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#### Abstract

The abbreviated foundations developed in the previous paper "Differential geometric algebra using Leibniz, Grassmann" can be used as a universal language for finite element methods based on a discrete manifold bundle, so an elaborated foundation is desired.

Tools built on these foundations enable computations based on multi-linear algebra and spin groups using the geometric algebra known as Grassmann algebra or Clifford algebra. This foundation is built on a direct-sum parametric type system for tangent bundles, vector spaces, and also projective and differential geometry. Geometric algebra is a mathematical foundation for differential geometry, which can be used to simplify the Maxwell equations to a single wave equation due to the geometric product. Introduction of geometric algebra to engineering science disciplines will be easier with programmable foundations.

In order to devise an expressive and performance oriented language for efficient discrete differential geometric algebra with the Grassmann elements, an efficient computer algebra representation was programmed. With this unifying mathematical foundation, it is possible to improve efficiency of multi-disciplinary research using geometric tensor calculus by relying on universal mathematical principles. Tools built on universal differential geometric algebra provide a natural geometric language for the Helmholtz decomposition and Hodge-DeRahm co/homology.


Using the new Grassmann.jl package, it is possible to compute antisymmetric tensor products and geometric algebra in Julia's high performance computing contexts. Abstract nature of the product algebra code generation enables extension of the product operations by way of Julia's type system, with which it is possible to construct customized mixed tensor products from a vector basis along with dyadics, as well as bivector elements of Lie groups. Given this mathemaical foundation, finite element methods based on discrete manifolds can be combined with unified software tools to provide the universal foundations for complex numbers (flatland geometry), quaternions (rotation in 3D), linear algebra \& bilinear, multilinear determinant, exterior forms algebra, quantum logic lattice, differential geometry, finite element methods, conformal / projection, group representation, combinatoric hypergraphs.

The Grassmann.jl package provides tools for computations based on multi-linear algebra and spin groups using the extended geometric algebra known as Leibniz-Grassmann-Clifford-Hestenes algebra. Combinatorial products include exterior, regressive, inner, and geometric; along with the Hodge star, adjoint, reversal, and boundary operators. The kernelized operations are built up from composite sparse tensor products and Hodge duality, with high dimensional support for up to 62 indices using staged caching and precompilation. Code generation enables concise yet highly extensible definitions. DirectSum.jl multivector parametric type polymorphism is based on tangent vector spaces and conformal projective geometry. Additionally, the universal interoperability between different sub-algebras is enabled by AbstractTensors.jl, on which the type system is built.

- DirectSum.jl: Abstract tangent bundle vector space types (unions, intersections, sums, etc.)
- AbstractTensors.jl: Tensor algebra abstract type interoperability with vector bundle parameter
- Grassmann.jl: 〈Leibniz+Grassmann-Clifford-Hestenes〉 differential geometric algebra of multivector forms
- Leibniz.jl: Derivation operator algebras for tensor fields
- Reduce.jl: Symbolic parser generator for Julia expressions using REDUCE algebra term rewriter
Mathematical foundations and some of the nuances in the definitions specific to the Grassmann.jl implementation are concisely described, along with the accompanying support packages that provide an extensible platform for computing with geometric algebra at high dimensions. The design is based on the TensorAlgebra abstract type interoperability from AbstractTensors.jl with a VectorBundle type parameter from DirectSum.jl. Abstract vector space type operations happen at compile-time, resulting in a differential conformal geometric algebra of hyper-dual multivector forms.

The nature of the geometric algebra code generation enables one to easily extend the abstract product operations to any specific number field type (including differential operators with Leibniz.jl or symbolic coefficients with Reduce.jl), by making use of Julia's type system. Mixed tensor products with their coefficients are constructed from these operations to work with bivector elements of Lie groups [15][24].

## 1. Direct sum parametric type polymorphism of Grassmann

The DirectSum.jl package is a work in progress providing the necessary tools to work with an arbitrary Manifold specified by an encoding. Due to the parametric type system for the generating VectorBundle, the Julia compiler can fully pre-allocate and often cache values efficiently ahead of run-time. Although intended for use with the Grassmann.jl package, DirectSum can be used independently.

Definition 1 (Vector space $\Lambda^{1} V=V$ is a field's $\mathbb{K}$-module instance). Let $V$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-module (abelian group with respect to + ) with an element $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $1 V=V$ by scalar multiplication $\mathbb{K} \times V \rightarrow V$ over field $\mathbb{K}$ satisfying

- $a(x+y)=a x+a y$ distribution of vector addition,
- $(a+b) x=a x+b d$ distribution of field addition,
- $(a b) x=a(b x)$ associative compatibility.

In the software package Grassmann, an underlying generating vector space is also synonymous with the term <:TensorBundle (an abstract type).

The AbstractTensors package is intended for universal interoperability of the abstract TensorAlgebra type system. All TensorAlgebra\{V\} subtypes have type parameter $V$, used to store a TensorBundle value from DirectSum.jl. By itself, this package does not impose any specifications or structure on the TensorAlgebra\{V\} subtypes and elements, aside from requiring $V$ to be a TensorBundle. This means that different packages can create tensor types having a common underlying TensorBundle structure. For example, this is mainly used in Grassmann.jl to define various SubAlgebra, TensorTerm and TensorMixed types, each with subtypes. Externalizing the abstract type helps extend the dispatch to other packages.

The key to making the interoperability work is that each TensorAlgebra subtype shares a TensorBundle parameter (with all isbitstype parameters), which contains all the info needed at compile time to make decisions about conversions. So other packages need only use the vector space information to decide on how to convert based on the implementation of a type. If external methods are needed, they can be loaded by Requires when making a separate package with TensorAlgebra interoperability.

Additionally, a universal unit volume element can be specified in terms of LinearAlgebra.UniformScaling, which is independent of $V$ and has its interpretation only instantiated by the context of the TensorAlgebra\{V\} element being operated on. Universal interoperability of LinearAlgebra.UniformScaling as a pseudoscalar element which takes on the TensorBundle form of any other TensorAlgebra element is handled globally. This enables the usage of I from LinearAlgebra as a universal pseudoscalar element defined at every point $x$ of a Manifold, which is mathematically denoted by $I=I(x)$ and specified by the $g(x)$ bilinear tensor field of $T M$. Utility methods such as scalar, involute, norm, norm2, unit, even, odd are also defined.

Definition 2 (Linear dependence). Let $V$ be a vector space over field $\mathbb{K}$, then the set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i}$ is linearly dependent iff $\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} v_{i}=0$ for some $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{K}^{n}$.

Definition 3 ( $\wedge$-product annihilation). For a linearly dependent set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n} \subset V$

$$
v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}=0
$$

Initially, it is enough to understand that $\Lambda: \Lambda^{n} V \times \Lambda^{m} V \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+m} V$ is an operation which is zero for linearly dependent arguments. However, this idea comes from extending Grassmann's product $v_{i} \wedge v_{j}=-v_{j} \wedge v_{i} \Longrightarrow$ $v_{i} \wedge v_{i}=0=-v_{i} \wedge v_{i}$ to yield a tool for characterizing linear dependence.

Definition 4 (Dimension $n$-SubManifold in $\Lambda^{n} V$ ). Hence, writing the product $v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n} \neq 0$ implies a linearly independent set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n} \subseteq V$ isomorphic to an $n$-SubManifold. With the product $\Lambda^{0} \Lambda^{n} V \times\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}$ it is also clear that a 1 -dimensional basis subspace is induced by any $n$-SubManifold.
Example 1. Therefore, $\mathbb{K}=\Lambda^{0} \mathbb{K} \cong \Lambda^{1} \mathbb{K}$ is a vector space or a 0 -SubManifold.
Example 2. $\Lambda^{n} V$ is a vector space with $\Lambda^{1} \Lambda^{n} V=\Lambda^{n} V$ and $\Lambda^{0} \Lambda^{n} V=\Lambda^{0} V$.
Denote $V^{*}=V \backslash\{0\}$ as the set $V$ excluding the 0 element in next:
Definition 5 (Direct sum $\oplus$ ). To consider a set of linearly independent spaces, let $\pi_{i}: V \rightarrow V_{i}$ be projections with vector space $V_{i} \subset V$, define

$$
V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n}=V \Longleftrightarrow \bigwedge: V_{1}^{*} \times V_{2}^{*} \times \cdots \times V_{n}^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n} V^{*}
$$

DirectSum of a full non-zero product implies an $n$-SubManifold.
Definition 6. Grade-m projection is defined as $\langle\Lambda V\rangle_{m}=\Lambda^{m} V$ such that

$$
\Lambda V=\bigoplus_{m=0}^{n}\langle\Lambda V\rangle_{m}=\Lambda^{0} V \oplus \Lambda^{1} V \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda^{n} V, \quad\langle\Lambda V\rangle_{m}=\bigoplus_{m=1}^{\binom{(n)}{n}} \mathbb{K}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{dim}\langle\Lambda V\rangle_{m}=\binom{n}{m}$ and hence $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda V=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n}{m}=2^{n}$.
Example 3 (Combinatorics of $\mathcal{P}(V)$ and hypergraphs $\subseteq \mathcal{P}(V) \backslash\{\emptyset\}$ ). Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, then the power set of elements is:

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\left\{\emptyset,\left\{v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}\right\}
$$

Form a direct sum over the elements of $\mathcal{P}(V)$ with $\wedge$ to define $\Lambda V$, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)=\Lambda^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \oplus \Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \\
&{\stackrel{\Lambda}{v_{\emptyset}}}_{\Lambda_{0} \mathbb{R}} \oplus \overbrace{v_{1} \oplus v_{2} \oplus v_{3}}^{\Lambda^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \oplus \overbrace{\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right) \oplus\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{3}\right) \oplus\left(v_{2} \wedge v_{3}\right)}^{\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)} \oplus \overbrace{\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge v_{3}\right)}^{\Lambda^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 7 (Vector bundle of SubManifold). Let $M=T^{\mu} V \in \operatorname{Vect}_{\text {k }}$ be a TensorBundle<:Manifold of rank $n$,

$$
T^{\mu} V=(n, \mathbb{P}, g, \nu, \mu), \quad \mathbb{P} \subseteq\left\langle v_{\infty}, v_{\emptyset}\right\rangle, \quad g: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}
$$

The type TensorBundle $\{\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{P}, \mathrm{g}, \nu, \mu\}$ uses byte-encoded data available at precompilation, where $\mathbb{P}$ specifies the basis for up and down projection, $g$ is a bilinear form that specifies the metric of the space, and $\mu$ is an integer specifying the order of the tangent bundle (i.e. multiplicity limit of LeibnizTaylor monomials). Lastly, $\nu$ is the number of tangent variables. The dual space functor $(\cdot)^{\prime}:$ Vect $_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{K}}$ is an involution which toggles a dual vector space with inverted signature with property $V^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ and having Basis generators

$$
\left\langle v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n-\nu}, \partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{\nu}\right\rangle=M \leftrightarrow M^{\prime}=\left\langle w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n-\nu}, \epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{\nu}\right\rangle
$$

where $v_{i}, w_{i}$ are a basis for the vectors and covectors, while $\partial_{j}, \epsilon_{j}$ are a basis for differential operators and tensor fields.

Example 4 (Case of 3rd order tangent bundle operator composition).

$$
T^{3}\left(\mathbb{R}^{0}\right)=\partial_{\emptyset} \oplus \partial_{1} \oplus \partial_{2} \oplus \partial_{3} \oplus\left(\partial_{1} \circ \partial_{2}\right) \oplus\left(\partial_{1} \circ \partial_{3}\right) \oplus\left(\partial_{2} \circ \partial_{3}\right) \oplus\left(\partial_{1} \circ \partial_{2} \circ \partial_{3}\right)
$$

In order to shorten the notation, the operation symbol is left out:

$$
\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{12}, v_{13}, v_{23}, v_{123}\right\},\left\{\partial_{1}, \partial_{2}, \partial_{3}, \partial_{12}, \partial_{13}, \partial_{23}, \partial_{123}\right\}
$$

The direct sum operator $\oplus$ can be used to join spaces (alternatively + ), and the dual space functor ' is an involution which toggles a dual vector space with inverted signature. In addition to the direct-sum operation, several other operations are supported, such as $\cup, \cap, \subseteq, \supseteq$ for set operations. Due to the design of the TensorBundle dispatch, these operations enable code optimizations at compile-time provided by the bit parameters.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigcup T^{\mu_{i}} V_{i}=\left(|\mathbb{P}|+\max \left\{n_{i}-\left|\mathbb{P}_{i}\right|\right\}_{i}, \bigcup \mathbb{P}_{i}, \cup g_{i}, \max \left\{\nu_{i}\right\}_{i}, \max \left\{\mu_{i}\right\}_{i}\right) \\
& \bigoplus T^{\mu_{i}} V_{i}=\left(|\mathbb{P}|+\sum\left(n_{i}-\left|\mathbb{P}_{i}\right|\right), \bigcup \mathbb{P}_{i}, \oplus_{i} g_{i}, \max \left\{\nu_{i}\right\}_{i}, \max \left\{\mu_{i}\right\}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are roughly the formulas used for those operations. Note differences between the operations $\bigcup$ and $\bigoplus$, which are similar

Calling manifolds with sets of indices constructs the subspace representations. Given M(s::Int...) one can encode SubManifold\{length(s), M, s\} with induced orthogonal space $Z$, such that computing unions of submanifolds is done by inspecting the parameter $s \in V \subseteq W$ and $s \notin Z$. Here, calling a Manifold with a set of indices produces a SubManifold representation.

$$
T^{e} V \subset T^{\mu} W \Leftrightarrow \exists Z \in \operatorname{Vect}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(T^{e}(V \oplus Z)=T^{e \leq \mu} W, V \perp Z\right)
$$

Operations on Manifold types is automatically handled at compile time.
Definition 8 (Shirokov's permutations). Consider $\sigma_{j}(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{\left({ }_{2 j}{ }^{k}-1\right)}\langle\omega\rangle_{k}$,

$$
\sigma_{1}(\omega) \equiv \bar{\omega}, \quad \sigma_{2}(\omega) \equiv \tilde{\omega}, \quad \sigma_{12}=\sigma_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}(\omega)\right) \equiv \tilde{\bar{\omega}}
$$

Proposition $1\left(\mathfrak{S}_{j}=\left\langle\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{j}\right\rangle\right.$ is a group). Group $\mathfrak{S}_{2}=\left\{1, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{12}\right\}$ is a set of automorphisms: grade involution $\bar{\omega}=\sigma_{1}(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{(k)}\langle\omega\rangle_{k}$, reverse $\tilde{\omega}=\sigma_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{k_{2}^{k}} \mathbf{2}\langle\omega\rangle_{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}(-1)^{(k-1) k / 2}\langle\omega\rangle_{k}$ is an antiautomorphism with $\sigma_{2}\left(v_{i} \wedge v_{j}\right)=\sigma_{2}\left(v_{j}\right) \wedge \sigma_{2}\left(v_{i}\right)$, and Clifford conjugate $\tilde{\bar{\omega}}$ is the composition of grade involution and reverse anti-automorphism.
Definition 9 (Real $\widetilde{\Re} \omega=(\omega+\tilde{\omega}) / 2$ and imaginary $\tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \omega=(\omega-\tilde{\omega}) / 2)$. Real and imaginary define $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading projections such that $\Lambda V=\widetilde{\Re} \Lambda V \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{I}} \Lambda V$; where $\widetilde{\Re} \Lambda V$ is real part and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{I}} \Lambda V$ is imaginary part.
Definition 10 (Even $\bar{\Re} \omega=(\omega+\bar{\omega}) / 2$ and odd $\overline{\mathfrak{I}} \omega=(\omega-\bar{\omega}) / 2)$. The projection $\bar{\Re} \Lambda V$ is even grade and $\bar{\Im} \Lambda V$ is odd grade with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading $\Lambda V=\bar{\Re} \Lambda V \oplus \bar{\Im} \Lambda V$.
$\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading projections: $\sigma_{j}(\mathfrak{R}) \omega=\left(\omega+\sigma_{j}(\omega)\right) / 2$ and $\sigma_{j}(\mathfrak{I}) \omega=\left(\omega-\sigma_{j}(\omega)\right) / 2$.
The direct sum of a TensorBundle and its dual $V \oplus V^{\prime}$ represents the full mother space, which is yet another $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading [23] of a vector space.

```
collect(V) # all SubManifold vector basis elements
collect(SubManifold(V')) # all covector basis elements
collect(SubManifold(V+V')) # all mixed basis elements
```

Since TensorBundle choices are fundamental to TensorAlgebra operations, the universal interoperability between TensorAlgebra\{V\} elements with different associated TensorBundle choices is naturally realized by applying the union morphism to operations, e.g. $\Lambda: \Lambda^{p_{1}} V_{1} \times \cdots \times \Lambda^{p_{g}} V_{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^{\sum_{k} p_{k}} \bigcup_{k} V_{k}$. Some of the method names like $+,-, *, \otimes, \circledast, \odot, \boxtimes, \star$ for TensorAlgebra elements are shared across different packages, with interoperability.

```
function op(::TensorAlgebra{V},::TensorAlgebra{V}) where V
    # well defined operations if V is shared
end # but what if V # W in the input types?
function op(a::TensorAlgebra{V},b::TensorAlgebra{W}) where {V,W}
    VW = V u W # VectorSpace type union
    op(VW(a),VW(b)) # makes call well-defined
end # this option is automatic with interop(a,b)
```

Suppose we are dealing with a new subtype in another project. To define additional specialized interoperability for further methods, it is necessary to define dispatch that catches well-defined operations for equal TensorBundle choices and a fallback method for interoperability, along with a TensorBundle morphism. Thus, interoperability is a situation of defining one additional fallback method for the operation and also a new TensorBundle compatibility morphism, satisfying a union morphism law

```
op(a,b) |> Manifold == Manifold(a) u Manifold(b)
b(a) |> Manifold == Manifold(a) u Manifold(b)
```

The metric signature of the SubManifold\{V,1\} elements of a vector space $V$ can be specified with the $\mathrm{V} " . .{ }^{\prime \prime}$ constructor by using + and - to specify whether the SubManifold\{V,1\} element of the corresponding index squares to +1 or -1 . For example, $5 "+++"$ constructs a positive definite 3-dimensional TensorBundle, so constructors such as S"..." and D"..." are convenient.

```
julia> \mathbb{R}3 == S"+++" == Manifold(3)
true
```

It is possible to specify an arbitrary DiagonalForm having numerical values for the basis including degeneracy D" $1,1,1,0$ ", although the Signature format has a more compact representation if limited to +1 and -1 . It is also possible to change the diagonal scaling, such as with D"0.3,2.4,1". Further development will result in more metric types, including non-diagonal metric tensors.

Declaring an additional point at infinity is done by specifying it in the string constructor with $\infty$ at the first index (i.e. Riemann sphere $\mathrm{S}^{\prime \prime \infty+++") . ~}$ The hyperbolic geometry can be declared by $\emptyset$ subsequently (i.e. hyperbolic projection S"ø+++"). Additionally, the null-basis based on the projective split for confromal geometric algebra would be specified with $\infty \varnothing$ initially (i.e. 5D CGA $\mathrm{S} " \infty \varnothing+++")$. These two declared basis elements are interpreted in the type system. The tangent ( $V, \mu, \nu$ ) map can be used to specify $\mu$ and $\nu$.

The index number $n$ of the TensorBundle corresponds to the total number of generator elements. However, $\mathrm{V} " \infty \varnothing+++"$ is of type TensorBundle\{5,3\} with 5 generator elements, it can be internally recognized in the direct sum algebra as being an embedding of a 3-index TensorBundle\{3,0\} with additional encoding of the null-basis (origin and point at infinity) in the parameter $\mathbb{P}$ of the TensorBundle $\{n, \mathbb{P}\}$ type. The tangent map takes $V$ to its tangent space and can be applied repeatedly for higher orders, such that tangent $(V, \mu, \nu)$ can be used to specify $\mu$ and $\nu$.

```
julia> V = tangent( (\mathbb{R}3)
T1 (+++ )
julia> tangent(V')
T2 (----1)'
julia> V+V'
```



The elements of the Basis can be generated in many ways using the SubManifold elements created by the @basis macro,

```
julia> using Grassmann; @basis \mathbb{R'\oplusR^3 #' equivalent to basis"-+++"}
(<-+++}, v, v1, v2, v3, v4, v12, v13, v14, v23, v24, v34, v123, v124, v134, v234, v1234)
```

The macro @basis V declares a local basis in Julia. As a result of this macro, all of the SubManifold\{V,G\} elements generated by that TensorBundle become available in the local workspace with the specified naming. The first argument provides signature specifications, the second argument is the variable name for the TensorBundle, and the third and fourth argument are prefixes of the SubManifold vector names (and covector basis names). By default, $V$ is assigned the TensorBundle and $v$ is the prefix for the SubManifold elements.

It is entirely possible to assign multiple different bases with different signatures without any problems. The @basis macro arguments are used to assign the vector space name to $V$ and basis elements to $v$, but other assigned names can be chosen so that their local names do not interfere: Alternatively, if you do not wish to assign these variables to your local workspace, the versatile constructors of DirectSum. Basis\{V\} can be used to contain them, which is exported to the user as the method $\Lambda(\mathrm{V})$.

The Grassmann SubManifold elements $v_{k} \in \Lambda^{1} V$ and $w^{k} \in \Lambda^{1} V^{\prime}$ are linearly independent vector and covector elements of $V$, while the Leibniz Operator elements $\partial_{k} \in L^{1} V$ are partial tangent derivations and $\epsilon_{k} \in L^{1} V^{\prime}$ are dependent functions of the tangent manifold. An element of a mixedsymmetry TensorAlgebra\{V\} is a multilinear mapping that is formally constructed by taking the tensor products of linear and multilinear maps,

$$
\left(\bigotimes_{k} \omega_{k}\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\sum_{k} p_{k}}\right)=\prod_{k} \omega_{k}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p_{k}}\right) .
$$

Higher grade elements correspond to SubManifold subspaces, while higher order function elements become homogenous polynomials and Taylor series.

To help provide a commonly shared and readable indexing to the user, some extended dual index print methods with full alphanumeric characters:

```
julia> DirectSum.printindices(stdout,DirectSum.indices(UInt(2^62-1)),false,"v")
v1234567890abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
julia> DirectSum.printindices(stdout,DirectSum.indices(UInt(2^62-1)),false,"w")
w1234567890ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZabcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
```

An application of this is in the Grassmann package, where dual indexing is used.

Definition 11 (Mixed-symmetry basis). Combining the linear basis generating elements with each other using the multilinear tensor product yields a graded (decomposable) SubManifold $\left\langle v_{p_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{p_{k}}\right\rangle_{k}$, where rank $k$ is determined by the sum of basis index multiplicities in the tensor product decomposition. The Grassmann anti-symmetric exterior basis is denoted by $v_{i_{1} \ldots i_{g}} \in \Lambda_{g} V$ with its dual $w^{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}} \in \Lambda^{g} V$, while the Leibniz symmetric basis are $\partial_{i_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{g}}^{\mu_{g}} \in L_{g} V$ with corresponding $\epsilon_{i_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \ldots \epsilon_{i_{g}}^{\mu_{g}} \in L^{g} V$ adjoint elements. The algebra partitions into symmetric and anti-symmetric tensor equivalence classes. For any pair,

$$
\underbrace{\omega \otimes \eta=-\eta \otimes \omega}_{\text {anti-symmetric }} \quad \text { or } \quad \underbrace{\omega \otimes \eta=\eta \otimes \omega}_{\text {symmetric }} .
$$

Combined, this space produces the full Leibniz tangent algebra and the Grassmann exterior algebra with $2^{n}$ elements. The mixed index algebra is partitioned into both symmetric and anti-symmetric tensor equivalence classes. Any mixed tensor SubManifold pair $\omega, \eta$ satisfies either

```
julia> }^(\mp@subsup{\mathbb{R}}{}{\wedge}3
DirectSum.Basis{{+++), 8}(v, v1, v2, v3, v12, v13, v23, v123)
julia> \Lambda(tangent(\mathbb{R}
DirectSum.Basis{T}\mp@subsup{T}{}{1}\langle++1),8}(v, v1, v2, \partial1, v12, \partial1v1, \partial1v2, \partial1v12)
julia> }\Lambda(\mathrm{ tangent(( (R^0)',3,3))
```



Typically the $k$ in a product $\left(\partial_{p_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{p_{k}}\right)^{(k)}$ is referred to as the order of the element if it is fully symmetric, which is overall tracked separately from the grade such that $\partial_{k}\left\langle w_{j}\right\rangle_{r}=\left\langle\partial_{k} w_{j}\right\rangle_{r}$ and $\left(\partial_{k}\right)^{(r)} \omega_{j}=\left(\partial_{k} w_{j}\right)^{(r)}$.

A higher-order composite tensor element is an oriented-multi-set $X$ such that $v_{X}=\bigotimes_{k} v_{i_{k}}^{\otimes \mu_{k}}$ with the indices $X=\left(\left(i_{1}, \mu_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(i_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)\right)$ and $|X|=$ $\sum_{k} \mu_{k}$ is tensor rank. Anti-symmetric indices $\Lambda X \subseteq \Lambda V$ have two orientations and higher multiplicities of them result in zero values, so the only interesting multiplicity is $\mu_{k} \equiv 1$. The Leibniz-Taylor algebra is a quotient polynomial ring $L V \cong R\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{\mu+1} x_{p_{k}}\right\}$ so that $\partial_{k}^{\mu+1}$ is zero. Typically the $k$ in a product $\left(\partial_{p_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial_{p_{k}}\right)^{(k)}$ is referred to as the order of the element if it is fully symmetric, which is overall tracked separately from the grade such that $\partial_{k}\left\langle v_{j}\right\rangle_{r}=\left\langle\partial_{k} v_{j}\right\rangle_{r}$ and $\left(\partial_{k}\right)^{(r)} \omega_{j}=\left(\partial_{k} v_{j}\right)^{(r)}$.

Grassmann's exterior product is an anti-symmetric tensor product

$$
v_{i} \wedge v_{j}=-v_{j} \wedge v_{i} \Longrightarrow v_{i} \wedge v_{i}=0=-v_{i} \wedge v_{i}
$$

which generalizes the multilinear determinant transposition property

$$
v_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\omega_{m}} \wedge v_{\eta_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\eta_{n}}=(-1)^{m n} v_{\eta_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\eta_{n}} \wedge v_{\omega_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{\omega_{m}}
$$

Hence for graded elements it is possible to deduce that

$$
\omega \in \Lambda^{m} V, \eta \in \Lambda^{n} V: \quad \omega \wedge \eta=(-1)^{m n} \eta \wedge \omega
$$

Remark. Observe that the anti-symmetric property implies that $\omega \otimes \omega=0$, while the symmetric property neither implies nor denies such a property. Grassmann remarked [13] in 1862 that the symmetric algebra of functions is by far more complicated than his anti-symmetric exterior algebra. The first part of the book focused on anti-symmetric exterior algebra, while the more complex symmetric function algebra of Leibniz was subject of the second multivariable part of the book. Elements $\omega_{k}$ in the space $\Lambda V$ of anti-symmetric algebra are often studied as unit quantum state vectors in a unitary probability space, where $\sum_{k} \omega_{k} \neq \bigotimes_{k} \omega_{k}$ is entanglement.

```
julia> indices(^(3).v12)
2-element Array{Int64,1}:
1
2
```

Grassmann's exterior algebra doesn't invoke the properties of multi-sets, as it is related to the algebra of oriented sets; while the Leibniz symmetric algebra is that of unoriented multi-sets. Combined, the mixed-symmetry algebra yields a multi-linear propositional lattice. The formal sum of equal grade elements is an oriented Chain and with mixed grade it is a MultiVector simplicial complex. Thus, various standard operations on the oriented multi-sets are possible including $\cup, \cap, \oplus$ and the index operation $X \ominus Y=(X \cup Y) \backslash(X \cap Y)$, which is symmetric difference operation $\underline{\vee}$.

```
julia> typeof(V) # dispatch by vector space
SubManifold{(-++++),4,0x000000000000000f}
julia> typeof(v13) # extensive type info
SubManifold{\-++++),2,0x0000000000000005}
julia> 2v1 + v3 # vector Chain{V,1} element
2v1 + 0v2 + 1v3 + 0v4
julia> 5 + v2 + v234 # MultiVector{V} element
5 + lv2 + lv234
```

Definition 12 (Simplex $\{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{T}\}$, e.g. $5 v_{1}, 7 v_{34}, 8 v_{123}$ ). Value of type $T$ associated with a grade $G$ Submanifold $B$ of $V$ element of $\Lambda^{G} V$.

```
struct Simplex{V,G,B,T} <: TensorTerm{V,G}
    v::T end
```

Definition 13 (Chain\{V,G,T\}, e.g. $3 v_{1}+4 v_{2}$ or $1 v_{12}+1 v_{13}+1 v_{23}$ ). Grade $G$ subspaces of dimension $\binom{n}{G}$ with type $T$ coefficients are elements of $\Lambda^{G} V$.

```
@computed struct Chain{V,G,K} <: TensorGraded{V,G}
```

    \(v:\) :Values \(\{\) binomial (mdims(V), G), K\} end
    Definition 14 (MultiVector\{V,T\}). Full $2^{n}$ dimensional representation of $\Lambda V$ over type $T$ are a generalization of (mixed) oriented hypergraphs.

```
@computed struct MultiVector{V,K} <: TensorMixed{V}
    v::Values{1<<mdims(V),K} end
```

The parametric type formalism in Grassmann syntax design is highly expressive to enable the pre-allocation of geometric algebra computations for specific sparse-subalgebras, including the representation of rotational groups, Lie bivector algebras, and affine projective geometry.

In order to work with a TensorAlgebra\{V\}, it is necessary for some computations to be cached. This is usually done automatically when accessed. Staging of precompilation and caching is designed so that a user can smoothly transition between very high dimensional and low dimensional algebras in a single session, with varying levels of extra caching and optimizations.

It is possible to reach Simplex elements with up to $n=62$ vertices, requiring full alpha-numeric labeling with lower-case and capital letters.

```
julia> ^(62).v32a87Ng
-1v2378agN
```

The 62 indices require full alpha-numeric labeling with lower-case and capital letters. This now allows users to reach up to $4,611,686,018,427,387,904$ dimensions with Julia using Grassmann. Then the volume element is
v1234567890abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ

Full MultiVector allocations are only possible for $N \leq 22$, sparse operations are available at higher dimensions. While DirectSum. Basis $\{\mathrm{V}\}$ is a container for the TensorAlgebra generators of $V$, the Basis is only cached for $N \leq 8$. For the range of dimensions $8<N \leq 22$, the SparseBasis type is used.

```
julia> ^(22)
DirectSum.SparseBasis{\++++++++++++++++++++++++},4194304}(v, ..., v1234567890abcdefghijkl)
```

This is the largest SparseBasis that can be generated with Julia, due to array size limitations.

To reach higher dimensions with $N>22$, the DirectSum.ExtendedBasis type is used. It is suficient to work with a 64-bit representation (which is the default). And it turns out that with 62 standard keyboard characters, this fits. At 22 dimensions and lower there is better caching, with further extra caching for 8 dimensions or less. Thus, the largest Hilbert space that is fully reachable has $4,194,304$ dimensions, but we can still reach out to 4,611,686,018,427,387,904 dimensions with the ExtendedBasis built in. It is still feasible to extend to a further super-extended 128-bit representation using the UInt128 type (but this will require further modifications of internals and helper functions). To reach into infinity even further, it is theoretically possible to construct ultra-extensions also using dictionaries. Full MultiVector elements are not representable when ExtendedBasis is used, but the performance of the Basis and sparse elements should be just as fast as for lower dimensions for the current SubAlgebra and TensorAlgebra types. The sparse representations are a work in progress to be improved with time.

## 2. Geometric algebraic product structure

For the oriented sets of the Grassmann exterior algebra, the parity of $(-1)^{\Pi}$ is factored into transposition compositions when interchanging ordering of the tensor product argument permutations [3]. The symmetrical algebra does not need to track this parity, but has higher multiplicities in its indices. Symmetric differential function algebra of Leibniz trivializes orientation into a single class of index multi-sets, while Grassmann's exterior algebra is partitioned into two oriented equivalence classes by anti-symmetry. Full tensor algebra can be sub-partitioned into equivalence classes in multiple ways based on the element symmetry, grade, and metric signature composite properties. Both symmetry classes can be characterized by the same geometric product.

Definition 15. The geometric algebraic product is the $\Pi$ oriented symmetric difference operator $\ominus$ (weighted by the bilinear form $g$ ) and multi-set sum $\oplus$ applied to multilinear tensor products $\otimes$ in a single operation: $\Lambda V \times \Lambda V \rightarrow \Lambda V$


For any $v_{i} \in \Lambda^{1} V$, we define $v_{i}^{2}=v_{i} v_{i}=g_{i i}$, so typically the diagonal metric $g$ of the algebra is often defined by relations like these.

Example 5 (Squaring a vector in $\mathrm{S} "+-") . v_{1}^{2}=v_{1} v_{1}=1, v_{2}^{2}=v_{2} v_{2}=-1$
If $v_{i}, v_{j} \in \Lambda^{1} V$ are orthogonal, then $v_{i} v_{j}=-v_{j} v_{i}$ for the basis, and then geometric product and exterior product are interchangeable.

Example 6. $\mathrm{S} "++": \quad v_{12}^{2}=v_{12} v_{12}=v_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{2}=-v_{1} v_{1} v_{2} v_{2}=-1 \cdot 1=-1$ $\mathrm{S} "+-": \quad v_{12}^{2}=v_{12} v_{12}=v_{1} v_{2} v_{1} v_{2}=-v_{1} v_{1} v_{2} v_{2}=-1 \cdot-1=1$

Example 7. $\mathrm{S} "+++": \quad\left(v_{1}+v_{123}\right) v_{12}=\left(v_{1}+v_{1} \wedge v_{2} \wedge v_{3}\right)\left(v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right)=v_{2}-v_{3}$
Definition 16 (Null-basis of projective split). Let $v_{ \pm}^{2}= \pm 1$ be a basis with $v_{\infty}=v_{+}+v_{-}$and $v_{\emptyset}=\left(v_{-}-v_{+}\right) / 2$ An embedding space $\mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1}$ carrying the action from the group $O(p+1, q+1)$ then has $v_{\infty}^{2}=0, v_{\emptyset}^{2}=0, v_{\infty} \cdot v_{\emptyset}=-1$, and $v_{\infty \emptyset}^{2}=1$ with Minkowski plane $v_{\infty \emptyset}$ having these product properties

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\infty \emptyset} v_{\infty} & =-v_{\infty}, & v_{\infty \emptyset} v_{\emptyset} & =v_{\emptyset}, \\
v_{\infty} v_{\emptyset} & =-1+v_{\infty \emptyset}, & v_{\emptyset} v_{\infty} & =-1-v_{\infty \emptyset}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 17. Symmetry properties of the tensor algebra can be characterized in terms of the geometric product by two averaging operations, which are the symmetrization $\odot$ and anti-symmetrization $\boxtimes$ operators:

$$
\bigodot_{k=1}^{j} \omega_{k}=\frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{P}} \prod_{k} \omega_{\sigma(k)}, \quad \bigotimes_{k=1}^{j} \omega_{k}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{P}} \frac{(-1)^{\Pi(\sigma)}}{j!} \prod_{k} \omega_{\sigma(k)}
$$

These products satisfy various MultiVector properties, including the associative and distributive laws.

Definition 18 (Exterior product). Let $w_{k} \in \Lambda^{p_{k}} V$, then for all $\sigma \in S_{\sum p_{k}}$ define an equivalence relation $\sim$ such that

$$
\bigwedge_{k} \omega_{k}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p_{k}}\right) \sim(-1)^{\Pi(\sigma)}\left(\bigotimes_{k} \omega_{k}\right)\left(v_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma\left(\sum p_{k}\right)}\right)
$$

if and only if $\prod_{k} \omega_{k}=\boxtimes_{k} \omega_{k}$ holds. It has become typical to use the $\wedge$ product symbol to denote products of such elements as $\Lambda \Lambda V \equiv \otimes \Lambda V / \sim$ modulo anti-symmetrization.

Definition 19 (Symmetric Leibniz differentials). Let $\partial_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \in L_{g} V$ be Leibnizian symmetric tensors, then there is an equivalence relation $\asymp$ which holds for each $\sigma \in S_{p}$

$$
\left(\partial_{p} \circ \ldots \circ \partial_{1}\right) \omega \asymp\left(\bigotimes_{k} \partial_{\sigma(k)}\right) \omega \Longleftrightarrow \prod_{k} \partial_{k}=\bigodot_{k} \partial_{k},
$$

along with each derivation $\partial_{k}(\omega \eta)=\partial_{k}(\omega) \eta+\omega \partial_{k}(\eta)$.
Multiplication with an $\epsilon_{i}$ element is used help signify tensor fields so that differential operators are automatically applied in the Basis algebra as

$$
\partial_{j}\left(\omega \otimes \epsilon_{i}\right) \neq\left(\partial_{j} \otimes \omega\right) \epsilon_{i} .
$$

```
julia> using Reduce, Grassmann; @mixedbasis tangent (\mathbb{R^2,3,2);}
julia> (\partial1+\partial12) * (:(x1^2*x2^2)*\in1 + :( sin (x1))*\in2)
```



Definition 20 (Reversed product). A commonly occuring product is $\langle\tilde{\omega} \omega\rangle$.

$$
|\omega|^{2}=\langle\tilde{\omega} \omega\rangle, \quad|\omega|=\sqrt{\langle\tilde{\omega} \omega\rangle}, \quad \| \omega| |=\text { Euclidean }|\omega| .
$$

Remark. In general $\sqrt{\omega}=e^{(\log \omega) / 2}$ is valid for invertible $\omega$.
Definition 21 (Inverse). A simple way to calculate inverses is $\omega^{-1}=\tilde{\omega}(\tilde{\omega} \omega)^{-1}=$ $\tilde{\omega} /|\omega|^{2}$, with $\eta / \omega=\eta \omega^{-1}$ and $\eta \backslash \omega=\eta^{-1} \omega$. Shirokov [25] recently developed a more sophisticated theory for calculating inverses based on $\mathfrak{S}_{j}$ groups.

```
julia> 1/v34, inv(v34) == ~v34/abs2(v34)
(-1.0v34, true)
```

Definition 22 (Sandwich product). The sandwich is defined as $\eta \oslash \omega=\bar{\omega} \backslash \eta \omega$. Alternatively, the reversed definition is $\eta \oplus \omega=\eta \omega / \bar{\eta}$ or in Julia $\eta \ggg \omega$.

```
julia> (2v3+5v4) \odot v3 == inv(v3)*(2v3+5v4)*involute(v3)
true
```

Since $\langle(\tilde{\omega}+\omega)(\omega+\tilde{\omega})\rangle=(\omega+\tilde{\omega})^{2}$, it follows $|\mathfrak{R} \omega|^{2}=(\mathfrak{R} \omega)^{2}$. Similarly, $\langle(\tilde{\omega}-\omega)(\omega-\tilde{\omega})\rangle=-(\omega+\tilde{\omega})^{2}$ implies $|\Im \omega|^{2}=-(\Im \omega)^{2}$. Due to the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-grading induced by $\omega=\mathfrak{R} \omega+\Im \omega$, it is possible to partition real and imaginary by
$\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{r} /\left|\langle\omega\rangle_{r}\right|=\sqrt{\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{r}^{2} /\left|\langle\omega\rangle_{r}\right|^{2}}=\sqrt{\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{r} /\langle\omega\rangle_{r}}=\sqrt{(-1)^{(r-1) r / 2}} \in\{1, \sqrt{-1}\}$,
which is a unique partitioning completely independent of the metric space and manifold of the algebra [18].

$$
\tilde{\omega} \omega=|\omega|^{2}=|\Re \omega+\Im \omega|^{2}=|\Re \omega|^{2}+|\Im \omega|^{2}+2 \mathfrak{R}(\Re \omega \Im \omega)
$$

Similar to real, imag, even, and odd; the radial and angular components in a multivector exponential are partitioned but with parity of their metric.

Definition 23 (Poincare-Hodge complement $\star$ ). Let $\omega=w_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge w_{i_{p}}$ and $\star \omega=\tilde{\omega} I$, then $\star: \Lambda^{p} V \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p} V$.

Remark. While $\star \omega$ is complementright of $\omega$, the complementleft would be $I \tilde{\omega}$ and $!\omega$ denotes the non-metric variant the complement. The $\star$ symbol was added to the Julia language as unary operator on Julia's v1.2 release.

John Browne has discussed Grassmann duality principle in book [6], stating that every theorem (involving either of the exterior and regressive products) can be translated into its dual theorem by replacing the $\wedge$ and $\vee$ operations and applying Poincare duality (homology). First applying this Grassmann duality principle to the $\wedge$ product alone, let $\left\{\omega_{k}\right\}_{k} \in \Lambda^{p_{k}} V, P=\sum_{k} p_{k}$, then it is possible to obtain the co-product $\bigvee: \Lambda^{p_{1}} V_{1} \times \cdots \times \Lambda^{p_{g}} V_{g} \rightarrow \Lambda^{P-(g-1) \# V} \bigcup_{k} V_{k}$. Grassmann's original notation implicitly combined $\wedge, \vee, \star$.

Join $\wedge \mapsto \cup$ union, meet $\vee \mapsto \cap$ intersection, complement $\star \mapsto^{\perp}$ yield an orthocomplementary propositional lattice in quantum logic:

$$
\left(\star \bigvee_{k} \omega_{k}\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\left(\bigwedge_{k} \star \omega_{k}\right)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \quad \text { DeMorgan's Law. }
$$

However, this is only completely true for Euclidean algebras. In general, the original Grassmann (OG) complement must be used in DeMorgan's Law, while tensor contractions utilize the Hodge complement's metric.

Definition 24 (Original Grassmann complement |). This operation is the same as $\star$ but is always Euclidean $(g \equiv 1)$. In Julia it is also the ! method.

Interior contractions $\eta \cdot \omega=\eta \vee \star \omega$ need both $\star$ and $\mid$ complements. Of fundamental importance is the complement of a complement axiom:

Theorem 1. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then $\star \star \omega=(-1)^{m(n-m)} \omega|I|^{2}$.
Proof. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then rewrite the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\star \star \omega & =\widetilde{\widetilde{\omega} I I}=(-1)^{m(m-1) / 2} \widetilde{\omega I I} \\
& =(-1)^{m(m-1) / 2}(-1)^{(n-m-1)(n-m) / 2} \omega I^{2} \\
& =(-1)^{m(n-m)}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \omega I^{2} \\
& =(-1)^{m(n-m)} \omega \tilde{I} I=(-1)^{m(n-m)} \omega|I|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the result follows since $(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} I I=\tilde{I} I=I \cdot I=|I|^{2}$.
Corollary 1 (Euclidean complement of a complement axiom). Let $\omega \in \Lambda^{m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $\star \star \omega=(-1)^{m(n-m)} \omega$ since $|I|^{2}=1$.

Lemma. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then $I \vee \omega=\omega$.
Proof. $I \vee \omega=\left.\right|^{-1}((\mid I) \wedge \mid \omega)=\left.\right|^{-1}(1 \wedge \mid \omega)=\left.\right|^{-1}(\mid \omega)=\omega$.
Corollary 2. Obviously, $\tilde{\omega} I=I \cdot \omega$ since $I \cdot \omega=I \vee \star \omega=\star \omega=\tilde{\omega} I$.
Theorem 2. Let $\omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then $(\omega \vee \star \omega) I=\omega \wedge \star \omega$.

Proof. It is straight forward to check based on properties of $\mid, \star, \wedge, \vee$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega \vee \star \omega & =\omega \vee(\tilde{\omega} I)=\left.\right|^{-1}((\mid \omega) \wedge \mid(\tilde{\omega} I)) \\
& =\left.g(\omega, I)(-1)^{m(n-m)}\right|^{-1}((\mid \omega) \wedge \omega) \\
& =\left.g(\omega, I)\right|^{-1}(\omega \wedge(\mid \omega))=(\omega \wedge \star \omega) / I
\end{aligned}
$$

From this the result follows, after multiplying by $I$ pseudoscalar.
Theorem 3. $\eta \wedge \star \omega=(\tilde{\omega} \vee \star \tilde{\eta}) I=(\tilde{\omega} \cdot \tilde{\eta}) I \Longleftrightarrow \eta \cdot \omega=\eta \vee \star \omega=(\tilde{\omega} \wedge \star \tilde{\eta}) / I$.
Theorem 4. Let $\eta, \omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then $\tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\omega}=\eta \cdot \omega$.
Proof. Let $\eta, \omega \in \Lambda^{m} V$, then $\tilde{\eta} \cdot \tilde{\omega}=\left((-1)^{m(n-m)}\right)^{2}(\eta \cdot \omega)=\eta \cdot \omega$.
Corollary 3 (Absolute value $|\omega|^{2}=\omega \cdot \omega$ ).

$$
(\omega \cdot \omega) I=\tilde{\omega} \wedge \star \tilde{\omega}=\tilde{\omega} \star \tilde{\omega}=\tilde{\omega} \omega I=|\omega|^{2} I \Longleftrightarrow \omega \cdot \omega=\tilde{\omega} \omega
$$

The expressions can also be reversed: $\omega \wedge \star \omega=\omega \star \omega=\omega \tilde{\omega} I=|\omega|^{2} I$. However, when $\eta \in \Lambda^{r} V$ and $\omega \in \Lambda^{s} V$ are of unequal grade, then there exist several possible variations of graded contraction operations. Of course, the most natural option for the interior contraction is Grassmann's right contraction also written $\eta \mid \omega=\eta \vee \star \omega$. However, many authors such as Dorst [8] prefer the Conventional contraction, which is one of the other variations.

| Contraction | $l \mathrm{lft}(\eta, \omega)$ | $\operatorname{right}(\eta, \omega)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grassmann | $\langle\omega\rangle_{s} \vee \star\langle\eta\rangle_{r}=\langle\tilde{\eta} \omega\rangle_{s}$ | $\langle\eta\rangle_{r} \vee \star\langle\omega\rangle_{s}=\langle\tilde{\eta} \omega\rangle_{r}$ |
| Reversed | $\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s} \vee \star\langle\tilde{\eta}\rangle_{r}=\langle\eta \tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s-r}$ | $\langle\tilde{\eta}\rangle_{r} \vee \star\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s}=\langle\eta \tilde{\omega}\rangle_{r-s}$ |
| Conventional | $\langle\omega\rangle_{s} \vee \star\langle\tilde{\eta}\rangle_{r}=\langle\eta \omega\rangle_{s-r}$ | $\langle\tilde{\eta}\rangle_{r} \vee \star\langle\omega\rangle_{s}=\langle\eta \omega\rangle_{r}$ |
| Unconventional | $\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s} \vee \star\langle\eta\rangle_{r}=\langle\tilde{\eta} \tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s-r}$ | $\langle\eta\rangle_{r} \vee \star\langle\tilde{\omega}\rangle_{s}=\langle\tilde{\eta} \tilde{\omega}\rangle_{r-s}$ |

```
julia> (v1 + v2) \cdot (1.5v2 + v3)
1.5v
```

For the null-basis, complement operations are different:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \star v_{\infty}=\star\left(v_{+}+v_{-}\right)=\left(v_{-}+v_{+}\right) v_{1 \ldots n}=v_{\infty 1 \ldots n} \\
& \star 2 v_{\emptyset}=\star\left(v_{-}-v_{+}\right)=\left(v_{+}-v_{-}\right) v_{1 \ldots n}=-2 v_{\emptyset 1 \ldots n}
\end{aligned}
$$

This Hodge complement satisfies $\langle\omega \vee \star \omega\rangle I=\omega \wedge \star \omega$, which is naturally a result of using the geometric product in the definition, but with the usage of the null-basis applied. If null-basis are used as indices, then complementing them is a more tricky exercise than for standard indices. Not only is the Hodge complement of the null-basis different, but the metric independent complement of the null-basis is yet again different than normal indices,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& !v_{\infty}=!\left(v_{+}+v_{-}\right)=\left(v_{-}-v_{+}\right) v_{1 \ldots n}=2 v_{\emptyset 1 \ldots n} \\
& !2 v_{\emptyset}=!\left(v_{-}-v_{+}\right)=\left(v_{+}+v_{-}\right) v_{1 \ldots n}=-v_{\infty 1 \ldots n}
\end{aligned}
$$

For that variation of complement, $\|\omega\|^{2} I=\omega \wedge!\omega$ holds.

Definition 25. Let $\nabla=\sum_{k} \partial_{k} v_{k}$ be a vector field and $\epsilon=\sum_{k} \epsilon_{k}(x) w_{k} \in \Omega^{1} V$ be unit sums of the mixed-symmetry basis. Elements of $\Omega^{p} V$ are known as differential p-forms and both $\nabla$ and $\epsilon$ are tensor fields dependent on $x \in W$. A differential form is $d x_{k}=\epsilon_{k}(x) w_{k}$, so that $\epsilon_{k}=d x_{k} / w_{k}$ and $\partial_{k} \omega(x)=\omega^{\prime}(x)$. The space $W$ does not have to equal $V$, as $\Omega^{p} V$ could have $\mathbb{K}=L W$ coefficients.
Definition 26. Differential $d: \Omega^{p} V \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1} V$, co-differential $\delta: \Omega^{p} V \rightarrow \Omega^{p-1} V$

$$
\star d \omega=\star(\nabla \wedge \omega)=\nabla \times \omega, \quad \omega \cdot \nabla=\omega \vee \star \nabla=\partial \omega=-\delta \omega .
$$

These two maps have the special properties $d \circ d=0$ and $\partial \circ \partial=0$ for any form $\omega$ and vector field $\nabla$. In topology there is boundary operator $\partial$ defined by $\partial \epsilon=\epsilon \cdot \nabla=\sum_{k} \partial_{k} \epsilon_{k}$ and is commonly discussed in terms the limit $\epsilon(x) \cdot \nabla \omega(x)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega(x+h \epsilon)-\omega(x)}{h}$, which is the directional derivative [27].
Example 8 (Vorticity curl of vector-field). In 3-dimensions, curl is defined as

$$
\star d\left(d x_{1}+d x_{2}+d x_{3}\right)=\left(\partial_{2}-\partial_{3}\right) d x_{1}+\left(\partial_{3}-\partial_{1}\right) d x_{2}+\left(\partial_{1}-\partial_{2}\right) d x_{3}
$$

Example 9 (Boundary of 3 -simplex). Faces of simplex (oriented):

$$
\partial\left(w_{1234}\right)=-\partial_{4} w_{123}+\partial_{3} w_{124}-\partial_{2} w_{134}+\partial_{1} w_{234} .
$$

```
julia> tangent(\mathbb{R}^3)(\nabla)
0v12 + 0v13 +\partial1 1v1 + 0v23 +\partialı lv2 +д1 1v3
julia> @basis tangent (\mathbb{R}3,2,3); *d(v1+v2+v3)
0-\partial2 1v1 +\partialз 1v1 +\partial1 1v2 -\partialз lv2 -\partial1 lv3 +\partial2 lv3
julia> \partial(\Lambda(tangent(\mathbb{R}4,2,4)).v1234)
0.0 -\partial4 lv123 +\partialз lv124 -\partialz lv134 +\partial^ 1v234
```

As a result of Grassmann's exterior \& interior products, the HodgeDeRahm chain complex from cohomology theory is

$$
0 \underset{\partial}{\stackrel{d}{\rightleftarrows}} \Omega^{0}(M) \underset{\partial}{\stackrel{d}{\rightleftarrows}} \Omega^{1}(M) \underset{\partial}{\stackrel{d}{\leftrightarrows}} \cdots \underset{\partial}{\stackrel{d}{\rightleftarrows}} \Omega^{n}(M) \underset{\partial}{\stackrel{d}{\rightleftarrows}} 0,
$$

having dimensional equivalence brought by the Grassmann-Poincare-Hodge complement duality,

$$
\mathcal{H}^{n-p} M \cong \frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(d \Omega^{n-p} M\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(d \Omega^{n-p+1} M\right)}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}^{p} M=\operatorname{dim} \frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial \Omega^{p} M\right)}{\operatorname{im}\left(\partial \Omega^{p+1} M\right)}
$$

The rank of the grade $p$ boundary incidence operator is

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left\langle\partial\langle M\rangle_{p+1}\right\rangle_{p}=\min \left\{\operatorname{dim}\left\langle\partial\langle M\rangle_{p+1}\right\rangle_{p}, \operatorname{dim}\langle M\rangle_{p+1}\right\}
$$

Invariant topological information can be computed using ranks of homology groups, where $b_{p}(M)=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}^{p} M$

$$
b_{p}(M)=\operatorname{dim}\langle M\rangle_{p+1}-\operatorname{rank}\left\langle\partial\langle M\rangle_{p+1}\right\rangle_{p}-\operatorname{rank}\left\langle\partial\langle M\rangle_{p+2}\right\rangle_{p+1}
$$

are Betti numbers with Euler characteristic $\chi(M)=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p} b_{p}$.

Theorem 5 (Integration by parts \& Stokes). Let $\nabla \in \Omega_{1} V$ be a Leibnizian vector field operator, then $d,-\partial$ are Hilbert adjoint Hodge-DeRahm operators

$$
\int_{M} d \omega \wedge \star \eta+\int_{M} \omega \wedge \star \partial \eta=0, \quad\langle d \omega \vee \star \eta\rangle=\langle\omega \vee \star-\partial \eta\rangle .
$$

Proof. $\partial \omega=\omega \cdot \nabla=\left.\right|^{-1}(|\omega \wedge \star| \nabla)=(-1)^{n}(-1)^{n k} \star d \star \omega$. Then substitute this into $\int_{M} \omega \wedge(-1)^{m k+m+1} \star \star d \star \eta=(-1)^{k m+m+1}(-1)^{(m-k+1)(k-1)} \int_{M} \omega \wedge d \star \eta$, apply identity $(-1)^{k m+m+1}(-1)^{(m-k+1)(k-1)}=(-1)^{k}$ and $(-1)^{k} \int_{M} \omega \wedge d \star \eta=$ $\int_{M} d(\omega \wedge \star \eta)-(-1)^{k-1} \omega \wedge d \star \eta=\int_{M} d \omega \wedge \star \eta$. Stokes identity can be proved by relying on a variant of the common factor theorem by Browne [6].

Theorem 6 (Clifford-Dirac-Laplacian). The Dirac operator [11] or Laplacian square root is $\left(\nabla^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega= \pm \nabla \omega= \pm \nabla \wedge \omega \pm \nabla \cdot \omega= \pm d \omega \pm \partial \omega$.

$$
\left.\left.\nabla^{2} \omega=\nabla \wedge(\omega \cdot \nabla)+(\nabla \wedge \omega) \cdot \nabla\right)=\mp(\mp \omega \nabla) \nabla\right)
$$

Elements $\omega$ are harmonic if $\nabla \omega=0$ and both closed $d \omega=0$ and coclosed $\delta \omega=0$, such that $\mathcal{H}^{p} M=\left\{\nabla \omega=0: \omega \in \Omega^{p} M\right\}$. Note: $\nabla \omega \neq \omega \nabla$ yet $\nabla^{2} \omega=$ $\omega \nabla^{2}=d \partial \omega+\partial d \omega$

Example 10. $\mathrm{S} " \infty \emptyset+++"\left(\nabla^{\wedge} 2\right) \mapsto-2 \partial_{\infty \emptyset}+\partial_{1}^{2}+\partial_{2}^{2}+\partial_{3}^{2}$
Theorem 7 (Hodge-DeRahm). $\Omega^{p} M=\mathcal{H}^{p} M \oplus i m\left(d \Omega^{p-1} M\right) \oplus i m\left(\partial \Omega^{p+1} M\right)$.
Definition 27 (Faraday bivector $d A$ with $d d A=0$ ).

$$
E v_{t}+\star\left(B v_{t}\right)=\left(\nabla V-\partial_{t} A\right) v_{t}+\star\left((\star d A) v_{t}\right)=d A,
$$

where $E$ is electric field, $B$ magnetic field, $A$ is vector potential.
Example 11 (Maxwell's equations rewritten).

$$
\begin{gathered}
d d A=0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases}\partial B=0 & \text { Gauss's law } \\
\star d E=-\partial_{t} B & \text { Faraday's law }\end{cases} \\
\star d \star d A=J \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases}\partial E=\rho & \text { Gauss's law } \\
\star d B=J+\partial_{t} E & \text { Ampere's law }\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Maxwell's equations simplify to a single spacetime wave equation

$$
\nabla\left(E v_{t}+\star\left(B v_{t}\right)\right)=\nabla d A=\star d \star d A=\nabla^{2} A=J
$$

Theorem 8 (First grade sandwich product). Reflection by hyperplane $\star \nabla$ has isometry $\omega \oslash \nabla=-\nabla \backslash \omega \nabla$.

Proof. Let $\nabla \in \Lambda^{1} V$, then $\omega=(\nabla \backslash \nabla) \omega=\nabla \backslash(d \omega+\partial \omega)$ where $\nabla \| \partial \omega$ and $\nabla \perp d \omega$. Let's reflect across the hyperplane $\star \nabla$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \backslash(d \omega-\partial \omega) & =\nabla \backslash(d \omega-\partial \omega)(\nabla \backslash \nabla) \\
& =-\nabla^{2} \backslash(d \omega+\partial \omega) \nabla=-\nabla \backslash \omega \nabla
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, reflection by hyperplane $\star \nabla$ has isometry $\omega \varnothing \nabla$ which for $\nabla=v_{j}$ is the map $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{1} \times \cdots \times \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{j} \cdots \times \mathbb{R}_{n}$.

Theorem 9 (Cartan-Dieudonne). Every isometry of $V \rightarrow V$ is the composite of at most $k$ reflections across non-singular hyperplanes [3] [26]. Hence there exist vectors $\nabla_{j}$ such that

$$
\left(\left(\left(\omega \nsubseteq \nabla_{1}\right) \oplus \nabla_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots\right) \nsubseteq \nabla_{k}=\omega \oslash\left(\nabla_{1} \nabla_{2} \cdots \nabla_{k}\right)
$$

for any isometry element of the orthogonal group $O(p, q)$.
Note that elements under transformations of this group preserve inner product relations. The even grade operators make up the rotational group, where each bivector isometry is a composition of two reflections [3] [7].

Exponential map and Lie group parameter special cases: consider the differential equation $\partial_{i} \epsilon_{j}=\epsilon_{j} \oslash \omega$, solution: $\epsilon_{j}(x)=\epsilon_{j}(0) \oslash e^{x_{i} \omega}$ where $\theta=2 x_{i}$ is Lie group parameter. Then for a normalized $\omega$,

$$
e^{\theta \omega}=\sum_{k} \frac{(\theta \omega)^{k}}{k!}= \begin{cases}\cosh \theta+\omega \sinh \theta, & \text { if } \omega^{2}=1 \\ \cos \theta+\omega \sin \theta, & \text { if } \omega^{2}=-1 \\ 1+\theta \omega, & \text { if } \omega^{2}=0\end{cases}
$$

Note that $\nabla \oslash e^{\theta \omega / 2}=\nabla e^{\theta \omega}$ is a double covering when using the complex numbers in the Euclidean plane.
Remark. The sandwich must be with reversion on the left side, otherwise the rotation is clockwise and opposite of the phase parameter convention used by Euler's formula. For example, observe the resultant direction of rotation

$$
e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12}} v_{1} \widetilde{e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12}}}=-v_{2}
$$

which means it is rotating in the wrong direction opposite of Euler, while

$$
\widetilde{e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12}}} v_{1} e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12}}=v_{2}
$$

is compatible with Euler's convention. So, the sandwich must be applied with its reversion on the left side-if the standard Euler rotation direction is desired. However, many authors follow the opposite convention of clockwise instead.
Theorem 10 (Leibniz-Taylor series). Let $\partial_{X}=\prod_{k} \partial_{k}^{\mu_{k}}$ be defined so that size of its index multi-set is constrained to $|X|=\sum_{k} \mu_{k}$, then $e^{\partial \epsilon} \omega(x)$ is

$$
e^{\partial \epsilon} \omega(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \frac{(\partial \epsilon)^{j}}{j!} \omega(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \sum_{|X|=j} \prod_{k} \frac{\left(\partial_{k} \epsilon_{k}(x)\right)^{\mu_{k}}}{\mu_{k}!} \omega(x) .
$$

The multivariate product rule is encoded into the geometric algebraic product when using mixed-symmetry.

```
using Grassmann, Makie
basis"2" # Euclidean
streamplot(vectorfield(exp ( }\mp@subsup{\pi}{*}{*}v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5
streamplot(vectorfield(exp ((\pi/2)*v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5)
streamplot(vectorfield(exp((\pi/4)*v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5)
streamplot(vectorfield(v1*exp((\pi/4)*v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5)
@basis S"+-" # Hyperbolic
streamplot(vectorfield(exp((\pi/8)*v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5)
streamplot(vectorfield(v1*exp((\pi/4)*v12/2)),-1.5..1.5,-1.5..1.5)
```


(A) $x \oslash e^{\pi v_{12} / 2}, \mathbb{R}^{2}$

(C) $x \oslash e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12} / 2}, \mathbb{R}^{2}$

(E) $x \oslash e^{\frac{\pi}{8} v_{12} / 2}, \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\prime}$

(B) $x \oslash e^{\frac{\pi}{2} v_{12} / 2}, \mathbb{R}^{2}$

(D) $x \oslash\left(v_{1} \ominus e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12} / 2}\right), \overline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$

(F) $x \oslash\left(v_{1} \ominus e^{\frac{\pi}{4} v_{12} / 2}\right), \overline{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\prime}$


Example 12 (Eccentric geometric product). Consider the elliptic algebra

$$
v_{1}, v_{2} \in \Lambda^{1} \mathrm{D}^{\prime} 1,2^{\prime `}: \quad v_{12} v_{12}=-2, \quad \frac{v_{12}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{v_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}=-1 .
$$

The numbers start growing faster on one axis, so due to the spatial dilation the exponential $\theta$ parameter is also dilated by an eccentricity factor.

$$
v_{1} \varnothing \exp \left(\frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}} v_{12}\right), \quad\left|v_{12}\right|=\sqrt{2}, \quad \frac{v_{12}}{\left|v_{12}\right|}=\frac{v_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}
$$

This elliptic clock is $\sqrt{2}$ faster than a standard circular clock, since bivector $v_{12}$ has a greater norm than 1 by that factor, it is fixed by normalization.
Example 13 (Approximation to Earth's geoid metric geometric algebra). The radius of the Earth along the equator is $r_{E}=6378137 \mathrm{~m}$, but the Earth is not a perfect sphere; it is better approximated by an ellipse with flattening parameter $f=$ Geophysics.flattening(Earth) and this eccentricity factor

$$
1.0067395 \approx \frac{1}{(1-f)^{2}}, \quad f \approx \frac{1}{298.257223563}
$$

using the metric D" $1,1.0067395$ ", the radius along the North can be computed an error of about $1.6179573236741 \times 10^{-7}$ with normalization $\left|v_{12}\right|=\frac{1}{1-f}$

$$
\left(r_{E} v_{1}\right) \oplus \exp \left(\frac{\pi}{4}(1-f) v_{12}\right) \approx 6356752.304 e 6
$$

This result demonstrates that it is feasible to do nuanced calculations with Earth's elliptical geoid approximation by adjusting the metric tensor for tuned geometric algebra bivector exponentiation.

Take the real part and imaginary part to transform into eigenvalues:

$$
\omega=\mathfrak{R} \omega+\mathfrak{I} \omega \mapsto \mathfrak{R} \omega \pm \sqrt{(\mathfrak{I} \omega)^{2}}=\mathfrak{R} \omega \pm \sqrt{-|\mathfrak{I} \omega|^{2}}=\lambda
$$

Let $\Omega$ be a linear operator, $\lambda_{i}$ are non-repeating eigenvalues of $\Omega$ and $v_{i}$ are the corresponding eigenvectors such that $\Omega v_{i}=\lambda_{i} v_{i}$ with action of $\Omega$ on $v_{i}$.

Theorem 11 (Normalized eigenblade theorem). Let $\Omega, \lambda_{i}, v_{i}$ be as above,

$$
\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i}=v_{i} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right) .
$$

Proof. Apply $\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right)$ as an outermorphism operator to the $v_{j}$ to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i} & =\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \bigwedge_{j \neq i} v_{j} \\
& =\star \bigwedge_{j \neq i}\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) v_{j}=\star \bigwedge_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right) v_{j} \\
& =\star \bigwedge_{j \neq i} v_{j} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)=v_{i} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, a normalized eigenblade $\star v_{i}$ is naturally proportional to the outermorphism operating on the eigenblade by an eigenvalue product factor.

Theorem 12 (Eigenblade normalization conjecture). Let $\Omega, \lambda_{i}, v_{i}$ be as above and also let $e_{j}$ be an element of an orthogonal basis, then

$$
\operatorname{Proj} \star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i}=\operatorname{Proj} \star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star e_{j} .
$$

Unfortunately, the margins are currently too narrow to contain this proof.
In spirit of the recent investigations [22][4] into eigenblades, the following are adaptations of the Lagrange-Sylverster theorem to Grassmann algebra.

Theorem 13 (Analogue of Frobenius covariant). Let $\Omega, \lambda_{i}, v_{i}$ be as above,

$$
\operatorname{Proj} \star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i}=\operatorname{Proj} \star \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) v_{j}}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}=\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\Omega-\lambda_{i} I}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 11 observe $\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i}$ is proportional to eigenvectors $v_{i}$ by a product factor based on eigenvalues. Given the established LangrangeSylvester theorem in the matrix algebra literature [28], the result follows.

Theorem 14 (Analogue of Lagrange-Sylvester). Let $\Omega, \lambda_{i}, v_{i}, e_{j}$ be as above,

$$
\Omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Proj} \star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star e_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Proj} \star \bigwedge_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n}\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) e_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{n} \frac{\Omega-\lambda_{i} I}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}
$$

Proof. Take the expression from Theorem 13 and apply Theorem 12.
Example 14 (Exercise: what are the solutions to this equation?).

$$
\frac{\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star v_{i}}{\prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}\right)}=\frac{\star\left(\Omega-\lambda_{i}\right) \star e_{j}}{\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{n-1}} \prod_{j \neq i} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}}
$$

Dyadic tensors (matrices) are represented in Grassmann's algebra by nested Chain $\{\mathrm{V}, 1$, Chain $\{\mathrm{V}, 1\}\}$ elements, existing in a $2^{2 n}$-dimensional mother algebra from direct sum of the $n$-dimensional vector space and its dual vector space. The dyadic product of the vector basis and covector basis elements form the $n^{2}$-dimensional bivector subspace of the full $\frac{(2 n)!}{2(2 n-2)!}$-dimensional bivector sub-algebra of that space. Note that $\Lambda(\mathbb{R} 3)$ gives the vector basis, and $\Lambda(\mathbb{R} 3)$ ' gives the covector basis:

```
julia> ^(\mathbb{R3)}
DirectSum.Basis{\)\timesxx, 8}(v, v1, v2, v3, v12, v13, v23, v123)
julia> ^(\mathbb{R3)'}
DirectSum.Basis<\{---',8}(w, w1, w2, w3, w12, w13, w23, w123)
```

The @mixedbasis command yields a local vector and covector basis. The sum $w 1+2 w 2$ is interpreted as a covector element of the dual vector space, which can be evaluated as a linear functional when a vector argument is input.

```
julia> L = (v1+2v2)^(3w1+4w2)
0v12 + 3v1w1 + 4v1w2 + 6v2w1 + 8v2w2 + 0w12
julia> L(v1+v2)
7v1 + 14v2 + 0w1 + 0w2
```

The element $L$ is a linear form which can be evaluated as a computation equivalent to a matrix multiplication. Thus it is possible to express the Frobenius covariant projectors within the mother algebra formalism. However, since this requires a higher dimensional geometric algebra implementation, the natural efficient alternative is a nested Chain $\{\mathrm{V}, 1$, Chain $\{\mathrm{V}, 1\}\}$ algebra.

```
julia> L = DyadicChain((1v1+2v2)\otimes(3v1+4v2))
(3v1 + 6v2)v1 + (4v1 + 8v2)v2
julia> L(v1+v2)
7v1 + 14v2
```

This leads naturally to a design choice where a matrix is represented as a nested data structure as a vector of column vectors of the matrix. For purposes of discrete differential geometry, there are many cases where this nested data structure is advantageous when applying exterior products to various combinations of nested elements. Although the initial goal with geometric algebra was to eliminate the traditional matrix representations in favor of a multilinear foundation, matrix formalisms can be found to exist naturally within nested Chain algebra or in a bivector subspace of the mother algebra.

Due to convenience, it is found that this nested approach is the most computationally practical in terms of programming design; thus, the mother algebra alternative remains as more of a theoretical interest.

Definition 28. $\left[p_{1 \ldots n}\right]$ is a nested vector $\left(p_{1}\right) v_{1}+\cdots+\left(p_{n}\right) v_{n}$ with $p_{i} \in \Lambda^{1} V$.
To help with the unification with matrix formalisms, additional methods such as the double dot : were implemented, to help compute the norm.
Example 15 (Triangle in projective coordinates). Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ be given point vertices of a triangle in the $v_{23}$ plane of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, so $\left\{\begin{array}{ll}0: p_{0} & =1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}+0 v_{3}, \\ x: p_{1} & =1 v_{1}+1 v_{2}+0 v_{3}, \\ y: p_{2} & =1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}+1 v_{3}\end{array}\right.$, Then $p_{012}=p_{0} \wedge p_{1} \wedge p_{2}=v_{123}=I$ is a trivector volume, while

$$
\left[p_{012}\right]=p_{0} v_{1}+p_{1} v_{2}+p_{2} v_{3}=\left(v_{1}\right) v_{1}+\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) v_{2}+\left(v_{1}+v_{3}\right) v_{3}
$$

is a dyadic tensor. Subtract base point $\left[p_{000}\right]$ to get vector frame $\left[\vec{p}_{12-0}\right.$ ]

$$
\vec{p}_{12-0}=\left(p_{1}-p_{0}\right) \wedge\left(p_{2}-p_{0}\right)=\left(p_{0}-p_{2}\right) \wedge\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right)=\vec{p}_{01-2}
$$

The volume (area) equivalence class of any triangle is the bivector

$$
\left|\star \vec{p}_{12-0}\right|=\left|\star \vec{p}_{02-1}\right|=\left|\star \vec{p}_{01-2}\right| .
$$

Theorem 15 (Linear system with Grassmann products). Let $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n} \in \Lambda^{1} V$,

$$
\left[p_{1 \ldots n}\right] \vee \star \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{0} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n}}{p_{1 \ldots n}} v_{i}=p_{0}
$$

Proof. Given a dyadic tensor product $\left[p_{1 \ldots n}\right] \cdot x=p_{0}$, let's solve for $x$ explicitly

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{0} \wedge p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n} & =\left(\left[p_{1 \ldots n}\right] \cdot x\right) \wedge p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n} \\
& =\left(x_{i} p_{i}\right) \wedge p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{0} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n}}{p_{1 \ldots n}} v_{i}$ and the result follows.
This means that using only exterior products enables efficiently solving the linear system by allocating $\left\{p_{1 \ldots i} \wedge p_{i+1}\right\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ and $\left\{p_{n-i} \wedge p_{(n-i+1) \ldots n}\right\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$ and then taking exterior products with $p_{0}$ also.

$$
p_{0} \in p_{1 \ldots n} \Longleftrightarrow \forall i: p_{1 \ldots n}=p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{0} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n}
$$

Since exterior products are oriented, it is sufficient to check the orientation of the hyperplanes with respect to the reference point for determining whether $p_{0}$ is a point contained in the simplex $p_{1 \ldots n}$. Thus, it is sufficient to check the orientation of all the same exterior products as for the linear system, while calculating a linear inv is only a partial application of this principle and requires also allocating a transposed dyadic result:

$$
\left[p_{1 \ldots n}\right]^{-1}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \star \frac{p_{1 \ldots(i-1)} \wedge p_{(i+1) \ldots n}}{\left((-1)^{i}\right)^{n-1} p_{1 \ldots n}} v_{i}\right)^{T}
$$

Programming the $\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{b}$ method is straight forward with some Julia language metaprogramming in the Grassmann.jl package. Benchmarks with Grassmann in Julia indicated a $3 \times$ faster performance than StaticArrays.SMatrix for applying $\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{b}$ to bundles of dyadic elements at the time of testing.

When the dyadic chain triangle (or simplex) example is extended to a bundle of simplices, in Grassmann the nested usage of pure ChainBundle parametric types is the preferred design choice for larger re-usable global cell geometries, from which local dyadics can be selected. It is typical to represent a discrete manifold with a set of finite element hyperedges over a bundle of points. The set of points $P=\left\{p_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n_{p}} \subset \Lambda^{1} V$ is a ChainBundle and the set of triangles (or tetrahedra or ( $m-1$ )-simplices) is $T=\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n_{t}} \subset \Lambda^{m} P$. Hence, the natural data structure for a ChainBundle is Vector\{Chain\}. For points it is Vector\{Chain $\{V, 1\}\}$ and for hyperedges it is Vector\{Chain\{P\}\}, so the underlying structure can be nested (especially for finite element hyperedges).

Example 16 (Grassmann element bundles). Icosahedron $P \subset \Lambda^{1} \mathbb{R}^{12}, T \subset \Lambda^{3} P$

| $p_{1}: 1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}+1 v_{3}+\varphi v_{4}$ | $t_{1}:-p_{\{1,5,7\}}$ | $t_{11}:+p_{\{10,11,12\}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $p_{2}: 1 v_{1}+\varphi v_{2}+0 v_{3}+1 v_{4}$ | $t_{2}:+p_{\{5,7,12\}}$ | $t_{12}:+p_{\{2,3,8\}}$ |
| $p_{3}: 1 v_{1}+1 v_{2}+\varphi v_{3}+0 v_{4}$ | $t_{3}:+p_{\{6,8,10\}}$ | $t_{13}:-p_{\{3,4,8\}}$ |
| $p_{4}: 1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}+1 v_{3}-\varphi v_{4}$ | $t_{4}:+p_{\{6,10,12\}}$ | $t_{14}:+p_{\{1,2,3\}}$ |
| $p_{5}: 1 v_{1}-\varphi v_{2}+0 v_{3}+1 v_{4}$ | $\partial($ hull $)$ | $t_{5}:+p_{\{2,6,7\}}$ |
| $p_{6}: 1 v_{1}+1 v_{2}-\varphi v_{3}+0 v_{4}$ | $t_{15}:+p_{\{1,5,9\}}$ |  |
| $p_{7}: 1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}-1 v_{3}+\varphi v_{4}$ | $\mapsto$ | $t_{6}:+p_{\{6,7,12\}}$ |
| $p_{8}: 1 v_{1}+\varphi v_{2}+0 v_{3}-1 v_{4}$ | $t_{16}:+p_{\{5,9,11\}}$ |  |
| $p_{9}: 1 v_{1}-1 v_{2}+\varphi v_{3}+0 v_{4}$ | $t_{8}:+p_{\{4,2,8\}}$ | $t_{17}:+p_{\{5,11,12\}}$ |
| $p_{10}: 1 v_{1}+0 v_{2}-1 v_{3}-\varphi v_{4}$ | $t_{9}:-p_{\{2,6,8\}}:+p_{\{4,9,11\}}$ |  |
| $p_{11}: 1 v_{1}-\varphi v_{2}+0 v_{3}-1 v_{4}$ | $t_{10}:-p_{\{4,10,11\}}:-p_{\{1,3,9\}}$ | $t_{20}:+p_{\{3,4,9\}}$ |
| $p_{12}: 1 v_{1}-1 v_{2}-\varphi v_{3}+0 v_{4}$ |  |  |



Cyclic permute points $\{(0, \pm 1, \pm \varphi)\} \mapsto \partial$ (icosahedron hull)

One of the goals of Grassmann is to provide a fundamental toolkit from which to build finite element models, so syntax such as $\mathrm{P}[\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{i}]$ ] can be used to assemble the local dyadic tensor with the indices of simplex $t_{i}$ from point cloud $P$. Similarly, P[T] will assemble an entire bundle of dyadics. This nested ChainBundle data structure is ideal for efficiently interfacing finite element Chain hyperedges over the dyadic paradigm with Grassmann exterior algebra.

To build on the ChainBundle functionality of Grassmann, the numerical analysis package Adapode is being developed to provide utilities for finite element method assemblies. Syntax for Poisson $(-\nabla \cdot(a \nabla u)=f)$ or transport $\left(-\epsilon \nabla^{2} u+b \cdot \nabla u=f\right)$ equations with finite element methods can be expressed in terms of methods like volume( T ) using exterior products or gradienthat by applying the dyadic inverse exterior linear methods above. This kind of Grassmann element assembly is ideal for applying geometric algebra locally on per element basis and combining it with a global manifold topology.

```
function solvepoisson(T,E,c,f,k,gD=0,gN=0)
    m = volumes(T)
    b = assemblefunction(T,f,m)
    A = assemblestiffness(T, c,m)
    R,r = assemblerobin(E,k,gD,gN)
    return (A+R)\(b+r)
end
function solvetransport(T,E,C,\epsilon=0.1)
    m = volumes(T)
    g = gradienthat(T,m)
    A = assemblestiffness(T,\epsilon,m,g)
    b = assembleload(T,m)
    C = assembleconvection(T, c,m,g)
    return solvedirichlet(A+C,b,e)
end
```

Example 17 (Nedelec edges, scalar potential, full potential). More complicated models in given mesh geometries of any dimension can also be simulated; this foundation makes for a hands on computational interface.


In terms of directed graphs, reversal of bivectors can be understood as the reversal of the directed edges of the graph.


With a discrete hypergraph $M$, in a finite element global calculation it is necessary to consider both boundary elements $\Lambda^{n-1} M$ and volume elements $\Lambda^{n} M$ of varying dimension, yet the global manifold is of constant dimension. However, in the Wolfram Physics Project there is a measured variation of dimension in their topology which takes away the manifold assumption. If the hyperedges themselves become quantities of fractional grade, then this may yet still be unexplored territory. Yet as long as the space is being represented by a finite element set of hyperedges, some of the formulas and principles of differential geometric algebra should still apply in a similar way as before.

In the figures below, different possible discrete bivector topologies are examined in a projective Riemann sphere [2] based on two rotation bivectors. First the space is projected up $\uparrow: \omega \mapsto\left(2 \omega+v_{\infty}\left(\omega^{2}-1\right)\right) /\left(\omega^{2}+1\right)$ and then the rotation is applied before rejecting back down $\downarrow: \omega \mapsto\left((\omega \wedge b) v_{\infty}\right) /\left(1-v_{\infty} \cdot \omega\right)$.


## 3. Conclusion

Geometric algebra has a long and somewhat obscure history [10] [3] yet it was practiced and developed further into a full foundation [21] [17] [16] [20] [5]. One of the more active areas in geometric algebra research is in computer graphics [9] [1] with the Javascript library ganja.js [19] [14] being especially notable as it is compatible to use with Grassmann.jl (and the python clifford project) for making hybrid visualization computattions. Another interesting development is the usage of hypergraph rewriting with the ZX-Calculus in the Wolfram Physics Project [12] as this is a type of quantum logic formalism isomorphic to what the multilinear geometric algebra can express.

Grassmann.jl and its accompanying support packages provide extensible platforms for fully generalized computing with geometric algebra at high dimensions. All of the types and operations in this paper are implemented using only a few thousand lines of code with Julia's type polymorphism code generation, which has been refined is being optimized for research over time.

Thus, computations involving geometric algebra, anti-symmetric tensor products, rotational algebras, and Lie bivector groups are possible with a full trigonometric suite. Conformal geometric algebra is possible with the Minkowski plane $v_{\infty \emptyset}$, based on the null-basis. Multivalued quantum logic is enabled by the $\wedge, \vee, \star$ Grassmann lattice. Mixed-symmetry algebra based on Leibniz differential algebra and Grassmann exterior calculus, having the geometric algebraic product chain rule, yields automatic differentiation and Hodge-DeRahm co/homology as unveiled by the algebra. Most importantly, the Dirac-Clifford product yields generalized Hodge-Laplacian and the Betti numbers with Euler characteristic $\chi$. Geometric algebra unifies this all.

Grassmann algebra is a unifying mathematical foundation. Improving efficiency of multi-disciplinary research using differential geometric algebra by relying on universal mathematical principles is possible. Transforming superficial knowledge into deeper understanding is then achieved with the unified foundations widely applicable to the many different sub-disciplines related to geometry and mathematical physics. Software tooling will help overcome present challenges, such as geometric algebra not yet being standardized or taught in schools \& universities or used at scale for industrial engineering science unification. Thus, the Grassmann.jl software enables ascending future steps to teach geometric algebra in schools/universities, use it in research and development practices, and deploy it in industrial applications. Differential geometric algebra is the future of unifying math \& engineering pipelines.
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