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#### Abstract

s

In this paper along with three previous studies on analyzing the binomial coefficients, we will complete the proof of a theorem. The theorem states that for two positive integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, if $n \geq k-1$, then there always exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $k n<p \leq(k+1) n$. The Bertrand-Chebyshev's theorem is a special case of this theorem when $k=1$.
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## 1. Introduction

The Bertrand-Chebyshev's theorem States that for any positive integer $n$, there is always a prime number $p$ such that $n<p \leq 2 n$. It was proved by Pafnuty Chebyshev in 1850 [1]. In 2006, M . El Bachraoui [2] expanded the theorem by proving that for any positive integer $n$, there is a prime number $p$ such that $2 n<p \leq 3 n$. In 2011, Andy Loo [3] expanded the theorem to prove that there is a prime number in the interval $(3 n, 4 n)$ when $n \geq 2$. It comes up with a question: Does any positive integer $k$ make $k n<p \leq(k+1) n$ stand? If it does, in what conditions? Previously, the author partially answered these questions by analyzing the binomial coefficients $\binom{3 n}{n},\binom{4 n}{n}$, and $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$ where $\lambda \geq 3$ is an integer [4] [5] [6]. In this paper, we will complete the work with the above methodology. In this section, we will cite some important concepts from the previous papers. Then in section 2 and section 3 , we will fill up the gaps of $\lambda$ from 5 to 25 . And in section 4, we will convert $\lambda$ to $k$ to complete this paper.

From [4]:
For every positive integer $n$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $2 n<p \leq 3 n$. From [5]:
For every integer $n>1$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $3 n<p \leq 4 n$. From [6 pp2-5]:
Definition: $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}$ denotes the prime factorization operator of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$. It is the product of the prime numbers in the decomposition of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$ in the range of $a \geq p>b$. In this operator, $p$ is a prime number, $a$ and $b$ are real numbers, and $\lambda n \geq a \geq p>b \geq 1$.
It has some properties: It is always true that $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\} \geq 1$.
If no prime number in $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}$, then $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}=1$, or vice versa,
if $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}=1$, then no prime number in $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}$.
For example, when $\lambda=5$ and $n=4, \Gamma_{16 \geq p>10}\left\{\binom{20}{4}\right\}=13^{0} \cdot 11^{0}=1$. No prime number is in $\binom{20}{4}$ in the range of $16 \geq p>10$.
If there is at least one prime number in $\left.\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\lambda n \\ n\end{array}\right)\right\}$, then $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}>1$, or vice versa, if $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\}>1$, then at least one prime number is in $\Gamma_{a \geq p>b}\left\{\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right\} \quad$-(1.3)
For example, when $\lambda=5$ and $\left.n=4, \Gamma_{20 \geq p>16}\left\{\begin{array}{c}20 \\ 4\end{array}\right)\right\}=19 \cdot 17>1$. Prime numbers 19 and 17 are in $\binom{20}{4}$ in the range of $20 \geq p>16$.
For $n \geq 2$ and $\lambda \geq 3,\binom{\lambda n}{n}>\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n-\lambda+1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1) n-\lambda+1}}$
Let $v_{p}(n)$ be the $p$-adic valuation of $n$, the exponent of the highest power of $p$ that divides $n$. We define $R(p)$ by the inequalities $p^{R(p)} \leq \lambda n<p^{R(p)+1}$, and determine the $p$-adic valuation of $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$. We define $R(p)$ by the inequalities $p^{R(p)} \leq \lambda n<p^{R(p)+1}$. If $p$ divides $\binom{\lambda n}{n}$, then $v_{p}\left(\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right) \leq R(p) \leq \log _{p}(\lambda n)$, or $p^{v_{p}\left(\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right)} \leq p^{R(p)} \leq \lambda n$

If $\lambda n \geq p>\lfloor\sqrt{\lambda n}\rfloor$, then $0 \leq v_{p}\left(\binom{\lambda n}{n}\right) \leq R(p) \leq 1$
For $n \geq(\lambda-2) \geq 24$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.
Let $\pi(n)$ be the number of distinct prime numbers less than or equal to $n$. Among the first six consecutive natural numbers are three prime numbers 2,3 and 5 . Then, for each additional six consecutive natural numbers, at most one can add two prime numbers, $p \equiv 1$ (MOD 6) and $p \equiv 5$ (MOD 6). Thus, $\pi(n) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor+2 \leq \frac{n}{3}+2$.
When $n>\lfloor\sqrt{\lambda n}],\binom{\lambda n}{n}=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{n \geq p>\backslash \sqrt{\lambda n} \mid}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{[\sqrt{\lambda n}] \geq p}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}$.
When $n \leq\lfloor\sqrt{\lambda n}],\binom{\lambda n}{n} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\mid \sqrt{\lambda n}] \geq p}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}$.
Thus, $\binom{\lambda n}{n} \leq \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{n \geq p>\mid \sqrt{\lambda n}]}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\mid \sqrt{\lambda n}] \geq p}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}$.
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}$ since all prime numbers in $n!$ do not appear in the range of $\lambda n \geq p>n$.
Referring to (1.6), $\Gamma_{n \geq p>\mid \sqrt{\lambda n}]}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}<\Pi_{n \geq p} p$. It has been proved [7] that for $n \geq 3$,
$\Pi_{n \geq p} p<2^{2 n-3}$. Thus, for $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 3, \Gamma_{n \geq p>|\sqrt{\lambda n}|}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}<\prod_{n \geq p} p<2^{2 n-3}$.
Referring to (1.5) and (1.8), $\Gamma_{[\sqrt{\lambda n}] \geq p}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{n!\cdot((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \leq(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+2}$
Thus for $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 3,\binom{\lambda n}{n}<\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot 2^{2 n-3} \cdot(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+2}$
Applying (1.4) to (1.10), when $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 3$, we have
$\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n-\lambda+1}}{n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1) n-\lambda+1}}<\binom{\lambda n}{n}<\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot 2^{2 n-3} \cdot(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{2 n}}{3}+2}$.
Since when $n \geq 3$ and $\lambda \geq 3,2^{2 n-3}>0$ and $(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+2}>0$,
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>\frac{\lambda^{\lambda n-\lambda+1}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+2} \cdot 2^{2 n-3} \cdot n(\lambda-1)^{(\lambda-1) n-\lambda+1}}=\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+3}}$

## 2. A Prime Number Between $(\lambda-1) n$ and $\lambda \boldsymbol{n}$ when $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$

Proposition 1: For $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Referring to (1.11), when $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, we have
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+3}}$
Let $f_{1}(x)=\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(x-1)}}{(\lambda x)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda x}}{3}+3}}$ where $x$ is a real number, the variable, and $\lambda$ is a constant at one of the 3 integers from 5 to 7 .
$f_{1}{ }^{\prime}(x)=f_{1}(x) \cdot\left(\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}(\ln (x)+\ln (\lambda)+2)}{6 \sqrt{x}}-\frac{3}{x}\right)=f_{1}(x) \cdot f_{2}(x)$ where $f_{2}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}(\ln (x)+\ln (\lambda)+2)}{6 \sqrt{x}}-\frac{3}{x}$
$f_{2}{ }^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \ln (\lambda)+\sqrt{\lambda} \ln (x)}{12 x \sqrt{x}}+\frac{3}{x^{2}}>0$ for $x>1$ and $\lambda>1$. Thus, $f_{2}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function.
When $x=36$ and $\lambda=5, f_{2}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{5}{5-1}\right)^{5-1}-\frac{\sqrt{5}(\ln (36)+\ln (5)+2)}{6 \sqrt{36}}-\frac{3}{36} \approx 0.5859>0$.
When $x=36$ and $\lambda=6, f_{2}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{6}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{6}{6-1}\right)^{6-1}-\frac{\sqrt{6}(\ln (36)+\ln (6)+2)}{6 \sqrt{36}}-\frac{3}{36} \approx 0.7155>0$.
When $x=36$ and $\lambda=7, f_{2}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{7}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{7}{7-1}\right)^{7-1}-\frac{\sqrt{7}(\ln (36)+\ln (7)+2)}{6 \sqrt{36}}-\frac{3}{36} \approx 08522>0$.
Since $f_{2}(x)>0$ when $x=36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, and since $f_{2}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function, then when $x \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, we have $f_{2}(x)>0$.

Since when $x=36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7, f_{1}(x)>0$ and $f_{2}(x)>0$, and $f_{2}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function, then $f_{1}^{\prime}(x)=f_{1}(x) \cdot f_{2}(x)>0$. Thus, when $x \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7, f_{1}(x)$ is a strictly
increasing function. $f_{1}(x+1)>f_{1}(x)$.
When $\lambda=5$ and $x=36, f_{1}(x)=\frac{50 \cdot\left(\left(\frac{5}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{5}{5-1}\right)^{5-1}\right)^{(36-1)}}{(180)^{\frac{\sqrt{180}}{3}+3}}=\frac{4.5522 \mathrm{E}+18}{7.1073 \mathrm{E}+16}>1$.
When $\lambda=6$ and $x=36, f_{1}(x)=\frac{72 \cdot\left(\left(\frac{6}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{6}{6-1}\right)^{6-1}\right)^{(36-1)}}{(216)^{\frac{\sqrt{216}}{3}+3}}=\frac{7.5378 \mathrm{E}+21}{2.7530 \mathrm{E}+18}>1$.
When $\lambda=7$ and $x=36, f_{1}(x)=\frac{98 \cdot\left(\left(\frac{7}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{7}{7-1}\right)^{7-1}\right)^{(36-1)}}{(252)^{\frac{\sqrt{252}}{3}+3}}=\frac{3.6007 \mathrm{E}+24}{8.1511 \mathrm{E}+19}>1$.
Referring to (2.4), when $x \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7, f_{1}(x)>1$.

Let $x=n$, then when $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7, f_{1}(n)=\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+3}}>1$.
Thus, referring to (2.2), when $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7, \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
Referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $n<p \leq \lambda n$.
Since $n>\lambda-2$, in $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}, p \geq n+1=\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}>\sqrt{(n+2) n}>\lfloor\sqrt{\lambda n}\rfloor$.
Referring to (1.6), we have $0 \leq v_{p}\left(\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right) \leq R(p) \leq 1$.
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}=$
$=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i} \geq p>\frac{\lambda n}{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\left.\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)}\right.$
$\operatorname{In} \prod_{i=1}^{\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i} \geq p>\frac{\lambda n}{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)$, for every distinct prime number $p$ in these ranges, the numerator $(\lambda n)$ ! has the product of $p \cdot 2 p \cdot 3 p \ldots i p=(i)!\cdot p^{i}$. The denominator $((\lambda-1) n)$ ! also has the same product of $(i)!\cdot p^{i}$. They cancel to each other in $\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}$.

$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{}}^{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)>1 . \quad$ (2.6)
 at least one of these two parts is greater than 1.

When $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, if $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, then referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

If $\left.\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right.}\right\}\right)>1$, then at least one factor $\left.\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right.}\right\}>1$.
 $\Gamma_{\lambda y_{i+1} \geq p>(\lambda-1) y_{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$. Thus, when $y_{i+1} \geq \frac{36}{i+1}$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) \cdot y_{i+1}<p \leq \lambda \cdot y_{i+1}$.
Since $n>y_{i+1} \geq \frac{36}{i+1}$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Thus, If $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{}}^{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)>1$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
 it is always true that $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$. Thus when $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

In conclusion from (2.5), (2.7), (2.10), when $n \geq 36$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
When $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, and there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$. Thus, Proposition 1 is proven.

Proposition 2: For $35 \geq n \geq \lambda-2$ and $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

We use tables to prove (2.11). Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3 show that when $\lambda=5,6$, and 7 , Proposition 2 is correct. Thus, (2.11) is valid.

Table 1. When $\lambda=5$ and $3 \leq n \leq 35$, a prime number exists in the range of $4 n<p \leq 5 n$

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 13 | 17 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 79 | 83 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 | 157 | 163 | 167 |  |

Table 2. When $\lambda=6$ and $4 \leq n \leq 35$, a prime number exists in the range of $5 n<p \leq 6 n$

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 103 | 107 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 | 157 | 163 | 167 | 173 | 179 | 181 | 191 |

Table 3. When $\lambda=7$ and $5 \leq n \leq 35$, a prime number exists in the range of $6 n<p \leq 7 n$

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 31 | 37 | 43 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 73 | 79 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 109 | 127 | 131 |
| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 137 | 149 | 151 | 157 | 163 | 167 | 173 | 179 | 181 | 191 | 193 | 197 | 211 | 223 | 227 |  |

Combining (2.1) and (2.11), we have proven that when $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

## 3. A Prime Number Between ( $\lambda-1$ ) $n$ and $\lambda n$ when $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$

Proposition 3: For $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Referring to (1.11), when $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, we have
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+3}}$
Let $f_{3}(x)=\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(x-1)}}{(\lambda x)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda x}}{3}+3}}$ where $x$ is a real number, the variable, and $\lambda$ is a constant at one of the 18 integers from 8 to 25 .
$f_{3}{ }^{\prime}(x)=f_{3}(x) \cdot\left(\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}(\ln (x)+\ln (\lambda)+2)}{6 \sqrt{x}}-\frac{3}{x}\right)=f_{3}(x) \cdot f_{4}(x)$ where
$f_{4}(x)=\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}-\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}(\ln (x)+\ln (\lambda)+2)}{6 \sqrt{x}}-\frac{3}{x}$
$f_{4}{ }^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \ln (\lambda)+\sqrt{\lambda} \ln (x)}{12 x \sqrt{x}}+\frac{3}{x^{2}}>0$ for $x>1$ and $\lambda>1$. Thus, $f_{4}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function.
We now calculate the $f_{4}(x)$ values and list them in Table 4 for $x=24$ and $\lambda=8,9,10, \ldots 25$.
Table 4. When $x=24$ and $\lambda$ from 8 to $25, f_{4}(x)>0$

| $\lambda$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{4}(x)$ | 0.805 | 0.876 | 0.935 | 0.985 | 1.028 | 1.064 | 1.096 | 1.124 | 1.148 |
| $\lambda$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| $f_{4}(x)$ | 1.168 | 1.186 | 1.202 | 1.215 | 1.227 | 1.237 | 1.246 | 1.253 | 1.259 |

Table 4 shows that when $x=24$ and $\lambda$ from 8 to $25, f_{4}(x)>0$. Since $f_{3}(x)>0$ and $f_{4}(x)>0$, and $f_{4}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function, when $x \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25, f_{3}{ }^{\prime}(x)=f_{3}(x) \cdot f_{4}(x)>0$. Thus, under these conditions, $f_{3}(x)$ is a strictly increasing function, and $f_{3}(x+1)>f_{3}(x)$.

We now calculate the $f_{3}(x)$ values and list them in Table 5 for $x=24$ and $\lambda=8,9,10, \ldots 25$.
Table 5. When $x=24$ and $\lambda$ from 8 to $25, f_{3}(x)>1$

| $\lambda$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{5}(x)$ | 9.366 | 19.132 | 31.150 | 42.517 | 50.475 | 53.571 | 51.866 | 46.527 | 39.386 |
| $\lambda$ | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| $f_{5}(x)$ | 31.212 | 23.760 | 17.383 | 12.287 | 8.421 | 5.633 | 3.679 | 2.536 | 1.481 |

Table 5 shows when $x=24$ and $\lambda$ from 8 to $25, f_{3}(x)>1$. Since $f_{3}(x+1)>f_{3}(x)$, when $x \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25, f_{3}(x)>1$.

Let $x=n$, then when $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25, f_{3}(n)=\frac{2 \lambda^{2} \cdot\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{4}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}\right)^{\lambda-1}\right)^{(n-1)}}{(\lambda n)^{\frac{\sqrt{\lambda n}}{3}+3}}>1$.
Thus, referring to (3.2), when $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25, \Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
Referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $n<p \leq \lambda n$.
Since $n>\lambda-2$, in $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}, p \geq n+1=\sqrt{n^{2}+2 n+1}>\sqrt{(n+2) n}>\lfloor\sqrt{\lambda n}\rfloor$.
Referring to (1.6), we have $0 \leq v_{p}\left(\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right) \leq R(p) \leq 1$.
$\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}=$
$=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\frac{\left.\Gamma_{\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i} \geq p>\frac{\lambda n}{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right), ~}{}\right.$
 numerator $(\lambda n)$ ! has the product of $p \cdot 2 p \cdot 3 p \ldots i p=(i)!\cdot p^{i}$. The denominator $((\lambda-1) n)$ ! also has the same product of $(i)!\cdot p^{i}$. They cancel to each other in $\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}$.
 $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}=\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\left.\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)>1 . \quad \text { (3.6) }}\right.$ From (1.1), $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\} \geq 1$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{}}^{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right) \geq 1$, and in (3.6) at last one of these two parts is greater than 1.
When $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, if $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, then referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

If $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{\lambda n}}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)=1$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
If $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\frac{\Gamma_{\lambda n}}{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)>1$, then at least one factor $\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{i+1} \geq p>} \frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
 $\Gamma_{\lambda \cdot y_{i+1} \geq p>(\lambda-1) \cdot y_{i+1}}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$. Thus, when $y_{i+1} \geq \frac{24}{i+1}$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) \cdot y_{i+1}<p \leq \lambda \cdot y_{i+1}$
Since $n>y_{i+1} \geq \frac{24}{i+1}$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Thus, If $\prod_{i=1}^{i=\lambda-2}\left(\Gamma_{\frac{\lambda n}{}}^{i+1} \geq p>\frac{(\lambda-1) n}{i+1}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}\right)>1$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$.
 then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$. Thus, referring to (1.3), there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.
In conclusion from (3.5), (3.7), (3.10), when $n \geq 24$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$. When $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, then $\Gamma_{\lambda n \geq p>(\lambda-1) n}\left\{\frac{(\lambda n)!}{((\lambda-1) n)!}\right\}>1$, and there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$. Thus, Proposition 3 is proven.

Proposition 4: For $23 \geq n \geq \lambda-2$ and $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.
We use tables to prove (3.11). Table 6, Table 7, and Table 8 show that when $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$, Proposition 4 is correct. Thus, (3.11) is valid.

Table 6. When $8 \leq \lambda \leq 11$ and $\lambda-2 \leq n \leq 23$, a prime number between $(\lambda-1) n$ and $\lambda n$

|  | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $7 n$ | 42 | 49 | 56 | 63 | 70 | 77 | 84 | 91 | 98 | $\lambda=8$ |
|  | p | 47 | 53 | 59 | 67 | 73 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 |  |
| $\lambda=9$ | 8 n | 48 | 56 | 64 | 72 | 80 | 88 | 96 | 104 | 112 |  |
|  | $p$ |  | 61 | 71 | 79 | 83 | 97 | 101 | 107 | 113 |  |
|  | $9 n$ |  | 63 | 72 | 81 | 90 | 99 | 108 | 117 | 126 | $\lambda=10$ |
|  | $p$ |  |  | 73 | 83 | 97 | 101 | 109 | 127 | 131 |  |
| $\lambda=11$ | 10n |  |  | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 |  |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  | 97 | 103 | 113 | 127 | 139 | 151 |  |
|  | $11 n$ |  |  |  | 99 | 110 | 121 | 132 | 143 | 154 |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |
|  | $7 n$ | 105 | 112 | 119 | 126 | 133 | 140 | 147 | 154 | 161 | $\lambda=8$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 107 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 149 | 151 | 157 | 163 |  |
| $\lambda=9$ | 8 n | 120 | 128 | 136 | 144 | 152 | 160 | 168 | 176 | 184 |  |
|  | $p$ | 127 | 131 | 139 | 149 | 157 | 167 | 173 | 179 | 191 |  |
|  | $9 n$ | 135 | 144 | 153 | 162 | 171 | 180 | 189 | 198 | 207 | $\lambda=10$ |
|  | $p$ | 137 | 151 | 163 | 167 | 181 | 191 | 193 | 199 | 211 |  |
| $\lambda=11$ | 10n | 150 | 160 | 170 | 180 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 220 | 230 |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ | 157 | 167 | 179 | 191 | 197 | 211 | 223 | 227 | 233 |  |
|  | $11 n$ | 165 | 176 | 187 | 198 | 209 | 220 | 231 | 242 | 253 |  |

Table 7. When $12 \leq \lambda \leq 15$ and $\lambda-2 \leq n \leq 23$, a prime number between $(\lambda-1) n$ and $\lambda n$

|  | $n$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda=12$ | $11 n$ | 110 | 121 | 132 | 143 | 154 | 165 | 176 | 187 | 198 | 209 | 220 | 231 | 242 | 253 |
|  | $p$ | 113 | 127 | 137 | 149 | 157 | 167 | 181 | 193 | 199 | 223 | 229 | 239 | 257 | 269 |
| $\lambda=13$ | $12 n$ | 120 | 132 | 144 | 156 | 168 | 180 | 192 | 204 | 216 | 228 | 240 | 252 | 264 | 276 |
|  | $p$ |  | 139 | 151 | 163 | 173 | 183 | 197 | 211 | 227 | 233 | 241 | 263 | 271 | 281 |
| $\lambda=14$ | 13n |  | 143 | 156 | 169 | 182 | 195 | 208 | 221 | 234 | 247 | 260 | 273 | 286 | 299 |
|  | $p$ |  |  | 167 | 179 | 191 | 199 | 223 | 223 | 239 | 257 | 269 | 277 | 293 | 307 |
| $\lambda=15$ | $14 n$ |  |  | 168 | 182 | 196 | 210 | 224 | 238 | 252 | 266 | 280 | 294 | 308 | 322 |
|  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |  |  |  | 191 | 199 | 211 | 229 | 239 | 263 | 271 | 283 | 307 | 311 | 331 |
|  | $15 n$ |  |  |  | 195 | 210 | 225 | 240 | 255 | 270 | 285 | 300 | 315 | 330 | 345 |

Table 8. When $16 \leq \lambda \leq 25$ and $\lambda-2 \leq n \leq 23$, a prime number between $(\lambda-1) n$ and $\lambda n$

|  | $\boldsymbol{n}$ | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda=16$ | $15 n$ | 210 | 225 | 240 | 255 | 270 | 285 | 300 | 315 | 330 | 345 |  |
|  | $p$ | 223 | 227 | 241 | 257 | 277 | 293 | 313 | 317 | 331 | 347 |  |
|  | $16 n$ | 224 | 240 | 256 | 272 | 288 | 304 | 320 | 336 | 352 | 368 | $\lambda=17$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |  | 251 | 263 | 281 | 293 | 307 | 337 | 349 | 353 | 373 |  |
| $\lambda=18$ | $17 n$ |  | 255 | 272 | 289 | 306 | 323 | 340 | 357 | 374 | 391 |  |
|  | $p$ |  |  | 277 | 293 | 311 | 331 | 347 | 359 | 379 | 397 |  |
|  | $18 n$ |  |  | 288 | 306 | 324 | 342 | 360 | 378 | 396 | 414 | $\lambda=19$ |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  | 307 | 337 | 349 | 373 | 383 | 397 | 419 |  |
| $\lambda=20$ | 19n |  |  |  | 323 | 342 | 361 | 380 | 399 | 418 | 437 |  |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  |  | 347 | 367 | 389 | 401 | 421 | 439 |  |
|  | $20 n$ |  |  |  |  | 360 | 380 | 400 | 420 | 440 | 460 | $\lambda=21$ |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  | 283 | 409 | 431 | 443 | 461 |  |
| $\lambda=22$ | $21 n$ |  |  |  |  |  | 399 | 420 | 441 | 462 | 483 |  |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 433 | 449 | 463 | 487 |  |
|  | $22 n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 440 | 462 | 484 | 506 | $\lambda=23$ |
|  | p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 467 | 491 | 509 |  |
| $\lambda=24$ | $23 n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 483 | 506 | 529 |  |
|  | p |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 521 | 541 |  |
|  | $24 n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 528 | 552 | $\lambda=25$ |
|  | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 563 |  |
|  | $25 n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 575 |  |

Combining (3.1) and (3.11), we have proven that when $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

## 4. A Prime Number Between $k n$ and $(k+1) \boldsymbol{n}$

From [2] [4], for every positive integer $n$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $2 n<p \leq 3 n$.

From [3] [5], for every integer $n>1$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that
$3 n<p \leq 4 n$.
From (2.12), when $5 \leq \lambda \leq 7$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

From (3.12), when $8 \leq \lambda \leq 25$ and $n \geq \lambda-2$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

From (1.7), for $n \geq(\lambda-2) \geq 24$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Combining (4.1), (4.2), (2.12), (3.12), and (1.7), we show that for $n \geq \lambda-2 \geq 1$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $(\lambda-1) n<p \leq \lambda n$.

Let $k=\lambda-1$, (4.3) becomes that for $n \geq k-1 \geq 1$, there exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $k n<p \leq(k+1) n$.

Since the Bertrand-Chebyshev's theorem states that for any positive integer $n$, there is always a prime number $p$ such that $n<p \leq 2 n$, we can derive the Theorem (4.5): For two positive integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$, if $n \geq k-1$, then there always exists at least a prime number $p$ such that $k n<p \leq(k+1) n$. The Bertrand-Chebyshev's theorem is a special case of Theorem (4.5) when $k=1$.
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