# **Critical Analysis of Classical Wave Equation**

Temur Z. Kalanov Home of Physical Problems, Yozuvchilar (Pisatelskaya) 6a, 100128 Tashkent, Uzbekistan tzk\_uz@yahoo.com, t.z.kalanov@mail.ru, t.z.kalanov@rambler.ru

### Abstract.

A detailed proof of the incorrectness of the classical wave equation is proposed. The correct methodological basis for the proof is the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The proof leads to the following irrefutable statement: the classical wave equation and the derivation of the classical wave equation are a gross error in mathematics and physics. The proof of this statement is based on the following irrefutable main results: (1) The first gross error is the following approximate relationship: "sine of angle is approximately equal to tangent of angle; cosine of angle is approximately equal to 1". This relationship means (implies) that the quantity of the angle and the right-angled triangle do not exist. Consequently, the relationship between the tangent of the angle and the derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist; (2) The second gross error is that the second-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist, because the dimensions of displacement and coordinate are "meter", the first-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate is dimensionless quantity (i.e. the first-order derivative of the displacement respect to coordinate has no the dimension "meter"); (3) The third gross error is that the first-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate cannot be expanded into the Taylor series, because the second-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist; (4) The fourth gross error is as follows: (a) the left side of the wave equation contains the condition that time is a variable quantity, and the coordinate is a constant quantity; (b) the right side of the wave equation contains the condition that time is a constant, and the coordinate is a variable. This means (implies) that the equation contains contradictory conditions (propositions). Therefore, the equation represents a violation of the formal-logical law of the lack (absence) of contradiction; (5) The fifth gross error is that the standard derivation of the equation relies on the following false theories: negative number theory, complex numbers theory, trigonometry, vector calculus, differential calculus, and Newton's second law.

Thus, the classical wave equation does not satisfy the criterion of truth and is not a scientific achievement.

**Keywords** mathematical physics: foundations of theoretical physics, foundations of mathematics, wave equation, formalisms in classical mechanics, Newtonian mechanics, mechanical and elastic waves, string variations, history of science, philosophy of science, formal logic, higher education.

**PACS**: 01.40.-d, 01.55.+b, 01.55.+b, 01.65.+g , 01.70.+w, 01.90.+g, 02.30.Jr, 02.40.-k, 45.05.+x, 45.20.-d, 45.20.D-, 62.30.+d.

**MSC**: 51P05, 74J05, 74K05, 39A21, 00A05, 00A30, 00A35, 00A69, 00A73, 00A79, 03A10, 03B30, 53A45, 97A30, 97B40, 74J05, 74K05, 00A73.

### Introduction

As is known, the derivation of the classical wave equation (i.e., the equation of string vibrations) and the solution of the equation is a significant achievement of mathematical physics

and mechanics of the 18th century [1-7]. In 1727 Johann Bernoulli derived a difference equation related to the vibrating string problem. In 1746 the work of Johann Bernoulli was extended by Jean d'Alembert who derived the wave equation and obtained its solution. Jean d'Alembert, Leonhard Euler, Daniel Bernoulli, Luigi Lagrange and Joseph Fourier made significant contributions to finding a solution to the equation and further development of the theory of vibrations. But the debate on correct solution of the wave equation between d'Alembert, Euler and Bernoulli showed that the problem of correct solution of the equation has not been completely and finally solved. Why?

Currently, the derivation of the classical differential equation for string vibrations is presented in physics and mathematics courses. The derivation and solution of this classical wave equation is based on negative number theory, complex number theory, metric geometry, trigonometry, vector calculus, differential calculus, the theory of forces, and Newton's second law. In my conviction, differential calculus as a theory of infinitesimal quantities cannot contain mathematical operations on numbers because differentials of variables (i.e., infinitesimal quantities) do not take numerical values. The geometric interpretation of the derivative is a gross error. Vector and force are physical concepts (but not mathematical concepts) because vector and force do not have the dimension "meter" and cannot exist in a geometric coordinate system. Standard trigonometry contains many errors and is therefore not a science. Newton's second law is a blunder. From this point of view, there is a need for a modern critical analysis of the standard derivation of the classical wave equation.

The purpose of this work is to propose a detailed and irrefutable proof of the falsity of the classical wave equation. The correct methodological basis for the proof is the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the correct criterion of truth.

### 1. Standard derivation of the classical wave equation [8, 9]

#### (a) Standard statement of the problem.

As is known, a string is a thin material thread that can be bent under forces. If a stretched string is in a state of equilibrium without the action of external forces, then its position coincides with the ruler OX in the Cartesian (metric) coordinate system XOY. If the string is disturbed from its equilibrium position by an external force, then the string will vibrate. In the case of transverse vibrations, all points of the string are moved in the perpendicular direction relative to the ruler OX. The quantity of displacement of the points of the vibrating string relative to the ruler OX is the desired quantity.

The mathematical description of the displacement is determination of the positions of an elementary string segment at different points of time t. The displaced elementary string segment is under the action of two tension forces  $T_0$  which are directed along tangent lines to the ends of the string segment and form angles  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  with the ruler OX (Fig, 1).



**Fig. 1.** Transverse vibrations of the continuous string in the Cartesian (metric) coordinate system XOY. The element (segment) of the string in the equilibrium state is on the ruler OX. The string tension forces  $T_0$  are applied to the points 1 and 2 of the string element which is in the nonequilibrium state. The material point M of the string segment is a mobile point and has coordinates x and y at certain point of time t. The coordinates x and y are variable quantities.

The string tension forces  $T_0$  are applied to different end points of the string element and are therefore connected (non-free) vectors. The displacement  $\psi(x, t)$  characterizes the position of the movable point M of the string segment (the string is the geometric locus of the position of the point M). The statement of the problem is as follows: one must derive a linear differential equation for the displacement  $\psi(x, t)$  as a function of the coordinate x and time t.

#### (b) Standard solution of the problem

<u>The first step</u> is to add up (sum up) the forces (vectors) acting on the element (segment) of the string. In accordance with vector calculus, the analytical rule for addition of vectors is to add up (sum up) the projections of the vectors onto coordinate rulers. This rule leads to the following analytical expression for the sum of the projections of the forces onto the ruler OY:

$$F_{Y}(t) = T_0 \sin \alpha_2 - T_0 \sin \alpha_1$$

where the member (term)  $F_Y(t)$  is the *Y*-projection of the total force acting on the segment; the member (term)  $-T_0 \sin \alpha_1$  is the *Y*-projection of the force applied to the point 1 of the segment; the member (term)  $T_0 \sin \alpha_2$  is the *Y*-projection of the force applied to the point 2 of the segment; time *t* is a parameter. The dimensions of the quantities are as follows:

$$\left[F_{Y}(t)\right] = \left[T_{0}\right] = \frac{kg m}{s^{2}}, \quad \left[t\right] = s.$$

<u>The second step</u> is to express the quantity  $F_{Y}(t)$  in terms of the quantities  $\psi(x,t)$  and  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$ . The quantities  $\psi(x,t)$  and  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$  have the following dimensions:

$$\left[\psi\left(x,t\right)\right] = \left[x\right] = m, \quad \left[\frac{\partial\psi\left(x,t\right)}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{m}{m} = no \quad \text{dimension}.$$

In the case of small string vibrations, one makes the following approximations:

$$\sin \alpha_1 = tg \alpha_1$$
,  $\sin \alpha_2 = tg \alpha_2$ ,  $\cos \alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\cos \alpha_2 = 1$ .  $\cos \alpha = 1$ 

where  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha_1 \le \alpha \le \alpha_2$ ) is the variable quantity of the angle formed by the ruler *OX* and the tangent line at the point *M*. Quantities  $\sin \alpha$  and  $tg \alpha$  have no dimensions:  $[\sin \alpha] = [tg \alpha] = no$  dimension. In this case, the equation (condition) of the balance of the forces has the following form:

$$F_{Y}(t) \equiv T_{0} tg \alpha_{2} - T_{0} tg \alpha_{1} = 0.$$

In addition, one makes the following approximations:

$$tg \alpha = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}, \ tg \alpha_1 = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)_1, \ tg \alpha_2 = \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)_2,$$
$$F_Y(t) = T_0 \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)_2 - T_0 \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}\right)_1$$

(where t is a parameter).

The third step is to express the quantity 
$$\left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_2 - \left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_1$$
 in terms of the quantity

 $\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}$  (where t is a parameter). According to theorems of pure mathematics, if the function  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$  can be expanded into an infinite series in degrees of the quantity  $(x - x_1)$ , then this functional series is the Taylor series for this function in a neighborhood of the point  $x_1$ . In this case, the function  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$  must be continuous and differentiable an infinite number of times in the interval of convergence of the series. If

$$\left(\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{1} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_{1}}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{2} \equiv \left(\frac{\partial\psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_{2}},$$

then the Taylor series for the function  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$  is:

$$\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_1} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)_{x_1} (x - x_1) + \dots$$

If the value  $x = x_2$  belongs to the neighborhood of the point  $x_1$ , then substitution of the value  $x = x_2$  into the Taylor formula leads to the following relationships:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_2} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_1} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)_{x_1} (x_2 - x_1) + \dots \\ \left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_2} - \left(\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x_1} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)_{x_1} (x_2 - x_1) + \dots$$

where  $\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_1} \ll 1$ ; *t* is a parameter.

One postulates that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)_{x_1} (x_2 - x_1) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right) (x_2 - x_1).$$

In this case, the equation (i.e. condition) of the balance of the forces has the following form:

$$F_{Y}(t) \equiv T_{0}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}\psi(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}}\right)\left(x_{2} - x_{1}\right) = 0$$

where t is a parameter.

<u>The fourth step</u> is to obtain the equation of motion of the string based on d'Alembert's principle. According to d'Alembert's principle, one must add an force of inertia to the left side of the

relationship 
$$T_0\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)(x_2 - x_1) = 0$$
.

According to Newton's law, the force of inertia of the element (segment) of the string is equal to the product of the mass of the element and the acceleration of the element:

$$-\rho\,\Delta x_{2,1}\,\frac{\partial^2\psi(x,t)}{\partial t^2}$$

where  $\rho$  is the linear density of the string;  $\Delta x_{2,1} = x_2 - x_1$ ;  $\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial t^2}$  is the acceleration; t

is a variable.

In this case, the equation of motion (i.e., the condition for the balance of all forces) has the following form:

$$\rho \Delta x_{2,1} \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = T_0 \Delta x_{2,1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \right)$$

Thus, the classical wave equation is the following:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = \frac{T_0}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right),$$
  
i.e.  $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = v^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right), \quad v^2 \equiv \frac{T_0}{\rho}$ 

where the dimensions of the quantities are as follows:

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial t^2}\right] = \frac{m}{s^2}, \quad \left[\frac{T_0}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)\right] = \frac{kg m}{s^2} \frac{m}{kg} \frac{m}{m^2} = \frac{m}{s^2}, \quad \left[v^2\right] = \frac{m^2}{s^2}.$$

The solution of this equation was proposed by d'Alembert.

### 2. Critical analysis of the classical wave equation

If it is supposed that negative number theory, complex number theory, trigonometry, vector calculus, differential calculus, and Newton's second law are correct theories, then the errors in the derivation of the wave equation are as follows.

(a) <u>The first gross error</u> is the following approximate relationships:  $\sin \alpha_1 = tg \alpha_1$ ,  $\sin \alpha_2 = tg \alpha_2$ ,  $\cos \alpha_1 = 1$ ,  $\cos \alpha_2 = 1$ .  $\cos \alpha = 1$ ,  $\sin \alpha = tg \alpha$ . Really, as is known, the standard relationships for the right-angled triangle  $\Delta ABC$  (Figure 2) are the following:

$$tg \alpha = \frac{a}{b}, \quad \sin \alpha = \frac{a}{c}, \quad \cos \alpha = \frac{b}{c}, \quad a^2 + b^2 = c^2,$$

or, in detailed notations,

$$tg \ \alpha^{(\Delta ABC)} = \frac{a^{(\Delta ABC)}}{b^{(\Delta ABC)}}, \ \sin \alpha^{(\Delta ABC)} = \frac{a^{(\Delta ABC)}}{c^{(\Delta ABC)}}, \ \cos \alpha^{(\Delta ABC)} = \frac{b^{(\Delta ABC)}}{c^{(\Delta ABC)}}$$
$$\left(a^{(\Delta ABC)}\right)^{2} + \left(b^{(\Delta ABC)}\right)^{2} = \left(c^{(\Delta ABC)}\right)^{2}.$$



**Figure 2**. Right-angled triangle  $\Delta ABC$ . The triangle exists if  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $a \neq c$ ,  $b \neq c$ .

If  $\frac{a}{b} \approx \frac{a}{c} \neq 0$ , then  $b \approx c$ . In accordance with the Pythagorean theorem, the relationship  $b \approx c$  means that  $a \approx 0$ . In this case, the following contradiction arises:  $0 \neq a = 0$ . (From the point of view of formal logic, the signs " $\approx$ " and "=" are identical). Therefore, the rightangled triangle  $\triangle ABC$  and the angle  $\alpha$  do not exist under  $tg \alpha \approx \sin \alpha$ ,  $\cos \alpha \approx 1$ . The relationships  $tg \alpha = \frac{a}{b}$ ,  $tg \alpha = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$  are nonsense. (b) <u>The second gross error</u> is the quantity  $\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}$ . Really, the quantity  $\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}$  has no dimension:

$$\left[\frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x}\right] = \frac{m}{m} = no \dim ension.$$

the second-order derivative Therefore, with respect to nonsense:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x} \right) = nonsense . Taylor series for the function \frac{\partial \psi(x,t)}{\partial x} does not exist.$ 

(c) *The third gross error* is the following postulate:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right)_{x_1} (x_2 - x_1) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\right) (x_2 - x_1).$$

Really, the quantity  $x_1$  is a constant, and the quantity x is a variable. This postulate represents the formal logical error - the violation of the law of lack of contradiction:

 $(constant quantity) \neq (variable quantity)$ 

Thus, the classical wave equation is fabrication, utter nonsense.

# 3. Discussion

Thus, the classical wave equation is a gross error.

(a) Really, if one takes into account (consideration) the proven fact [10-59] that the theory of negative numbers, the theory of complex numbers, trigonometry, vector calculus, differential calculus and Newton's second law are erroneous theories, then one will comprehend that the derivation of the wave equation is a gross error. As a result, this gross error leads to false differential equation for free vibrations of the string:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = a^2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi(x,t)}{\partial x^2} \right).$$

Really, the formal-logical error in this equation is the existence of the following contradiction: the left side of this equation contains the condition (statement) "t = var; x = const", and the right side contains the contradictory condition (statement) "t = const; x = var ". From the point of view of formal logic, a mathematical relationship containing contradictory statements (propositions) is incorrect. In other words, the formal logical error is a violation of the law of lack (absence) of contradiction:

$$(t = \text{var}; x = const) \neq (x = \text{var}; t = const).$$

(b) The derivation of the classical wave equation is based on the concept "displacement of a physical quantity from an equilibrium value." The concept "physical quantity" means (signifies, implies) that the quantity of displacement has the dimension of a physical quantity. The displacement is described by the function  $\psi(x, t)$  where the variable quantity x is the Cartesian (metric) coordinate of the displaced material point, and the variable quantity t is time. The coordinates of the material point are measured with metric rulers OX and OY in the Cartesian coordinate system XOY. Therefore, the coordinates of the material point are neutral numbers with the dimension "meter". If any object has no the dimension "meter", then this object does not exist in the Cartesian (metric) coordinate system XOY. (In other words, this object has no geometric meaning). For example, information quantity t and properties of length, speed, acceleration, force and vector) have no a geometric meaning and, therefore, do not exist in the system XOY.

Any line segment in the metric system XOY is described by a single mathematical expression y = f(x) where y is function of argument x; quantities y and x have the dimension "meter". But the properties of a line segment (for example, the length of a line segment) or the properties of a geometric figure (for example, the quantity of an angle, the quantity of an area, etc.) do not exist in the system XOY. In other words, the properties of geometric (material) objects cannot be depicted (displayed, expressed, represented) in the system XOY, because the properties of material objects do not represent material objects. The properties of material objects are the result of measurements and calculations.

(c) The process of rationalization of thinking has not affected scientists, because scientists do not rely on the correct methodological basis (i.e. scientists do not work within the framework of the correct methodological basis): the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the only correct criterion of truth that scientific theories must satisfy. Therefore, all old theories must be questioned. The names of the classics of science should not serve as a cloak (cover, screen) for false theories.

The achievements of theoretical physics and mathematics seem convincing, but they turn out to be unfounded. Therefore, the foundations of theoretical physics and mathematics enter into the crisis. This implies greatest sign of our time.

# Conclusion

Thus, the classical wave equation is a gross error in physics and mathematics. The irrefutable proof of this statement is based on the correct methodological analysis of the standard derivation of the classical wave equation. The correct methodological basis for analysis is the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The analysis leads to the following main results:

1) The first gross error is the following approximate relationship: "sine of angle is approximately equal to tangent of angle; cosine of angle is approximately equal to 1". This relationship means (implies) that the quantity of the angle and the right-angled triangle do not exist. Consequently, the relationship between the tangent of the angle and the derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist.

2) The second gross error is that the second-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist, because the dimensions of displacement and coordinate are "meter", the first-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate is dimensionless quantity (i.e. the first-order derivative of the displacement respect to coordinate has no the dimension "meter").

3) The third gross error is that the first-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate cannot be expanded into the Taylor series, because the second-order derivative of the displacement with respect to coordinate does not exist.

4) The fourth gross error is as follows: (a) the left side of the wave equation contains the condition that time is a variable quantity, and the coordinate is a constant quantity; (b) the right side of the wave equation contains the condition that time is a constant, and the coordinate is a variable. This means (implies) that the equation contains contradictory conditions (propositions). Therefore, the equation represents a violation of the formal-logical law of the lack (absence) of contradiction.

5) The fifth gross error is that the standard derivation of the equation relies on the following false theories: negative number theory, complex numbers theory, trigonometry, vector calculus, differential calculus, and Newton's second law.

Thus, the classical wave equation does not satisfy the criterion of truth and is not a scientific achievement.

# References

[1] R. Courant, D. Hilbert. Methods of Mathematical Physics. Vol 2. Interscience (Wiley), New York, (1962).

[2] G. Whitham. Linear and Nonlinear Waves. Wiley-Interscience, New York, (1974).

[3] G. F. Wheeler, W. P. Crummelt. "The vibrating string controversy". Am. J. Physics, Vol. 55, No. 1, (1987), pp. 33–37.

[4] D. R. Bland. Wave Theory and Applications. Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1988).

[5] K. F. Graff. Wave Motion in Elastic Solids. Dover, New York, (1991).

[6] D. C. Amstead, M. A. Karls. "Does the wave equation really work?". Primus, Vol. XVI, No. 2, (2006), 162–176.

[7] Juri Engelbrecht. Estonian Journal of Engineering, 2013, 19, 4, 273–282.

[8] F. S. Crawford, Jr. Waves: Berkeley Physics Course. Vol. 3. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1968.

[9] V.I. Smirnov. Higher Mathematics Course. Vol. 2. Moscow, Nauka, 1974.

[10] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus". MCMS (Ada Lovelace Publications), (2011), pp. 34-40.

[11] T.Z. Kalanov, "Logical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus". Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 4, No. 12 (2011), pp. 1786-1789.

[12] T.Z. Kalanov, "Logical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 30 E (Math.& Stat.), No. 2 (2011), pp. 327-334.

[13] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus". International Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2012), pp.80-84.

[14] T.Z. Kalanov, "On rationalization of the foundations of differential calculus". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 31 E (Math. & Stat.), No. 1 (2012), pp. 1-7.

[15] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Basic Research Journal of Education Research and Review, (ISSN 2315-6872, http://www.basicresearchjournals.org), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2013), pp. 59-65.

[16] T.Z. Kalanov, "The logical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Asian Journal of Mathematics and Physics, (ISSN 2308-3131, http://scienceasia.asia), Vol. 2013 (2013), pp. 1-12.

[17] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 32 (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2013), pp. 1-12.

[18] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". International Journal of Scientific Knowledge. Computing and Information Technology, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2013), pp. 25-30.

[19] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". International Journal of Multidisciplinary Academic Research, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2013).

[20] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, No. 4 (2013), pp. 202-321.

[21] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Journal of Research in Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ISTP-JREEE), (ISSN: 2321-2667), Vol. 2, No. 5 (2013), pp. 1-5.

[22] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometries, (ISSN: 2284-5569), Vol. 2, No. 2 (2013), pp. 59-68.

[23] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Research Desk, (ISSN: 2319-7315), Vol. 2, No. 3 (2013), pp. 249-259.

[24] T.Z. Kalanov, "The foundations of vector calculus: The logical error in mathematics and theoretical physics". Unique Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 1, No. 4 (2013), pp. 054-059.

[25] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, (ISSN: 0975-7139), Vol. 5, No. 2 (2013), pp. 227-234.

[26] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. II. Foundations of vector calculus". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 32 E (Math & Stat), No. 2 (2013), pp.121-130.

[27] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Journal of Physics & Astronomy, (www.mehtapress.com), Vol. 3, No. 1 (2014).

[28] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research, (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 1, No. 6 (2014), pp. 6-27.

[29] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Science Inventions Today, (IJSIT, www.ijsit.com), Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 119-147.

[30] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 26-39.

[31] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 33E (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2014), pp. 1-27.

[32] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative number". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2014), pp. 1132-1143.

[33] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2015), pp. 1-12.

[34] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 3-12.

[35] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal–logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 1-2.

[36] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Mathematics and Statistics (CRESCO, http://crescopublications.org), Vol. 2, No. 1 (2016), pp. 2-14.

[37] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". International Journal for Research in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2, No. 2 (2016), pp. 15-33.

[38] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 8, No. 1 (2016), pp. 1-14 (Article Number: MSOA-2-005).

[39] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal–logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2017), pp. 48-49.

[40] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". International journal of Chemistry, Mathematics and Physics (IJCMP, AI Publications, <u>www.aipublications.com</u>), Vol. 1, No. 1 (2017), pp. 27-47.

[41] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". Advances in Physics Theories and Applications, Vol. 64, (2017), pp. 27-46.

[42] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". International Journal of Advanced Research in Physical Science. Vol. 4, No. 6 (2017), pp. 1-22.

[43] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". International educational scientific research journal. Vol. 3, No. 6 (2017), pp. 56-73.

[44] T.Z. Kalanov. "The formal-logical analysis of the foundation of set theory". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 36E, No. 2 (2017), pp. 329 -343.

[45] T.Z. Kalanov. The critical analysis of the foundations of mathematics. Mathematics: The Art of Scientific Delusion. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2017-12-05). ISBN-10: 620208099X.

[46] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". Physics & Astronomy (International Journal). Vol. 2, No. 2 (2018), pp. 79-92.

[47] T.Z. Kalanov. "The formal-logical analysis of the foundation of set theory". Scientific Review, Vol. 4, No. 6 (2018), pp. 53-63.

[48] T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error In Mathematics". MathLAB Journal, Vol. 3, (2019), pp. 128-135.

[49] T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error in Mathematics". Academic Journal of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 5, No. 8,

(2019), pp. 124-129.

[50] T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of Derivative Function: Logical Error in Mathematics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 11, No. 2 (2019), pp. 173-180.

[51] T.Z. Kalanov, "Vector Calculus and Maxwell's Equations: Logic Errors in Mathematics and Electrodynamics". Open Access Journal of Physics, Vol. 3, No. 4, (2019), pp. 9-26.

[52] T.Z. Kalanov, "Vector Calculus and Maxwell's Equations: Logic Errors in Mathematics and Electrodynamics". Sumerianz Journal of Scientific Research, Vol. 2, No. 11, (2019), pp. 133-149. (ISSN(e): 2617-6955, ISSN(p): 2617-765X. Website: https://www.sumerianz.com).

[53] T.Z. Kalanov, "Formal-logical analysis of the starting point of mathematical logic". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol.13, No. 1, (2021), pp. 01-14.

[54] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the problem of axiomatization of geometry". Aryabatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol.13, No.2, (2021), pp. 151-166.

[55] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the problem of axiomatization of geometry". Chemistry Biology and Physical Sciences Academic, 3(1), (2021), pp. 8–25.

[56] T.Z. Kalanov, "Theory of Harmonic Oscillations: A Gross Error in Physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Science: Physics, Vol. 41D, No.2, (2022), pp. 1-7 (Print version ISSN 0970 6569. Online version ISSN 2320 3218. DOI: 10.5958/2320-3218.2022.00001.X).

[57] T.Z. Kalanov, "On fundamental errors in trigonometry". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 16-33.

[58] T.Z. Kalanov, "Theory of complex numbers: A gross error in mathematics and physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 61-68.

[59] T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential calculus: A gross error in mathematics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), be published in 2023.