## **Proof of Riemann hypothesis**

By Toshihiko Ishiwata

Jan. 14, 2023

**Abstract.** This paper is a trial to prove Riemann hypothesis according to the following process. 1. We make one identity regarding x from one equation that gives Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  analytic continuation and 2 formulas  $(1/2 + a \pm bi, 1/2 - a \pm bi)$  that show non-trivial zero point of  $\zeta(s)$ . 2. We find that the above identity holds only at a = 0. 3. Therefore non-trivial zero points of  $\zeta(s)$  must be  $1/2 \pm bi$  because a cannot have any value but zero.

#### 1. Introduction

The following (1) gives Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  analytic continuation to 0 < Re(s). "+...." means infinite series in all equations in this paper.

$$1 - 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} - 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} - 6^{-s} + \dots = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$$
(1)

The following (2) shows the zero point of the left side of (1) and also non-trivial zero point of  $\zeta(s)$ . *i* is  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

$$S_0 = 1/2 + a \pm bi$$
 (2)

The following (3) also shows non-trivial zero point of  $\zeta(s)$  by the functional equation of  $\zeta(s)$ .

$$S_1 = 1 - S_0 = 1/2 - a \mp bi \tag{3}$$

We define the range of a and b as  $0 \le a < 1/2$  and 14 < b respectively. Then we can show all non-trivial zero points of  $\zeta(s)$  by the above (2) and (3). Because non-trivial zero points of  $\zeta(s)$  exist in the critical strip of  $\zeta(s)$  (0 < Re(s) < 1) and non-trivial zero points of  $\zeta(s)$  found until now exist in the range of 14 < b.

We have the following (4) and (5) by substituting  $S_0$  for s in the left side of (1) and putting both the real part and the imaginary part of the left side of (1) at zero respectively.

$$1 = \frac{\cos(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2+a}} + \frac{\cos(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2+a}} + \dots$$
(4)

$$0 = \frac{\sin(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2+a}} + \frac{\sin(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2+a}} + \dots \dots$$
(5)

We also have the following (6) and (7) by substituting  $S_1$  for s in the left side of (1) and putting both the real part and the imaginary part of the left side of (1) at zero

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M26.

Key Words and Phrases. Riemann hypothesis.

respectively.

$$1 = \frac{\cos(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2-a}} + \frac{\cos(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2-a}} + \dots \dots$$
(6)

$$0 = \frac{\sin(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2-a}} + \frac{\sin(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2-a}} + \dots$$
(7)

## 2. The identity regarding x

We define f(n) as follows.

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}} \ge 0 \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)$$
(8)

We have the following (9) from the above (4) and (6) with the method shown in item 1.1 of [Appendix 1: Equation construction].

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5) + \dots$$
(9)

We also have the following (10) from the above (5) and (7) with the method shown in item 1.2 of [Appendix 1].

$$0 = f(2)\sin(b\log 2) - f(3)\sin(b\log 3) + f(4)\sin(b\log 4) - f(5)\sin(b\log 5) + \dots \dots (10)$$

We can have the following (11) regarding real number x from the above (9) and (10) with the method shown in item 1.3 of [Appendix 1]. And the value of (11) is always zero at any value of x.

$$0 \equiv \cos x \{ \text{the right side of } (9) \} + \sin x \{ \text{the right side of } (10) \}$$
  
=  $\cos x \{ f(2) \cos(b \log 2) - f(3) \cos(b \log 3) + f(4) \cos(b \log 4) - \dots \}$   
+  $\sin x \{ f(2) \sin(b \log 2) - f(3) \sin(b \log 3) + f(4) \sin(b \log 4) - \dots \}$   
=  $f(2) \cos(b \log 2 - x) - f(3) \cos(b \log 3 - x) + f(4) \cos(b \log 4 - x)$   
-  $f(5) \cos(b \log 5 - x) + f(6) \cos(b \log 6 - x) - \dots$  (11)

At a = 0 we have the following (8-1) and the above (11) holds at a = 0.

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}} \equiv 0 \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)$$
(8-1)

We have the following (12-1) by substituting  $b \log 1$  for x in (11).

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 1) - \dots$$
(12-1)

We have the following (12-2) by substituting  $b \log 2$  for x in (11).

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 2) - \dots$$
(12-2)

We have the following (12-3) by substituting  $b \log 3$  for x in (11).

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 3) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 3) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 3) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 3) - \dots$$
(12-3)

In the same way as above we can have the following (12-N) by substituting  $b \log N$  for x in (11).  $(N = 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots)$ 

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log N) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log N) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log N) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log N) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log N) - \dots$$
(12-N)

## 3. The solution for the identity of (11)

We define g(k, N) as follows.  $(k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, N = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ 

$$g(k, N) = \cos(b\log k - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log k - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log k - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log k - b\log N)$$

$$= \cos(b\log 1 - b\log k) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log k) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log k) + \dots + \cos(b\log N - b\log k)$$

$$= \cos(b\log 1/k) + \cos(b\log 2/k) + \cos(b\log 3/k) + \dots + \cos(b\log N/k)$$
(13)

We can have the following (14) from the equations of (12-1), (12-2), (12-3),  $\cdots$ , (12-N) with the method shown in item 1.4 of [Appendix 1].

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(2)\{\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log N)\} \\ &- f(3)\{\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log N)\} \\ &+ f(4)\{\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log N)\} \\ &- f(5)\{\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log N)\} \\ &+ \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= f(2)g(2,N) - f(3)g(3,N) + f(4)g(4,N) - f(5)g(5,N) + \dots$$
(14)

If (11) holds, the sum of the right sides of infinite number equations of (12-1), (12-2), (12-3), (12-4), (12-5), \cdots becomes zero. The rightmost side of (14) is the sum of the right sides of N equations of (12-1), (12-2), (12-3), \cdots , (12-N) as shown in item 1.4 of [Appendix 1]. Therefore if (11) holds,  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \{\text{the rightmost side of } (14)\} = 0$  must hold. Here we define F(a) as follows.

$$F(a) = f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + \dots$$
(15)

We have the following (25) in [Appendix 2 : Investigation of g(k, N)].

$$g(k,N) \sim \frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \quad (N \to \infty \quad k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \cdots )$$
 (25)

From the above (15) and (25) we have the following (16).

The rightmost side of (14)  

$$= f(2)g(2,N) - f(3)g(3,N) + f(4)g(4,N) - f(5)g(5,N) + \cdots +$$

$$\sim \quad f(2)\frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} - f(3)\frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} + f(4)\frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} - f(5)\frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} + \cdots +$$

$$= \frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \{f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + \cdots + \}$$

$$= F(a)\frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \qquad (N \to \infty)$$
(16)

We have the following (17) by summarizing the above (16).

The rightmost side of (14) 
$$\sim F(a) \frac{N \cos(b \log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \quad (N \to \infty)$$
(17)

 $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \text{ diverges to } \pm\infty. \quad 0 < F(a) \text{ holds in } 0 < a < 1/2 \text{ as shown in } [Appendix 3 : Investigation of <math>F(a)$ ]. Then  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \{\text{the rightmost side of } (14)\}$  diverges to  $\pm\infty$  in 0 < a < 1/2 from the above (17) i.e. (11) does not hold in 0 < a < 1/2. (11) holds at a = 0 as shown in item 2. Therefore the solution for the identity of (11) is only a = 0.

#### 4. Conclusion

a has the range of  $0 \le a < 1/2$  by the critical strip of  $\zeta(s)$ . However, a cannot have any value but zero as shown in the above item 3. Therefore non-trivial zero point of Riemann zeta function  $\zeta(s)$  shown by (2) and (3) must be  $1/2 \pm bi$ .

\_\_\_\_

#### Appendix 1. : Equation construction

We can construct (9), (10), (11) and (14) by applying the following Theorem 1[1].

- Theorem 1 -

If the following (Series 1) and (Series 2) converge respectively, the following (Series 3) and (Series 4) hold.

 $(Series 1) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + \dots = A$  $(Series 2) = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5 + \dots = B$  $(Series 3) = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2) + (a_3 + b_3) + (a_4 + b_4) + \dots = A + B$  $(Series 4) = (a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2) + (a_3 - b_3) + (a_4 - b_4) + \dots = A - B$ 

#### 1.1. Construction of (9)

We can have (9) as (Series 4) by regarding (6) and (4) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

## 1.2. Construction of (10)

We can have (10) as (Series 4) by regarding (7) and (5) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

#### 1.3. Construction of (11)

We can have (11) as (Series 3) by regarding the following (11-1) and (11-2) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

(Series 1) = 
$$\cos x$$
{the right side of (9)}  $\equiv 0$  (11-1)

 $(Series 2) = \sin x \{ \text{the right side of } (10) \} \equiv 0$ (11-2)

#### 1.4. Construction of (14)

1.4.1 We can have the following (12-1\*2) as (Series 3) by regarding the following (12-1) and (12-2) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Series 1}) &= f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) \\ &+ f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) \\ &+ f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 1) - \dots = 0 \end{aligned} (12-1) \\ (\text{Series 2}) &= f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2) \\ &+ f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2) \\ &+ f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 2) - \dots = 0 \end{aligned} (12-2) \\ (\text{Series 3}) &= f(2)\{\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2)\} \\ &- f(3)\{\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2)\} \\ &+ f(4)\{\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2)\} \\ &- f(5)\{\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2)\} \\ &+ \dots = 0 + 0 \end{aligned} (12-1*2)$$

1.4.2 We can have the following (12-1\*3) as (Series 3) by regarding the above (12-1\*2) and the following (12-3) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

$$(\text{Series } 2) = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 3) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 3) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 3) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 3) - \dots = 0$$
(12-3)

(Series 3)

$$= f(2)\{\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3)\} - f(3)\{\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 3)\} + f(4)\{\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 3)\} - f(5)\{\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 3)\} + \dots = 0 + 0$$
(12-1\*3)

1.4.3 We can have the following (12-1\*4) as (Series 3) by regarding the above (12-1\*3) and the following (12-4) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

$$(\text{Series } 2) = f(2)\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 4) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 4) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 4) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 4) + f(6)\cos(b\log 6 - b\log 4) - \dots = 0$$
(12-4)

(Series 3)

$$= f(2)\{\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 4)\}$$
  
-f(3){cos(blog 3 - blog 1) + cos(blog 3 - blog 2) + cos(blog 3 - blog 3) + cos(blog 3 - blog 4)}  
+f(4){cos(blog 4 - blog 1) + cos(blog 4 - blog 2) + cos(blog 4 - blog 3) + cos(blog 4 - blog 4)}  
-f(5){cos(blog 5 - blog 1) + cos(blog 5 - blog 2) + cos(blog 5 - blog 3) + cos(blog 5 - blog 4)}  
+\dots = 0 + 0  
(12-1\*4)

1.4.4 In the same way as above we can have the following (12-1\*N)=(14) as (Series 3) by regarding (12-1\*N-1) and (12-N) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.  $(N = 5, 6, 7, 8, \dots) \quad g(k, N)$  is defined in page 3.  $(k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)$ 

$$(Series 3) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(2)\{\cos(b\log 2 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 2 - b\log N)\} \\ &-f(3)\{\cos(b\log 3 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 3 - b\log N)\} \\ &+f(4)\{\cos(b\log 4 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 4 - b\log N)\} \\ &-f(5)\{\cos(b\log 5 - b\log 1) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 2) + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log 3) + \dots + \cos(b\log 5 - b\log N)\} \\ &+\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= f(2)g(2,N) - f(3)g(3,N) + f(4)g(4,N) - f(5)g(5,N) + \cdots$$
  
= 0 + 0 (12-1\*N)

# Appendix 2. : Investigation of g(k, N)

2.1 We define G and H as follows.  $(N = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ 

$$G = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \{ \cos(b \log \frac{1}{N}) + \cos(b \log \frac{2}{N}) + \cos(b \log \frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \cos(b \log \frac{N}{N}) \}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \cos(b \log x) dx \qquad (20-1)$$

$$H = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \{ \sin(b \log \frac{1}{N}) + \sin(b \log \frac{2}{N}) + \sin(b \log \frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \sin(b \log \frac{N}{N}) \}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \sin(b \log x) dx \qquad (20-2)$$

We calculate G and H by Integration by parts.

$$G = [x \cos(b \log x)]_0^1 + bH = 1 + bH$$
$$H = [x \sin(b \log x)]_0^1 - bG = -bG$$

Then we can have the values of G and H from the above equations as follows.

$$G = \frac{1}{1+b^2} \qquad H = \frac{-b}{1+b^2} \tag{21}$$

2.2 We define  $E_c(N)$  and  $E_s(N)$  as follows.

$$\frac{\cos(b\log\frac{1}{N}) + \cos(b\log\frac{2}{N}) + \cos(b\log\frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \cos(b\log\frac{N}{N})}{N} - G = E_c(N)$$
(22-1)

$$\frac{\sin(b\log\frac{1}{N}) + \sin(b\log\frac{2}{N}) + \sin(b\log\frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \sin(b\log\frac{N}{N})}{N} - H = E_s(N)$$
(22-2)

From (20-1), (20-2), (22-1) and (22-2) we have the following (23).

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} E_c(N) = 0 \qquad \lim_{N \to \infty} E_s(N) = 0 \tag{23}$$

2.3 From (13) we can calculate g(k, N) as follows.  $(N = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots)$ 

$$\begin{split} g(k,N) &= \cos(b\log 1/k) + \cos(b\log 2/k) + \cos(b\log 3/k) + \dots + \cos(b\log N/k) \\ &= N\frac{1}{N} \{\cos(b\log \frac{1}{N}\frac{N}{k}) + \cos(b\log \frac{2}{N}\frac{N}{k}) + \cos(b\log \frac{3}{N}\frac{N}{k}) + \dots + \cos(b\log \frac{N}{N}\frac{N}{k}) \} \\ &= N\frac{1}{N} \{\cos(b\log \frac{1}{N} + b\log \frac{N}{k}) + \cos(b\log \frac{2}{N} + b\log \frac{N}{k}) \\ &+ \cos(b\log \frac{3}{N} + b\log \frac{N}{k}) + \dots + \cos(b\log \frac{N}{N} + b\log \frac{N}{k}) \} \\ &= N\frac{1}{N} \cos(b\log \frac{N}{k}) \{\cos(b\log \frac{1}{N}) + \cos(b\log \frac{2}{N}) + \cos(b\log \frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \cos(b\log \frac{N}{N}) \} \\ &- N\frac{1}{N} \sin(b\log \frac{N}{k}) \{\sin(b\log \frac{1}{N}) + \sin(b\log \frac{2}{N}) + \sin(b\log \frac{3}{N}) + \dots + \sin(b\log \frac{N}{N}) \} \\ &= N \cos(b\log \frac{N}{k}) G \end{split}$$

$$+N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})\left\{\frac{\cos(b\log 1/N) + \cos(b\log 2/N) + \cos(b\log 3/N) + \dots + \cos(b\log N/N)}{N} - G\right\}$$
$$-N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})H$$
$$-N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})\left\{\frac{\sin(b\log 1/N) + \sin(b\log 2/N) + \sin(b\log 3/N) + \dots + \sin(b\log N/N)}{N} - H\right\} (24-1)$$
$$= N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})G + N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_c(N) - N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})H$$
$$-N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_s(N) (24-2)$$
$$= N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})\frac{1}{1+b^2} + N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_c(N)$$
$$+ N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})\frac{b}{1+b^2} - N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_s(N) (24-3)$$

$$= \frac{N}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \{\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} + \sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})\frac{b}{\sqrt{1+b^2}}\} + N\cos(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_c(N) - N\sin(b\log\frac{N}{k})E_s(N)$$
(24-4)

$$= N\{\frac{\cos(b\log N/k - \tan^{-1}b)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} + \cos(b\log \frac{N}{k})E_c(N) - \sin(b\log \frac{N}{k})E_s(N)\}$$
(24-5)

$$= N \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \cos\{b \log N (1 - \frac{\log k}{\log N} - \frac{\tan^{-1} b}{b \log N}) \right] + \cos(b \log \frac{N}{k}) E_c(N) - \sin(b \log \frac{N}{k}) E_s(N)$$
(24-6)

From (22-1), (22-2) and (24-1) we have (24-2). From (21) and (24-2) we have (24-3).

 $2.4\,$  From (23) and the above (24-6) we have the following (25).

$$g(k,N) \sim \frac{N\cos(b\log N)}{\sqrt{1+b^2}} \quad (N \to \infty \quad k=2,3,4,5,\cdots)$$
 (25)

## Appendix 3. : Investigation of F(a)

## 3.1. Investigation of f(n)

We have the following (8) and (15) in the text.

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}} \ge 0 \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots, 0 \le a < 1/2)$$
(8)

$$F(a) = f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + f(6) - \dots$$
(15)

a = 0 is the solution for F(a) = 0 due to  $f(n) \equiv 0$  at a = 0. The alternating series F(a) converges due to  $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(n) = 0$ .

We define the following (31) from the above (8) and we have the following (32) from (31).

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{r^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{r^{1/2+a}} \ge 0 \qquad (r : \text{real number} \quad 2 \le r) \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{df(r)}{dr} = f'(r) = \frac{1/2 + a}{r^{a+3/2}} - \frac{1/2 - a}{r^{3/2 - a}} = \frac{1/2 + a}{r^{a+3/2}} \{1 - (\frac{1/2 - a}{1/2 + a})r^{2a}\}$$
(32)

The value of f(r) increases with increase of r and reaches the maximum value  $f(r_{max})$  at  $r = r_{max} = \left(\frac{1/2+a}{1/2-a}\right)^{1/(2a)}$ . Afterward f(r) decreases to zero with  $r \to \infty$ . f(n) also has the maximum value  $f(n_{max})$  at  $n = n_{max}$  and  $n_{max}$  is either of  $[r_{max}]$  and  $[r_{max}] + 1$ . Then we can have the following (34).

$$r_{max} = \left(\frac{1/2+a}{1/2-a}\right)^{1/(2a)} = (1+4a+8a^2+\cdots)^{1/(2a)}$$
  

$$\sim (1+4a)^{1/(2a)} = \{(1+4a)^{1/(4a)}\}^2$$
  


$$\sim e^2 = 7.39 \qquad (a \to +0) \qquad (34)$$

From the above (34) we have the following (35).

$$7 \le n_{max}$$
 (0 < a < 1/2) (35)

The following (Graph 1) shows f(n) in various value of a.





Graph 1 : f(n) in various a

We have the following (36) from (32).

$$f''(r) = \frac{df'(r)}{dr} = \frac{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}{r^{5/2 - a}} - \frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{r^{5/2 + a}}$$
$$= \frac{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}{r^{5/2 - a}} \{1 - \frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}r^{-2a}\}$$
(36)

We have the following (37) from  $f''(r_0) = 0$ .

$$r_0 = \left\{\frac{(1/2+a)(3/2+a)}{(1/2-a)(3/2-a)}\right\}^{1/(2a)} = \left(1 + \frac{16}{3}a + \frac{128}{9}a^2 + \dots\right)^{1/(2a)}$$
(37)

Then we can have the following (37-1).

$$r_{0} = \left(1 + \frac{16}{3}a + \frac{128}{9}a^{2} + \dots\right)^{1/(2a)}$$

$$\sim \left(1 + \frac{16}{3}a\right)^{1/(2a)} = \left\{\left(1 + \frac{16}{3}a\right)^{3/(16a)}\right\}^{8/3}$$

$$\sim e^{8/3} = 14.39 \qquad (a \to +0) \qquad (37-1)$$

We can confirm the property of f(r) and f'(r) from (32), (36) and (Graph 1) as shown in the following (Table 1).

Item	Range of <i>r</i>	f(r)	f'(r)	The maximum value of   <i>f '(r)</i>
3.1.1	2≦r≦r <sub>max</sub>	Positive value. Monotonically increasing and districtly concave function. The maximum value at $r=r_{max}$ .	Positive value. Monotonically decreasing function. $f'(r)=0$ at $r=r_{max}$ .	f'(2)
3.1.2	ľ max <ľ≦ľ 0	Positive value. Monotonically decreasing and districtly concave function.	Negative value. Monotonically decreasing function. The minimum value at <i>r=r</i> 0.	-f '(r <sub>0</sub> )
3.1.3	r₀≦r	Positive value. Monotonically decreasing and districtly convex function. Converges to zero with $r \rightarrow \infty$ .	Negative value. Monotonically increasing function. Converges to zero with $r \rightarrow \infty$ .	-f'(r 0)

Table 1 : The property of f(r) and f'(r)

## 3.2. Verification method for 0 < F(a)


We define F(a, n) as the following (38) and we have the following (39) from (38).

$$F(a,n) = f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + \dots + (-1)^n f(n)$$
(38)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(a, n) = F(a) \tag{39}$$

F(a) is an alternating series. So F(a, n) repeats increase and decrease by f(n) with increase of n as shown in the following (Graph 2). In (Graph 2) upper points mean F(a, 2m)  $(m = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  and lower points mean F(a, 2m+1). F(a, 2m) decreases with increase of n in  $n_{max} \leq n$  and converges to F(a) with  $m \to \infty$ . F(a, 2m+1) increases with increase of n in  $n_{max} \leq n$  and also converges to F(a) with  $m \to \infty$  due to  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = 0$ . From the above (39) we have the following (40).

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} F(a, 2m) = \lim_{m \to \infty} F(a, 2m+1) = F(a)$$
(40)



Graph 2 : F(0.1, n) from n = 2 to n = 100

We define F1(a) and F1(a, 2m + 1) as follows.

$$F1(a) = \{f(2) - f(3)\} + \{f(4) - f(5)\} + \{f(6) - f(7)\} + \dots$$
(41)

$$F1(a, 2m+1) = \{f(2) - f(3)\} + \{f(4) - f(5)\} + \dots + \{f(2m) - f(2m+1)\}$$

$$= f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + \dots + f(2m) - f(2m+1) = F(a, 2m+1)$$
(42)

We have the following (43) from the above (40), (41) and (42).

$$F1(a) = \lim_{m \to \infty} F1(a, 2m+1) = \lim_{m \to \infty} F(a, 2m+1) = F(a)$$
(43)

We can use F1(a) instead of F(a) to verify 0 < F(a).

We enclose 2 terms of F(a) each from the first term with  $\{ \}$  as follows. If  $n_{max}$  is p or p+1 (p: odd number), the inside sum of  $\{ \}$  from f(2) to f(p) has negative value and the inside sum of  $\{ \}$  after f(p+1) has positive value.

We define as follows.

$$\{f(2) - f(3)\} + \{f(4) - f(5)\} + \dots + \{f(p-1) - f(p)\} = -B < 0$$
  
$$\{f(p+1) - f(p+2)\} + \{f(p+3) - f(p+4)\} + \dots = A > 0$$

We have the following (44) from the above definition.

$$F(a) = A - B \tag{44}$$

So we can verify 0 < F(a) by verifying B < A.

## 3.3. Investigation of f(n) - f(n+1)

3.3.1 We have the following (45-1) from (31).


$$f(r) - f(r+1) = \left(\frac{1}{r^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{r^{1/2+a}}\right) - \left\{\frac{1}{(r+1)^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{(r+1)^{1/2+a}}\right\}$$
(45-1)

We have the following (45-2) by differentiating f(r) - f(r+1) regarding r.

$$\frac{df(r)}{dr} - \frac{df(r+1)}{dr} = \frac{1/2 + a}{r^{3/2 + a}} \{1 - (\frac{r}{r+1})^{3/2 + a}\} - \frac{1/2 - a}{r^{3/2 - a}} \{1 - (\frac{r}{r+1})^{3/2 - a}\} = C(r) - D(r)$$
(45-2)


When r is small the value of f(r) - f(r+1) increases with increase of r due to D(r) < C(r). With increase of r the value reaches the maximum value  $f(r_1) - f(r_1 + 1)$  at  $r = r_1$ . Afterward the situation changes to C(r) < D(r)and the value decreases to zero with  $r \to \infty$ .

f(n) - f(n+1) also has the maximum value  $f(n_1) - f(n_1+1) = \{q_{max}\}$  at  $n = n_1$ .  $n_1$  is either of  $[r_1]$  and  $[r_1] + 1$ . The following (Graph 3) shows the value of f(n) - f(n+1) in various value of a. The following (Graph 4) shows the value of f(n) - f(n+1) at a = 0.1.



Graph 3: f(n) - f(n+1) in various a

T. ISHIWATA



Graph 4: f(n) - f(n+1) at a = 0.1

- 3.3.2 When  $n_{max}$  is even(odd) number the sign of f(n) f(n+1) changes from minus to plus with increase of n at  $n = n_{max}(n = n_{max} + 1)$  as shown in (Graph 4). Afterward the value reaches the maximum value  $\{q_{max}\}$  at  $n = n_1$  and the value decreases to zero with  $n \to \infty$ .
- 3.3.3 We can have the following (46) and (47) from (Table 1).

$$0 < f(n+1) - f(n) = \int_{n}^{n+1} f'(r)dr \le \int_{2}^{3} f'(r)dr = f(3) - f(2)$$

$$(2 \le r \le n_{max} \qquad n+1 \le n_{max}) \qquad (46)$$

$$0 < f(n) - f(n+1) = \int_{n}^{n+1} \{-f'(r)\}dr < \int_{n}^{n+1} \{-f'(r_0)\}dr = -f'(r_0)$$

$$(n_{max} \le r \qquad n_{max} \le n) \qquad (47)$$


We can have the following (48) as shown in the following item 3.3.4, 3.3.5 and 3.3.6.


$$0 < -f'(r_0) < f(3) - f(2) \qquad (0 < a < 1/2) \tag{48}$$

Then we can have the following (49) at the same value of a from the above (46), (47) and (48).

$$|f(n) - f(n+1)| < f(3) - f(2) \qquad (n = 3, 4, 5, \dots)$$
(49)

3.3.4 The following (Graph 5) is plotted by calculating f(3) - f(2) for a every 0.01.








Table 2 : The values of f(3) - f(2)

If f(3) - f(2) has a convex or a concave in  $a_0 < a < a_0 + 0.01$ , such a convex or a concave is not displayed in the above (Graph 5).  $(a_0=0, 0.01, 0.02, \cdots, 0.48, 0.49)$  We define "The function does not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \leq a \leq a_0 + 0.01$ ." as either of the following 3 items.

- 3.3.4.1 The function does not have a local maximum value or a local minimum value in  $a_0 \le a \le a_0 + 0.01$ .
- 3.3.4.2 When the function has a local maximum value in  $a_0 \le a < a_0 + 0.01$  the function is districtly concave regarding a in  $a_0 0.02 \le a \le a_0 + 0.03$ .
- 3.3.4.3 When the function has a local minimum value in  $a_0 \le a < a_0 + 0.01$  the function is districtly convex regarding a in  $a_0 0.02 \le a \le a_0 + 0.03$ .

If the function has the property shown in the above 3 items, the graph can display the function correctly i.e. we can imagine the shape of the function easily from the graph although the graph is plotted for a every 0.01.


f(n) is a monotonically increasing and districtly convex function regarding a in  $0 < a \le 1/2$  from the following (50) and (51). f(n) meets the above item 3.3.4.1.


$$\frac{df(n)}{da} = \log n(\frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} + \frac{1}{n^{a+1/2}}) > 0 \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{d^2 f(n)}{da^2} = (\log n)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}}\right) \ge 0 \tag{51}$$

Then f(3) and f(2) are monotonically increasing and districtly convex functions regarding a i.e. f(3) and f(2) do not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \le a \le a_0 + 0.01$ . f(3) - f(2) also does not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \le a \le a_0 + 0.01$  from the above property of f(3) and f(2). Therefore (Graph 5) shows f(3) - f(2) correctly.

3.3.5 The following (Graph 6) is plotted by calculating  $-f'(r_0)$  for a every 0.01. If  $-f'(r_0)$  has a convex or a concave in  $a_0 < a < a_0 + 0.01$ , such a convex or a concave is not displayed in (Graph 6).  $(a_0=0, 0.01, 0.02, \cdots, 0.48, 0.49)$ 








Table 3 : The values of  $-f'(r_0)$ 

We have the following (52) from (32) and (37).

$$-f'(r_{0}) = (1/2 - a)r_{0}^{a-3/2} - (1/2 + a)r_{0}^{-a-3/2}$$

$$= (1/2 - a)\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$- (1/2 + a)\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{-1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$= \left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{-3/(4a)}[(1/2 - a)\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{1/2}$$

$$- (1/2 + a)\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{-1/2}]$$

$$= \left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{-3/(4a)}\left[\left\{\frac{(1/4 - a^{2})(3/2 + a)}{3/2 - a}\right\}^{1/2} - \left\{\frac{(1/4 - a^{2})(3/2 - a)}{3/2 + a}\right\}^{1/2}\right]$$

$$= \left\{\frac{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}\right\}^{3/(4a)}(1/4 - a^{2})^{1/2}\left\{(\frac{3/2 + a}{3/2 - a})^{1/2} - (\frac{3/2 - a}{3/2 + a})^{1/2}\right\}$$

$$= 2a\left\{\frac{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}\right\}^{3/(4a)}\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(1/2 - a)}{(3/2 + a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= 2a\left\{\frac{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}\right\}^{3/(4a)}\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(1/2 - a)}{(3/2 + a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{1/2}$$

$$= 2a\left\{g(a)\right\}^{3/(4a)}\left\{h(a)\right\}^{1/2}$$
(52)

g(a) in the above (52) is a monotonically decreasing and districtly convex func-

tion regarding a in  $0 \le a \le 1/2$  from the following (53-1) and (53-2).

$$\frac{dg(a)}{da} = \frac{4a^2 - 3}{(1/2 + a)^2(3/2 + a)^2} < 0$$
(53-1)

$$\frac{d^2g(a)}{da^2} = \frac{2(6+9a-4a^3)}{(1/2+a)^3(3/2+a)^3} > 0$$
(53-2)

h(a) in the above (52) is a monotonically decreasing and districtly concave function regarding a in  $0 < a \le 1/2$  from the following (53-3) and (53-4).

$$\frac{dh(a)}{da} = \frac{-4a}{(3/2+a)^2(3/2-a)^2} \le 0$$
(53-3)

$$\frac{d^2h(a)}{da^2} = \frac{-3(3+4a^2)}{(3/2+a)^3(3/2-a)^3} < 0$$
(53-4)

a, 3/(4a), g(a) and h(a) that compose  $-f'(r_0)$  meet item 3.3.4.1 and they do not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \leq a \leq a_0 + 0.01$  respectively. Then  $-f'(r_0)$  also does not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \leq a \leq a_0 + 0.01$  from the above property of a, 3/(4a), g(a) and h(a). Therefore (Graph 6) shows  $-f'(r_0)$  correctly.

Now we could confirm that (Graph 5) and (Graph 6) showed f(3) - f(2) and  $-f'(r_0)$  correctly, respectively. And we can find that (48) holds from (Graph 5) and (Graph 6).

3.3.6 We can confirm that (48) holds also during  $a \to +0$  from the following (54) and (55).

f(3) - f(2) can be approximated in  $a \to +0$  by performing Maclaurin expansion for  $2^a, 2^{-a}, 3^a$  and  $3^{-a}$  as the following (54).

$$\begin{aligned} f(3) - f(2) \\ &= (3^{a-1/2} - 3^{-a-1/2}) - (2^{a-1/2} - 2^{-a-1/2}) \\ &= 3^{-1/2}(3^a - 3^{-a}) - 2^{-1/2}(2^a - 2^{-a}) \\ &= 3^{-1/2}[\{1 + a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^2/2 + \cdots \} - \{1 - a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^2/2 - \cdots \}] \\ &- 2^{-1/2}[\{1 + a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^2/2 + \cdots \} - \{1 - a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^2/2 - \cdots \}] \\ &= 2 * 3^{-1/2}\{a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^3/3! + (a\log 3)^5/5! + \cdots \} \\ &- 2 * 2^{-1/2}\{a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^3/3! + (a\log 2)^5/5! + \cdots \} \\ &\sim 2(3^{-1/2}\log 3 - 2^{-1/2}\log 2)a = 0.29a > 0.012a \qquad (a \to +0) \quad (54) \end{aligned}$$

 $-f'(r_0)$  can be approximated in  $a \to +0$  from (32) and (37) by performing Maclaurin expansion for  $(1 + \frac{16}{3}a)^{1/2}$  and  $(1 + \frac{16}{3}a)^{-1/2}$  as the following (55).

$$-f'(r_0) = (1/2 - a)r_0^{a-3/2} - (1/2 + a)r_0^{-a-3/2}$$
$$= (1/2 - a)\left\{\frac{(1/2 + a)(3/2 + a)}{(1/2 - a)(3/2 - a)}\right\}^{1/2 - 3/(4a)}$$

$$-(1/2+a)\left\{\frac{(1/2+a)(3/2+a)}{(1/2-a)(3/2-a)}\right\}^{-1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$=(1/2-a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a+\frac{128}{9}a^2+\cdots)^{1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$-(1/2+a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a+\frac{128}{9}a^2+\cdots)^{-1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$\sim (1/2-a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{1/2-3/(4a)} -(1/2+a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-1/2-3/(4a)}$$

$$=(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-3/(4a)}\left\{(1/2-a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{1/2} -(1/2+a)(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-1/2}\right\}$$

$$=(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-3/(4a)}\left\{(1/2-a)(1+\frac{8}{3}a-\frac{32}{9}a^2+\cdots)\right\}$$

$$\sim (1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-3/(4a)}\left\{(1/2-a)(1+\frac{8}{3}a^2+\frac{32}{9}a^2+\cdots)\right\}$$

$$\sim (1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-3/(4a)}\left\{(1/2-a)(1+\frac{8}{3}a) -(1/2+a)(1-\frac{8}{3}a)\right\}$$

$$=\left\{(1+\frac{16}{3}a)^{-3/(4a)}\left\{(1/2-a)(1+\frac{8}{3}a) -(1/2+a)(1-\frac{8}{3}a)\right\}$$

# 3.4. Verification of B < A ( $n_{max}$ is odd number.)

 $n_{max}$  is odd number as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} F(a) &= f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + f(6) - \cdots \\ &= \{f(2) - f(3)\} + \{f(4) - f(5)\} + \cdots + \{f(n_{max} - 3) - f(n_{max} - 2)\} + \{f(n_{max} - 1) - \frac{f(n_{max})}{f(n_{max})}\} \\ &+ \{f(n_{max} + 1) - f(n_{max} + 2)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 3) - f(n_{max} + 4)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 5) - f(n_{max} + 6)\} + \cdots \\ \end{aligned}$$
We can have A and B as follows. A and B are defined in item 3.2.  

$$B = \{f(3) - f(2)\} + \{f(5) - f(4)\} + \{f(7) - f(6)\} + \cdots + \{f(n_{max} - 2) - f(n_{max} - 3)\} + \{\frac{f(n_{max})}{f(n_{max})} - f(n_{max} - 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \{f(n_{max} + 1) - f(n_{max} + 2)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 3) - f(n_{max} + 4)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 5) - f(n_{max} + 6)\} + \dots + \{f(n_{max} + 6)\} +$$

## 3.4.1. Condition for B

We define as follows.

 $\{ \}$ : the term which is included within B.

 $\{ \begin{tabular}{c} \end{tabular} \}$  : the term which is not included within B.

We have the following (56).

$$f(n_{max}) - f(2) = \left\{ \frac{f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} - 1)}{f(7) - f(6)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(n_{max} - 1) - f(n_{max} - 2)}{f(5) - f(4)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(1) - f(1)}{f(1) - f(2)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(1) - f(2)}{f(1) - f(2)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(1) - f(2)}{f(1) - f(2)} \right\}$$
(56)

And we have the following (57) from (Table 1) in item 3.1, (Graph 3) and (Graph 4).  $\{ f(3) - f(2) \} > \{ f(4) - f(3) \} > \{ f(5) - f(4) \} > \{ f(6) - f(5) \} > \{ f(7) - f(6) \} > \cdots \cdots$ 

$$> \left\{ f(n_{max} - 2) - f(n_{max} - 3) \right\} > \left\{ f(n_{max} - 1) - f(n_{max} - 2) \right\} > \left\{ f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} - 1) \right\} > 0$$

$$(57)$$

From the above (56) and (57) we have the following (58).

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_{max}) - f(2) + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(3) - f(2) \\ f(3) - f(2) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(5) - f(4) \\ f(5) - f(4) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(7) - f(6) \\ f(7) - f(6) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(n_{max} - 2) - f(n_{max} - 3) \\ \frown \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} - 1) \\ \frown \end{array} \right\} \\ + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(3) - f(2) \\ f(4) - f(3) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(6) - f(5) \\ \hline f(6) - f(5) \\ \end{array} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(n_{max} - 3) - f(n_{max} - 4) \\ \frown \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f(n_{max} - 1) - f(n_{max} - 2) \\ \hline f(n_{max} - 2) \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ > 2B \end{aligned}$$

$$(58)$$

The above (58) shows the following inequality.

{Total sum of upper row of (58)} =  $B < {Total sum of lower row of <math>(58)}$ }

Then we have the following (59).

$$2B < f(n_{max}) - f(2) + \{f(3) - f(2)\}$$
(59)

## 3.4.2. Condition for $A(\{q_{max}\}\)$ is included within A.)

We abbreviate  $\{f(n_{max} + q) - f(n_{max} + q + 1)\}$  to  $\{q\}$  for easy description.  $(q = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$  All  $\{q\}$  has positive value as shown in item 3.3.2.

We define as follows.

 $\{ \}$ : the term which is included within A.

 $\{ \ldots \}$ : the term which is not included within A.

 $\{q_{max}\}$  has the maximum value in all  $\{q\}$ . And  $\{q_{max}\}$  is included within A. Then value comparison of  $\{q\}$  is as follows from item 3.3.2.

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}\right\} < \left\{\frac{2}{3}\right\} < \dots < \left\{q_{max} - 3\right\} < \left\{\frac{q_{max} - 2}{q_{max} - 2}\right\} < \left\{q_{max} - 1\right\} < \left\{\frac{q_{max}}{q_{max}}\right\} > \left\{q_{max} + 1\right\} > \left\{\frac{q_{max} + 2}{q_{max} + 2}\right\} > \left\{q_{max} + 3\right\} > \dots$$

We have the following (60).

$$f(n_{max}+1) = \left\{ \frac{f(n_{max}+1) - f(n_{max}+2)}{f(n_{max}+2)} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{f(n_{max}+2) - f(n_{max}+3)}{f(n_{max}+4) - f(n_{max}+5)} \right\} + \cdots$$


$$= \{1\} + \{2\} + \{3\} + \{4\} + \dots + \{q_{max} - 3\} + \{q_{max} - 2\} + \{q_{max} - 1\} + \{q_{max}\} + \{q_{max} + 1\} + \{q_{max} + 2\} + \{q_{max} + 3\} + \dots + \{q_{max} - 3\} + \{q_{max} - 2\} + \{q_{max} - 2\} + \{q_{max} - 1\} + \{q_{max} - 1\} + \{q_{max} + 1\} + \{q_{max} + 2\} + \{q_{max} + 3\} + \dots + \{q_{max} - 3\} + \{q_{max} - 2\} + \{q_{max} - 1\} + \{q_{max} -$$

From the above (60) we have the following (61).

(Range 1) and (Range 2) are determined as above. In (Range 1) value comparison is as follows.

$$\{1\} < \{2\} < \{3\} < \{4\} < \dots < \{q_{max} - 4\} < \{q_{max} - 3\} < \{q_{max} - 2\}$$

And we can find the following.



20

And we can find the following.

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Total sum of} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 1 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 3 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 5 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 7 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \cdots \\ \text{V} \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 7 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 7 \\ \lor \end{array} \right\} + \cdots \\ \text{Total sum of} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 2 \\ \ast \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 4 \\ \ast \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 6 \\ \ast \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_{max} + 8 \\ \ast \end{array} \right\} + \cdots \\ \text{Therefore} \left[ \text{Total sum of} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} \right\} = \text{Total sum of} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} > \text{Total sum of} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\} \right] \text{ holds.}$ 

In (Range 1)+(Range 2) we have [Total sum of  $\{ \_ \} = A > Total sum of \{ \_ \}$ ]. We have the following (65).

$$f(n_{max} + 1) - \{q_{max}\} < 2A \tag{65}$$

### 3.4.4. Condition for B < A

From (62) and (65) we have the following inequality.

 $f(n_{max}+1) - [\{q_{max}\} \text{ or } \{q_{max}-1\}] < 2A$ 

Then the following inequalities hold from (49).

$$[\{q_{max}\} \text{ or } \{q_{max} - 1\}] < f(3) - f(2)$$
  
$$f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} + 1) < f(3) - f(2)$$

We have the following (66) from the above 3 inequalities.

$$2A > f(n_{max} + 1) - [\{q_{max}\} \text{ or } \{q_{max} - 1\}] > f(n_{max} + 1) - \{f(3) - f(2)\} > f(n_{max}) - \{f(3) - f(2)\} - \{f(3) - f(2)\} = f(n_{max}) - 2\{f(3) - f(2)\}$$
(66)

We have the following (67) for B < A from (59) and (66).

$$2A > f(n_{max}) - 2\{f(3) - f(2)\} > f(n_{max}) - f(2) + \{f(3) - f(2)\} > 2B$$
(67)

From the above (67) we can have the final condition for B < A as follows.

$$f(3) < (4/3)f(2) \tag{68}$$

The following (Graph 7) is plotted by calculating the following (71) for a every 0.01.

$$J(a) = (4/3)f(2) - f(3) = (4/3)\left(\frac{1}{2^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{2^{1/2+a}}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{3^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{3^{1/2+a}}\right)$$
(71)






Table 4 : The values of J(a)

f(2) and f(3) do not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \leq a \leq a_0 + 0.01$  as shown in item 3.3.4.  $(a_0=0, 0.01, 0.02, \dots, 0.48, 0.49)$  J(a) also does not have a convex or a concave in  $a_0 \leq a \leq a_0 + 0.01$  from the above property of f(2) and f(3). Therefore (Graph 7) shows J(a) correctly. We can confirm that 0 < J(a) holds also during  $a \to +0$  and  $a \to 1/2 - 0$  as shown in the following item 3.4.4.1 and 3.4.4.2. From (Graph 7) and item 3.4.4.1 and 3.4.4.2 we can find that 0 < J(a) holds in 0 < a < 1/2. Therefore B < A i.e. 0 < F(a) holds in 0 < a < 1/2 from (44).

3.4.4.1 J(a) can be approximated in  $a \to +0$  by performing Maclaurin expansion for  $2^a, 2^{-a}, 3^a$  and  $3^{-a}$  as the following (71-1).

$$\begin{split} J(a) &= (4/3)f(2) - f(3) \\ &= (4/3)(2^{a-1/2} - 2^{-a-1/2}) - (3^{a-1/2} - 3^{-a-1/2}) \\ &= (4/3)2^{-1/2}(2^a - 2^{-a}) - 3^{-1/2}(3^a - 3^{-a}) \\ &= (4/3)2^{-1/2}[\{1 + a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^2/2 + \cdots \} - \{1 - a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^2/2 - \cdots \}] \\ &- 3^{-1/2}[\{1 + a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^2/2 + \cdots \} - \{1 - a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^2/2 - \cdots \}] \\ &= 2 * (4/3)2^{-1/2}\{a\log 2 + (a\log 2)^3/3! + (a\log 2)^5/5! + \cdots \} \\ &- 2 * 3^{-1/2}\{a\log 3 + (a\log 3)^3/3! + (a\log 3)^5/5! + \cdots \} \\ &\sim (4/3)2^{-1/2}(2a\log 2) - 3^{-1/2}(2a\log 3) = 0.038a > 0 \qquad (a \to +0) \quad (71\text{-}1) \end{split}$$

3.4.4.2 Let (1/2 - a) be t. J(a) can be approximated in  $a \to 1/2 - 0$  by performing Maclaurin expansion for  $2^t, 2^{-t}, 3^t$  and  $3^{-t}$  as the following (71-2).

$$J(a) = (4/3)f(2) - f(3)$$

22

Proof of Riemann hypothesis

$$= (4/3)(2^{a-1/2} - 2^{-a-1/2}) - (3^{a-1/2} - 3^{-a-1/2})$$

$$= (4/3)(2^{-t} - 2^{t-1}) - (3^{-t} - 3^{t-1}) = (4/3)(2^{-t} - 2^{t}/2) - (3^{-t} - 3^{t}/3)$$

$$= (4/3)[\{1 - t \log 2 + (t \log 2)^2/2 - \dots \}$$

$$- (1/2)\{1 + t \log 2 + (t \log 2)^2/2 + \dots \}]$$

$$- [\{1 - t \log 3 + (t \log 3)^2/2 - \dots \}$$

$$- (1/3)\{1 + t \log 3 + (t \log 3)^2/2 + \dots \}]$$

$$\sim (4/3)\{(1 - t \log 2) - (1 + t \log 2)/2\} - \{(1 - t \log 3) - (1 + t \log 3)/3\}$$

$$= (4/3)\{1/2 - (3/2)t \log 2\} - \{2/3 - (4/3)t \log 3\} = 0.0785t$$

$$= 0.0785(1/2 - a) > 0 \qquad (t \to +0 \quad a \to 1/2 - 0) \qquad (71-2)$$

3.5. Verification of 
$$B < A$$
  $(n_{max}$  is even number.)

 $n_{max}$  is even number as follows.

$$\begin{split} F(a) &= f(2) - f(3) + f(4) - f(5) + f(6) - \cdots \\ &= \{f(2) - f(3)\} + \{f(4) - f(5)\} + \cdots + \{f(n_{max} - 4) - f(n_{max} - 3)\} + \{f(n_{max} - 2) - f(n_{max} - 1)\} \\ &+ \{f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} + 1)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 2) - f(n_{max} + 3)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 4) - f(n_{max} + 5)\} + \cdots \\ \end{split}$$
  
We can have A and B as follows.

$$\begin{split} B &= \{f(3) - f(2)\} + \{f(5) - f(4)\} + \{f(7) - f(6)\} \\ &+ \dots + \{f(n_{max} - 3) - f(n_{max} - 4)\} + \{f(n_{max} - 1) - f(n_{max} - 2)\} \\ A &= \{\frac{f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} + 1)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 2) - f(n_{max} + 3)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 4) - f(n_{max} + 5)\} + \dots \\ f(n_{max}) &= \{\frac{f(n_{max}) - f(n_{max} + 1)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 1) - f(n_{max} + 2)\} + \{f(n_{max} + 2) - f(n_{max} + 3)\} \\ &+ \{f(n_{max} + 3) - f(n_{max} + 4)\} + \dots \\ &= \{0\} + \{1\} + \{2\} + \{3\} + \{4\} \end{split}$$

$$+\dots + \{q_{max} - 3\} + \{q_{max} - 2\} + \{q_{max} - 1\} + \{q_{max}\} + \{q_{max} + 1\} + \{q_{max} + 2\} + \{q_{max} + 3\} + \dots$$

After the same process as in item 3.4.1 we can have the following (73).

$$f(n_{max} - 1) - f(2) + \{f(3) - f(2)\} > 2B$$
(73)

The following inequality holds from (49).

$$[\{q_{max}\} \text{ or } \{q_{max} - 1\}] < f(3) - f(2)$$

We have the following (74) from the above inequality and the same process as in item 3.4.2 and item 3.4.3.

$$2A > f(n_{max}) - [\{q_{max}\} \text{ or } \{q_{max} - 1\}] > f(n_{max}) - \{f(3) - f(2)\}$$
  
> 
$$f(n_{max} - 1) - \{f(3) - f(2)\}$$
 (74)

We have the following (75) for B < A from (73) and (74).

$$2A > f(n_{max} - 1) - \{f(3) - f(2)\} > f(n_{max} - 1) - f(2) + \{f(3) - f(2)\} > 2B \quad (75)$$

From the above (75) we can have the final condition for B < A as follows.

$$f(3) < (3/2)f(2) \tag{76}$$

In the following (77), (4/3)f(2) < (3/2)f(2) is true self-evidently and in item 3.4.4 we already confirmed that the following (68) was true in 0 < a < 1/2.

$$0 < f(3) < (4/3)f(2) < (3/2)f(2)$$
(77)

$$f(3) < (4/3)f(2) \tag{68}$$

Therefore the above (76) is true in 0 < a < 1/2. Now we can confirm 0 < F(a) in 0 < a < 1/2.

# 3.6. Conclusion

0 < F(a) holds in 0 < a < 1/2 as shown in the above item 3.4 and item 3.5.

#### Appendix 4. Graph of F(a)


4.1 We can approximate F(a) as the following (81) from (38). We have the following (82) and (83) from (81).

$$F(a)_n = \frac{F(a,n) + F(a,n+1)}{2}$$
(81)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(a)_n = F(a) \tag{82}$$

$$F(a)_{n+1} = F(a)_n - (-1)^n \frac{f(n+1) - f(n+2)}{2}$$
(83)

The following (Graph 8) is plotted by calculating  $F(a)_{500}$  and  $F(a)_{501}$  for a every 0.01.



Graph 8 :  $F(a)_{500}$  and  $F(a)_{501}$ 

а	0	0.01	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
F(a)500	0	0.0038667	0.038666	0.077326	0.115971	0.154587	0.193146
F(a)501	0	0.0038648	0.038647	0.077289	0.115919	0.154537	0.193148
F(a)	0	0.00386	0.0386	0.077	0.1159	0.1545	-

Table 5 : The values of  $F(a)_{500}$  and  $F(a)_{501}$ 

The range of a is  $0 \le a < 1/2$ . a = 1/2 is not included in the range. But we added  $F(1/2)_n$  to calculation due to the following reason. f(n) at a = 1/2 is (1 - 1/n) and F(1/2) fluctuates due to  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n) = 1$ . The above (83) shows that  $F(a)_n$  is partial sum of alternating series which has the term of  $\frac{f(n+1)-f(n+2)}{2}$ . Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} F(1/2)_n$  can converge to the fixed value on the condition of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \{f(n+1) - f(n+2)\} = 0$ . The condition holds due to  $f(n+1) - f(n+2) = -1/(n^2 + 3n + 2)$ .

4.2  $r_0$  in (37) has the value of 217 at a = 0.49. Then f(n+1) - f(n+2) has positive value

and decreases monotonically with increase of n in 217 < n and  $0 < a \le 0.49$ .  $F(a)_n$  converges to F(a) with  $n \to \infty$  as (82) shows. Then we can have the following (84) from (83).

$$F(a)_{501} < F(a) < F(a)_{500} \qquad (0 < a \le 0.49) \tag{84}$$

Therefore (Graph 8) shows F(a) as well as  $F(a)_{500}$  and  $F(a)_{501}$  in  $0 \le a \le 0.49$ .

## References

 Yukio Kusunoki, Introduction to infinite series, Asakura syoten, (1972), page 22, (written in Japanese)

> Toshihiko ISHIWATA E-mail: toshihiko.ishiwata@gmail.com