## Fractional Field Theory Approach to Protein Folding Dynamics

Hosein Nasrolahpour\* Department of Physics, School of Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14155-4838, Tehran, Iran

#### Abstract

Understanding biological complexity is one of the most important scientific challenges nowadays. Protein folding is a complex process involving many interactions between the molecules. Fractional calculus is an effective modeling tool for complex systems and processes. In this work we have proposed a new fractional field theoretical approach to protein folding. We have derived two coupled fractional partial differential equations that their solutions with specific boundary conditions and different values of the order of fractional derivative would describe and predict the possible contour of conformational changes for protein folding.

Keywords: protein folding, protein misfolding, complex process, fractional field theory

## 1. Introduction

Protein folding is a complex process involving many different interactions between the molecules that has attracted many attentions from physicist, chemists and biologists in recent years. Protein folding is the process by which proteins achieve rapidly and spontaneously their highly structured conformation with a certain biological function in a self-assemble manner, while misfolding process of protein can be seen as the failure to attain this fully functional conformation that may causes many different diseases such as: bone fragility, Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson disease and so on [1, 2]. There are many different approaches to address this issue such as: statistical mechanics and polymer dynamics etc. [3-7]. In the last decades, fractional calculus have found extensive applications in various fields of science from physics to biology, chemistry, engineering, economy and even in modeling of some human autoimmune diseases such as psoriasis[8-26]. Today fractional calculus is well known as an important effective modeling tool for complex systems and processes and can be used for describing various complex phenomena such as viscoelasticity, dielectric relaxations, fluid transport in fractal networks and so on [27-29].

The fractional variational principle can be considered as an important part of fractional calculus. Recently Agrawal has written a review article on this subject that can be found in [30] and discussed about various features of fractional variational calculus. Applications of fractional variational calculus have gained considerable popularity in science and engineering and many important results were obtained [31-39]. In our recent work we have propose the fractional sine-Gordon Lagrangian density, then using the fractional Euler-Lagrange equations, we have obtained fractional sine-Gordon equation [40]. Generalizing our previous results and using the approach present in [41, 42], we will propose a new fractional field theoretical approach to protein folding.

In the following, we will briefly review our mathematical tools. Then in Sec. 3 we briefly describe the protein structure and then we discus about its folding process also its importance in physics, chemistry and medicine. In the next section, i.e. Sec. 4 we present a new fractional protein Lagrangian density. Then using the fractional Euler-Lagrange equations we obtain its related equation of motion. Finally, in Sec. 5, we will present some conclusions.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Hosein Nasrolahpour, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

E-Mail: hnasrolahpour@gmail.com

#### 2. Mathematical Tools

The fractional derivative has different definitions such as: Grünwald–Letnikov, Riemann-Liouville, Weyl, Riesz, Hadamard and Caputo fractional derivative [43], however in the papers cited above, the problems have been formulated mostly in terms of two types of fractional derivatives, namely Riemann-Liouville (RL) and Caputo. Among mathematicians, RL fractional derivatives have been popular largely because they are amenable to many mathematical manipulations. However, the RL derivative of a constant is not zero, and in many applications it requires fractional initial conditions which are generally not specified. Many believe that fractional initial conditions are not physical. In contrast, Caputo derivative of a constant is zero, and a fractional differential equation defined in terms of Caputo derivatives require standard boundary conditions. For these reasons, Caputo fractional derivatives have been popular among engineers and scientists. In this section we briefly present some fundamental definitions. The left and the right partial Riemann–Liouville and Caputo fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha_k$ ,  $0 < \alpha_k < 1$  of a function f depending on n variables,  $x_1, ..., x_n$ 

defined over the domain  $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^{n} [a_i, b_i]$  with respect to  $x_k$  are as follow [35]:

The Left (Forward) RL fractional derivative

$$\left({}_{+}\partial_{k}^{\alpha}f\right)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_{k})}\partial x_{k}\int_{a_{k}}^{x_{k}}\frac{f(x_{1},...,x_{k-1},u,x_{k+1},...,x_{n})}{(x_{k}-u)^{\alpha_{k}}}du$$
(1)

The Right (Backward) RL fractional derivative

$$\left({}_{-}\partial_{k}^{\alpha}f\right)(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_{k})}\partial x_{k}\int_{x_{k}}^{b_{k}}\frac{f(x_{1},...,x_{k-1},u,x_{k+1},...,x_{n})}{(u-x_{k})^{\alpha_{k}}}du$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

The Left (Forward) Caputo fractional derivative

$$\binom{C}{+} \partial_{k}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_{k})} \int_{a_{k}}^{x_{k}} \frac{\partial_{u} f(x_{1},...,x_{k-1},u,x_{k+1},...,x_{n})}{(x_{k}-u)^{\alpha_{k}}} du$$
(3)

The Right (Backward) Caputo fractional derivative

$$\binom{C}{-} \partial_{k}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha_{k})} \int_{x_{k}}^{x_{k}} \frac{\partial_{u} f(x_{1},...,x_{k-1},u,x_{k+1},...,x_{n})}{(u-x_{k})^{\alpha_{k}}} du$$

$$(4)$$

The fractional variational principle represents an important part of fractional calculus and has found many applications in physics. As it is mentioned in [30] there are several versions of fractional variational principles and fractional Euler-Lagrange equations due to the fact that we have several definitions for the fractional derivatives. In this work we use new approach presented in [35, 40] where authors developed the action principle for field systems described in terms of fractional derivatives, by use of a functional *S* ( $\phi$ ) as:

$$S(\phi) = \int L\left(\phi(x_k), \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial_k \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_k), \begin{pmatrix} c \\ - \partial_k \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_k), x_k \right) (dx_k)$$
(5)

where  $L\left(\phi(x_k), \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial_k^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\phi(x_k), \begin{pmatrix} c \\ - \partial_k^{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}\phi(x_k), x_k \right)$  is a Lagrangian density function. Accordingly,  $x_k$  represents n variables  $x_1$  to  $x_n$ ,  $\phi(x_k) \equiv \phi(x_1, ..., x_n)$ ,  $L\left(*, {}^{c}_{+}\partial_k^{\alpha}, *, *\right) \equiv L\left(*, {}^{c}_{+}\partial_1^{\alpha}, ..., {}^{c}_{+}\partial_n^{\alpha}, *, *\right)$ ,  $(dx_k) \equiv dx_1...dx_n$  and the integration is taken over the entire domain  $\Omega$ . From these definitions, we can obtain the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation as:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} -\partial_{k}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left( {}^{C}_{+} \partial_{k}^{\alpha} \phi \right)} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} + \partial_{k}^{\beta} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \left( {}^{C}_{-} \partial_{k}^{\beta} \phi \right)} = 0$$
(6)

Above equation is the Euler–Lagrange equation for the fractional field system and for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow 1$ , gives the usual Euler–Lagrange equations for classical fields.

#### 3. Physics of protein folding

Proteins are a particular type of biological molecule that can be found in every single living being and have diverse biological functions. In chemical point of view, proteins are polymers of amino acids. All amino acids are made up of a central  $\alpha$  -carbon with four groups attached to it: an amino group (-NH<sub>2</sub>), a carboxyl group (-COOH), a hydrogen atom and a fourth arbitrary group or side chain (-R) (see Figure 1) [44-50].

Side Chain

Figure 1: General structure of amino acids.

Though there are infinite different proteins that exist in nature, however they are all made up of different combinations of 20 naturally occurring amino acids. Proteins are in fact large molecules that may consist of a large number of amino acids (see Figure 2).

**Figure 2:** Chemical structure of protein chain: As we see amino acids react to form proteins. Proteins have different levels of structure are known as primary (the primary structure of a protein refers to its linear sequence of specific amino acids in the polypeptide chain.), secondary(the secondary structure of a protein refers to the three-dimensional structure of local segments of a protein that form within a polypeptide due to interactions between atoms of the backbone.), tertiary (the tertiary structure points to the overall three-dimensional shape of an entire protein molecule), and quaternary (the quaternary structure points to the arrangement of more than one protein molecule in a multi-subunit complex) structure[44-50].

Proteins participate in almost every essential task of life of every living organisms and systems, and because of this, a complete understanding of their dynamics and processes are very important for the development of modern biosciences, biotechnology and biomedicine. In the realm of medicine, nowadays we know that the lack or malfunction of specific proteins or abnormal proteins aggregation can result in many types of diseases for instance: different kinds of cancers and many neurodegenerative disorders, including Huntington, Alzheimer, or motor neuron diseases. Apart from medicine, in the realm of technology there are new materials of extraordinary mechanical properties which benefit from the proteins' characteristics. Also, some attempts are being made to apply these new kind of biomaterials in living organic tissues. Hence, they can play an important role in new science and technologies such as: nanoengines, nanomachines and so on. After knowing the structure of protein and its importance in the future of science and technology, the most important

question is that: how do proteins fold? After several decades researches have been continued for the general principles and rules by which proteins achieve their final three-dimensional structure and how we can predict them. Most proteins accomplish their function only under a very specific native shape which consist of many twists, loops and bends of the linear chain of amino acids. This spatial structure can be determined, nowadays, using two experimental techniques that is Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) and X-ray crystallography for small proteins and for proteins of any size respectively [see [44] and references therein].

However, theoretically and in a physical point of view protein folding can be considered as a complex nonlinear phenomenon. Generally spatiotemporal dynamics of complex nonlinear systems can be described using three class of important nonlinear and integrable partial differential equations differential equations which have different kind of traveling solitary waves' solutions (known as solitons which are included kinks and breathers) as follow [41]:

- I- Sine–Gordon equations
- II- Korteweg-deVries equations
- III- Nonlinear Schrödinger equations.

In complex physical systems, Sine–Gordon solitons, kinks and breathers appear in various circumstances, including propagation of magnetic flux in long Josephson junctions, nonlinear spin waves in superfluids, also in living cellular structures, both intra–cellular (e.g. DNA and protein folding) and inter–cellular (e.g. neural impulses and muscular contractions). For example the idea that it is possible that soliton excitations may suggest a discovery of a new mechanism in the duplication of DNA and the transcription of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) has been proposed recently, also it has been known that nonlinear excitations can influence conformational dynamics of biopolymers, by the way several models have been proposed to explain protein transitions [see [41, 42] and references therein].

In this paper, we propose new model for protein folding process based on the Sine–Gordon equation and Klein–Gordon equation in the framework of fractional field theory. The idea is that that protein folding as a complex phenomenon may be mediated via interaction of the protein chain with Sine– Gordon solitons which propagate along the chain within the framework of fractional dynamics.

# 4. Fractional Protein Lagrangian Density

Fractional dynamics is a field in theoretical and mathematical physics, studying the behavior of objects and systems that are described by using integrations and differentiation of fractional orders, i.e., by methods of fractional calculus [29]. Derivatives and integrals of non-integer orders are used to describe the behavior of nonlinear physical objects and systems that can be characterized by [29]: (I) special kind of non-locality

(II) memory effects

(III) fractal-type properties.

As an example in the realm of classical physics we can consider the well-known diffusion phenomena. The most known diffusion processes is the normal diffusion. This process is characterized by a linear increase of the mean squared distance:

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t$$

(7)

where r is the distance a particle has traveled in time t from its starting point. However there are many examples of phenomena in the natural sciences that violate this kind of behavior i.e. they are slower or faster than normal diffusion. In these cases (anomalous diffusions) the mean squared displacement is no longer linear in time:

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle \propto t^{\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 2$$
 (8)

In recent years it is well known that generalization of the well-known diffusion equation and wave equation such that it includes derivatives of non-integer order with respect to time can describes phenomena that satisfy such a power law mean squared displacement.

Also it is well known that many biological systems are objects and systems with memory. As a result, the concept of fractional dynamics and in fact adopting fractional calculus can play an important role in the study of dynamical biological systems by taking advantage of the long memory properties of the fractional operators. In addition the advantage of modeling bio structures using fractional derivatives is the non-local property, and such these non-localities and memory effects in biological objects and systems mean that the next state of the system relies not only upon its present state but also upon all of its historical states [14].

Motivatetd by the above mentioned reasons in this section we present our new fractional Lagrangian model of protein folding that is in fact a fractional generalized version of the model presented recently in [41]. Using fractional Lagrangian model we will be able to consider complex nature of protein folding due to its memory effects and non-local nature. Following the model presented in [41, 42] we propose the protein Lagrangian including three terms:

I- Nonlinear unfolding  $\phi^4$  – protein at the initial state:

$$L_{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} {}^{C}_{+} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_{\mu}) \right)^{\dagger} \left( \begin{pmatrix} {}^{C}_{+} \partial^{\mu}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_{\mu}) \right) + \frac{m_{\phi}^{4\alpha}}{\lambda_{\phi}^{\alpha}} \left[ 1 - \cos \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}}{m_{\phi}} |\phi| \right) \right]$$
(9)

II- Nonlinear sources injected into the backbone, modeled by  $\psi^4$  self-interaction:

$$L_{II} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial \alpha \\ + \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_{\mu}) \right)^{\dagger} \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial \alpha \\ + \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_{\mu}) \right) + \frac{\lambda_{\psi}^{\alpha}}{4!} \left( \psi^{\dagger} \psi \right)^{2}$$
(10)

III- The interaction term (with the coupling constant  $\Lambda$  ):

$$L_{III} = -\Lambda_{\alpha} \left( \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right) \left( \psi^{\dagger} \psi \right)$$
<sup>(11)</sup>

Therefore the total potential (from all three terms) is:

$$V_{tot}(\phi,\psi) = \frac{m_{\phi}^{4\alpha}}{\lambda_{\phi}^{\alpha}} \left[ 1 - \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}}{m_{\phi}}|\phi|\right) \right] + \frac{\lambda_{\psi}^{\alpha}}{4!} (\psi^{\dagger}\psi)^{2} - \Lambda_{\alpha} (\phi^{\dagger}\phi) (\psi^{\dagger}\psi)$$
(12)

Assuming that  $\lambda_{\phi}$  is small enough to be approximately at the same order with  $\lambda_{\psi}$ , the first term can be expanded in term of  $\sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}$ , giving (up to the second order accuracy):

$$V_{tot}(\phi,\psi) \approx \frac{m_{\phi}^{2\alpha}}{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}^{\alpha}}{4!} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\psi}^{\alpha}}{4!} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^{2} - \Lambda_{\alpha} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)$$
(13)

from which the total fractional Lagrangian:  $L_{tot} = L_I + L_{II} + L_{III}$  can be (up to the second order accuracy) approximated by:

$$L_{tot}(\phi,\psi) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_{\mu}) \right)^{\dagger} \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \phi(x_{\mu}) \right) + \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_{\mu}) \right)^{\dagger} \left( \begin{pmatrix} c \\ + \partial^{\alpha}_{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \psi(x_{\mu}) \right) \right] + \frac{m_{\phi}^{2\alpha}}{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}^{\alpha}}{4!} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi)^{2} + \frac{\lambda_{\psi}^{\alpha}}{4!} (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)^{2} - \Lambda_{\alpha} (\phi^{\dagger} \phi) (\psi^{\dagger} \psi)$$

$$(14)$$

From the fractional Euler–Lagrangian equations for the total Lagrangian Eq. (6) we have:

$$\frac{\partial L_{tot}}{\partial \phi} - {}^{C}_{-} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \frac{\partial L_{tot}}{\partial \left( {}^{C}_{+} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \phi \right)} = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{\partial L_{tot}}{\partial \psi} - {}^{C}_{-} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \frac{\partial L_{tot}}{\partial \left( {}^{C}_{+} \partial^{\alpha}_{\mu} \psi \right)} = 0 \tag{15}$$

the following coupled and perturbed fractional Sine–Gordon equation and (nonlinear) fractional Klein–Gordon equation with cubic forcing in (1+1) dimension are derived:

$${}_{-}\partial_{t}^{\alpha} \left( {}_{+}^{C}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\phi \right) = {}_{-}\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \left( {}_{+}^{C}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\phi \right) - \frac{m_{\phi}^{3\alpha}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}} \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{\phi}}}{m_{\phi}}|\phi|\right) + 2\Lambda_{\alpha} \left|\phi\right| \left|\psi\right|^{2}$$
(16)

$${}_{-}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\left({}_{+}^{C}\partial_{t}^{\alpha}\psi\right) = {}_{-}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\left({}_{+}^{C}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\psi\right) - \frac{\lambda_{\psi}^{\alpha}}{6}|\psi|^{3} + 2\Lambda_{\alpha}|\psi||\phi|^{2}$$

$$\tag{17}$$

Solving these two coupled fractional partial differential equations with specific boundary conditions and different values of the order of fractional derivative would describe and predict the contour of conformational changes for protein folding.

### **5.** Conclusion

Fractional calculus is very useful tool for describing the behavior of nonlinear physical systems which are characterized by: power-law non-locality, power-law long-term memory and also fractal (or multifractal) properties. There exist many biological objects and systems with memory and nonlocal effects. In particular protein and its folding process has attracted many attentions from physicist, chemists and biologists in recent years. There are many different approaches addressing complex phenomena such as protein folding/misfolding however we believe that such these phenomena can be comprehensively understood by using fractional calculus and all of previous studies and models are only special cases of the model presented in this work. In this work we have proposed a new fractional field theoretical approach to protein folding. We have derived two coupled fractional partial differential equations (i.e. fractional Sine–Gordon equation and fractional Klein–Gordon equation) that their solutions with specific boundary conditions and different values of the order of fractional derivative would describe and predict the possible contour of conformational changes for protein folding.

We believe that our new approach can give us new insights in understanding and modeling of nonlinear complex phenomena in various living cellular structures. We hope to present our other result in future showing important role of fractional calculus in describing complex phenomena related to bio structures such as protein, DNA and RNA.

#### References

- [1] T. R. Jahn, S. E. Radford, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 469 (2008) 100–117.
- [2] C. Soto, FEBS Letters 498 (2001) 204-207.
- [3] M. Thommen et al., *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* **42** (2017) 83–89.
- [4] R. B. Best and G. Hummer, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **13** (2011) 16902-16911.
- [5] C. M. Dobson, *Nature* **426** (2003) 884-890.
- C. Z Cai, et al., *Math. Biosci.* **185(2)** (2003) 111-122.
- [6] E. O. Armah, *Math. Biosci.* **208(1)** (2007)1-25.
- [7] V. S. Pande, *Biophys J.* **73(6)** (1997)3192–3210.
- [8] H. Nasrolahpour, Prespacetime J. 2 (8) (2011) 1264-1269.
- [9] H. Nasrolahpour, *Prespacetime J.* **2 (13)** (2011) 2053-2059.
- [10] H. Nasrolahpour, Prespacetime J. **3 (1)** (2012) 99-108.
- [11] H. Nasrolahpour, Prespacetime J. 3 (12) (2012) 1194-1196.
- [12] H. Nasrolahpour, Prespacetime J. 3 (13) (2012) 1247-1250.
- [13] H. Nasrolahpour, Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul. 18(9) (2013) 2589–2593.
- [14] A. Mvogo et al., *Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul.* 48 (2017) 258–269.
  A. Mvogo and T. C. Kofané, *Chaos* 26 (2016) 123120.
  J. A. Tenreiro Machado et al., *Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul.* 16 (2011) 2963–2969.

- [15] A. G.O. Goulart et al., *Physica A* **477** (2017) 9–19.
- [16] M. C. Rocca et al., *Physica A* **447** (2016) 402–410.
- [17] Q. Feng, Optik 130 (2017) 310-323.
- [18] J. F. Gómez-Aguilar et al., Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul. 30(2016)115–127.
- [19] Z. Cao et al., Journal of Molecular Liquids 222 (2016) 1121–1127.
- [20] M.A. Moreles, R. Lainez, Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul. 46 (2017) 81-88.
- [21] M. Caputo, C. Cametti, *Physica A* 462 (2016) 705–713.
- [22] V. E. Tarasov, Journal of Mathematical Physics. 56(10) (2015) 1035068.
- [23] V. E. Tarasov, Nonlinear Dynamics 80(4) (2015) 1665-1672.
- [24] V. V. Tarasova, V. E. Tarasov, Chaos, Soliton and Frcatls 95 (2017) 84-91.
- [25] V. V. Tarasova, V. E. Tarasov, Prog. Frac. Diff. Appl. 3 (1) (2017).
- [26] C. Xianbing et al., J. Syst. Sci. Complex **29** (2016) 1565–1584.
- [27] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics (World Scientific, 2000).
- [28] R. Herrmann, Fractional Calculus (World Scientific Press, 2011).
- [29] V. E. Tarasov, Fractional Dynamics (Springer, HEP, 2011).
   V. E. Tarasov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 27(9) (2013) 1330005.
- [30] O. P. Agrawal, Int. J. Diff. Eq. 2012, Article ID 521750.
- [31] R. Herrmann, Phys. Lett. A 372 (2008) 5515.
- [32] E. Goldfain, Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul. 13 (2008) 666-676.
- [33] E. Goldfain, Comm. Nonl. Sci. Num. Simul. 13 (2008) 1397-1404.
- [34] S. I. Muslih et al., Int. J. Theor. Phys. 49 (2010) 1746–1752.
- [35] S. I. Muslih et al., J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 055203.
- [36] M. J. Lazo, Phys. Lett. A 375 (2011) 3541-3546.
- [37] R. A. El-Nabulsi, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **51** (2012) 3978–3992.
- [38] V. E. Tarasov, Adv. High Energy Phys., 2014 (2014), Article 957863.
- [39] A. B. Malinowska, Advances in Dynamical Systems and Applications 8(1) (2013) 85–94.
- [40] H. Nasrolahpour, *Prespacetime J.***4 (6)** (2013) 604-608.
- [41] M. Januar et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. 9(2012)127-132.
- [42] V. G. Ivancevic, T. T. Ivancevic, J Geom Symmetry Phys **31** (2013) 1-56.
- [43] I. Podlubny, Fractional Differential Equations (Academic Press, New York, 1999).
- [44] P. Echenique, Contemporary Physics 48 (2) (2007) 81 108.
- [45] A.V. Finkelstein, O.V. Galzitskaya, *Physics of Life Reviews* 1 (2004) 23–56.
- [46] H. S. Chan, *Physics in Canada* 60(2) (2004) 195-201.
- [47] J. S. Fetrow et al., Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology 3 (2002) 329-347.
- [48] V. Sharma et al., *Physica A* **388** (2009) 851-862.
- [49] J. N. Onuchic and P. G. Wolynes, *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 14 (2004) 70–75.
- [50] K. A. Dill et al., Annu. Rev. Biophys 37 (2008)289-316.