Calculation of Jupiter's Size and Saturn's Size by Quantum Gravity Theory with Ultimate Acceleration

Huaiyang Cui

Department of Physics, Beihang University, Beijing, 102206, China Email: hycui@buaa.edu.cn (October 30 2023, submitted to viXra)

Abstract: In analogy with the ultimate speed c, there is an ultimate acceleration β , nobody's acceleration can exceed this limit β in a many-body system. In recent years, de Broglie matter wave has been generalized in terms of the ultimate acceleration. This paper shows that Jupiter's size and Saturn's size are the consequences of the interference of the generalized matter waves. In this calculation, Jupiter's radius is determined as 7.1491e+7m with a relative error of 5.05%; Saturn's radius is determined as 5.80057e+7m with a relative error of 3.75%. This calculation also correctly predicts the locations of Halo ring and main ring for Jupiter, ring A, B, C and D for Saturn.

1. Introduction

In analogy with the ultimate speed c, there is an ultimate acceleration β , nobody's acceleration can exceed this limit β in a many-body system. In recent years, de Broglie matter wave has been generalized in terms of the ultimate acceleration. Consider a particle, its relativistic matter wave is given by the path integral

$$\psi = \exp(\frac{i\beta}{c^3} \int_0^x (u_1 dx_1 + u_2 dx_2 + u_3 dx_3 + u_4 dx_4)) \quad . \tag{1}$$

where u is the 4-velocity of the particle, β is the ultimate acceleration determined by experiments. The β replaces the *Planck constant* in this quantum gravity theory so that *its wavelength becomes a length on planetary-scale*. The author's early paper shows that this generalized matter wave can explain the solar quantum gravity effects correctly, such as sunspot cycle, atmospheric circulation and human lifespan [20]. The present paper shows that this quantum gravity theory with the ultimate acceleration provides a mechanism to calculate the Jupiter's size and Saturn's size correctly.

2. Extracting ultimate acceleration from a system

Similar to the Bohr model of hydrogen atom, the orbital circumference is n multiple of the wavelength of the planetary-scale relativistic matter wave. According to Eq. (1), consider a satellite, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\beta}{c^3} \oint_L v_l dl = 2\pi n \\ v_l = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{r}} \end{cases} \implies \sqrt{r} = \frac{c^3}{\beta \sqrt{GM}} n; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad (2)$$

This orbital quantization rule only achieves a half success in the Jupiter system and Saturn system, as shown in Fig.1. The Jupiter, Metis, Adrastea, Amalthea and Thebe satisfy the quantization equation in Fig.1(a); while other outer satellites (Io, Europa, Ganymede, Callisto) fail. The Saturn, Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys and Dione satisfy the quantization equation in Fig.1(b); while other outer satellites (Rhea,Titan,Hyperion,Iapetus) fail. But, since we only study quantum gravity effects near the planets, so this orbital quantization rule is good enough as a foundational quantum theory. In Fig.1, the blue straight lines express a linear regression relation among the quantized orbits, so it gives Jupiter's β =4.013970e+10 (m/s²) and Saturn's β =7.175115e+13 (m/s²) by fitting the lines. The quantum numbers n=6,7,8,... were assigned to the Jupiter's satellites, the Jupiter was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the **central state**. The quantum number n=0 because it is in the Saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was assigned a quantum number n=0 because it is in the saturn was





 $\label{eq:clet2020 Script>// C source code [17] \\ int i,j,k,n,N,1,nP[10],Figure; double x,y,z,r,1,rs,M,r_unit,H,beta,pD[10],D[200],S[200]; \\ double orbit[20]={0,0,1285,1.29,1.81,2.22,4.21,6.71,10.7,18.82,},a,b,A,B,r_massive,R; \\ double e[20]={0,0,0077,0.0063,0.0075,0.0180,0.0041,0.0094,0.0011,0.0074,0,0,0}; \\ int qn[20]={0,6,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}; char str[200]; \\ char Stars[100]={"Jupiter; :Metis,Adrastea;Amalthea;Thebe;Io;Europa;Ganymede;Callisto;"}; \\ main(){ Figure=1; N=9;N1=5;rs=11.209*6.378e6;M=317.8*5.97237E24;r_unit=1e8; \\ for(i=0;i<N;i+1) {y=e[i]; x=orbit[i]*(1+sqrt(1-y*y))/2; D[i+i]=sqrt(x;) D[i+i+1]=qn[i]; } \\ nP[0]=REGRESSION; nP[1]=N1; DataJob(nP,D,pD); a=pD[0]; b=pD[1]; \\ beta=b*SPEEDC*SPEEDC*SPEEDC/sqrt(GRAVITYC*M*r_unit); S[0]=0;S[1]=0; \\ SetAxis(X_AXIS,0,0,6]*iif#rsr#t;0;1:2;3;4;5:6,"); \\ SetAxis(Y_AXIS,0,0,15,"#ifn, r#t:1e+8 m;0;5;10;15;"); \\ DrawFrame(0x016f,1,0xafffaf); A=-0.55; r_massive=2.7; R=sqrt(r_massive); B=-0.05; \\ rs/=r_unit;k=1; n=1; N1=10000; \\ for(i=0;i<N1;i+1) {r=35*i/N1; r1=sqrt(r); y=a+b*r1; \\ if(Figure==2 &\& r1>R) y=A*b*(r1-R)+B*bR*r/r_massive; \\ if(y>=n) {S[k+k]=r1; S[k+k+1]=y; n+=1; if(r)rs) k+=1; if(y>12) break; } \\ Format(str,"Calculation, #ifB#t=%e",beta]; SetPen(2,0x0000ff); \\ Polyline(k,S,0.2,14,str);Plot("CARD,0,@k,XY,4,4,",S); \\ SetPen(2,0xff0000); Plot("OVALFILL,0,@N,XY,3,3,",D); nP[0]=TAKE; for(i=0;i<N;i+1] { nP[1]=i;TextJob(nP,Stars,str); TextHang(D[i+i]+0.3,D[i+i+1],0,str); } \\ #v07=?>A#t$

<Clet2020 Script>// C source code [17]

(cle12020 sclip12/) C solice code [17] int i,j,k,n,N,N1,nP[10],Figure; double x,y,z,r,r1,rs,M,r_unit,H,beta,pD[10],D[200],S[200]; double orbit[20]={0,1.85,2.37,2.94,3.77,5.27,12.21,14.8,35.6,},a,b,A,B,r_massive,R; double e[20]={0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0; int qn[20]={0,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}; char Stars[100]={"Saturn;Mimas;Enceladus;Tethys;Dione;Rhea;Titan;Hyperion;Iapetus;"}; char str[200]; main(){ Figure=1; N=9;N1=5; rs=9.449*6.378e6;M=95.16*5.97237E24;r_unit=1E8;

The relativistic matter wave function ψ needs a further explanation. In quantum mechanics, $|\psi|^2$ equals to the probability of finding an electron due to Max Born's explanation; in astrophysics, $|\psi|^2$ equals to the probability of finding a nucleon (proton or neutron) *averagely on an astronomic scale*, we have

$$|\psi|^2 \propto \text{nucleon-density} \propto \rho$$
 . (3)

3. Jupiter's size and Saturn's size

In interior of Jupiter or Saturn, if the coherent length of the relativistic matter wave is long enough, its head may overlap with its tail when the particle moves in a closed orbit, as shown in Fig.2. Consider a point on the equatorial plane, the overlapped wave is given by

$$\psi = \psi(r)T(t)$$

$$\psi(r) = 1 + a_1 e^{i\delta} + a_2 e^{i2\delta} + \dots + a_{N-1} e^{i(N-1)\delta}$$

$$\delta(r) = \frac{\beta}{c^3} \oint_L (v_l) dl = \frac{2\pi\beta\omega r^2}{c^3}$$
(4)
$$\int_{\text{Interference}}^{\text{Time axis}} y$$

$$Visualized wave$$

Fig.2 The head of the relativistic matter wave may overlap with its tail.

where N is the overlapping number which is determined by the coherent length of the relativistic matter wave, δ is the phase difference after one orbital motion, ω is the angular speed of the Jupiter rotation, $a_1,a_2,..,a_{N-1}$ are the amplitudes of the wavelets. The above equation is a multi-slit interference formula in optics, for a larger N it becomes the Fabry-Perot interference.

According to the study of tropic cyclones on the Pacific ocean [20] where clouds have

N=2, the Jupiter and Saturn also have N=2, because they are gaseous planets. Let r_s denotes the radius of planet, due to the skin effect into planetary interior, the amplitude of the first wavelet is simply assumed as

$$a_{1} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{r}{r_{s}}\right)^{5} & r \leq r_{s} \\ r_{s} & \ddots \\ 1 & r > r_{s} \end{cases}$$
(5)

then the Jupiter's size and Saturn's size can be estimated.

Jupiter's angular speed at its equator is known as $\omega = 2\pi/(9.925 \times 3600)$ (s⁻¹). Its mass $317.816M_{\text{earth}}$ (kg), the well-known radius $11.209r_{\text{earth}}$ (m), the mean density 1326 (kg/m³), the constant $\beta = 4.013970e + 10$ (m/s²). According to the N=2, the matter distribution of the $|\psi|^2$ is calculated in Fig.3(a), it agrees well with the general description of Jupiter interior. The radius of Jupiter is determined as $r_c = 7.1491e + 7$ (m) with a relative error of 5.05% in Fig.3(a), which indicates that the Jupiter radius strongly depends on its rotation.

Saturn's angular speed at its equator is known as $\omega = 2\pi/(10.6562 \times 3600)$ (s⁻¹). Its mass 95.16 M_{earth} (kg), the well-known radius 11.209 r_{earth} (m), the mean density 1326 (kg/m³), the constant $\beta = 7.175115e+13$ (m/s²). According to the N=2, the matter distribution of the $|\psi|^2$ is calculated in Fig.3(b), it agrees well with the general description of Saturn interior. The radius of Saturn is determined as $r_c = 5.80057e+7$ (m) with a relative error of -3.75% in Fig.3(b), which indicates that the Saturn radius strongly depends on its rotation.



Fig.3 The nucleon distribution $|\psi|^2$ in the Sun is calculated. (a) Jupiter, (b) Saturn, (c)interior. <Clet2020 Script>//C source code [17]

4. Locations of satellites and rings

The calculation of near field situations has also been carried out by the same formula Eq.(4) as shown in Fig.4 and Fig.5. For Jupiter, the maxima of $|\psi(r)|^2$ point out the radii of Metis, Adrastea, Amalthea and Thebe, respectively; also approximately gives out the locations of Halo ring and Main ring; as shown in Fig.4, the overall relative error is about 5%.





Fig.4 The nucleon distribution $|\psi|^2$ is calculated in the radial direction for Jupiter.

<Clet2020 Script>//C source code [17] int ij,k.n.N; double H,M.r.r_atm.r_unit,x.y.,delta,D[10],S[1000]; double rs,rc.omega.am,d.beta; char strJ100]; main0[k=400;rs=11.209*6.378e6; r_atm=rs+rs/10; rc=r_atm; n=0; N=2; beta=4.013970e+13:H=SPEEDC*SPEESC*SPEEDC*SPEESC*SPEEDC*SPEESPEEPS*SPEEDC*SPEEDC*SPEESC*SPEESSPEEDC*SPEE

}#v07=?>A

For Saturn, the maxima of $|\psi(r)|^2$ point out the radii of Mimas, Enceladus, Tethys and Dione, respectively; also approximately gives out the locations of ring A,B,C and D; as shown in Fig.5, the overall relative error is about 5%.



```
x=2.37e8/r_unit;y=1.2;D[0]=x;D[1]=0;D[2]=x;D[3]=y; Polyline(2,D);TextHang(x,y+0.03,0,"Enceladus"); x=2.94e8/r_unit;y=1.1;D[0]=x;D[1]=0;D[2]=x;D[3]=y; Polyline(2,D);TextHang(x,y+0.03,0,"Tethys");
 \begin{array}{l} x=3.77e8/r\_unit; y=1.2; D[0]=x; D[1]=0; D[2]=x; D[3]=y; Polyline(2,D); TextHang(x,y+0.03,0,"Dione"); \\ \text{SetPen}(2,0xff0000); Polyline(n/2,S,5,1.4,"#if|\psi|#su2#t (density, prediction)"); \\ \end{array} 
}#v07=?>A
<Clet2020 Script>// C source code [17]
char Stars[100]={"#iff#sds#t;D ring;C ring;B ring;A ring;Mimas;Enceladus;Tethys;Dione; ;"};
double r[10]={0.603,0.65,0.72,0.915,1.215,1.85,2.37,2.94,3.77,0,};
double a,b,x,y,dP[10],D[100],S[2000];int i,j,k,N,nP[10];char str[200];
main(){SetViewAngle(0,50,10);a=4;N=9;
SetAxis(X_AXIS,-a,0,a,"x;");SetAxis(Y_AXIS,-a,0,a,"y;");SetAxis(Z_AXIS,-a,0,a,"z;");
DrawFrame(FRAME_LINE,2,0x00ffff); //SetPen(1,0x808000);
for(i=0;i<N;i+=1) {b=r[i]; D[0]=0;D[1]=0;D[2]=0;D[3]=0;D[4]=1;D[5]=0;D[6]=0;D[7]=0;D[8]=1;
D[9]=600;D[10]=b;D[11]=b;
Lattice(OVAL,D,S); Plot("POLYGON,0,600,XYZ,10",S[9]);
nP[0]=TAKE;nP[1]=i;TextJob(nP,Stars,str);y=r[i];x=0.2; if(i>1) y=-y; if(i==0) y=0;
TextHang(x,y,0,str);} a=95.16*5.97237e24; y=30;
for(i=0;i<N;i+=1) {x=r[i]*1e+8;b=sqrt(GRAVITYC*a/x);b=2*PI*x/b; b/=3600;
TextAt(100,y,"i=%d
                                  r=%e
                                                T = \sqrt[6]{f''}, i, x, b; y = 30; \}
}#v07=?>A
```

5. Improvement by the band theory

For far field situations and for improving the precision, we should invoke the band theory that is a sophisticated knowledge in semiconductors and superconductors for electronic quantum mechanics. For example, in Jupiter, the first band consist of Metis, Adrastea, Amalthea and Thebe; the second band consist of Io, Europa, Ganymede and Callisto. As shown in Fig.6. There also exists **forbidden gap** in the bands, for example, Ceres is considered as locating within the forbidden gap in the solar system with other eight planets [23]. By the way, the band theory supports the dark matter concept [20][23].





 $\label{eq:clet2020} $$ cript>// C source code [17] $$ int i,j,k,n,N,N1,nP[10],Figure; double x,y,z,r,1,rs,M,r_unit,H,beta,pD[10],D[200],S[200]; $$ double orbit[20]={0,1,285,1.29,1.81,2.22,4.21,6.71,10,7,18.82,}a,b,A,B,r_massive,R; $$ double orbit[20]={0,0,0077,0.0063,0.0075,0.0180,0.0041,0.0094,0.0011,0.0074,0,0,0}; $$ int qn[20]={0,6,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}; char str[200]; $$ char strs[100]={"Jupiter; ;Metis,Adrastea;Amalthea;Thebe;Io;Europa;Ganymede;Callisto;"}; $$ main(){ Figure=2; N=9;N1=5;rs=11.209*6.378e6;M=317.8*5.97237E24;r_unit=1e8; $$ for(i=0;i<N:i+1) {y=e[i]; x=orbit[i]*(1+sqrt(1-y*y))/2; D[i+i]=sqrt(x); D[i+i+1]=qn[i]; $$ nP[0]=REGRESSION; nP[1]=N1; DataJob(nP,D,DD); a=pD[0]; b=pD[1]; $$ beta=b*SPEEDC*SPEEDC*SPEEDC/sqrt(GRAVITYC*M*r_unit); S[0]=0;S[1]=0; $$ SetAxis(X_AXIS,0,0,6,"#if#rsr#t;0;1;2;3;4;5;6;"); SetAxis(Y_AXIS,0,0,15,"#ifn, r#t:1e+8 m;0;5;10;15;"); DrawFrame(0x016f,1,0xafffaf); A=-0.55; r_massive=2.7; R=sqrt(r_massive); B=-0.05; $$ rs/=r_unit;k=1; n=1; N1=10000; $$ for(i=0;i<N1;i=1) { r=35*i/N1; r1=sqrt(r); y=a+b*r1; $$ if(y>=n) { S[k+k]=r1; S[k+k+1]=y; n+=1; if(r>rs) k+=1; }$ if(y>12) break; $$ Format(str,"Calculation, #ifB#t=%e",beta); SetPen(2,0x0000ff); $$ Polyline(k,S,0.2,14,str);Plot("CARD,0,@k,XY;4,4,",S); $$ SetPen(2,0xff0000); Plot("OVALFILL,0,@N,XY;3,3,",D); nP[0]=TAKE; $$ for(i=0;i<N1;i=1) { nP[1]=i;TextJob(nP,Stars,str); TextHang(D[i+i]+0.3,D[i+i+1],0,str); } $$ #v07=?>A#t$

<Clet2020 Script>// C source code [17] (cli2020 Schp2// C sonce code[17] int i,j,k,n,N,nP[10],Figure; double x,y,z,r,r1,rs,M,r_unit,H,beta,pD[10],D[200],S[200]; double orbit[20]={0,1.85,2.37,2.94,3.77,5.27,12.21,14.8,35.6, },a,b,A,B,r_massive,R; double e[20]={0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,; int qn[20]={0,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}; char Stars[100]={"Saturn;Mimas;Enceladus;Tethys;Dione;Rhea;Titan;Hyperion;Iapetus;"}; char str[200]; main(){ Figure-2; N=9;N1=5; rs=9,449*6.378e6;M=95.16*5.97237E24;r_unit=1E8; $\begin{aligned} & \text{Infail}(\{\text{rightes}_2, \text{N=9}, \text{N=9}, \text{Ai}_2, \text{Ai}_2, \text{N=9}, \text{Ai}_2, \text{Ai}_2$ r#t:1e+8 m;0;5;10;15;"); $\begin{aligned} & \text{SetAxis}(X_AXIS,0,0,6,"#it#rsr#t;0;1;2;3;4;5;6;"); \text{SetAxis}(Y_AXIS,0,0,15,"#itn, r#t:10)\\ & \text{DrawFrame}(0x016f,1,0xafffaf), A=-0.62; r_massive=4.5; R=sqrt(r_massive); B=-0.05; k=1; n=1; NI=10000; rs/=r_unit; for(i=0;i<N1;i+=1) { r=40*i/N1; r1=sqrt(r); y=a+b*r1; if(Figure==2 && r1>R) y+=A*b*(r1-R)+B*b*R*r/_massive; if(y>=n) { S[k+k]=r1; S[k+k+1]=y; n+=1; if(r>rs) k+=1; } if(y>15) break; } \\ & \text{Format}(str,"Calculation, #itf}#t=%e", beta); SetPen(2,0x0000ff); \\ & \text{Polyline}(k,S,0.2,14,str); Plot("CARD,0,@k,XY,4,4,",S); \\ & \text{SetPen}(2,0xff0000); Plot("OVALFILL,0,@N,XY,3,3,",D); nP[0]=TAKE; \\ & \text{for}(i=0;i<N;i+=1) { nP[1]=i; TextJob(nP,Stars,str); TextHang(D[i+i]+0.3,D[i+i+1],0,str); } \\ & \#v07=?>A#t \end{aligned}$ }#v07=?>A#t

6. Conclusions

In analogy with the ultimate speed c, there is an ultimate acceleration β , nobody's acceleration can exceed this limit β in a many-body system. In recent years, de Broglie matter wave has been generalized in terms of the ultimate acceleration. This paper shows that Jupiter's size and Saturn's size are the consequences of the interference of the generalized matter waves. In this calculation, Jupiter's radius is determined as 7.1491e+7m with a relative error of 5.05%; Saturn's radius is determined as 5.80057e+7m with a relative error of 3.75%. This calculation also correctly predicts the locations of Halo ring and main ring for Jupiter, ring A, B, C and D for Saturn.

References

[1]C. Marletto, and V. Vedral, Gravitationally Induced Entanglement between Two Massive Particles is Sufficient Evidence of Quantum Effects in Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett., 119, 240402 (2017)

[2]T. Guerreiro, Quantum effects in gravity waves, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 37 (2020) 155001 (13pp).

[3]S. Carlip, D. Chiou, W. Ni, R. Woodard, Quantum Gravity: A Brief History of Ideas and Some Prospects, International Journal of Modern Physics D, V,24,14,2015,1530028. DOI:10.1142/S0218271815300281.

[4]de Broglie, L., CRAS,175(1922):811-813, translated in 2012 by H. C. Shen in Selected works of de Broglie. [5]de Broglie, Waves and quanta, Nature, 112, 2815(1923): 540.

- [6]de Broglie, Recherches sur la théorie des Quanta, translated in 2004 by A. F. Kracklauer as De Broglie, Louis, On the Theory of Quanta. 1925.
- [7]NASA, https://solarscience.msfc.nasa.gov/interior.shtml.

- [8]NASA, https://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/marsfact.html. [9]Orbital Debris Program Office, HISTORY OF ON-ORBIT SATELLITE FRAGMENTATIONS, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 2018, 15 th Edition.
- [10]Orbital Debris Program Office, Chinese Anti-satellite Test Creates Most Severe Orbital Debris Cloud in History, Orbital Debris Quarterly News, 2007, April,v11i2,
- [11]D. Wright, Space debris, Physics today, 2007, 10, 35-40.

[11]D. wright, space debris, Physics today, 2007,10,35-40.
 [12]TANG Zhimei, DING Zonghua, DAI Liandong, WU Jian, XU Zhengwen, "The Statistics Analysis of Space Debris in Beam Parking Model in 78 °North Latitude Regions," Space Debris Research, 2017, 17,3, 1-7.
 [13]TANG Zhimei DING, Zonghua, YANG Song, DAI Liandong, XU Zhengwen, WU Jian The statistics analysis of space debris in beam parking model based On the Arctic 500 MHz incoherent scattering radar, CHINESE JOURNAL OF RADIO SCIENCE, Note Control of Cont

[15]DING Zong-hua, YANG Song, JIANG hai, DAI Lian-dong, TANG Zhi-mei, XU Zheng-wen, WU Jian, The Data Analysis of the Space Debris Observation by the Quijing Incoherent Scatter Radar, Space Debris Research, 2018, 18,1, 12-19.
[16]YANG Song, DING Zonghua, Xu Zhengwe, WU Jian, Statistical analysis on the space posture, distribution, and scattering characteristic of debris by incoherent scattering radar in Quijing, Chinese Journal of Radio science, 2018 33,6 648-654, [17]Clet Lab, Clet: a C compiler, download at https://drive.google.com/file/d/10jKqANcgZ-9V5 6rgcoMtOu9w4rP49sgN/view?usp=sharing

[18]Huaiyang Cui, Relativistic Matter Wave and Quantum Computer, Amazon Kindle ebook, 2021. [19]Huaiyang Cui, (2023) Determination of Solar Radius and Earth's Radius by Relativistic Matter Wave, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 11:1, DOI: 10.4236/jamp.2023.111006

[20]Huaiyang Cui, (2022) Calculation of Tropic Cyclone Inner Structure Using Quantum Theory with Ultimate Acceleration, viXra: 2209.0139. https://vixra.org/abs/ 2209.0139

[21]N.Cox, Allen's Astrophysical Quantities, Springer-Verlag, 2001, 4th ed..

[22]S. E. Schneider, T. T. Arny, Pathways to Astronomy, McGraw-Hill Education, 2018, 5th ed.
 [23]Huaiyang Cui, (2023) Ceres Extinction due to Quantum Gravity Effect, viXra: 2306.0078. https://vixra.org/abs/ 2306.0078

^{2018, 25, 5, 537-542}

^[14]TANG Zhimei, DING, Zonghua, DAI Liandong, WU Jian, XU Zhengwen, Comparative analysis of space debris gaze detection based on the two incoherent scattering radars located at 69N and 78N, Chin. J. Space Sci, 2018 38,1, 73-78. DOI:10.11728/cjss2018.01.073