[hD] \quad (14)$$

$\exp[2^{p+1}hD]$ is approximated as $\Phi[2hD]^p$,

$$\exp[2^{p+1}hD] = \exp[2hD]^{2^p} \cong \Phi[2hD]^{2^p} = (\dots (\Phi[2hD] \overbrace{^2}^{p \text{ times}}) \dots)^2 \quad (15)$$

The right side of Eq. (15) is an approximation to $\exp[xD]$ for $x = 2^{p+1}h$. Note that even with the approximation, the relation $\exp[-xD] = \exp[xD]^{-1}$ holds exactly (from Eq. (14)):

$$\Phi[-2hD]^{2^p} = \left(\Phi[2hD]^{2^p} \right)^{-1} \quad (16)$$

For small h , $\Phi[2hD]$ is close to an identity matrix (\mathbf{I}) and the matrix diagonal's precision is limited by the dominant \mathbf{I} term. To avoid precision loss, $\exp[xD]$ can be calculated with the identity function subtracted off. Eq. (14) is modified as

$$\Phi[2hD] - \mathbf{I} = P[-hD]^{-1} (P[hD] - P[-hD]) \quad (17)$$

The squaring operation ($\Phi \leftarrow \Phi^2$) can be implemented using the relation

$\Phi^2 - \mathbf{I} = (\Phi - \mathbf{I})^2 + 2(\Phi - \mathbf{I})$: With $\Phi' = \Phi - \mathbf{I}$ apply the operation $\Phi' \leftarrow \Phi'^2 + 2\Phi'$ p times; then add \mathbf{I} to the result. However, this approach does not work when D has large, negative eigenvalues resulting in an exponential below the numeric precision limit ($\|\exp[xD]\| \ll 1$). In this case, after the squaring steps Φ' would be equal to $-\mathbf{I}$ within numerical precision and Φ would evaluate to zero.

To minimize precision loss in the Φ diagonal at both the beginning and end of the squaring process, the squaring operation is applied with its diagonal approximately subtracted off at each step as follows:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
& \text{Initialize } \Phi = \Phi[2hD], d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{I} = \text{diag}[d]), \Phi' = \Phi - \text{diag}[d]. \\
& \text{Repeat } p \text{ times:} \\
& \quad d'_j = d_j + \Phi'_{j,j} \quad (d'_j \cong \Phi_{j,j}) \\
& \quad \Phi'_{j,j} \leftarrow \Phi'_{j,j} - (d'_j - d_j) \quad (\Phi'_{j,j} \cong 0, \Phi' = \Phi - \text{diag}[d']) \\
& \quad d \leftarrow d' \quad (\Phi' = \Phi - \text{diag}[d]) \\
& \quad \Phi' \leftarrow \Phi'^2 + \text{diag}[d]\Phi' + \Phi' \text{diag}[d] \quad (\Phi' \leftarrow \Phi^2 - \text{diag}[d]^2; \Phi \leftarrow \Phi^2) \\
& \quad d_j \leftarrow d_j^2 \quad (\Phi' = \Phi - \text{diag}[d]) \\
& \Phi = \Phi' + \text{diag}[d]
\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (18)$$

Note that the operation $d'_j = d_j + \Phi'_{j,j}$ can result in d'_j being numerically equal to d_j when $\Phi'_{j,j}$ is very small. But the operation $\Phi'_{j,j} \leftarrow \Phi'_{j,j} - (d'_j - d_j)$ accurately preserves the relation $\Phi' = \Phi - \text{diag}[d']$ while keeping the Φ' diagonal small ($\Phi'_{j,j} \cong 0$).

3. Error analysis

The relative error in the $\exp[2hD]$ approximation, denoted as $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]$, is defined by

$$\Phi[2hD] = (\mathbf{I} + \delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]) \exp[2hD] \quad (19)$$

The relative error in $\exp[2^{p+1}hD]$ (i.e., $\exp[2hD]^{2^p}$) is denoted as $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1}h]$ and is similarly defined by

$$\Phi[2hD]^{2^p} = (\mathbf{I} + \delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1}h]) \exp[2hD]^{2^p} \quad (20)$$

These definitions imply the following relation between $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]$ and $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1}h]$,

$$\mathbf{I} + \delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1}h] = (\mathbf{I} + \delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h])^{2^p} \quad (21)$$

The error $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]$ is required to be within the bound

$$\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]\| \leq \kappa \quad (22)$$

where $\|\dots\|$ is the Frobenius norm (the root-sum-square of the matrix elements). This implies the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1}h]\| &= \|(\mathbf{I} + \delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h])^{2^p} - \mathbf{I}\| \leq (1 + \|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]\|)^{2^p} - 1 \\
&\leq (1 + \kappa)^{2^p} - 1 \leq \exp[\kappa]^{2^p} - 1 = \exp[2^p \kappa] - 1
\end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

κ is defined by

$$\exp[2^p \kappa] - 1 = \epsilon, \quad \kappa = 2^{-p} \log[\epsilon + 1] \quad (24)$$

(The $\log[\epsilon + 1]$ factor can be calculated accurately with MATLAB's **log1p** function.)

$\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1} h]$ is thus within the bound

$$\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1} h]\| \leq \epsilon \quad (25)$$

With $x = 2^{p+1} h$, this implies Eq. (5)

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta F[2^{p+1} h]| &= |(\Phi[2 h D]^{2^p} - \exp[2^{p+1} h D]) F[0]| = |\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1} h] \exp[2^{p+1} h D] F[0]| \\ &= |\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1} h] F[2^{p+1} h]| \leq \|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2^{p+1} h]\| \cdot |F[2^{p+1} h]| \leq \epsilon |F[2^{p+1} h]| \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

(from Eq's. (2), (14), (20), and (25)).

The following formula for $\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2 h]$ is obtained by eliminating $P[h D]$ between Eq's. (13) and (14), and substituting Eq. (19):

$$\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2 h] = -P[-h D]^{-1} \frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \exp[(x-h) D] (x^2 - h^2)^n dx \quad (27)$$

A bound on $\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2 h]\|$ is determined by separating Eq. (27) into three factors and establishing a separate bound for each factor,

$$\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2 h] = -(P[h D] P[-h D])^{-1} (P[h D] \exp[-h D]) \Delta \quad (28)$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \exp[x D] (x^2 - h^2)^n dx \quad (29)$$

The divisor in the first factor of Eq. (28) is approximately quadratic in h : $P[h D] P[-h D] = \mathbf{I} - h^2 D^2 / (2n-1) + O h^4$. The following bounding condition is applied to the divisor, with R representing the two right-hand factors in Eq. (28),

$$\begin{aligned} \|P[h D] P[-h D] - \mathbf{I}\| &< 1 \rightarrow \\ \|(P[h D] P[-h D])^{-1} R\| &\leq (1 - \|P[h D] P[-h D] - \mathbf{I}\|)^{-1} \|R\| \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

This (30) follows from the general relation $\|(\mathbf{I} + A)^{-1} R\| \leq (1 - \|A\|)^{-1} \|R\|$ when $\|A\| < 1$:

$$\|(\mathbf{I} + A)^{-1} R\| = \left\| R + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-A)^j R \right\| \leq \|R\| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|A\|^j \|R\| = (1 - \|A\|)^{-1} \|R\| \quad (31)$$

The matrix product $P[X] P[-X]$ has a Taylor series of the form²

² Eq. (32) can be verified with the following Mathematica script:

```
c[n_, j_] := n! (2 n - j)! 2^j / (2 n)! / j! / (n - j)!
P[x_, n_] := Sum[c[n, j] x^j, {j, 0, n}]
a[n_, j_] := (-1)^j j! (2 n - 2 j)! c[n, j]^2 / (2 n - j)!
PP[x_, n_] := Sum[a[n, j] x^(2 j), {j, 0, n}]
FullSimplify[PP[x, n] - P[x, n] P[-x, n]]
```

$$P[X]P[-X] = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j X^{2j}, \quad a_j = \frac{(-1)^j j! (2n-2j)!}{(2n-j)!} c_j^2 \quad (32)$$

The following bound is obtained from this series,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P[X]P[-X] - \mathbf{I}\| &= \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X^{2j} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| \cdot \|X^2\|^j = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \cdot (i\sqrt{\|X^2\|})^{2j} \\ &= P[i\sqrt{\|X^2\|}]P[-i\sqrt{\|X^2\|}] - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

(The i factor cancels the $(-1)^j$ factor in a_j .) Eq's. (30) and (33) are combined to obtain the following condition,

$$\begin{aligned} P[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]P[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] &< 2 \rightarrow \\ \|(P[hD]P[-hD])^{-1}R\| &\leq \left(2 - P[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]P[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]\right)^{-1} \|R\| \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

This condition can be reformulated by separating P into even and odd parts,

$$P^{[\text{even}]}[X] = \frac{1}{2}(P[X] + P[-X]), \quad P^{[\text{odd}]}[X] = \frac{1}{2}(P[X] - P[-X]) \quad (35)$$

$$P[X]P[-X] = (P^{[\text{even}]}[X])^2 - (P^{[\text{odd}]}[X])^2 \quad (36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (P^{[\text{even}]}[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 - (P^{[\text{odd}]}[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 &< 2 \rightarrow \\ \|(P[hD]P[-hD])^{-1}R\| &\leq \left(2 - (P^{[\text{even}]}[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 + (P^{[\text{odd}]}[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2\right)^{-1} \|R\| \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

h is constrained to satisfy the premise (first inequality) of Eq. (37). In practice, the constraint $\dots < 2$ can be replaced by a somewhat tighter limit, e.g., $\dots < 1.9$, to ensure that the right-hand reciprocal factor is not very large.

The second factor in Eq. (28), $P[hD]\exp[-hD]$, is separated into even and odd functions,

$$\begin{aligned} P[hD]\exp[-hD] &= \\ \frac{1}{2}(P[hD]\exp[-hD] + P[-hD]\exp[hD]) &+ \\ \frac{1}{2}(P[hD]\exp[-hD] - P[-hD]\exp[hD]) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

The odd function is equal to Eq. (9) times $-\frac{1}{2}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}(P[hD]\exp[-hD] - P[-hD]\exp[hD]) = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta \quad (39)$$

(cf. Eq. (29)). The even function is bounded by taking advantage of the fact that $P[\pm hD]$ is close to $\exp[\pm hD]$ for small h : $\exp[\pm hD] - P[\pm hD] = h^2 D^2 / (4n-2) + Oh^3$. These differences are separated out in the even function, and the differences are then further separated into even and odd terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &P[hD]\exp[-hD] + P[-hD]\exp[hD] \\ &= \mathbf{I} + P[hD]P[-hD] - (\exp[hD] - P[hD])(\exp[-hD] - P[-hD]) \\ &= \mathbf{I} + P[hD]P[-hD] - (\cosh[hD] - P^{[\text{even}]}[hD])^2 + (\sinh[hD] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[hD])^2 \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The function $\exp[X] - P[X]$ has a Taylor series expansion with non-negative coefficients,

$$\exp[X] - P[X] = \sum_{j=2}^n \left(1 - \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{2n-k} \right) \right) \frac{X^j}{j!} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{X^j}{j!} \quad (41)$$

The even and odd parts of Eq. (41), $\cosh[X] - P^{[\text{even}]}[X]$ and $\sinh[X] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[X]$, also have non-negative Taylor series coefficients, and so do the squares of these functions. Furthermore, the squared terms are even functions of X comprising monomial powers X^{2j} , which are bounded by $\|X^{2j}\| \leq \|X^2\|^j = \sqrt{\|X^2\|}^{2j}$; hence

$$\|(\cosh[X] - P^{[\text{even}]}[X])^2\| \leq (\cosh[\sqrt{\|X^2\|}] - P^{[\text{even}]}[\sqrt{\|X^2\|}])^2 \quad (42)$$

$$\|(\sinh[X] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[X])^2\| \leq (\sinh[\sqrt{\|X^2\|}] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[\sqrt{\|X^2\|}])^2 \quad (43)$$

The squared terms in Eq. (40) are bounded using Eq's. (42) and (43),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| -(\cosh[hD] - P^{[\text{even}]}[hD])^2 + (\sinh[hD] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[hD])^2 \| \\ & \leq \|(\cosh[hD] - P^{[\text{even}]}[hD])^2\| + \|(\sinh[hD] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[hD])^2\| \\ & \leq (\cosh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] - P^{[\text{even}]}[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 + (\sinh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Eq's. (38)-(40) are combined and substituted in Eq. (28),

$$\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h] = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{I} + (P[hD]P[-hD])^{-1} \left(\mathbf{I} - (\cosh[hD] - P^{[\text{even}]}[hD])^2 + (\sinh[hD] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[hD])^2 - \Delta \right) \right) \Delta \quad (45)$$

Eq's. (37) and (44) are combined with Eq. (45) to obtain the bound

$$\begin{aligned} & (P^{[\text{even}]}[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]^2 - (P^{[\text{odd}]}[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2) < 2 \rightarrow \\ & \|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(1 + \left(2 - (P^{[\text{even}]}[i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]^2 + (P^{[\text{odd}]}[-i|h|\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 \right)^{-1} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(1 + (\cosh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] - P^{[\text{even}]}[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 + (\sinh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] - P^{[\text{odd}]}[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}])^2 + \|\Delta\| \right) \right) \|\Delta\| \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

A bound on Δ is obtained from Eq. (29). The integral is unchanged when the integrand factor $\exp[xD]$ is replaced by $\cosh[xD]$, and the product $D^{2n+1} \cosh[xD]$ has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^{2n+1} \cosh[xD]\| & = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2j} D^{2n+1+2j}}{(2j)!} \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2j} \|D^{2n+1+2j}\|}{(2j)!} \\ & \leq \|D^{2n+1}\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2j} \|D^2\|^j}{(2j)!} = \|D^{2n+1}\| \cosh[x\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Δ thus has the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta\| &= \left\| \frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \exp[xD](x^2 - h^2)^n dx \right\| = \left\| \frac{D^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} \int_{-h}^h \cosh[xD](x^2 - h^2)^n dx \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{\|D^{2n+1}\|}{(2n)!} \int_{-|h|}^{|h|} \cosh[x\sqrt{\|D^2\|}](h^2 - x^2)^n dx \leq \frac{\|D^{2n+1}\|}{(2n)!} \cosh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}] \int_{-|h|}^{|h|} (h^2 - x^2)^n dx
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The integral in Eq. (48) reduces to³

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-|h|}^{|h|} (h^2 - x^2)^n dx &= \int_{-|h|}^{|h|} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j n!}{j!(n-j)!} x^{2j} h^{2n-2j} dx \\
&= 2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j n!}{(2j+1)j!(n-j)!} \right) |h|^{2n+1} = \frac{2(2n)!!}{(2n+1)!!} |h|^{2n+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

With this substitution Eq. (48) simplifies to

$$\|\Delta\| \leq \frac{2\|(hD)^{2n+1}\| \cosh[h\sqrt{\|D^2\|}]}{(2n+1)(2n-1)!!^2} \tag{50}$$

Eq. (50) can be replaced by a slightly looser bound that does not require explicit calculation of $(hD)^{2n+1}$, as outlined in the Appendix (Eq. (60)).

The right side of relation (50) is substituted for $\|\Delta\|$ in Eq. (46) to determine a bound on $\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[2h]\|$, from which a bound on $\|\delta^{[\text{rel}]}[x]\|$ is determined, with $x = 2^{p+1}h$, via Eq. (21). The minimum p required to achieve the specified tolerance bound (Eq. (25)) is found using a bracket-and-bisection algorithm.

The algorithm performance could potentially be improved, in some cases, by applying a balancing similarity transformation to D :

$$D = TBT^{-1}, \quad \exp[xD] = T \exp[xB]T^{-1} \tag{51}$$

where B has closely-matched row and column norms. (The transformation T can be determined using MATLAB's **balance** function [7].)

³ The last equality in Eq. (49) can be verified with the following Mathematica script:
`s = Sum[(-1)^j n!/(2 j + 1)/j!/(n - j)!, {j, 0, n}];
FullSimplify[(2 n)!!/(2 n + 1)!!/s]`

References

- [1] K. Johnson, *Numerical Solution of Linear, Nonhomogeneous Differential Equation Systems via Padé Approximation* (v8, January 15, 2017). <https://vixra.org/abs/1611.0002>.
- [2] Linear differential equation solver (lde.m) , MATLAB Central File Exchange, 15 Jun 2021. <https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/60475-linear-differential-equation-solver-lde-m>
- [3] **expm**, Matrix exponential, 2020. <https://www.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/expm.html>
- [4] Al-Mohy, A. H. and N. J. Higham, “A new scaling and squaring algorithm for the matrix exponential,” *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 31(3) (2009), pp. 970–989.
- [5] **pageexpm**, <https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/107959-pageexpm>
- [6] Gautschi, Walter. *Numerical analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011, section 5.9.2.
- [7] **balance**, <https://www.mathworks.com/help/matlab/ref/balance.html>

Appendix: Polynomial evaluation

The polynomial $P[X]$ (Eq. (10)) is separated into even and odd parts (Eq. (35)),

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P[X] &= P^{[\text{even}]}[X] + P^{[\text{odd}]}[X] \\ P^{[\text{even}]}[X] &= \frac{1}{2}(P[X] + P[-X]) = \sum_{j=0}^{j=\text{floor}[n/2]} c_j^{[\text{even}]} (X^2)^j \\ P^{[\text{odd}]}[X] &= \frac{1}{2}(P[X] - P[-X]) = X \sum_{j=0}^{j=\text{floor}[(n-1)/2]} c_j^{[\text{odd}]} (X^2)^j \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (52)$$

where

$$c_j^{[\text{even}]} = c_{2j}, \quad c_j^{[\text{odd}]} = c_{2j+1} \quad (53)$$

If n is even and greater than 2 then the number of matrix multiplies in Eq. (52) is unchanged when n is incremented by 1, so n is limited to being odd,

$$n = 2m + 1 \quad (54)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{[\text{even}]}[X] &= \sum_{j=0}^{j=m} c_j^{[\text{even}]} (X^2)^j \\ P^{[\text{odd}]}[X] &= X \sum_{j=0}^{j=m} c_j^{[\text{odd}]} (X^2)^j \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (55)$$

The coefficient vector is zero-padded to arbitrary length,

$$c_j^{[\text{even}]} = 0, \quad c_j^{[\text{odd}]} = 0 \quad \text{for } j > m \quad (56)$$

The even and odd polynomial sums are reorganized as follows,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} P^{[\text{even}]}[X] &= \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j+Nk}^{[\text{even}]} (X^2)^j \right) ((X^2)^N)^k \\ P^{[\text{odd}]}[X] &= X \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} c_{j+Nk}^{[\text{odd}]} (X^2)^j \right) ((X^2)^N)^k \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (57)$$

where

$$N \leq m+1, \quad M = \text{ceil}[(m+1)/N] \geq 1 \quad (58)$$

The polynomial order of $P^{[\text{even}]}[X]$ is $2m$ in Eq. (55) and is $2(NM-1)$ in Eq. (57).

($2(NM-1) \geq 2m$ according to Eq. (58).) The polynomial order of $P^{[\text{odd}]}[X]$ is $2m+1$ in Eq. (55) and is $2NM-1$ in Eq. (57). ($2NM-1 \geq 2m+1$.)

The number of matrix multiplies needed to evaluate $P[X]$ via Eq. (10) and Horner's method is $2m$. The same number is needed to calculate $P^{[\text{even}]}[X]$ and $P^{[\text{odd}]}[X]$ via Eq's. (55). Using Eq's. (57), the number of matrix multiplies, denoted *count*, is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{count} &= 1 \\ &+ \max[0, N-2] \\ &+ (M > 1) \\ &+ 2 \max[0, M-1] \\ &- 2(M > 1 \text{ and } N(M-1) = m) \\ &+ (m > 0) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

(The computation cost additionally includes the matrix division in Eq. (17) and p multiplies from Eq. (18).) The logical terms in Eq. (59) (e.g., $M > 1$) evaluate to 1 or 0 depending on whether the condition is true or false. The leading 1 term accounts for the X^2 factor. The $\max[0, N-2]$ term accounts for one-time precomputation of the powers $(X^2)^j$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, N-1$ in the sums over index j . The $(M > 1)$ term accounts for the additional power $(X^2)^N$ used in the sums over index k (not required if $M = 1$). The $2 \max[0, M-1]$ term accounts for the two sums over index k via Horner's method for $P^{[\text{even}]}$ and $P^{[\text{odd}]}$. The $2(M > 1 \text{ and } N(M-1) = m)$ term is subtracted because under this condition the first step in calculating $P^{[\text{even}]}$ and $P^{[\text{odd}]}$ via Horner's method (for $k = M-1$) multiplies $(X^2)^N$ by a scalar factor (the j sum), not a matrix. (For $k = M-1$, the j sum's terms are all zero except for $j = 0$.) The $(m > 0)$ term accounts for the extra X factor in $P^{[\text{odd}]}$.

For large m , $\text{count} \cong N + 2(M-1)$ from Eq. (59) with $M \cong m/N$ from Eq. (58). count is approximately minimized with $N \cong 2M \cong \sqrt{2m}$ and $\text{count} \cong 2\sqrt{2m} - 2$. This is a reduction by a factor of order $\sqrt{m/2}$ in count relative to a straightforward implementation of Eq. (10).

N and M are selected to satisfy conditions (58) and to minimize count . Within these constraints, N and M are selected to minimize M , as this will generally minimize the number of matrix additions. Following is a tabulation of m , n , N , M , and count for m up to 13.

m	n	N	M	$count$
0	1	1	1	1
1	3	2	1	2
2	5	3	1	3
3	7	4	1	4
4	9	5	1	5
5	11	6	1	6
6	13	3	3	6
7	15	4	2	7
8	17	4	3	7
9	19	5	2	8
10	21	5	3	8
11	23	6	2	9
12	25	6	3	9
13	27	7	2	10

Eq. (50) can be replaced by a looser bound that does not require explicit calculation of $(hD)^{2n+1}$. This factor has the following bound,

$$\|(hD)^{2n+1}\| \leq |h|^{2n+1} \|D^{j_1}\| \|D^{j_2}\| \dots; \quad j_1 + j_2 + \dots = 2n+1 \quad (60)$$

The powers $D^{2j_1}, D^{2j_2}, \dots$ are selected from the precomputed matrices for Eq's. (57) (with $X = hD$).