# Demonstrating the equivalence of different expressions for vector rotations

James A. Smith

June 1, 2022

#### Abstract

Because newcomers to GA may have difficulty applying its identities to real problems, we use those identities to prove the equivalence of two expressions for rotations of a vector. Rather than simply present the proof, we first review the relevant GA identities, then formulate and explore reasonable conjectures that lead, promptly, to a solution.

#### 1 Introduction

A particularly useful feature of GA is its ability to express rotations conveniently. For example (Fig. 1), the vector  $\mathbf{v}'$  that results from the rotation of vector  $\mathbf{v}$  through the angle  $\theta$  about an axis perpendicular to the bivector  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ , and in the sense of the rotation of  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  itself, is

$$\mathbf{v}' = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\mathbf{B}\theta/2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\mathbf{B}\theta/2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (1.1)

Macdonald ([1], p. 89) begins the derivation of that formula by expressing **v** as the sum of its components parallel and perpendicular to  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  ( $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ , respectively). Then, Macdonald notes that while the vertical component is unaffected by the rotation, the parallel component becomes  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}e^{\hat{\mathbf{B}}\theta}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{v}'$  is also



Figure 1: Relations between vector  $\mathbf{v}$ ; its components perpendicular and parallel to  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ ; and the rotated vector  $\mathbf{v}'$ .

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}_{\perp} + \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \underbrace{\left[\cos\theta + \hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\theta\right]}_{=e^{\hat{\mathbf{B}}\theta}}$$
$$= \mathbf{v}_{\perp} + \mathbf{v}_{\parallel}\cos\theta + \mathbf{v}_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\theta.$$
(1.2)

How might we demonstrate that Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent? We begin by expanding Eq. 1.1:

$$\mathbf{v}' = \left[\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right]\mathbf{v}\left[\cos\frac{\theta}{2} + \hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right]$$
$$= \mathbf{v}\cos^{2}\frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin^{2}\frac{\theta}{2}.$$
 (1.3)

To make further progress, we need to review a bit.

## 2 From 3D Euclidean GA: some identities that we will use ...

For any vector  $\mathbf{v}$  and any unit bivector  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ ,

- 1. The multiplicative inverse of  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ :  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
- 2.  $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
- 3.  $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
- 4.  $\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{v}\wedge\hat{\mathbf{B}}$
- 5.  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v} = \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$

6. The components of  $\mathbf{v}$  parallel to and perpendicular to  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  are:

(a) 
$$\mathbf{v}_{\shortparallel} = \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) \left(\bar{\mathbf{B}}\right)$$
  
(b)  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = \left(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) \left(\bar{\mathbf{B}}\right)$ 

- 7. From 3, 4, and 5 (above),
  - (a)  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} + 2\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
  - (b)  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} 2\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}$

8. The component of **v** perpendicular to  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ :  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp} = \left(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) \left( {}^{-}\hat{\mathbf{B}} \right)$ 

9. From trigonometry:

(a) 
$$2\sin\frac{\alpha}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha}{2} = \sin\alpha$$
  
(b)  $\cos^2\frac{\alpha}{2} - \sin^2\frac{\alpha}{2} = \cos\alpha$ 

### 3 Demonstration of the Equivalence of Our Two Expressions for v'

After reviewing the identities in Section 2 , several possible routes might suggest themselves. For example, we can combine the two  $\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}$  terms in Eq. (1.2) to obtain

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \left(\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\right)\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}$$

Now, from point 7b in Section 2 , we see that  $\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v} = 2\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  . Therefore,

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} + 2\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}$$
$$= \mathbf{v}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\left[2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\right] - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}$$
$$= \mathbf{v}\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\theta - \hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}.$$
(3.1)

We now have a sin  $\theta$  term in this expression for  $\mathbf{v}'$ , just as we do in Eq. (1.2). We can demonstrate the equality of those terms (i.e., that  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ ) by noting that  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} = \left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\right)$ , so that  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right) = \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ .

What to do with the factor  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  in Eq. (1.3) may not be clear. One idea is to "reverse" the product  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}$  to obtain  $\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ , so that the  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  in that part will cancel with the second  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}.$  We can do this in either of two ways, using items 7a and 7b :

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \left[\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} + 2\mathbf{v}\wedge\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right]\hat{\mathbf{B}}$$
$$= \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\hat{\mathbf{B}} + 2\left(\mathbf{v}\wedge\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}}$$
$$= \mathbf{v} + 2\left(\mathbf{v}\wedge\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}},$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}} - 2\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}} \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$$
$$= \mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}\hat{\mathbf{B}} - 2\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}}$$
$$= \mathbf{v} - 2\left(\mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)\hat{\mathbf{B}}.$$

These approaches will work, but—at least when I attempted them —they turned out to be tedious, and not at all insightful. So, let's look for a different idea. First, let's note that we're trying to demonstrate the equivalence between (1) a relation that's expressed in terms of the two vectors  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$  (i.e., Eq. (1.2)), and (2) a relation that's expressed in terms of products of  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  (i.e., Eq. (3.1)). If we recall the derivations of items 6a and 6b, ([1], p. 119) we can see that the product  $\hat{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{v}\hat{\mathbf{B}}$  is indeed a sum or difference of  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ . Let's find out what that specific sum/difference is:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}} \end{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\ &= \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} + (\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\ &= \left( \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \right) \left( \mathbf{\bar{B}} \right) - \left( \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}} \right) \left( \mathbf{\bar{B}} \right) \\ &= \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}_{\perp}. \end{split}$$

Substituting this result into Eq. (3.1),

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta - \left(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right) \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \ .$$

Now we can see that the terms  $\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$  and  $\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}$  might be combined per the double-angle formulas (items 9a and 9b) if we write  $\mathbf{v}$  as  $\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp}$  in the  $\cos^2$  term:

$$\mathbf{v}' = (\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{v}_{\perp})\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2} + \mathbf{v}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{B}}\sin\theta - (\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} - \mathbf{v}_{\perp})\sin^2\frac{\theta}{2}.$$

The rest is simple:

$$\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v}_{\perp} \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \left( \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta$$
$$= \mathbf{v}_{\perp} + \mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \cos \theta + \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta .$$
(3.2)

# References

 A. Macdonald, *Linear and Geometric Algebra* (First Edition), CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform (Lexington, 2012).