Proof of Riemann hypothesis

By Toshihiko Ishiwata

June 12, 2022

Abstract. This paper is a trial to prove Riemann hypothesis according to the following process. 1. We make one identity regarding p and q from one equation that gives Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ analytic continuation and 2 formulas $(1/2 + a \pm bi, 1/2 - a \pm bi)$ that show non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$. 2. We find that the above identity holds only at a = 0. 3. Therefore non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ must be $1/2 \pm bi$ because a cannot have any value but zero.

1. Introduction

The following (1) gives Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ analytic continuation to 0 < Re(s). "+...." means infinite series in all equations in this paper.

$$1 - 2^{-s} + 3^{-s} - 4^{-s} + 5^{-s} - 6^{-s} + \dots = (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s)$$
(1)

The following (2) shows the zero point of the left side of (1) and also non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$. *i* is $\sqrt{-1}$.

$$S_0 = 1/2 + a \pm bi$$
 (2)

The following (3) also shows non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$ by the functional equation of $\zeta(s)$.

$$S_1 = 1 - S_0 = 1/2 - a \mp bi \tag{3}$$

We define the range of a and b as $0 \le a < 1/2$ and 14 < b respectively. Then we can show all non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ by the above (2) and (3). Because non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ exist in the critical strip of $\zeta(s)$ (0 < Re(s) < 1) and non-trivial zero points of $\zeta(s)$ found until now exist in the range of 14 < b.

We have the following (4) and (5) by substituting S_0 for s in the left side of (1) and putting both the real part and the imaginary part of the left side of (1) at zero respectively.

$$1 = \frac{\cos(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2+a}} + \frac{\cos(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2+a}} + \dots$$
(4)

$$0 = \frac{\sin(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2+a}} + \frac{\sin(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2+a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2+a}} + \dots \dots$$
(5)

We also have the following (6) and (7) by substituting S_1 for s in the left side of (1) and putting both the real part and the imaginary part of the left side of (1) at zero

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11M26.

Key Words and Phrases. Riemann hypothesis.

T. ISHIWATA

respectively.

$$1 = \frac{\cos(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2-a}} + \frac{\cos(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\cos(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2-a}} + \dots \dots$$
(6)

$$0 = \frac{\sin(b\log 2)}{2^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 3)}{3^{1/2-a}} + \frac{\sin(b\log 4)}{4^{1/2-a}} - \frac{\sin(b\log 5)}{5^{1/2-a}} + \dots$$
(7)

2. The identity regarding p and q

We define f(n) as the following (8).

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}} \ge 0 \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)$$
(8)

We have the following (9) from the above (4) and (6) with the method shown in item 1.1 of [Appendix 1: Equation construction].

$$0 = f(2)\cos(b\log 2) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4) - f(5)\cos(b\log 5) + \dots$$
(9)

We also have the following (10) from the above (5) and (7) with the method shown in item 1.2 of [Appendix 1].

$$0 = f(2)\sin(b\log 2) - f(3)\sin(b\log 3) + f(4)\sin(b\log 4) - f(5)\sin(b\log 5) + \dots \dots (10)$$

We can have the following (11) regarding p and q from the above (9) and (10) with the method shown in item 1.3 of [Appendix 1]. p and q are any real numbers.

$$0 \equiv p\{\text{the right side of } (9)\} + q\{\text{the right side of } (10)\} \\= p\{f(2)\cos(b\log 2) - f(3)\cos(b\log 3) + f(4)\cos(b\log 4) - \cdots \} \\+ q\{f(2)\sin(b\log 2) - f(3)\sin(b\log 3) + f(4)\sin(b\log 4) - \cdots \} \\= f(2)\{p\cos(b\log 2) + q\sin(b\log 2)\} - f(3)\{p\cos(b\log 3) + q\sin(b\log 3)\} \\+ f(4)\{p\cos(b\log 4) + q\sin(b\log 4)\} - \cdots \\+ (-1)^n f(n)\{p\cos(b\log n) + q\sin(b\log n)\} + \cdots$$
(11)

In order for the above (11) to hold for any value of p and q, the following (12) i.e. a = 0 must hold.

$$f(n) = \frac{1}{n^{1/2-a}} - \frac{1}{n^{1/2+a}} \equiv 0 \qquad (n = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots)$$
(12)

3. Conclusion

a has the range of $0 \le a < 1/2$ by the critical strip of $\zeta(s)$ (0 < Re(s) < 1). However, a cannot have any value but zero as shown in the above item 2. Therefore non-trivial zero point of $\zeta(s)$ shown by (2) and (3) must be $1/2 \pm bi$.

Appendix 1. : Equation construction

Theorem $1 \cdot$

We can construct (9), (10) and (11) by applying the following Theorem 1[1].

If the following (Series 1) and (Series 2) converge respectively, the following (Series 3) and (Series 4) hold.

 $(Series 1) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + \dots = A$ $(Series 2) = b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 + b_5 + \dots = B$ $(Series 3) = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2) + (a_3 + b_3) + (a_4 + b_4) + \dots = A + B$ $(Series 4) = (a_1 - b_1) + (a_2 - b_2) + (a_3 - b_3) + (a_4 - b_4) + \dots = A - B$

- 1.1 We can have (9) as (Series 4) by regarding (6) and (4) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.
- 1.2 We can have (10) as (Series 4) by regarding (7) and (5) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.
- 1.3 We can have (11) as (Series 3) by regarding the following (13) and (14) as (Series 1) and (Series 2) respectively.

(Series 1) =
$$p$$
{the right side of (9)} $\equiv 0$ (13)

(Series 2) =q{the right side of (10)} $\equiv 0$ (14)

References

 Yukio Kusunoki, Introduction to infinite series, Asakura syoten, (1972), page 22, (written in Japanese)

Toshihiko Ishiwata

E-mail: toshihiko.ishiwata@gmail.com