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#### Abstract

Because newcomers to GA may have difficulty applying its identities to real problems, we use those identities to prove the equivalence of two expressions for rotations of a vector. Rather than simply present the proof, we first review the relevant GA identities, then formulate and explore reasonable conjectures that lead, promptly, to a solution.


## 1 Introduction

A particularly useful feature of GA is its ability to express rotations conveniently. For example (Fig. 1), the vector $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ that results from the rotation of vector $\mathbf{v}$ through the angle $\theta$ about an axis perpendicular to the bivector $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$, and in the sense of the rotation of $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ itself, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left[e^{-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \theta / 2}\right] \mathbf{v}\left[e^{-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \theta / 2}\right] . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Macdonald ([1], p. 89 ) begins the derivation of that formula by expressing $\mathbf{v}$ as the sum of its components parallel and perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ ( $\mathbf{v}_{\|}$and $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$, respectively). Then, Macdonald notes that while the vertical component is unaffected by the rotation, the parallel component becomes $\mathbf{v}_{\|} e^{\mathbf{B} \theta}$. Thus, $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$ is also


Figure 1: Relations between vector $\mathbf{v}$; its components perpendicular and parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$; and the rotated vector $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{v}_{\perp}+\mathbf{v}_{\|} \underbrace{[\cos \theta+\hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta]}_{=e^{\mathbf{B}} \theta} \\
& =\mathbf{v}_{\perp}+\mathbf{v}_{\|} \cos \theta+\mathbf{v}_{\|} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

How might we demonstrate that Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) are equivalent? We begin by expanding Eq. 1.1 :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{\prime} & =\left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right] \mathbf{v}\left[\cos \frac{\theta}{2}+\hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right] \\
& =\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

To make further progress, we need to review a bit.

## 2 From 3D Euclidean GA: some identities that we will use ...

For any vector $\mathbf{v}$ and any unit bivector $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$,

1. The multiplicative inverse of $\hat{\mathbf{B}}: \quad \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}={ }^{-} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
2. $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v}={ }^{-} \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
3. $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
4. $\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
5. $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}=\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v}+\hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v}={ }^{-} \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
6. The components of $\mathbf{v}$ parallel to and perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ are:
(a) $\mathbf{v}_{11}=(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}=(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}})\left({ }^{-} \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)$
(b) $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}=(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}=(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}})\left({ }^{-} \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)$
7. From 3, 4, and 5 (above),
(a) $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}={ }^{-} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}+2 \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
(b) $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}-2 \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$
8. The component of $\mathbf{v}$ perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{B}}: \mathbf{v}_{\perp}=(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}})(-\hat{\mathbf{B}})$
9. From trigonometry:
(a) $2 \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha}{2}=\sin \alpha$
(b) $\cos ^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2}-\sin ^{2} \frac{\alpha}{2}=\cos \alpha$

## 3 Demonstration of the Equivalence of Our Two Expressions for $\mathrm{v}^{\prime}$

After reviewing the identities in Section 2, several possible routes might suggest themselves. For example, we can combine the two $\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ terms in Eq. (1.2) to obtain

$$
\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+(\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} .
$$

Now, from point 7b in Section 2, we see that $\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}=2 \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+2 \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \\
& =\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}\left[2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right]-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \\
& =\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta-\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We now have a $\sin \theta$ term in this expression for $\mathbf{v}^{\prime}$, just as we do in Eq. (1.2). We can demonstrate the equality of those terms (i.e., that $\mathbf{v}_{\|} \hat{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ ) by noting that $\mathbf{v}_{\|}=(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}})\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\right)$, so that $\mathbf{v}_{\|} \hat{\mathbf{B}}=(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}})\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\right) \hat{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{B}}\right)=$ $\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}$.

What to do with the factor $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ in Eq. (1.3) may not be clear. One idea is to "reverse" the product $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v}$ to obtain $\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$, so that the $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ in that part will
cancel with the second $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$. We can do this in either of two ways, using items 7a and 7 b :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} & =[\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}+2 \mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}] \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}+2(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =-\mathbf{v}+2(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} & =[\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}-2 \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}] \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =\mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} \hat{\mathbf{B}}-2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =-\mathbf{v}-2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These approaches will work, but - at least when I attempted them - they turned out to be tedious, and not at all insightful. So, let's look for a different idea. First, let's note that we're trying to demonstrate the equivalence between (1) a relation that's expressed in terms of the two vectors $\mathbf{v}_{\| 1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ (i.e., Eq. (1.2)), and (2) a relation that's expressed in terms of products of $\mathbf{v}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}$ (i.e., Eq. (3.1) ). If we recall the derivations of items 6 a and 6 b , ([1], p. 119) we can see that the product $\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}}$ is indeed a sum or difference of $\mathbf{v}_{\| 1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$. Let's find out what that specific sum/difference is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{v} \hat{\mathbf{B}} & =[\hat{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \mathbf{v}+\hat{\mathbf{B}} \wedge \mathbf{v}] \\
& =[-\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}+\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}] \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =-(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}}+(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}}) \hat{\mathbf{B}} \\
& =(\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}})(-\hat{\mathbf{B}})-(\mathbf{v} \wedge \hat{\mathbf{B}})(-\hat{\mathbf{B}}) \\
& =\mathbf{v}_{\|}-\mathbf{v}_{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this result into Eq. (3.1),

$$
\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\mathbf{v} \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\|}-\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

Now we can see that the terms $\cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}$ might be combined per the double-angle formulas (items 9 a and 9 b) if we write $\mathbf{v}$ as $\mathbf{v}_{\text {II }}+\mathbf{v}_{\perp}$ in the $\cos ^{2}$ term:

$$
\mathbf{v}^{\prime}=\left(\mathbf{v}_{\|}+\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right) \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta-\left(\mathbf{v}_{\|}-\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}
$$

The rest is simple:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{v}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{v}_{\perp}\left(\cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}+\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}\right)+\mathbf{v}_{\|}\left(\cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}-\sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta \\
& =\mathbf{v}_{\perp}+\mathbf{v}_{\|} \cos \theta+\mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{B}} \sin \theta \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

## References

[1] A. Macdonald, Linear and Geometric Algebra (First Edition), CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform (Lexington, 2012).

