A Note on Strongly Invo-Clean Rings

Santosh Kumar Pandey

Dept. of Mathematics, SPUP,

Vigyan Nagar-342037, Jodhpur, India.

E-mail: skpandey12@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this note, some important observations have been reported on recent works related to strongly invo-clean rings [1-3].

Key-words: invo-clean ring, strongly invo-clean ring, weakly tripotent ring.

MSC 2020: 16U99, 16E50.

1. Introduction

In this paper R is a unital and associative ring. Let U(R) and Id(R) denote the set of all units and idempotents in R respectively. Then R is called a strongly invo-clean ring if [1-3]

i) for each $a \in R$, there exist $b \in U(R)$ with $b^2 = 1$ and $e \in Id(R)$ such that a = b + e and (ii) be = eb.

A ring *R* is called a strongly nil-clean if for each $a \in R$ we have a = n + e such that ne = en for some $n \in N(R)$ and $e \in Id(R)$ [1-3]. Here N(R) is the set of all nilpotent elements of *R*.

In [1] an important result on strongly invo clean rings is given which states that a ring R is a strongly invo-clean ring iff $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$. Here R_1 is a strongly invo-clean ring of characteristic ≤ 8 which is strongly nil-clean. R_2 is either zero or a commutative semiprimitive (reduced) invo-clean ring of characteristic three which can be embedded

as an isomorphic copy of the direct product of copies of Z_3 (please refer corollary 2.17 of [1]). We provide a counterexample for this result. Please see example 1 for the same.

Further we consider the following result on strongly invo-clean ring [2-3] and provide counterexamples.

Let *R* is a strongly invo-clean ring, then $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$, here $R_1 = \{0\}$ or $\frac{R_1}{J(R_1)}$ is Boolean with nil $J(R_1)$ of index of nilpotence at most three, and $R_2 = \{0\}$ or R_2 is a subdirect product of a family of copies of the field of order three (please refer [2], page 22 and [3], page 74].

In the next section we provide important observations for the above results of [1-3] and we substantially improve these results of [1-3].

2. Some Observations on Strongly Invo-Clean Rings

In this section we provide our observations in the form of the following examples. Example 1 serves as a counterexample for the above result of [1] and the remaining examples serve as counterexamples for the above result of [2-3].

Example 1. Let $R = Z_2 \times Z_4$. We have $R_1 = Z_2$ and $R_2 = Z_4$. One may verify that $R_1 = Z_2$ is a strongly invo-clean ring of characteristic two. Also, $R_1 = Z_2$ is a strongly nil-clean ring as desired. We note that R is strongly invo-clean ring however $R_2 = Z_4$ is not a reduced ring. Also its characteristic is not three. Therefore this is a counterexample for the above of [1].

Below we shall provide some counterexamples for the above result of [2-3].

Example 2. Let $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Clearly *R* is a unital ring under

addition and multiplication of matrices modulo four. One may easily verify that $x^3 = x$ or $(1-x)^3 = 1-x$ for each $x \in R$. Therefore *R* is a weakly tripotent ring [6]. It may be noted that each weakly tripotent ring is a strongly invo-clean ring [1-5]. Thus *R* is a strongly invo-clean ring. We note that $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$. Here $R_1 = \{0\}$ and $R_2 = Z_4$.

One may note that R_2 is not a subdirect product of a family of copies of the field of order three even though R is a strongly invo-clean ring.

Example 3. Let
$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Here *R* is a unital ring under addition and multiplication of matrices modulo eight. Since *R* is weakly tripotent ring and therefore it is a strongly invo-clean ring. Clearly $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$. Here $R_1 = \{0\}$ and $R_2 = Z_8$. However R_2 is not a subdirect product of a family of copies of the field of order three even though *R* is a strongly invo-clean ring.

Example 4. Let
$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Here *R* is a unital ring under addition and multiplication of matrices modulo two. Clearly *R* is weakly tripotent and hence a strongly invo-clean ring. Let us take $R_1 = \{0\}$ and $R_2 = R$. Then $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$. However R_2 is not a subdirect product of a family of copies of the field of order three even though *R* is a strongly invo-clean ring.

References

[1] P. V. Danchev, Invo-Clean Unital Rings, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 32 (1), 19-27, 2017.

[2] P. Danchev, A Characterization of Weakly Tripotent Rings, Rendiconti Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 79 (1), 21-32, 2021.

[3] P. V. Danchev, Quasi invo-clean rings, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III: Mathematics, Informatics, Physics, 63(1), 2021.

[4] P. V. Danchev, T-Y, Lam, Rings with Unipotent Units, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 88(3-4), 449-466, 2016.

[5] P. Danchev, Commutative Weakly Tripotent Group Rings, Bul. Acad. Stiinte Repub. Mold. Mat., 93 (2), 24-29, 2020.

[6] S. Breaz, A. Cimpean, Weakly Tripotent Rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 55 (4), 1179-1187, 2018.

Note: This article is available under the following license.

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)