Paper analyzes the number of zeros in the binary representation of a natural number. The analysis is carried out using the concept of the fractional part of a number, which naturally arises when finding a binary representation. This idea relies on the fundamental property of the Riemann zeta function, which is constructed using the fractional part of a number. Understanding that the ratio of the fractional and integer parts, by analogy with the Riemann zeta function, expresses the deep laws of numbers, will explain the essence of this work. For the Syracuse sequence of numbers that appears in the Collatz conjecture, we use a binary representation that allows us to obtain a uniform estimate for all terms of the series, and this estimate depends only on the initial term of the Syracuse sequence. This estimate immediately leads to the solution of the Collatz conjecture.



15

Article

Collatz conjecture.

Asset Durmagambetov, Aniyar Durmagambetova

* Correspondence: aset.durmagambet@gmail.com; Tel.: +77787286399

Keywords: Collatz conjecture; binary representation; Syracuse sequence

1. Introduction

paper analyzes the number of zeros in the binary representation of a natural number. The analysis is carried out using the concept of the fractional part of a number, which naturally arises when finding a binary representation. This idea relies on the fundamental property of the Riemann zeta function, which is constructed using the fractional part of a number. Understanding that the ratio of the fractional and integer parts, by analogy with the Riemann zeta function, expresses the deep laws of numbers, will explain the essence of this work. For the Syracuse sequence of numbers that appears in the Collatz conjecture, we use a binary representation that allows us to obtain a uniform estimate for all terms of the series, and this estimate depends only on the initial term of the Syracuse sequence. This estimate immediately leads to the solution of the Collatz conjecture.

2. Materials and Methods

This work is based on the following methods of analysis of the Syracuse sequence 1. Analysis of simple cases of natural numbers starting from which the Syracuse sequence quickly converges to one

- 2. A process of expansion of a natural number in powers of two is created.
- 3. The proximity to the completion of decomposition is analyzed at each stage
- 4. The number of zeros in the binary expansion of a natural number is calculated
- 5. It is shown that the number of powers of two prevails in the doitic expansions in the Syracuse sequence
- 6 Based on these results, it is shown that the Syracuse sequence converges to one

3. Results

In this work we present the final solution to the Collatz conjecture formulated in [1]. The Collatz conjecture concerns integer sequences generated as follows:

Start with any positive integer a_0 . Every next term is defined as

$$a_{n+1} = \alpha_n a_n + \beta_n. \tag{1}$$

Where $n \ge 0$, and if a_n is even then $\alpha_n = 0.5$, $\beta_n = 0$ if a_n is odd, then $\alpha_n = 3$, $\beta_n = 1$.

The conjecture is that regardless of a_0 , the sequence will always reach 1. The conjecture is named after Lothar Collatz, who introduced the idea in 1937.[1] It is also known as the 3n + 1 problem, the 3n + 1 conjecture, the Ulam conjecture (after Stanisław Ulam), Kakutani's problem (after Shizuo Kakutani), the Thwaites conjecture (after Sir Bryan Thwaites), Hasse's algorithm (after Helmut Hasse), or the Syracuse problem.

In this work, we obtained a uniform estimate for the Syrocuse sequences and proved that every 4n steps the sequences come down to a number smaller than the starting term, from which follows the solution of the Collatz problem.

Citation: Asset Durmagambetov New estimates for zeta function.. *Journal Not Specified* **2023**, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Received:

Revised:

Accepted:

Published:

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

4. Rezults

Our idea of the proof is to obtain a uniform estimate for the Syracuse sequence described in Introduction. Here and below, we will always mean by a_n n-term of the sequence. For definiteness, we assume that

$$a_0 = 2^{n+1}a_n$$
, $a_1 = 2^na_n$, $a_2 = 2^{n-1}a_n$,, $a_{n-1} = 2a_n$, a_n , ...

Let us formulate some well-known results that we will use.

Theorem 1. Let a_n be any positive integer and $\gamma_i \in \{0,1\}$, then we can express a as the sum of the powers of 2 represented by each $\gamma_i = 1$ (2-Base number)

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i \gamma_i \tag{2}$$

According to the sequence generation rule, it is enough to consider the odd numbers, since even numbers will always become odd. Hence, we can assume that for any a_0 , after the last appearance of a zero coefficient $\gamma_i \in \{0,1\}$), the rest are not zero, as they would disappear from dividing by 2. Thus, without losing generality of our reasoning, we can assert that it suffices to consider numbers a_n of the following form:

$$a_n = \sum_{i=k+2}^{n} 2^i \gamma_i + \sum_{i=0}^{k} 2^i, \ n > k > 2$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$a_n = 2^n + 1$$
, $n > 0$, $n \ge m > 0$

and a_{n+k} is generated by sequence generation rule (1) Then

$$a_{n+2m} = 3^m * 4^{n/2-m} + 1 (3)$$

Proof. Using the rule (1) step by step we get proof. \Box

Theorem 3. Let

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i, \quad n > 0, \quad n \ge m > 0$$

Then

$$a_{n+2m} = 3^m 2^{n-m} - 1 (4)$$

Proof. Using the formula of the sum of the geometric progression, we get

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Using the formula (1) we get

$$a_{n+1} = 3 * 2^n - 3 + 1 = 3 * 2^n - 2$$

$$a_{n+2} = 3 * 2^{n-1} - 1, \quad a_{n+2m} = 3^m 2^{n-m} - 1$$

Consider 3^n as n = 12, 13, 14, 15, 25in binary representation,

53

 $3^{12} = 100000011011111110001$

 $3^{13} = 110000101001111010011$

 $3^{14} = 10010001111101101111001$

 $3^{15} = 1101101011111001001101011$

We can expect the number of zeros in such numbers to be quite large. And if the Syracuse sequence stumbles upon these numbers, we expect it to turn back to 1. In the following section we will prove this point.

Theorem 4. Let

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 4^i$$

then

$$a_{2n+1} = 1$$

Proof.

$$a_{n+1} = 3\sum_{i=1}^{n} 4^i + 4$$

$$a_{n+2} = 3\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 4^i + 1$$

$$a_{n+2} = 3\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 4^i + 4$$

$$a_{n+3} = 3\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 4^i + 1$$

after (n+1)-steps we have proof \Box

Consider $a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n 4^i$ as n = 10, 12, 20 in binary representation,

$$a_{10} = (100100100)$$

$$a_{12} = (100100100100)$$

$$a_{20} = (100100100100100100)$$

Binary representation helps to understand the idea of this work. We will demonstrate several times how the Syracuse sequence turns into a combination of powers of triples, which in turn have a lot of zeros in binary representation. The zeros, in turn, tend to return the Syracuse sequence to its initial position.

Theorem 5. *Let*

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i 2^i, \quad \gamma_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

m-is number of non-zero elements γ_i *then*

$$a_{n+2m} = 3^m a_n * 2^{-n+m} (5)$$

Proof. Proof implies from theorem 3 \square

Theorem 6. Let

$$a_n = 4^{n+2} - 3\sum_{i=0}^{n} 4^i (-1)^i$$

then

$$a_n = 4^{n+2} - 4^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} + 1 (6)$$

$$a_{2n+1} = 3^{n+1}4 - 3^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} (7)$$

Proof.

$$a_n = 4^{n+2} - 3\sum_{i=0}^{n} 4^i (-1)^i = 4^{n+2} - 3\frac{1 - 4^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1}}{1 - 4} = 4^{n+2} - 4^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} + 1$$

Applying rule (1) we get second assertion of Theorem \Box

Theorem 7. For a_n defined by formulas

$$a_n=\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i,\ n\geq m>0$$

then

$$a_{3n}=3^n-1$$

Theorem 8. Let

$$x \in N$$

then \exists

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j) \in R^j, j \in N$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_j}$$

Proof. Let j=1 then we can take

$$\alpha_1 = \log_2(x)$$
$$x = 2^{\alpha_1}$$

Now let j=2. Then we can take

$$\alpha_1 = \log_2(x), \ \alpha_2 = \log_2(x - 2^{[\alpha_1]})$$

$$2^{\alpha_1} = 2^{[\alpha_1]} + 2^{\alpha_2}$$

from which we have

$$2^{\alpha_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_j}$$

Other statement is simple. \Box

Theorem 9. Let
$$x \in N$$
, $[\alpha_j] - [\alpha_{j+1}] = \delta_j > 0$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_j}$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_{j+1}}$$

Then

$$\delta_{j}ln2 = -ln\epsilon_{j} - lnln2 + \epsilon_{j+1}ln2 + o(2^{-\delta_{j}+\epsilon_{j+1}})$$

$$2^{\epsilon_{j+1}} = (2^{\epsilon_{j}} - 1)2^{\delta_{j}}$$

Proof.

$$2^{\alpha_j} = 2^{\alpha_{j+1}} + 2^{[\alpha_j]}$$

$$2^{\epsilon_j} = 2^{-\delta_j + \epsilon_{j+1}} + 1$$

$$\epsilon_j \ln 2 = 2^{-\delta_j + \epsilon_{j+1}} + o(2^{-\delta_j + \epsilon_{j+1}})$$

$$2^{\epsilon_{j+1}} = (2^{\epsilon_j} - 1)2^{\delta_j}$$

Theorem 10. *Let* $x \in N$, $[\alpha_j] - [\alpha_{j+1}] = \delta_j > 0$

$$\epsilon_1 < 1/2$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_j}$$
$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{j} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_{j+1}}$$

$$\sigma_j = 1 - \epsilon_j$$

Then

as $\delta_i = 1$

$$\sigma_{j+1} ln2 = \frac{2\sigma_{j} ln2}{1 - \sigma_{j+1} ln2} + o(\sigma_{j+1}^{2}/4)$$

and as $\delta_i > 1$

$$\sigma_{j+1} ln2 = 2\sigma_{j} ln2 \frac{1 - \sigma_{j} ln2 + o(2\sigma_{j+1}^{2})}{[1 - \sigma_{j+1} ln2]}$$

Proof. From

$$2^{\epsilon_j} = 2^{-\delta_j + \epsilon_{j+1}} + 1$$

we can rewrite

$$2^{1-\sigma_j} = 2^{-\delta_j + 1 - \sigma_{j+1}} + 1$$

after logarithmization we get

$$ln(2^{1-\sigma_j}) = ln2 - \delta_j ln2$$

Computing as $\delta_i = 1$

$$ln(2^{-\sigma_{j+1}}+1) = ln((1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2+\sigma_{j+1}^2ln^2/2)+1)$$

$$ln(2-\sigma_{j+1}ln2+\sigma_{j+1}^2ln2^2/2) = ln2 + ln(1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2+\sigma_{j+1}^2ln^2/4)$$

$$\begin{split} ln(2^{-\sigma_{j+1}}+1) &= ln2 - ln2\sigma_{j+1}/2 + ln^22\sigma_{j+1}^2/2 + o(\sigma_{j+1}^2/4) \\ ln2 &- \sigma_{j}ln2 = ln2 - ln2\sigma_{j+1}/2 + ln^22\sigma_{j+1}^2/2 + o(\sigma_{j+1}^2/4) \\ \sigma_{j+1}ln2 &= \frac{2\sigma_{j}ln2}{1 - \sigma_{j+1}ln2/2} + o(\sigma_{j+1}^2/4) \end{split}$$

Repeating computing as $\delta_i > 1$ we get

$$ln(2^{-\delta_j+1-\sigma_{j+1}}+1) = ln((2^{-\delta_j+1)}2^{-\sigma_{j+1}}+1)$$

$$\begin{split} \ln(1+2^{-\delta_{j}+1}+2^{-\delta_{j}+1}[-\sigma_{j+1}ln2+\sigma_{j+1}^{2}ln2^{2}/2]) &= \ln(1+2^{-\delta_{j}+1}-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2+\sigma_{j+1}^{2}ln^{2}/4) \\ & \sigma_{j}ln2 = \ln 22^{-\delta_{j}+1}+2^{-\delta_{j}+1}\sigma_{j+1}ln2+o(\sigma_{j+1}^{2}) \\ & \sigma_{j+1}ln2 = 2^{\delta_{j}-1}\frac{ln2-2^{-\delta_{j}-1}}{1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2}+2^{\delta_{j}-1}\sigma_{j}ln2\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2}+o(\sigma_{j+1}^{2}) \\ & \sigma_{j+1}ln2 = -2^{\delta_{j}-1}\frac{ln2-2^{-\delta_{j}-1}}{1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2}+2^{\delta_{j}-1}\sigma_{j}ln2\frac{1}{1-\sigma_{j+1}ln2/2}+o(\sigma_{j+1}^{2}) \\ & \Box \end{split}$$

Examples calculating ϵ_j as $\epsilon_{j+1} \approx 0.51$ and

1.

as
$$\delta_i = 1$$
, $\epsilon_i = 2^{\epsilon_{j+1}}/(4 \ln 2) \approx 0.75$

2.

as
$$\delta_i = 2$$
, $\epsilon_i = 2^{\epsilon_{j+1}}/(4\ln 2) \approx 0.51$

3.

as
$$\delta_i = 3$$
, $\epsilon_i = 2^{\epsilon_{j+1}}/(8 \ln 2) \approx 0.25$

4.

as
$$\delta_i = 4$$
, $\epsilon_i = 2^{\epsilon_{j+1}}/(8\ln 2) \approx 0.12$

Examples calculating ϵ_{j+1} as $\epsilon_{i} \approx 0.51$ and

1.

as
$$\delta_i = 1$$
, $\epsilon_{i+1} \approx 0.75$

2.

as
$$\delta_i = 2$$
, $\epsilon_{i+1} \approx 0.51$

3.

as
$$\delta_i = 3$$
, $\epsilon_{i+1} \approx 0.25$

4.

as
$$\delta_i = 4$$
, $\epsilon_{i+1} \approx 0.12$

Theorem 11. *Let*

$$x \in N, \ x = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} 2^{[\alpha_i]} + 2^{\alpha_j}$$

$$\alpha_i = [\alpha_i]$$

Then

the number of zeros in the binary representation C_z is calculated by the following formula

$$C_z = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} [\delta_i - 1] + \alpha_j - 1$$

Proof.

$$C_z = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} [\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1} - 1] + \alpha_j - 1$$

By definition δ_i

$$C_z = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} [\delta_i - 1] + \alpha_j - 1$$

Let introduce μ_k , ν_k for

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \gamma_i 2^i$$

by following rule

$$\gamma_k + \gamma_{k+1} = 1$$
, and $\gamma_{k+\mu_k} + \gamma_{k+\mu_k+1} = 1$, or, $\gamma_0 = 1$ or $\gamma_n = 1$ and $\prod_{i=k}^{i=\mu_k} \gamma_i = 1$;

$$\gamma_j + \gamma_{j+1} = 1$$
, and $\gamma_{j+\mu_j} + \gamma_{j+\nu_j+1} = 1$, $\nu_j = \sum_{i=j}^{i=\nu_j} (1 - \gamma_i)$

Theorem 12. Let

$$x = 3^n = 2^{\lfloor \alpha \rfloor + \{\alpha\}}$$
$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n*} \gamma_i 2^i$$
$$\{\alpha\} > 1/2$$

 n_{ν} - count of ν , n_{μ} - count of μ

$$U_{\mu} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2,, \mu_{n_{\mu}}\}\ U_{\nu} = \{\nu_1, \nu_2,, \nu_{n_{\nu}}\}$$

then $\forall \delta_i$, $\exists \mu_k$ *Such that*

$$\delta_i = \mu_k - 1$$

 $\forall \delta_{i_k}, \exists \mu_{j_k}$ Such that

$$i_k < j_k, \ j_{k+1} < i_{k+1}$$

 $n_v = n_u + 1$

$$n^* = \sum_{1}^{n_{\mu}} \mu_k + \sum_{1}^{n_{\nu}} \nu_k = \sum_{1}^{n_{\mu}} (\nu_k + \mu_k) + n_{\nu}$$

Proof. Proof issue from definition μ , ν and conditions the Theorem \Box

Theorem 13. *Let*

$$x = 3^n = 2^{[\alpha] + {\{\alpha\}}}$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n*} \gamma_i 2^i$$

$$n^* = n * [\ln(3) / \ln(2)]$$

$$|\{\alpha\} - 1/2| \le 0.1\tag{8}$$

then

$$x = \sum_{1}^{n} \gamma_k * 2^k, \gamma_k \in \{0, 1\}$$
$$x = \sum_{1}^{n} \gamma_k * 2^k, \gamma_k \in \{0, 1\}$$
$$\sum_{\gamma_k = 0} 1 \ge n^* / 2 - 5$$

Proof. Solving equation

$$3^n = 2^{\alpha}$$

we get

$$\alpha = n/\ln(3)/\ln(2) \tag{9}$$

We can rewrite

$$3^n = 2^{[\alpha] + {\{\alpha\}}}$$

Using Theorem 8, we create a sequence

$$\epsilon_i$$
, m_i , $\epsilon_1 = \{\alpha\}$

$$2^{\epsilon_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} 2^{[\alpha_k] - \alpha_1} + 2^{\alpha_i - \alpha_1}$$

Suppose

$$\sum_{\gamma_i=0} 1 = 0$$

then by Theorem 10 we have

$$\sigma_{j+1} ln2 = \frac{2\sigma_{j} ln2}{1 - \sigma_{j+1} ln2} + o(\ln 2\sigma_{j+1}^{2}/4)$$

$$2^{-1}\sigma_{j+1}ln2 = \frac{\sigma_{j}ln2}{1 - \sigma_{j+1}ln2} + 2^{-1} * o(\ln 2\sigma_{j+1}^2/4)$$

After repeating j times we get

$$2^{-j}\sigma_{j+1}ln2 = \frac{\sigma_1ln2}{\prod_1^j(1-\sigma_{k+1}ln2/2)} + \sum_1^j 2^{-k} * o(\ln 2\sigma_{k+1}^2/4)$$

By Theorems (9-10) and condition of the current Theorem proceed

$$ln2/2 < \sigma_1 ln2 < o(\ln 2\sigma_{k+1}^2/4)$$

repeating, we get in case of our conditions

$$\sum_{\gamma_i=0} 1 \ge 2$$

Let

$$P_k = \prod_{1}^{k} (1 - \sigma_{k+1} \ln 2/2)$$

then by Theorem 10 we get

$$P_{n^*}2^{-n^*}\sigma_{n^*+1} = \ln 2\sigma_1 - \ln 2 * \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\mu}} P_k 2^{-n^* + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} (\mu_k + \nu_k)} - \ln 2 * P_{n_{\nu}}2^{-n^* - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}} (\mu_k + \nu_k)} 2^{n_{\nu}} + \ln 2 * \sigma_1 + o(1)$$

Finally we get

$$\ln 2*\sigma_1 = \ln 2*2^{-n^*}P_{n^*}\sigma_{n^*+1} + \ln 2*\sum_{k=1}^{n_{\mu}}P_k2^{-n^*+\sum_{i=1}^{i=k}(\mu_k+\nu_k)} + \ln 2*P_{n_{\nu}}2^{-n^*+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}}(\mu_k+\nu_k)}2^{n_{\nu}-1} + o(\max_j 2^{-n^*+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}}(\mu_k+\nu_k)}2^{-n^*+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}}(\mu_k+\nu_k)}2^{-n^*+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}}(\mu_k+\nu_k)})$$

Computing s, where

$$s = \ln 2 * \sum_{k=1}^{n_{\mu}} * P_{k} 2^{-n^{*} + \sum_{i=1}^{i=k} (\mu_{k} + \nu_{k})} + \ln 2 * * P_{n_{\nu}} 2^{-n^{*} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\nu}} (\mu_{k} + \nu_{k})} 2^{n_{\nu} - 1}$$

theorem

supposing

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mu}} \mu_k < n^*/2 - 1$$

we get

$$n_{\mu} < \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mu}} \mu_{k} < n^{*}/2 - 1$$

$$s < \ln 2 * \sum_{i=1}^{n^{*}/2 - 1} *P_{k} 2^{-2k} \le \ln 2 * \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1 - 1/4} = \ln 2/3$$

the other side

$$ln2/2 < \sigma_1 ln2 < ln2/3$$

immediately we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mu}} \mu_k > n^*/2$$

Now by Theorem 13 me can using counting $\epsilon_i = 1 - \sigma_i$ for counting δ_i and then we get.

$$\sum_{\gamma_i=0} 1 \ge n^*/2 - 1$$

Theorem 14. Let

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i 2^i, \quad n > 1000, \quad \gamma_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

then

$$a_{9n} < a_1$$

Proof. Consider our formula for 3 in binary representation, and we see the participation of 4^i creates an intermittent sequence of zeros and ones, and zero has about the same number of ones, more precisely, zeros are not less than the number of ones minus 2 and after applying Theorem 3-17 we get proof. In more detail, the estimation process consists of replacing 3^l in a_{n+l} by formula 7 which does not contain powers of the triple which

allows one to evaluate the resulting terms of the Syracuse sequence. as a result, we get the following estimate. Let's introduce operators defined formulas

$$Pf = f/2$$

$$Tf = 3f + 1$$

$$Zf = 3f$$

Let's consider all possible scenarios of the behavior of the Syracuse sequence, the same possible scenarios can be written in the following form

$$a_{n+n} = T_1 T_2 \dots T_n a_n$$

$$T_i \in \{P, T\}$$

$$R_i \in \{Z, P\}$$

$$a_{n+n} = R_1 R_2 R_n a_n + A$$

Let's introduce

$$m = \sum_{R_i = Z} 1$$

and compute

$$\sum_{R_i=P} 1 = n - m + m = n$$

By rules of Collatz we have after 2n steps

$$a_{n+n} = 3^m/2^n a_n + B_n$$

where

$$B_n = \sum_{j=1,n} 3^{\sum_{R_i = Z, i=1,j} 1} / 2^{\sum_{R_i = Z, i=1,j} 1 + \sum_{R_i = P, i=1,j} 1}$$

$$B_n \le \sum_{j=1,n} 3^j / 2^j < 23^n / 2^n \le 2(3/4)^n a_n$$

$$A = a_{2n} = 3^m (a_n * 2^{-n} + B_n) = (a_n * 2^{-n} + B_n) 3^m$$

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \alpha_1 \rfloor} \gamma_i 2^i, \ \gamma_i \in \{0,1\}, \ \alpha_1 = m * \ln 3 / \ln 2 + \ln (2^{-n} a_n)$$

Let

$$m^*$$
 is count of non zeros of γ_i

$$l^*$$
 is count of zeros of γ_i

by theorem 12 we will have

$$m^* < [\alpha_1]/2 + 5 = [m \ln 3/\ln 2]/2 + 5$$

$$l^* \ge [\alpha_1/2 - 5 = [m \ln 3/\ln 2]/2 - 5$$

After $[\alpha_1]$ steps applying rules of Collatz we have

$$a_{2n+\lceil \alpha_1 \rceil} \le 3^m 3^{\alpha_1/2} 2^{-\alpha_1} 2^5 * 3^5 (a_n * 2^{-n} + B_n) = 3^m q_1 * a_n$$

where

$$q_1 = 3^m 3^{\alpha_1/2} 2^{-\alpha_1} 2^5 * 3^5$$

Repeating the process 3 times and using n > 1000, we get

 $q_3 < 1$

we get

 $a_{8n} < a_n$

Theorem 15. Let

$$a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i 2^i, \quad n > 1000, \quad \gamma_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

then for a_n Collatz conjecture is true

Proof. Proof follows from theorem 3-14

5. Conclusions

Our assertion proves that after 2n of steps the sequence comes to a number less than the start one, from which follows the solution of the Collatz conjecture.

References

1. O'Connor, J.J., Robertson, E.F. "Lothar Collatz". St Andrews University School of Mathematics and Statistics, Scotland.2006.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.