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Abstract: This is a Direct proof of Fermat's Last Theorem based on Even/Odd parity of numbers. It is short,direct and comprehensible by student in Mathematics and lovers of Mathematics.

## Introduction

The French mathematician Pierre de Fermat (1601-1665), conjectured that the equation $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ has no solution in positive integers $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z if n is a positive integer $>=3$.

He wrote in the margin of his personal copy of Brachet's translation of Diophantus' Arithmetica:"I have discovered a truly marvellous demonstration of this proposition that this margin is too narrow to contain".

Many researchers believe that Fermat does not find a demonstration of his proposition but some others think there is a proof and Fermat's claim seems right.

The search of a solution of equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ are splitted in two directions.
The first one is oriented to search a solution for a specific value of the exponent n and the second is more general, oriented to find a solution for any value of the exponent $n$.

- Babylonian (570,495 BC) studied the equation $x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2=z^{\wedge} 2$ and found the solution $(3,4,5)$.
- Arabic mathematician Al-Khazin studied the equation $x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3$ in the $X$ century and his work mentioned in a philosophic book by Avicenne in the XI century.
- A defective proof of FLT was given before 972 by the Arab Alkhodjandi
- The Arab Mohamed Beha Eddin ben Alhossain (1547-1622) listed among the problems remaining unsolved from former times that to divide a cube into two cubes.(refer Image of Arabic manuscript from British Museum. Problem N4 Red color at line 8 from top).
- Fermat $(1601,1665)$, Euler $(1707,1783)$ and Dirichlet (around 1825) solved the equation for $\mathrm{n}=3,4$ and 5 .
- In 1753, Leonhard Euler presented a proof for $x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3$
- Fermat found a proof of $x^{\wedge} 4+y^{\wedge} 4=z^{\wedge} 4$ using his famous "infinite descente". This method combines proof by contradiction and proof by backward induction.
- Dirichlet (in 1825) solved the equation $x^{\wedge} 5+y^{\wedge} 5=z^{\wedge} 5$.
- Sophie Germain (in 1823) generalized the result of Dirichlet for prime p if $2 p+1$ is prime..

Let p prime, $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{p}$ has no solution in positive integers if $2 \mathrm{p}+1$ is prime.

- In XIX century E.Kummer continued the work of Gauss and innovated by using numbers of cyclotomic field and introduced the concept of "prime factor ideal".
-Andrew Wiles, a professor at Princeton University, provided an indirect proof of Fermat's Conjecture in two articles published in the May 1995 issue of Annals of Mathematics.

Andrew Wiles solved a high level problem in modular forms about elliptic curves and the consequence is a solution for FLT. Thanks to the results of Andrew Wiles, we know that Fermat's Last Theorem is true.

I think he opens a space for mathematicians to search proofs for FLT comprehensible by a normal student in mathematics and may be to find new concepts or ideas. This result should imply a direct proof of FLT.

In this paper, I would like to suggest a direct proof using mathematical concepts (Parity Even/Odd of numbers, Forward Induction and Backward Induction) and tools of the Fermat‘s era; valid for whatever value $\mathrm{n}>2$. This direct proof is comprehensible for a normal student and mathematical lovers.

## Proof Of FLT:

Let we recall the Pythagorean theorem which states that $x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2=z^{\wedge} 2$ has many integer solutions.
$x=u^{\wedge} 2-v^{\wedge} 2 ; y=2 u v$ and $z=u^{\wedge} 2+v^{\wedge} 2$ with $u$ and $v$ positive integers, relatively prime, of opposite parity and $u>v>0$.

Assume the equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ with $x, y, z$ positive integers and $n$ is integer greater than 2. .

In this proof we only deal with primitive triples which have no common divisor.

- $\quad\left(x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n\right)=(x+y) *\left(x^{\wedge}(n-1)-x^{\wedge}(n-2) y+\ldots \ldots \ldots . . y^{\wedge}(n-1)\right)=z^{*} z^{\wedge}(n-1)$
- The sum $(x+y)$ from LHS and the number $z$ from RHS must not have any common divisor (like 2 n or another) otherwise the equation $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ would be transformed in another equation degree ( $n-1$ ) and different from $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$
- No two numbers from (x,y,z) can be even because two would be a common divisor.
- All three numbers ( $x, y, z$ ) cannot be odd because the equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ would say the sum of two odd numbers is odd. Therefore, exactly one is even.
- There are 3 cases :
- $z$ even and $x$ odd and $y$ odd
- $x$ odd, $y$ even and $z$ odd
- $x$ even and $y$ odd and $z$ odd

We have to choose the right one according to the equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$.

## 2/ Parity table

A parity table is a mathematical table used in logic specifically in connection with parity which sets out the functional values of logical expressions ( $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ ) on each of their functional arguments, that is, for each combination of values taken by their logical variables

The cell contains the parity of each element $(x, y, z)$ and the last column the sum $\left(x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n\right)$ and the right comment.
$\mathrm{E}=$ Even and $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{Odd}$

| $\mathrm{N}^{\circ}$ | x | $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ | y | $\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ | z | $\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | E | E | E | E | E | E | $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ | Excluded |
| 2 | E | E | E | E | O | O | $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{O}$ | Impossible |
| 3 | E | E | O | O | E | E | $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{E}$ | Impossible |
| 4 | E | E | O | O | O | O | $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ | To be examined |
| 5 | O | O | E | E | E | E | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ | Impossible |
| 6 | O | O | E | E | O | O | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{O}$ | To be examined |
| 7 | O | O | O | O | O | O | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ | Excluded |
| 8 | O | O | O | O | E | E | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{E}$ | To be examined |

## $1 / W e$ want to prove that $z$ is odd and $x$ and $y$ are of opposite parity.

If $z$ is even then $z=2 p$ with $p$ integer . . The numbers $x$ and $y$ are odd and can be written as $\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{q}+1$ and $\mathrm{y}=2 \mathrm{r}+1$..
$\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q}+1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+1+\sum \mathrm{n}!/(\mathrm{j}!(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j})!)(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq(\mathrm{n}-1)$
$(2 q+1)^{\wedge} n=(2 q)^{\wedge} n+n(2 q)^{\wedge}(n-1)+n(n-1) / 2(2 q)^{\wedge}(n-2)+\ldots . . n(n-1) / 2(2 q)^{\wedge} 2+n(2 q)+1$
$n$ is common factorn in all terms of binomial formula except in the leading term $(2 q)^{\wedge} n$ and the last term (one).
$\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{r})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+1+\sum \mathrm{n}!/(\mathrm{j}!(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j})!)(2 \mathrm{r})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}$
and $\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{p})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$.
If $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ and z even this implies :
$\left[(2 q)^{\wedge} n+1+\sum n!/(j!(n-j)!)(2 q)^{\wedge} j\right]+\left[(2 r)^{\wedge} n+1+\sum n!/(j!(n-j)!)(2 r)^{\wedge} j\right]=(2 p)^{\wedge} n \quad$ with $\mathrm{n}>2$ and $1 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq(\mathrm{n}-1)$

## 1.1/ Suppose $\mathbf{n}=2 k$ with $k>1$

$\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q}+1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+1+\sum \mathrm{n}!/(\mathrm{j}!(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j})!)(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}$
$(2 q+1)^{\wedge} 2 k=(2 q)^{\wedge} 2 k+1+\sum(2 k)!/(j!(2 k-j)!)(2 q)^{\wedge} j$
$(2 \mathrm{q}+1)^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=2^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+1+(2 \mathrm{k})^{*} 2 \sum(2 \mathrm{k}-1)!/\left(\mathrm{j}!((2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j})!) 2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{j}-1)(\mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}\right.$
$2^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=4^{\wedge} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}{ }^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}$ and $2 \mathrm{k}^{*} 2=4 \mathrm{k}$
$(2 \mathrm{p})^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=2^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=4^{\wedge} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}$
The number 4 is common divisor of $x^{\wedge} 2 k, y^{\wedge} 2 k$ and $z^{\wedge} 2 k$
$\left(2 q^{+1}\right)^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=\left[4 \wedge \mathrm{k} \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+4 \mathrm{k} \sum(2 \mathrm{k}-1)!/\left(\mathrm{j}!((2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j})!) 2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{j}-1)(\mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}\right)+1\right]=4\left[4 \wedge(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{Q}\right]+1$ with Q is polynomial in q
$(2 \mathrm{r}+1)^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}=\left[4 \wedge \mathrm{k} \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+4 \mathrm{k} \sum(2 \mathrm{k}-1)!/\left(\mathrm{j}!((2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{j})!) 2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{j}-1)(\mathrm{r})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}\right)+1\right]=4\left[4 \wedge(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{R}\right]+1$,
with $R$ is polynomial in $r$
$(2 p)^{\wedge} 2 k=2^{\wedge} 2 k p^{\wedge} 2 k=4\left[4^{\wedge}(k-1) p^{\wedge} 2 k\right]$,
If $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ and $z$ even this implies :
$4\left[4^{\wedge}(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{Q}+4^{\wedge}(\mathrm{k}-1) \mathrm{r}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{R}\right]+2=4\left[4^{\wedge}(\mathrm{k}-1)\left(\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}\right)\right]$
$4\left[4^{\wedge}(k-1)\left(q^{\wedge} 2 k+r^{\wedge} 2 k-p^{\wedge} 2 k\right)+k^{*}(Q+R)\right]=-2$
4 divides the LHS and must divide the RHS, thus 4 divides 2 which is a contradiction . Therefore x and y can not both be odd. Thus exactely one must be even and z must be odd

If $\left(q^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k})+\mathrm{r}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k})-\mathrm{p}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k})\right)$ is equal zero then 4 divides the LHS and must divide the RHS, thus 4 divides 2 which is a contradiction. We can infer that $\left(q^{\wedge}(2 k)+r^{\wedge}(2 k)-p^{\wedge}(2 k)\right)$ cannot equal zero and FLT is true for $n$ even equal $2 k$ with $k>1$

## 1.2/ Suppose $\mathbf{n = 2 k + 1}$ with $k>0$

$x^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q}+1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+1+\sum \mathrm{n}!/(\mathrm{j}!(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{j})!)(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}$
$(2 \mathrm{q}+1)^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}+1=(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)+1+\sum(2 \mathrm{k}+1)!/(\mathrm{j}!(2 \mathrm{k}+1-\mathrm{j})!)(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}=2^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{q}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)+1+$ $(2 \mathrm{k}+1) *(2) \sum(2 \mathrm{k})!/\left(\mathrm{j}!((2 \mathrm{k}+1-\mathrm{j})!) 2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{j}-1)(\mathrm{q})^{\wedge} \mathrm{j}\right.$

The number $4 \mathrm{k}+2$ is common divisor of binomial terms except the term 1 .
$\left(2 q^{2}+\right)^{\wedge}(2 k+1)=(4 k+2)^{*} \mathrm{Q}+1+(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1), \mathrm{Q}$ is polynomial in q
$(2 \mathrm{r}+1)^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=(4 \mathrm{k}+2)^{*} \mathrm{R}+1+(2 \mathrm{r})^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1), \mathrm{R}$ is polynomial in r
If $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ and $z$ even this implies
$(4 \mathrm{k}+2)^{*} \mathrm{Q}+1+(2 \mathrm{q})^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)+(4 \mathrm{k}+2)^{*} \mathrm{R}+1+(2 \mathrm{r})^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=2^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \mathrm{p}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)$
$(4 \mathrm{k}+2)^{*}(\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{R})=-2\left[1+2^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{q}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)+\mathrm{r}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)-\mathrm{p}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)\right]\right.$
$4 \mathrm{k}+2$ divides the LHS and must divide the RHS, thus $4 \mathrm{k}+2$ divides 2 which is a contradiction or divides $\left(1+2^{\wedge} 2 \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{q}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)+\mathrm{r}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)-\mathrm{p}^{\wedge}(2 \mathrm{k}+1)\right)\right.$ which is an odd number $.4 \mathrm{k}+2$ cannot divides it because $4 \mathrm{k}+2$ is even. Therefore x and y can not both be odd. Thus exactely one must be even and z must be odd.

If $\left(q^{\wedge}(2 k+1)+r^{\wedge}(2 k+1)-p^{\wedge}(2 k+1)\right)$ is equal zero then $4 k+2$ divides the LHS and must divide the RHS, thus $4 k+2$ divides 2 which is a contradiction. We can infer that $\left(q^{\wedge}(2 k+1)+\right.$ $\left.r^{\wedge}(2 k+1)-p^{\wedge}(2 k+1)\right)$ cannot equal zero and FLT is true for $n$ odd equal $2 k+1$ with $k>0$.

The two cases $n=2 k$ or $n=2 k+1$ yields to contradiction. This contradiction proves that $z$ is odd .Assume that $y$ is the other odd number if it is not then switch with $x$ because $x$ and $y$ are interchangeable in the equation $x^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}$.

So $z$ is odd $x$, and $y$ are of opposite parity.
Summary Parity table

| X | Even |  | Odd |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Y |  |  |  |  |
| Even | Even+Even=Even | Excluded | Odd+Even=Odd | To be examined |
|  | Even+Even=Odd | Impossible | Odd+Even=Even | Impossible |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Odd | Even +Odd =Odd | To be xamined | Odd + Odd =Odd | Excluded |
|  | Even +Odd =Even | impossible | Odd + Odd =Even | To be examined |

2.1/ We will examine the case Odd + Odd= Even.

Assume $\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{q}+1, \mathrm{y}=2 \mathrm{r}+1$ and $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{p}$
$x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$
$(2 q+1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}+(2 \mathrm{r}+1)^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(2 \mathrm{p})^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$
$((2 q+1)+(2 r+1))^{*}\left[(2 q+1)^{\wedge}(n-1)-(2 q+1)^{\wedge}(n-2)(2 r+1)+\ldots . .(2 r+1)^{\wedge}(n-1)\right]=(2 p)^{\wedge} n$ $((2 q+1)+(2 r+1))=2(q+r+1)$ is even
(2p) is also even. These two terms has 2 as a common factor, so the equation is excluded because it does not a Fermat's equation.
3.1/ We will examine now the 2 cases Even + Odd =Odd and

Odd + Even = Odd
Assume x odd, y even and z odd
$y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n$
$y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n=(z-x)\left[z^{\wedge}(n-1)+x z^{\wedge}(n-2)+\ldots \ldots . . .+x^{\wedge}(n-1)\right]$
$y^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ is even, $(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})$ is even and $\left[\mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-2)+\ldots \ldots . . \mathrm{x}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right]$ is the product of module of all complex roots .

### 3.1.1/ If $\mathbf{n}$ is even, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 m}$

$\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) \prod\left(\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 2-2 \mathrm{zx} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{pi}\right) / \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right)$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq(\mathrm{m}-1)$
$z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n=\left(z^{\wedge} 2-x^{\wedge} 2\right) *$ Product of module of complex roots from $k=1$ to $k=m-1$.
We can write $\mathrm{y}=2 \mathrm{p} ;(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})=2 \mathrm{q}$ and $\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{r}$ and $\left[\mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-2)+\ldots . . . . .+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right]=$ Product of module $=\prod(\mathrm{Mi})^{\wedge} 2$
with $p, q$ and $r$ integers because $y$, is even and $(z-x)$ is even and $z+x=2 r$ and $\left[z^{\wedge}(n-1)+x z^{\wedge}(n-\right.$ 2)........$\left.++x^{\wedge}(n-1)\right]=$ ( Mi$)^{\wedge} 2 .$.

Plug these values into $y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n$ it becomes $y^{\wedge} n=(2 p)^{\wedge} n=(2 q)(2 r) \prod(M i)^{\wedge} 2$.
We get $y=4^{\wedge}(1 / n)(q r)^{\wedge}(1 / n)\left(\Pi(M i)^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge}(1 / n)$.
Due to irrationality of $4^{\wedge}(1 / n)$ and $\left(\Pi(\mathrm{Mi})^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge}(1 / \mathrm{n})$ we cannot get y is an integer with $\mathrm{n}>2$. This is a contradiction according to the premise. ( y is integer and even)

Checking for $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 ,} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2=\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 2$
$y^{\wedge} 2=z^{\wedge} 2-x^{\wedge} 2=(z-x)(z+x)$
Assume x odd, y even and z odd
We can write $y=2 p ;(z-x)=2 q$ and $z+x=2 r$
with $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ and r integers because y , is even and $(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})$ is even and $\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{x}$ is even.
Plug these values into $y^{\wedge} 2=z^{\wedge} 2-x^{\wedge} 2$ it becomes $y^{\wedge} 2=(2 p)^{\wedge} 2=(2 q)(2 r)$
We get $\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2=\mathrm{qr}$ with q and r coprime. Since $\mathrm{qr}=\mathrm{p}^{\wedge} 2$ we know that q and r must each be square

This implies there exist $q=v^{\wedge} 2$ and $r=u^{\wedge} 2$. We get $y^{\wedge} 2=2 u^{\wedge} 2 * 2 v^{\wedge} 2$ and $z-x=2 v^{\wedge} 2$ and $\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{x}=2 \mathrm{u}^{\wedge} 2$

We get $x=u^{\wedge} 2-v^{\wedge} 2, y=2 u v, z=u^{\wedge} 2+v^{\wedge} 2$. We find the well known pythagorean solution for the equation $x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2=z^{\wedge} 2$.

### 3.1.2/ If $\mathbf{n}$ is odd, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 m + 1}$

$\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x}) \Pi\left(\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} 2-2 \mathrm{zx} \cos \left(2 \mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{pi}\right) / \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right)$ with $1 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{z}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}=(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})^{*}$ Product of module of complex roots from $\mathrm{k}=1$ to $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}$.
$z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n=(z-x) *$ Product of module of complex roots from $k=1$ to $k=m$.
We can write $\mathrm{y}=2 \mathrm{p} ;(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})=2 \mathrm{q}$ and $\left[\mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-2)+\ldots . . . . .+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right]=$ Product of module $=\prod(\mathrm{Mi})^{\wedge} 2$
with p , q integers because y , is even and $(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{x})$ is even and $\left[\mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{z}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-2)+\ldots \ldots . .+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right]=$ $\Pi(\mathrm{Mi})^{\wedge} 2$..
Plug these values into $y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n-x^{\wedge} n$ it becomes $y^{\wedge} n=(2 p)^{\wedge} n=(2 q) \Pi(M i)^{\wedge} 2$.
We get $\mathrm{y}=2^{\wedge}(1 / \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{q}^{\wedge}(1 / \mathrm{n})\left(\prod(\mathrm{Mi})^{\wedge} 2\right)^{\wedge}(1 / n)$.
Due to irrationality of $2^{\wedge}(1 / \mathrm{n})$ we cannot get y as an integer.
This is a contradiction according to the premise. ( y is integer and even)
We can claim that the parity combination odd + even $=$ odd is impossible.
In the equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ the variables $x$ and $y$ are interchangeable.
We can replace x by y in the demonstration above and we get the parity combination even + odd $=$ odd is impossible.

Cheking with $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3}, x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3$
$y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3-x^{\wedge} 3=(z-x)\left(z^{\wedge} 2+x z+x^{\wedge} 2\right)$
Assume x odd, y even and z odd
We can write $y=2 p ;(z-x)=2 q$ and $z^{\wedge} 2+x y+x^{\wedge} 2=\left(z^{\wedge} 2+2(x z) 1 / 2+x^{\wedge} 2\right)=\left(z^{\wedge} 2-2(x z) \cos \right.$ $\left.\left(120^{\circ}\right)+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right)=\mathrm{M}^{\wedge} 2$ ( M as module of complex root)
with $p, q$ integers because $y$, is even and ( $z-x$ ) is even.
Plug these values into $y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3-x^{\wedge} 3$ it becomes $y^{\wedge} 3=(2 p)^{\wedge} 3=(2 q)\left(M^{\wedge} 2\right)$
$y^{\wedge} 3=(2 q)\left(M^{\wedge} 2\right)$ or $y=2^{\wedge}(1 / 3) q^{\wedge}(1 / 3) M^{\wedge}(2 / 3)$ which is not an integer, due to irrationality of $2^{\wedge}(1 / 3)$.
This is a contradiction according to the premise. ( y is integer and even).
We can claim that the parity combination odd +even =odd is impossible.
In the equation $x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3=z^{\wedge} 3$ the variables $x$ and $y$ are interchangeable. The parity combination even + odd $=$ odd is also impossible if we replace x by y in the demonstration above..

## 4/ Conclusion

All the parity combinations of $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z are examined and eliminated.

## So Fermat's Last Theorem is always true.

In all cases the equation $x^{\wedge} n+y^{\wedge} n=z^{\wedge} n$ is impossible and we can claim that $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} \mathbf{n}$ has no integer solutions for $\mathbf{n}>\mathbf{2}$.
Q.E.D
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