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#### Abstract

We establish an equivalent condition to the validity of the Collatz conjecture: The Collatz conjecture is true if and only if every natural number $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$ can be represented in the form $$
\mathrm{n}=\left(2^{m}-2^{m-2} b_{m-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_{i} 2^{i-1} 3^{\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} b_{j}}\right) / 3^{\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_{j}}
$$ for some $\mathrm{m} \in N, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}, \ldots \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \in\{1,0\}$.


## 1. Introduction

Denote by $N=\{1,2,3 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\}$ the set of all natural numbers, define a recursive equation introduced by R. Terras[1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n+1}=\left(3^{b_{n}} a_{\mathrm{n}}+b_{n}\right) / 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{n}=1$ when $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is odd and $b_{n}=0$ when $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is even. The Collatz conjecture [2] asserts that for every positive integer $a_{1}$ and by applying eq.(1) , there exists $k \in N$ such that $a_{k}=1$.
For example,
Let $\mathrm{a}_{1}=2$ then $\mathrm{a}_{2}=1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=3 \text { then } a_{2}=5, a_{3}=8, a_{4}=4, a_{5}=2, a_{6}=1 ; \\
& a_{1}=16 \text { then } a_{2}=8, a_{3}=4, a_{4}=2, a_{5}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. The collatz tree

Define the iterating function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})=\left(2 \mathrm{n}-b_{n}\right) / 3^{b_{n}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{n}=0$ or $b_{n}=0$ and $b_{n}=1$ if $\mathrm{n} \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Thus, denote n as a node value it can have one branch or two branches coming out.

Let G be a tree with nodes represented by integers generated from eq.(2) starting with $\mathrm{n}=1$. This tree is called the Collatz tree which is divided into many levels as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Six levels of the Collatz tree

## 3. Representation of natural numbers

Assume the Collatz conjecture is true; by starting with $\mathrm{a}_{1} \geq 3$ and takes $m$ steps following eq.(1) to reach 1 , thus $a_{m}=2, a_{m+1}=1$. All equations are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{b_{n}} a_{n}-2 a_{n+1}=-b_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}=1,2, \ldots \ldots,(\mathrm{~m}-2), \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \in\{1,0\}$. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{b_{m-1}} a_{m-1}=4-b_{m-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substitute eq. (4) back into eq.(3), finally we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=\left(2^{m}-2^{m-2} b_{m-1}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} b_{i} 2^{i-1} 3^{\sum_{j=i+1}^{m-1} b_{j}}\right) / 3^{\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} b_{j}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots . . b_{m-1} \in\{1,0\}$.
For example,
If $a_{1}=5$ then $\mathrm{m}=4, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=0, b_{3}=0$;

$$
a_{1}=3 \text { then } \mathrm{m}=5, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=1, b_{3}=0 . b_{4}=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=7 \text { then } \mathrm{m}=11, b_{1}=1, b_{2}=1, b_{3}=1 . b_{4}=0 \\
& \qquad b_{5}=1, b_{6}=0, b_{7}=0 . b_{8}=1, b_{9}=0 . b_{10}=0 . \\
& a_{1}=1 \text { and } a_{1}=2 \text { are trivial cases. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Conclusion

For any $a_{1} \geq 3$ finding $m$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots \ldots b_{m-1} \in\{1,0\}$ by eq. (5) is hard. Proving the Collatz conjecture seem to be a NP-problem.
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