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#### Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the symmetry of N -domain and we find that using the symmetry characters of Natural Numbers we can give proofs of the Prime Conjectures: Goldbach Conjecture, Polignac's conjecture (Twins Prime Conjecture) and Riemann Hypothesis. . We also gave a concise proofs of Collatz Conjecture in this paper.
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1. Division of $\mathbf{D}_{1 / 2 \times 1 / 2}$


Fig.1. D-domain( $D_{1 / 2 \times 1 / 2}$ )
Fig.2. $D_{1 / 2 \times 1 / 2}$ regularization division by $1 / \mathbf{2}^{\text {n }}$ we can get a square $\left[\begin{array}{cc}1 / 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 / 2\end{array}\right]$, and we can give a regularization division by $1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}}$ as show Fig. 2 the matrix is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & \frac{1}{2}+1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}+1} & 1 \\
1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}+1} & 1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}} & \frac{1}{2}+1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}+1} \\
0 & 1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}+1} & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right]
$$



Fig.3. $\mathrm{D}_{1 / 2 \times 1 / 2}$ diagonalization division by $\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1 / 2)$
and we can also give a diagonalization division by $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0}<\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}<\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2})$ as show Fig. 3 the matrix is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 / 2 & \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon & 1 \\
\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon & 1 / 2 & \frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon \\
0 & \frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

So we can get a division by $1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0}<\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}<\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2})$ just show as on Fig. 4


Fig.4. $\mathrm{D}_{1 / 2 \times 1 / 2}$ division by $1 / 2^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1 / 2)$
the matrix is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{1 / 2} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon & \ldots \ldots . . & \ldots \ldots & 1 \\
\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon \ldots & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots . & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon & \ldots \ldots . \\
\ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots . & \mathbf{1 / 2} & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots . \\
\ldots \ldots . & \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon & \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots \\
0 & \ldots \ldots . & \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots \ldots \ldots & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

The $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})=\mathbf{1 / 2}$ * $\mathbf{n}$
$\varepsilon(0<\varepsilon<1 / 2)$ we notice $\varepsilon \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \quad n \sim(3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$
All the zero point would be: $\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \frac{1}{n}$
And when $\mathbf{n}$ is a prime number $\mathbf{p}$, the zero point would be: $\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \frac{1}{p}$
Those are non-trivial zero points.

## 2. Proof of Riemann Hypothesis.

## Riemann Zeta-Function

$$
\xi(s)=\sum_{n=1} \frac{1}{n^{s}}=\prod \frac{1}{1-p^{s}} \quad(s=a+b i)
$$

Riemann Hypothesis: all the Non-trivial zero-point of Zeta-Function $\operatorname{Re}(s)=1 / 2$.


Figure.5. Riemann Hypothesis: all the non-trivial Zero points of Riemann zeta-function are on the $1 / 2$ axis.

(a) $\mathrm{L}^{1 / 2}(01 / 21)$ space

Fig.6. N -domain analytic continuation with $1 / \mathrm{p}$ in $\mathbf{L}^{1 / 2}{ }_{(01 / 21)}$ space

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 2=1 / 2 \quad 0=1 / 2-1 / 2 \quad 1=1 / 2+1 / 2 \quad i^{2}=-1 \\
1 / 2=(1 / 2+1 / 2 \cdot i)(1 / 2-1 / 2 \cdot i)
\end{gathered}
$$

So we can constructure a space with a $1 / 2$ Fixed Point, we call it $L^{1 / 2}(01 / 21)$ We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{p} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 1-\frac{1}{p} \rightarrow 1 \\
& i^{2 n}= \pm 1 i^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i-1 & -i & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& i^{p}= \pm i \\
& z p 0=\frac{1}{2}+\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) i \\
& z p n=\frac{1}{2}-\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) i \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
n & \mathrm{i} & \mathrm{p} \\
0 & 1 / 2 & 1 \\
-\mathrm{n} & -\mathrm{i} & -\mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 / 2 & 0 \\
1 / \mathrm{p} & 1 / 2 & 1-1 / \mathrm{p} \\
1 & 1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{A})=1 / 2 * n$
This is the proof of Hilbert-Pólya conjecture. This is mean that all the nontrivial Zero points of Riemann zeta-function are on the $\mathbf{1 / 2}$ axis just show as Fig.6. So we give a proof of Riemann Hypothesis.

In fact, we have

$$
1+\frac{e^{i p \pi}-e^{i 2 N \pi}}{(1+i)(1-i)=\Sigma \frac{1}{2^{N}}=2}=0
$$

$N \sim(0,1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ all the natural numbers.
$\mathrm{p} \sim(3,5,7, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ all the odd prime numbers.
3. proofs of the Prime Conjectures: Goldbach Conjecture, Polignac's conjecture and Twins prime conjecture
We have
$n \sim(1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ All natural numbers excepted 0
$\mathrm{P} \sim(2,3,5,7, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ All prime numbers


Fig.7. N-domain ( $2 \mathrm{n} \times 2 \mathrm{n}$ ) Regularization division by $\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{2}$
we can get figure. 7
as the matrix is : $\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}2 n & \frac{5 n}{2} & 3 n & \frac{7 n}{2} & 4 n \\ \frac{3 n}{2} & 2 n & \frac{5 n}{2} & 3 n & \frac{7 n}{2} \\ n & \frac{3 n}{2} & 2 n & \frac{5 n}{2} & 3 n \\ \frac{n}{2} & n & \frac{3 n}{2} & 2 n & \frac{5 n}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{n}{2} & n & \frac{3 n}{2} & 2 n\end{array}\right]$
$\mathbf{p 0} \in \mathrm{P} \sim(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{n}) \quad \mathrm{pn} \in \mathrm{P} \sim[\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{2 n})$
$\mathrm{P} \sim(2,3,5,7, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ All prime numbers.


Fig.8. N-domain ( $2 \mathrm{n} \times 2 \mathrm{n}$ ) Regularization division by $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{P}$
we can get figure. 2 as the matrix is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 n & 2 n+p 0 & 3 n & 2 n+p n & 4 n \\
p n & p 0+p n & n+p n & 2 p n & 2 n+p n \\
n & n+p 0 & 2 n & n+p n & 3 n \\
p 0 & 2 p 0 & n+p 0 & p 0+p n & 2 n+p 0 \\
0 & p 0 & n & p n & 2 n
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2} n \rightarrow p 0 \\
\frac{3}{2} n \rightarrow p n \\
2 n \rightarrow(p 0+p n) \\
n \rightarrow 2 p 0 \\
3 n \rightarrow 2 p n
\end{gathered}
$$

so we can get figure. 9 :


Fig.9. The Symmetry of $\mathbf{N}$-domain $2 \mathrm{n} \times 2 \mathrm{n}(2 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p} 0+\mathrm{pn})$ And All the numbers $\bmod (4 n)$, then we get fig. 10 .


Fig.10. The Symmetry of D-domain $1 / 2 \times 1 / 2$
So we have:

$$
\frac{p n}{2 n}-1 / 2=1 / 2-\frac{p 0}{2 n} \quad 2 n=p 0+p n \quad n \sim(2,3,4)
$$

This is the proof of Goldbach conjecture.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p n}{2 n}-\frac{p 0}{2 n}=\frac{3}{4}-\frac{1}{4} \\
p n-p 0=3 \frac{n}{2}-1 \frac{n}{2}=(3-1) * \frac{2 k}{2}=2 k \quad k \sim(1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the proof of Polignac's conjecture.
And when

$$
\begin{gathered}
k=1 \\
p n-p 0=2
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the proof of Twin Primes Conjecture.
In fact we can get a square as show on fig.11.


Fig.11. The N-domain (p0×pn)
the matrix is:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
p 0 & 2 n \\
0 & p n
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\mathbf{p} 0 \in \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{pn} \in \mathrm{P}$
$\mathrm{P} \sim(2,3,5,7, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ All prime numbers.
$n \sim(1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ All natural numbers excepted 0 .
So we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{2 n-p 0}{p n-0}=1 \\
2 n=p 0+p n \quad n \sim(2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the proof of Goldbach conjecture.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p n-p 0}{2 n-0}=1 \\
p n-p 0=2 n \\
n \sim(1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots .)
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the proof of Polignac's conjecture.
And when

$$
\begin{gathered}
n=1 \\
p n-p 0=2
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the proof of Twin Primes Conjecture.

## 4. Concise proof of Collatz Conjecture

## Collatz Conjecture:

$$
f(n)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\frac{n}{2} & \text { ifn } \equiv 0(\bmod 2) \\
3 n+1 & \text { ifn } \equiv 1(\bmod 2)
\end{array}\right.
$$

$k \in N \rightarrow f^{k}(n)=1$
We can get figure. 10


Fig. $12 \mathbf{N}$-domain $(2 n \times 2 n$ ) Diagonalization division by $\mathbf{n} / 2$
$\mathrm{n} \sim(1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ all the natural numbers excepted 0
we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{\frac{n}{2}}=\frac{3 n+1}{\frac{(3 n+1)}{2}}=\frac{2 n+2}{n+1}= & \frac{4 n+2 n+2}{3 n+1}=\frac{4 n+4}{2 n+2}=\frac{4 n}{2 n}=\frac{4}{2}=\frac{2}{1}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =2=\sum \frac{1}{2^{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{N} \sim(0,1,2,3,4 \ldots \ldots)$ all natural numbers. This is a concise proof of Collatz Conjecture.

## Note

## Time quantization

Time is a basic concept in physics. But till now, we have no idea to use mathematical model to describe the structure of "Time". In Newton's system, Time is an independent existence with space. In Einstein's system, Time and Space are bonded together just considering the Velocity of Light is a constant $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{s})$. And then for a Quantum system, we consider the energy is discrete and then the "Time contentiousness" disappeared in this system. But It is that the Dimension of Plank's constant $\mathbf{h ( J . s )}$ is also including the unit of Time. So, we think that if we may construct a Dimension system of Time-Space with energy based on two priori conditions: the velocity of light is a constant $\mathbf{C}$ and the unit of energy with Time is a constant $\mathbf{h}$, Plank constant. And if we can quantized this Time-Space with energy system, Maybe we can get a mathematical model to describe more physics details of the basic structure of Time-space with energy and get a Unified Field Theory.

(a) (1/c -h (-c/ag -T)-1/c) coordinate

(b) $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{ag}-\mathrm{T}$ section

Fig. 13. Time -space with energy coordinate
$\tau$ can be define $d$ as

$$
\tau \sim \operatorname{nh}(\mathrm{J} . \mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{n} \sim(1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

$h$ is Planck constant.
$\boldsymbol{t}$ can be defined as $\tau$

$$
\boldsymbol{t} \sim \boldsymbol{n}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{c}}{a_{g}}\right)(\mathrm{J} . \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{n} \sim(1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

And

$$
T \sim 2 n(\mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{s})
$$

$C$ is the velocity of Light $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$, and $a_{g}$ is the Intensity of field of gravitation $\left(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right)$. So we got a time with energy coordinate system (h-1/c-c/ag-T-1/c) show as Fig.12(a).

For a physic system we can define mass as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m} 0 \sim \frac{h}{C^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{m} \sim n^{3} \frac{h}{C^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and at every moment $T \sim 2 n$ (J.s) show as fig.12(b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\tau} & =\boldsymbol{t} \\
n h & =n c / a_{g} \\
\frac{1}{a_{g}} & =h / c\left(\mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{s}^{2} . \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have:

$$
m_{0} a_{g} \sim 1 / c\left(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)
$$

We can define a time space with energy as :

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \sim 2 n(\mathrm{~J} . \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{n} \sim(1,2,3, \ldots) \\
S_{0} \sim \frac{1}{4} * h * \frac{c}{a_{g}} \quad S_{n} \sim n^{2} h * \frac{c}{a_{g}} \\
\frac{s_{n}}{s_{0}}=4 n^{2}\left(\mathrm{~J}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{2}\right) \\
\frac{m}{m_{0}} \sim n^{3}\left(\mathrm{~J}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

And we notice that if Goldbach conjecture $2 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{p} 0+\mathrm{pn}$ ( n is a nature number, and p 0 , pn are primer numbers) and Polignac's conjecture $\mathrm{pn}-\mathrm{p} 0=2 \mathrm{n}$ ( n is a nature number, and $\mathrm{p} 0, \mathrm{pn}$ are primer numbers) be proofed, then

$$
T \sim 2 n=(p n \pm p 0)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s_{n}}{s_{0}} \sim 4 n^{2}=(p n \pm p 0)^{2} \\
& \frac{m}{m_{0}} \sim n^{3}=\left(\frac{p n \pm p 0}{2}\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

This will be a model to explain the randomness of the nature and Quantum Entanglement.
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